

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.194.2 P38 L36 # 245

den Besten, Gerrit

NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type TR Comment Status R EEE

Slow wake request is an indication in one direction, which leaves the option open that it would still require to support regular wake-up in the other direction. I think it would be better to specify that if one of the transceivers on a link request slow-wake, that the slow-wake is applied in both directions.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add the sentence to the paragraph:

If either this PHY or its link partner request slow wake, the PHY may only transmit alert immediately following refresh.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

There was no consensus to make the change. The desire of the TF was to allow these to be different in each direction.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.197 P40 L53 # 196

Dawe, Piers

Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Registers

This register should contain "the current SNR operating margin measured at the slicer input ... to an accuracy of 0.5 dB", yet there is no indication of what "SNR operating margin" means (is the PHY supposed to measure the noise of the signal!? or infer it from FEC errors? or...) nor is "the slicer input" defined. Trying to set an accuracy on something so vague is not appropriate. Anyway, providing that accuracy at the extremes of the range is probably difficult and unnecessary.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Delete "to an accuracy of 0.5 dB"

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This was discussed during a previous meeting and the decision of the group was to keep the accuracy, which matches MultiGBASE-T PHY's.

CI 149 SC 149.5.1 P155 L41 # 200

Dawe, Piers

Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Test Modes

It's disappointing to see these very artificial test patterns from Clause 94 being brought back when we have moved on to better methods for PAM4 testing in Annex 120D and subsequent clauses such as 136.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Define jitter and linearity with PRBS13Q, following 120D.3.1.8 Output jitter and 120D.3.1.2 Transmitter linearity. Make JP03A and JP03B optional.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In the case of a bidirectional PHY with echo cancellation, the JP03A and JP03B signals are sufficient to check for even/odd jitter. The echo canceller has stricter requirements for other jitter found by the PRBS13Q sequence.

Comments 39, 40, 41, 117, 119, 120, 121, and 200 all change the text related to the transmitter linearity and jitter test modes.

Modify the text as defined in wienckowski\_3ch\_02e\_0719.pdf.

CI 149 SC 149.5.1.1 P156 L19 # 208

Dawe, Piers

Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Test Modes

"1.2.6 Accuracy and resolution of numerical quantities

Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." Stating otherwise makes life more complicated, and an attempt to enforce test equipment spec is out of scope. Implementers and testers can sort out their measurement accuracy for themselves.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Delete "The tolerance of resistors shall be +/- 0.1%."

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

P156 L19

Delete: The tolerance of resistors shall be +/- 0.1%.

P157 L35

Add to end of current paragraph: Transmitter electrical tests are specified with a load tolerance of  $\pm 0.1\%$ .

CI 149 SC 149.5.1.1 P156 L33 # 118  
 Dudek, Mike Marvell  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Test Modes  
 1pF is only 50 Ohm at 3GHz. This probe will significantly degrade the performance of the signal  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete Figure 149-36 and use Figure 149-38 for these tests.  
 Response Response Status W  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 The text above the figure states that "equivalent" fixtures can be used. We will remove the specifics of the probe and leave it up to the implementer to choose the correct probe.  
 Modify Figure 149-36 and delete "with resistance > 10 kOhm and capacitance < 1 pF"

CI 149A SC 149A.2 P189 L26 # 207  
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R 149A  
 This isn't a test spec. Products have to work over a much wider range than this - how that is assured is up to the implementer.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete "Measurements to be performed at 23 ± 5°C and relative humidity of 25% to 75%."  
 Response Response Status U  
 REJECT.  
 This specification does not use a standardized cable. Instead, it defines the link segment characteristics and testing methodologies for the link segment.  
 While it is true that products need to work over a much wider range, testing needs to be done under a defined condition to ensure comparable results in different labs.

CI 149B SC 149B P196 L4 # 199  
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A OAM  
 An informative annex with state diagrams - that's crazy!  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove the state diagrams or change the annex's status to normative (but optional, presumably)  
 Response Response Status U  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Add a new first subclause (149B.1) with all others renumbered after.  
 149B.1 Purpose

This annex describes a suggested assignment of the OAM status bits for use with the Clause 149 MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs. Suggested bit behaviors, shown in state diagrams, and bit assignments in the OAM frame are detailed in this annex for informative purposes to enable consistent use of the OAM channel. Use of these specific assignments and the behaviors described by the state diagrams is implementation dependent.