D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.192.1	P 35	L 44	# 15		C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	196	P 41	L17	# 5
Tu, Mike		Broadcom	- ++	" 15		Tu, Mike		.150	Broadcom	- 17	" 5
Comment 7	Type T Co	mment Status D			ΕZ	Comment	νρε Τ	C	omment Status D		Precod
	er bit 1.2309.15 is PM	A/PMD reset. But this st	atement refere	es to 149.3.2.1, whic	h is	Table 4 precod	5-155e defii er setting is	ies the te always co	est mode control registe ontrolled by register bits		
Suggestedl	Remedy					define	a "Local tran	smitter p	recoder override" bit.		
On pag	ge 35, line 44, change	the reference from 149	3.2.1 to 149.4	.2.1.					"Local transmit precode		
Proposed F	Response Res	sponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤				precod	er setting" to	clarify th	ne purpose of these con	trol register bits	5.
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.					Suggested	Remedy				
P802.3 Hence Howev	Sch D2.1 and D2.2 or t it is not within the sco rer, the change sugges ise is a substantive ch	y to the substantive cha he unsatisfied negative pe of the recirculation b sted has identified an er ange which fixes the cro	comments from allot. ror in the draft,	m earlier ballots. and the proposed	t	2. In Ta "1.231 3. In Ta setting 4. Dele 5. Cha	able 45-155e 3.12:11". able 45-155e '. te 45.2.1.19 nge page 41	, change , change 5.2. line 39 te	he row "1.2313.11". the first column of the i the Name of 1.2313.10 o 45 to the following:	:9 to "Test moo	
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.195.3	P 39	L 50	# 1					nsmit precoder setting (1
Wienckows	ski, Natalie	General Motor		# []	EZ	defined	in 149.3.2.2	.20. Duri	10:9 control the precode ing normal operation, th d from the link partner,	e precoder is s	et according to the
The Pr	••	ext were modified in D2	.2, but there is	still a reference in D		Proposed I PROP	Response DSED REJE		sponse Status W		
control	In normal operation, register bits 1.2309.1 7: Also, delete PICS I	this value shall mirror tl 0:9. MM227 as the "shall" ha sponse Status W			IA	have a trouble error ir	ccess to the shooting. W	remote F hen the I er setting	the transmitted precod PHY, because link doesi ink doesn't come up, it is are controlled. Requi ggability.	n't come up, yo could, for exam	u may need it for ple, be because of an
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.								the ability to locally con transmit sequences in t		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.195.3	P 39	L 51	# 4		control	the precode	r is need	ed for any more extensi	ve debug. Strip	ping out this control
Tu, Mike <i>Comment T</i> Contro	<i>Type</i> T Co I register bits 1.2309.1	Broadcom omment Status D 0:9 do not exist.			EZ	serves	no usetul pu	rpose, hi	des functionality, and re	auces aebug c	ontroi for interoperabilit
<i>Suggestedi</i> Delete	<i>Remedy</i> the last sentence of th	nis paragraph.									
Proposed F PROP	Response Res OSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W									

