	•									
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.		L 44	# 15		C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.1		L 17	# 5	
Гu, Mike	Broadco				Tu, Mike		Broadcom			
Comment Type T Register bit 1.2309.1 PCS reset.	Comment Status D 5 is PMA/PMD reset. But		es to 149.3.2.1, whi	<i>EZ</i> ch is	precod	45-155e defines ler setting is alv	Comment Status D the test mode control register vays controlled by register bits			
SuggestedRemedy					define	a "Local transm	itter precoder override" bit.			
On page 35, line 44,	change the reference from	m 149.3.2.1 to 149.4	.2.1.				e from "Local transmit precode			
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛	V			precod	ler setting" to cl	arify the purpose of these cor	trol register bits		
PROPOSED ACCEP	Ϋ́Τ.				Suggested					
P802.3ch D2.1 and I Hence it is not within However, the change	not apply to the substantion 2.2 or the unsatisfied neg the scope of the recircular suggested has identified ntive change which fixes	gative comments fro ation ballot. I an error in the draft	m earlier ballots. , and the proposed	ct	2. In T "1.231 3. In T setting 4. Dele 5. Cha	able 45-155e, c 3.12:11". able 45-155e, c ". ete 45.2.1.196.2 nge page 41 lin	e 39 to 45 to the following:	0:9 to "Test mod		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.	195.3 P 39	L 50	# 1		"45.2.1.196.3 Test mode transmit precoder setting (1.2313.10:9) In Test mode 3, bits 1.2313.10:9 control the precoder setting of the local transmitter, as					
Wienckowski, Natalie	General	Motors			define	d in 149.3.2.2.2	0. During normal operation, th	ne precoder is se	t according to the	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D)		EZ			eceived from the link partner,	and bits 1.2313.	10:9 are ignored."	
The Precoder register to register bits that w	rs and text were modified ere deleted.	l in D2.2, but there is	s still a reference in l	D2.2	Proposed I PROP	Response OSED REJECT	Response Status W			
control register bits 1	eration, this value shall m .2309.10:9. PICS MM227 as the "sh Response Status V	all" has been remov		MA	have a trouble error ir	ccess to the releshooting. Whe	verride the transmitted precoo note PHY, because link does n the link doesn't come up, it settings are controlled. Requ s debuggability.	n't come up, you could, for exam	i may need it for ble, be because of an	
PROPOSED ACCEP		- 					nade, the ability to locally con alized transmit sequences in t			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.	195.3 <i>P</i> 39	L 51	# 4		contro	I the precoder is	needed for any more extens	ive debug. Strip	ping out this control	
Tu, Mike	Broadco	om			serves	no useful purp	ose, hides functionality, and re	educes debug co	ontrol for interoperability	
Comment Type T Control register bits 7	Comment Status D .2309.10:9 do not exist.)		EZ						
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Delete the last sente	nce of this paragraph.									
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status V T.	v								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.196

