

Insertion Loss Limit Analysis


Eric DiBiaso (TE Connectivity),

May 30, 2018

Current Status of Insertion Loss Limit

- Motion to modify currently adopted IL limit failed for multiple reasons
 - PHY developers are not concerned about more margin at this time
 - 26 AWG (0.13mm²) cable is preferred for all applications up to 15m
 - Concerns with offset value in IL limit equation that is not dependent on frequency
- New Frequency Range should be
 - 5MHz to 3GHz
- Violations of the current IL limit still occur at lower frequencies

Cable Modeling Comparison (Diff. Pair)



Cable A

$C1 = -2.5898e-5$
 $C2 = -6.7924e-11$
 $Vp = 2.16e8$

Cable B

$C1 = -1.97042e-5$
 $C2 = -2.31881e-10$
 $Vp = 2.16e8$

26AWG / 23C


$C1 = -2.4e-5$
 $C2 = -9.4e-11$
 $Vp = 2.16e8$

26AWG / 105C

$C1 = -2.92e-5$
 $C2 = -9.8e-11$
 $Vp = 2.16e8$

***** http://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/may18/mueller_3ch_01_0518.pdf

3 Segments, 11-15m, Random – 500 iterations



Cable Parameters (26AWG / 105C)

C1 = $-2.92e-5$

C2 = $-9.8e-11$


Vp = $2.16e8$

Cable Imp: 100Ω mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

187 iterations with IL Violations

S21/S12 errors between
3.75 MHz & 911 MHz
(Max error: 0.3dB)

3 Segments, 11-15m, Random – 500 iterations



Cable Parameters (26AWG / 105C)

C1 = -2.92e-5

C2 = -9.8e-11


Vp = 2.16e8

Cable Imp: 100Ω mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

0 IL Violations

Mueller IL Limit
Recommendation

3 Segments, 11-15m, Random – 500 iterations



Cable Parameters (26AWG / 105C)

$C1 = -2.92e-5$

$C2 = -9.8e-11$


$Vp = 2.16e8$

Cable Imp: 100Ω mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

23 iterations with IL Violations

S21/S12 errors between
3.75 MHz & 7.5 MHz
(Max error: 0.016dB)

3 Segments, 11-15m, Random – 500 iterations



Cable Parameters (26AWG / 105C)

C1 = -2.92e-5

C2 = -9.8e-11

Vp = 2.16e8

Cable Imp: 100Ω mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

0 IL Violations

Conclusions

- New IL Limits were investigated using 26AWG (0.13mm^2) cables @ 105C
- Mueller IL Limit proposed in March meeting had 0 violations after 500 iterations
- Another IL Limit was also proposed which had 0 violations and removed the 0.5 offset term from the equation

Thank You!!!