Pa **41** Li **17**

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.196.4	P 41	L 51	# 6	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	198	P 42	L 36	# 8	
Tu, Mike		Broadcom			Tu, Mike			Broadcom			
Comment	<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ment Status D			Z Comment	t <i>Туре</i> Т	Comme	nt Status D			EZ
	ansmit jitter tests are spector both, or simply refer to a		2.3.1 and 149.5.2	2.3.2. Recommend to	Туроз	s in Table 45-15	55g. 1.2314 sh	nould be 1.2315 c	on the first colum	nn.	
		149.5.2.5.				dRemedy					
Suggested	n 1. Change "149.5.2.3.1"	to "1/0 5 2 3"			Chan	ge the first colu	mn of Table 4	5-155g from "1.2	314.xx:yy" to "1.	.2315.xx:yy".	
Option	2.3.2 for more information	.3.1 for more informa	ation." to "See 1	49.5.2.3.1 and	•	<i>Response</i> POSED ACCEF	,	e Status W			
Proposed PROP	Response Res	onse Status W CIPLE.			C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	199.1	P 42	L 49	# 9	
Chana	je "149.5.2.3.1" to "149.5.	0.0"			Tu, Mike		-	Broadcom			
					Comment	51		<i>nt Status</i> D h with the name o	of rogistor bits		EZ
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.197	P 42	L 4	# <u>7</u>			e should mate		or register bits.		
Tu, Mike		Broadcom				dRemedy	5 2 1 100 1 M	ultiGBASE-T1 us	er defined data	(1 2316 15:0)"	
nomer	/ultiGBASE-T1", instead nclature MultiGBASE-T1 i	s used to describe s	pecifications the		Proposed	Response POSED ACCEF	Respons	e Status W		(1.2010.10.0) .	
2.5GB Suggestea	ASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, ar	IG TUGBASE-TT PH	YS.		C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	200 1	P 43	L 25	# 10	
	ir 42, line 3:				Tu, Mike			Broadcom	- 20		
Chang	e from: " at the slicer ir			E-T1 set."	Comment	Type E	Comme	nt Status D			EZ
То: "	. at the slicer input for the	e MultiGBASE-T1 PN	/As."					h with the name	of register bits.		
Chang	le 62, Clause 78.5, line 18 le all occurrences of " th BASE-T1 PHY".		BASE-T1 set	." to " the	Chan	<i>dRemedy</i> ge line 25 to: "4 17.15:0)".	5.2.1.200.1 N	lultiGBASE-T1 lir	nk partner user o	defined data	
Proposed PROP	Response Res	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤			Proposed	Response	,	e Status W			
and D: of the In add that sh that cla	omment does not apply to 2.2 or the unsatisfied neg recirculation ballot. In add ition, the nomenclature do northand is convenient for ause, the global definition tent with that usage.	ative comments fron dition, this proposal o efined locally in claus clause 149 specific	n Ď2.0. Hence i does not fix an e se 149 doesn't a ations which app	t is not within the scop rror in the draft. apply to clause 45, whi bly to all three PHYs in	3						

Pa **43** Li **25**

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 104	SC 104.4.6.3	P 68	L 52	# <u>1</u> 6	C/ 149 SC	149.1.3	P 80	L 11	# <u>1</u> 2	
den Beste	n, Gerrit	NXP Semicon	ductors		Tu, Mike		Broadcom			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		OOS_Reject	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D			
		ewhat ambiguously defined a			The EEE ca	pability ad [,]	vertisation is described in 149.	4.2.4.5.		
		ertain high-pass filters. The ta width, the measurement with			SuggestedReme	dy				
		measurement around 10MHz			Change the	reference	from 149.3.2.2.22 to 149.4.2.4	.5.		

constraint on the PoDL ripple beyond 10MHz. I've understood that the assumption is that there will no be significant ripple beyond 10MHz, but unfortunately the specification does not constrain that. A ripple at higher frequencies is very undesirable, so a note that PoDL circuitry shall not produce any significant ripple beyond 10MHz seems useful.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a note to this paragraph of the PoDL clause: The induced voltage ripple at the MDI of PoDL circuits beyond 10MHz shall be negligible to avoid degradation of signal reception.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ch D2.1 and D2.2 or the unsatisfied negative comments from D2.0. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. In addition, this proposal does not fix an error in the draft.

The Suggested Remedy does not provide a technically complete solution. Notes are informative only and cannot state normative requirements. Additionally" "negligible voltage ripple" cannot be a normative requirement as it provides no testable metric for voltage ripple.

Commenter may wish to resubmit this comment at Standars Association ballot.

The commenter may also wish to submit a Maintenance request for Clause 104 to add similar requirements for ripple voltage at other communication rates.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		
	or the unsatisfied i	ntive changes between IEEE negative comments from earlier ballots. culation ballot.

However, the change suggested has identified an error in the draft, and the proposed response is a substantive change which fixes the cross reference to point to the correct subclause.