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.196.4	P 41	L 51	# 6		C/ 45	SC 45.2.	1.198	P 42	L 36	# 8	
Tu, Mike		Broadcom				Tu, Mike			Broadcom			
Comment 1	Туре т С	omment Status D			ΕZ	Comment	Туре Т	Com	ment Status D			EZ
	ansmit jitter tests are s b both, or simply refer	specified in both 149.5.2 to 149.5.2.3.	3.1 and 149.5.2	2.3.2. Recommend	l to			155g. 1.2314	4 should be 1.2315 o	n the first colum	n.	
Suggestedl	Remedy					Suggested	-	lumn of Tab	le 45-155g from "1.2	314 xx:\//" to "1	2315 vv:\//"	
Option	1. Change "149.5.2.3 2. Change "See 149. 2.3.2 for more informa	5.2.3.1 for more informa	ation." to "See 14	49.5.2.3.1 and		Proposed I		Respo	onse Status W	014.XX.yy to 1.	2010.XX.yy .	
Proposed F PROP(Response Re OSED ACCEPT IN P	sponse Status W RINCIPLE.				<i>Cl</i> 45 Tu, Mike	SC 45.2.	1.199.1	P 42 Broadcom	L 49	# 9	
Change	e "149.5.2.3.1" to "14	9.5.2.3".				Comment	Туре Е	Com	ment Status D			EZ
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.197	P 42	L 4	# 7					atch with the name of	of register bits.		
Tu, Mike		Broadcom				Suggested						
Comment 1	Туре Е С	omment Status D		00S_	Reject	Chang	e line 49 to '	45.2.1.199.1	I MultiGBASE-T1 us	er defined data (1.2316.15:0)".	
nomen	clature MultiGBASE-	ad of "MultiGBASE-T1 s T1 is used to describe s , and 10GBASE-T1 PH	pecifications tha			Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCI	•	onse Status W			
Suggestedl	Remedy					C/ 45	SC 45.2.	1.200.1	P 43	L 25	# 10	
	e 42, line 3:					Tu, Mike			Broadcom			
		er input for the PMAs in the MultiGBASE-T1 PM		E-T1 set."		Comment Title of			<i>ment Status</i> D atch with the name o	of register bits.		EZ
	e 62, Clause 78.5, lin					Suggested	Remedv			Ū		
Change MultiGl	e all occurrences of ". BASE-T1 PHY".	the PHY in the MultiG	BASE-T1 set	." to " the		Chang		"45.2.1.200.	1 MultiGBASE-T1 lin	ik partner user d	efined data	
Proposed F	Response Re	sponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉				Proposed I	,	Resno	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			
PROP	OSED REJECT.						OSED ACCI	•				
and D2 of the r In addi that sh	2.2 or the unsatisfied i recirculation ballot. In tion, the nomenclatur	ly to the substantive cha negative comments from addition, this proposal c e defined locally in claus for clause 149 specifica- tion in clause 1 4 applie	n Ď2.0. Hence i loes not fix an e se 149 doesn't a ations which app	t is not within the s rror in the draft. apply to clause 45, bly to all three PHY	cope while 's in							

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.200.1

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 104	SC 104.4.6.3	P 68	L 52	# 16	(
den Besten,	Gerrit	NXP Semicor	nductors		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		OOS_Reject	(

The PoDL ripple is somewhat ambiguously defined as the text desciptions only talks about measuring ripple with certain high-pass filters. The table mentions 1kHz-10MHz. If this is the measurement bandwidth, the measurement with 10MHz high-pass becomes actually a fairly narrow bandpass measurement around 10MHz. This also implies there is no constraint on the PoDL ripple beyond 10MHz. I've understood that the assumption is that there will no be significant ripple beyond 10MHz, but unfortunately the specification does not constrain that. A ripple at higher frequencies is very undesirable, so a note that PoDL circuitry shall not produce any significant ripple beyond 10MHz seems useful.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a note to this paragraph of the PoDL clause: The induced voltage ripple at the MDI of PoDL circuits beyond 10MHz shall be negligible to avoid degradation of signal reception.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ch D2.1 and D2.2 or the unsatisfied negative comments from D2.0. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. In addition, this proposal does not fix an error in the draft.

The Suggested Remedy does not provide a technically complete solution. Notes are informative only and cannot state normative requirements. Additionally" "negligible voltage ripple" cannot be a normative requirement as it provides no testable metric for voltage ripple.

Commenter may wish to resubmit this comment at Standars Association ballot.

The commenter may also wish to submit a Maintenance request for Clause 104 to add similar requirements for ripple voltage at other communication rates.

C/ 149	SC 149.1.3	P8	30 <i>L</i> 1	1 #	12
Tu, Mike		Broa	dcom		
<i>Comment</i> The E	51	Comment Status	-		EZ
Suggested Chang	-	from 149.3.2.2.22 to	149.4.2.4.5.		
	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status Г.	w		
P802.	3ch D2.1 and D	ot apply to the substa 2.2 or the unsatisfied he scope of the recirc	negative commer		pallots.
	, U	suggested has identif		· ·	

However, the change suggested has identified an error in the draft, and the proposed response is a substantive change which fixes the cross reference to point to the correct subclause.

