C/FM SC FM	P1	L 29	# R1-6	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.194.5	5 P <b>41</b>	L27	# R1-3	
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Moto	Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Company							
Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3cm was approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board on 30 JAN 2020.					Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Correct the implementation of comment i-56 to add text to 45.2.1.195.1.				
SuggestedRemedy Change 802.3cm-20 Proposed Response	xx to 802.3cm-2020. Also mak Response Status <b>O</b>	e this change on	P13 L13.	stating value value	ve the text accident g, "The values of L = of L = 4 is not define is received from the	ally added to 45.2.1.194.5 = 2 and L = 4 are not defir ed for 5GBASE-T1 PHYs. link partner, but if the un- th is out of scope of this s	ed for 2.5GBASI Bits 1.2312.12:1 defined values a	E-T1 PHYs, and the I1 will indicate whateve re received, the	
C/FM SC FM	<i>P</i> 1	L <b>29</b>	# R1-5		cal PHY."		tanualu anu may	The supported by	
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Moto	Proposed	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>					
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X as approved by the IEEE-SA St			C/ <b>45</b> Wienckow	SC <b>45.2.1.195</b> vski, Natalie	P <b>42</b> General Mote	L <b>8</b> ors Company	# <u>R1-4</u>	
Change 802.3cq-20xx to 802.3cq-2020. Also make this change on P13 L8.   Proposed Response Response Status   O					Comment Type   T   Comment Status   X     Comment i-56 metioned that "Reserved" should be changed to "undefined" in Table 45- 155d but the "Proposed Change" neglected to include this.				
C/ 0 SC 0 Berger, Catherine	<i>P</i> Editorial Coor	L	# <mark>R1-7</mark>	<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "Reserved" to "undefined" for the values 01 and 10 in the description of bits 1.2312.12:11 in Table 45-155d.					
Comment Type <b>G</b> This draft meets all e	Comment Status X editorial requirements.			Proposed	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.195 P802.3ch D3.1

C/ 149	SC 149.3.7.2.2	P119	L <b>54</b>	# R1-8	C/ 149	SC 149.9.1
Zimmerman, George		ADI, APL Gro	oup, Aquantia, E	MW, Cisco, CommScop	Wienckow	ski, Natalie
Comment	Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Type E

## (I realize that this comment may be out of scope)

There seems to be a problem in the EEE transmit state diagram with regards to the transition from SEND\_SLEEP to SEND\_ALERT. tx\_lpi\_req is generated by the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state machine at any symbol boundary when it receives the LPI request. In Figure 149-20, tx\_lpi\_req is further qualified with rs\_fec\_frame\_done in the EEE transmit state machine so that transition from TX\_NORMAL to SEND\_SLEEP occurs on any RS-FEC frame boundary. During the 8 RS-FEC frames that the EEE transmit state machine stays in the SEND\_SLEEP state, tx\_lpi\_req could go false, While this tx\_lpi\_req transition is aligned to tx\_alert\_start\_next, the EEE transmit state machine may have only completed four RS-FEC frames of SEND\_SLEEP, so the transition to SEND\_ALERT will be delayed for an additional four RS-FEC frames. This delay would cause SEND\_ALERT to transmit ALERT outside of the specified ALERT window.

149.3.2.2.22 that states "PMA transmits the sleep signals starting at the beginning of the next superframe", but this doesn't address the problem as the size of the superframe changes based on the interleave, and as shown in the example above even though the SEND\_SLEEP did start on a 4 RS-FEC superframe boundary, ALERT was still transmitted incorrectly.

To prevent this potential misalignment, the transition to SEND\_SLEEP needs to be aligned to the start of ALERT, which according to 149.3.6.1 "shall start at the beginning of any eight PHY frame boundary starting at the beginning of the frame following a refresh PHY frame". Aligning the transition to SEND\_SLEEP would ensures that the lpi\_sleep\_timer completes and the EEE state machine transitions to SEND\_ALERT that the ALERT transmission is properly aligned.

## SuggestedRemedy

Add the following variable to 149.3.7.2.2, in alphanumeric order: (page 119 line 54)

## tx\_sleep\_start\_next

A Boolean value. This variable is set TRUE during the seventh RS-FEC frame in every group of eight RS-FEC frames, where the group of eight RS-FEC frames start with the RS-FEC frame after refresh.

In Figure 149-20 (page 129 line 9)

Change the transition from TX\_NORMAL to SEND\_SLEEP to the following: tx\_lpi\_req \* rs\_fec\_frame\_done \* tx\_sleep\_start\_next

Proposed Response Response Status O

atalie General Motors Company Comment Type Е Comment Status X The editor's note regarding the maintenance task force is no longer needed. P802.3cr has started WG ballot and the text currently in this section does not need any additional changes. SuggestedRemedy Delete Editor's Note: The equivalent text in other clauses of IEEE Std 802.3 is under consideration for revision by the maintenance task force. This clause should be revised to align with the output of that effort. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 149B SC 149B.3.2 P205 L10 # R1-2 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Company Comment Type E Comment Status X Remove the word "ensure" added by comment i-76. The reason for the recommendation is not required. SuggestedRemedy Change: It is recommended that this status is set for a minimum of 100 milliseconds to ensure reception by the link partner management entity. To: It is recommended that this status is set for a minimum of 100 milliseconds. This same change should also be made on P205 L20 (149B.3.3), P205 L29 (149B.3.4), and P205 L40 (149B.3.5). Proposed Response Response Status O

P179

L8

# R1-1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 149B SC 149B.3.2 Page 2 of 2 2/16/2020 11:59:27 AM