C/ 149	SC	149.1.3	P 80	L 25	#	13
Tu, Mike			Broadcom			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D			EZ
			11 11 440 A 1440 O			

PMA functionality is described in 149.4, not 149.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference from 149.2 to 149.4.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ch D2.1 and D2.2 or the unsatisfied negative comments from earlier ballots. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, the change suggested has identified an error in the draft, and the proposed response is a substantive change which fixes the cross reference to point to the correct subclause

Pa **80** Li **25** ΕZ

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

	SC 149.1.3.3	P 81	L 30	# <u>1</u> 4		C/ 149	SC 14	9.3.2.2		P 94	L 40	# 22	
Гu, Mike		Broadcom				McClellan,	Brett			Marvell Sem	iconductor		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D			ΕZ	Comment	Туре Е		Comment	Status D			E
EEE ca	apability is embedd	led in Infofield octet 10 bit 6	j.			gramm	nar						
Suggested	Remedy					Suggested	IRemedy						
Chang	e "(Octet 9 bit 7)" to	o "(Octet 10 bit 6)"				change	e 'encoder	r' to 'enc	oders'				
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				Proposed I PROP	Response OSED AC		Response S	Status W			
P802.3	Sch D2.1 and D2.2	pply to the substantive cha or the unsatisfied negative scope of the recirculation b	comments from			P802.3	3ch D2.1 a	and D2.2	2 or the unsa		nanges between ve comments fror ballot.		
respon	ver, the change sug use is a substantive nanged in D2.1.	gested has identified an en change which fixes the ref	or in the draft, a erence to the EE	nd the proposed EE capability bit w	hich	respor	nse is a no	on-subst		al change wh	error in the draft ich improves clar	rity.	sed
C/ 149	SC 149.3.2.1	P 93	L 47	# 11		C/ 149	SC 149	9.3.2.2		P 94	L 48	# 23	
				"		McClellan,			_	Marvell Sem	liconductor		
Гu, Mike Comment ⁻	Τνρε Τ	Broadcom Comment Status D			EZ	Comment	Туре Е		Comment	Status D			
	51	gister bit is 3.2322.15, not 1	2309 15			typo							
	-		.2000.10.			Suggested	•						
Suggested		ne 47, change from "1.2309	15" to "3 2322 ·	15"		change	e "RS-FE"	to "RS-	-FEC"				
	-	-	.15 10 5.2522.1	15.		Proposed I	Response		Response S	Status W			
Proposed I	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				PROP	OSED AC	CEPT.					
PROP	USED ACCEPT.					This co	omment d	oes not	apply to the	substantive cl	nanges between	IEEE	
P802.3	Sch D2.1 and D2.2	pply to the substantive cha or the unsatisfied negative scope of the recirculation ba	comments from			P802.3	3ch D2.1 a	and D2.2	2 or the unsa		e comments fror		
Tience											error in the draft		sed
		gested has identified an en change which fixes the ref				respor	nse is a no	on-subst	antive editori	al change wh	ich improves clar	rity.	