C/ 149	SC	149.1.3	P 80	L 25	# 13
Tu, Mike			Broadcom		
Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status D		EZ
			11 11 110 1 110 0		

PMA functionality is described in 149.4, not 149.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference from 149.2 to 149.4.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ch D2.1 and D2.2 or the unsatisfied negative comments from earlier ballots. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, the change suggested has identified an error in the draft, and the proposed response is a substantive change which fixes the cross reference to point to the correct subclause

C/ 149 SC 149.1.3

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 149	SC 149.1.3.3	P 81	L 30	# 14		C/ 149	SC 149.3.	2.2	P 94	L 40	# 22	
Гu, Mike		Broadcom				McClellan,	Brett		Marvell Sem	niconductor		
Comment T EEE ca		Comment Status D dded in Infofield octet 10 bit 6			EZ	Comment 7 gramm		Comme	ent Status D			E
<i>uggestedi</i> Chang	•	" to "(Octet 10 bit 6)"				Suggested change	Remedy e 'encoder' to	encoders'				
Proposed F PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				Proposed F PROP	Response DSED ACCEI	•	se Status W			
P802.3 Hence Howev	ich D2.1 and D2. it is not within th er, the change si	t apply to the substantive char .2 or the unsatisfied negative of e scope of the recirculation bar uggested has identified an err ve change which fixes the refe	comments from allot. or in the draft, a	earlier ballots.	ich	P802.3 Hence Howev	ich D2.1 and it is not withir er, the chang	D2.2 or the u the scope o suggested	the substantive ch nsatisfied negativ f the recirculation has identified an e itorial change whi	e comments fron ballot. error in the draft,	n earlier ballots. , and the proposed	ł
was ch	anged in D2.1.	.				C/ 149	SC 149.3.2		P 94	L 48	# 23	
/ 149	SC 149.3.2.1	P 93	L 47	# 11		McClellan,	Brett		Marvell Sem	niconductor		
u, Mike		Broadcom				Comment T	Type E	Comm	ent Status D			E
,						Comment	<i>) i i i i i i i i i i</i>	Commis				-
		Comment Status D			EZ	typo		Comm				
		<i>Comment Status</i> D register bit is 3.2322.15, not 1	.2309.15.		EZ			Comm				
The PC	CS reset control r Remedy	register bit is 3.2322.15, not 1			EZ	typo Suggested						
The PC	CS reset control r Remedy			15".	EZ	typo <i>Suggested</i> change	Remedy e "RS-FE" to "	RS-FEC"				
The PC uggested On pag	CS reset control r Remedy ge 93, 149.3.2.1,	register bit is 3.2322.15, not 1		15".	EZ	typo Suggested change Proposed F	Remedy e "RS-FE" to "	RS-FEC" <i>Respon</i>	se Status W			
The PC Suggested On pag Proposed F	CS reset control r Remedy ge 93, 149.3.2.1,	register bit is 3.2322.15, not 1 line 47, change from "1.2309 <i>Response Status</i> W		15".	EZ	typo Suggested, change Proposed f PROPO	Remedy RS-FE" to " Response DSED ACCEI	RS-FEC" <i>Respon</i> PT.	se Status W			
Suggested On pag Proposed F PROP This cc P802.3	CS reset control r Remedy ge 93, 149.3.2.1, Response OSED ACCEPT. omment does not sch D2.1 and D2.	register bit is 3.2322.15, not 1 line 47, change from "1.2309 <i>Response Status</i> W	.15" to "3.2322.1 nges between IE comments from	EE	EZ	typo Suggested, change Proposed F PROPO This co P802.3	Remedy RS-FE" to " Response DSED ACCEI omment does ich D2.1 and	RS-FEC" <i>Respon</i> PT. not apply to t D2.2 or the u		e comments fron		

C/ 149 SC 149.3.2.2

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 149 SC 1	49.3.2.2.3	P 96	L 17	# 19		C/ 149	SC 149.5.2.2	P 163	L 47	# 17
McClellan, Brett		Marvell Semio	conductor			den Beste	n, Gerrit	NXP Semico	onductors	
Comment Type Tx_coded shou Rx_coded shou SuggestedRemedy Change occure Change occure Proposed Respons PROPOSED A	Inces of "Tx_coded Inces of "Tx_coded Inces of "Rx_coded Inces of "Rx_code	ent Status D d" to "tx_coded"	L 45	# 20	EZ	Comment The lir seque for the not us be bor SERD argual metho extend transn	Type T nearity test of BA nce in combinati full-duplex com eful and there the rowed from othe ES spec, which bly not simpler the d does not accools the signal range	Comment Status D SE-T1 PHYs have previousl on with a sinewave signal th munication on the link. In Ma ere are better and simpler m r specs. This resulted into a happens to refer to multiple nan the previously used mett unt for the full-duplex behaving on the MDI. When linearing is no signal received at the s	y been based on at is injected from rch it was argued ethods for specif method borrowed other clauses too od. But even mo or. The received y is only measure	n the outside to account d that this method was ying linearity that could d from a unidirectional . This method is re importantly this new signal significantly ed when the TX is
SuggestedRemedy change "A" to '	ssing subscript 'n' A_n" B_n" with _n indic e Respon	Marvell Semic ent Status D that was added in cating a subscript se Status W			EZ	that is metho occur skippe data tr test. Proposed	est to use a simil , with an externa d ensures that li for full duplex co ed, because the ransfer might be Response	ar linearity test method as us I sinewave superpositioned nearity is tested over the app mmunication. Alternatively it mposed linearity requirement tighter than the currently inc Response Status W	on top of the trans propriate output s can be consider its of the transcei	smitted signal. This ignal range that can ed if this test can be ver to ensure reliable
SuggestedRemedy Change from: '	T Common 2309.10:9 do not by the value se alue set in registe e Respon	P 161 Broadcom ent Status D exist.It should be 1 t in register 1.2309 r 1.2313.10:9,". se Status W		# 3	EZ	This c and D of the The S see m	2.2 or the unsati recirculation bal uggested Reme ade to the draft.	ot apply to the substantive chesting apply to the substantive chestied negative comments fro lot. In addition, this proposal dy does not include a specifi to resubmit this comment at	m D̃2.0. Hence in does not fix an e c change the com	t is not within the scope rror in the draft. nmenter would like to

C/ 149 SC 149.5.2.2 Page 5 of 6 10/8/2019 11:13:30 AM

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

			-									
C/ 149	SC 149.5.2.4	P 16	5	L 21	# 18		C/ 149	SC 14	9.7.1.4	P 172	L 36	# 2
den Beste	en, Gerrit	NXP S	emiconduc	tors			DiBiaso, I	Eric		TE Connectiv	rity	
Comment LPSD:	<i>J I i i</i>	Comment Status I aller than the other ch				EZ		oupling att	enuation equ	omment Status D uation (149-24) reference		
Suggested Fix the	<i>Remedy</i> e size of the L						2.5Gb	ps, 5.0Gb	os, or 10Gbp	as 4000 x S, where S e os, respectively. Howev wing a maximum freque	/er, Figure 149-	45 on page 173 plots
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w				Suggeste	dRemedy				
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.									s in 149.7.2.1 & 149.7.2 MHz in the coupling att		
P802.3	3ch D2.1 and D2.3	apply to the substant 2 or the unsatisfied ne e scope of the recircul	egative con	nments from			Frequ	ency limits	of equation	(149-24) would then be	9:	
Howe	ver, the change su	iggested has identifie antive editorial chang	d an error	in the draft,			750 <	f <= 750 M = f <= 400 e f is the fre) MHz	IHz; 30 <= f <= 4000		
C/ 149 McClellan,	SC 149.7.1.3 . Brett		1 I Semicond	L8	# 21		Figure 5500M		nould also be	e modified to show a ma	ax Frequency of	f 4000MHz instead of
Comment		Comment Status				ΕZ	Proposed	Response	Re	sponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
	51	nd N=0 are not aligne		sociated RL	. curves.		PROF	POSED AC	CEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.		
Proposed	ure 149–54 move	N=1 and N=0 to be al Response Status	0	e associate	d RL curves.		P802. Hence Howe	3ch D2.1 a e it is not w ver, the ch	and D2.2 or t ithin the sco ange sugges	y to the substantive cha he unsatisfied negative pe of the recirculation b sted has identified an et ange which fixes an inc	comments fron ballot. rror in the draft,	n earlier ballots. and the proposed
							This a the co	oupling par	the maximu ameters bet	m frequency of the cou ween the link segments ge "Fmax" to "4000"		n consistent with that o
							D470			AE to born a more from	£ 4000 M	

P173 L3, Change Figure 149-45 to have a max frequency of 4000 MHz instead of 5500 MHz.

C/ 149 SC 149.7.1.4