Pa **94** Li **48**

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

C/ 149	SC 149.3.2.2.3	P 96	L 17	# <u>1</u> 9		C/ 149	SC 149.5.2.	2 P	163	L 47	# 17
McClellan	, Brett	Marvell Semi	conductor			den Beste	n, Gerrit	NXP	Semicon	ductors	
Comment	Type E Comm	ent Status D			EZ	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	S D		OOS_Reject
	oded should be tx_coded oded should be rx_coded					seque	nce in combinat		signal that	t is injected from	the outside to account
Suggeste	dRemedy										I that this method was ying linearity that could
	ge occurences of "Tx_code ge occurences of "Rx_code					be bor	rrowed from othe	er specs. This resulted happens to refer to a	ed into a n	nethod borrowed	from a unidirectional
,	Response Respor POSED ACCEPT.	ase Status W				metho extend	d does not acco ds the signal ran	ount for the full-duple ge on the MDI. Whe	x behavio n linearity	r. The received s is only measure	ed when the TX is
C/ 149	SC 149.3.2.2.18	P 104	L 45	# 20			o address the pr		at the sa	ime time, such a	a test is not adequate
McClellan	, Brett	Marvell Semi	conductor			Suggested	Remedy				
Comment	Type E Comm	ent Status D			EZ	Sugge	est to use a simi	ar linearity test meth	od as use	ed for 100BASE-	T1 and 1000BASE-T1,
A and	B are missing subscript 'n	that was added in	149.3.2.2.19					al sinewave superpos			
Suggeste	dRemedy							inearity is tested ove ommunication. Alterr			
	je "A" to "A_n" je "B" to "B_n" with _n indi	cating a subscript				skippe data tr	d, because the	imposed linearity red	quirements	s of the transceiv	ver to ensure reliable nal SERDES-borrowed'
Proposed	Response Respon	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤				test.	_				
PROF	POSED ACCEPT.					Proposed	•	Response Status	W		
C/ 149	SC 149.5.1	P 161	L 46	# 3		PROP	POSED REJECT				
Tu, Mike		Broadcom						ot apply to the subst			
Comment	Type T Comm	ent Status D			EZ			sfied negative comn lot. In addition, this p			is not within the scope
	ter bits 1.2309.10:9 do not		2313 10.0		LZ	or the		iot. In addition, this p	noposal u		ior in the drait.
Ũ			.2010.10.9.						a specific	change the com	menter would like to
Suggeste	•					see m	ade to the draft.				
	ge from: " by the value se by the value set in registe					Comm	nenter may wish	to resubmit this com	nment at S	Standards Assoc	iation ballot.
Proposed	Response Respon	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤									
PROF	POSED ACCEPT.										

Pa **163** Li **47**

D2.2 Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Autor

7 149 SC 149.5.2.4	4 <i>P</i> 165	L 21	# 18		C/ 149	SC 149.7.1.4	4 P 172	L 36	# 2
en Besten, Gerrit	NXP Semico		<i>π</i> 10		DiBiaso, Eri		TE Con		π
Comment Type E	Comment Status D maller than the other charact			EZ	Comment Ty The cou Frequer	/pe T pling attenuati icy. Fmax is d	Comment Status D on equation (149-24) ret	ferences Fmax (line ere S equals 1/4, 1/2	Coupling attenuation 26 & 41) as its maximum 2, or 1 coressponding to 2-45 on page 173 plots
Fix the size of the L	D				the coup	oling attenuation	on showing a maximum		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W					to the crosstal	k limits in 149.7.2.1 & 1 1 4000MHz in the coupli		
P802.3ch D2.1 and D2	ot apply to the substantive ch 2.2 or the unsatisfied negative he scope of the recirculation	e comments fror			Frequer	icy limits of eq	uation (149-24) would th	en be:	
However, the change	suggested has identified an e stantive editorial change whic	error in the draft			750 <= 1	<= 750 MHz f <= 4000 MHz is the frequence	; cy in MHz; 30 <= f <= 4	000	
7 149 SC 149.7.1. IcClellan, Brett	3.2 P 171 Marvell Semi	L 8	# 21		Figure 1 5500MH		also be modified to shov	v a max Frequency	of 4000MHz instead of
Comment Type E	Comment Status D and N=0 are not aligned to the		L curves.	ΕZ	Proposed R PROPO		Response Status V	V	
SuggestedRemedy In Figure 149–54 mov Proposed Response	e N=1 and N=0 to be aligned Response Status W	to the associate	ed RL curves.		P802.3c	h D2.1 and D2	ot apply to the substantiv 2.2 or the unsatisfied nea he scope of the recircula	gative comments fr	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	,				respons		suggested has identified tive change which fixes a oh.		
							naximum frequency of th rs between the link segr		ion consistent with that o

P172 L37 & P172 L41, Change "Fmax" to "4000"

P173 L3, Change Figure 149-45 to have a max frequency of 4000 MHz instead of 5500 MHz.

Pa **172** Li **36**