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## Motivation - Channel Modeling \& Analysis

- Make improvements to existing simulations based on input from last meeting
- Evaluate new 95\% and 5\% topologies based on OEM input
- Investigate 24AWG cables for longer links (11m to 15 m )
- Propose a new IL Limit


## Specific Topologies to Analyze

- Implementation may contain 0,1 , or 2 in-line connections
- Cable segments are 0.2 m to 11.0 m in total length
- May include sealed connectors



## Specific Topologies to Analyze

- Implementation contains 2 in-line connections
- Cable segments are 11 m to 15 m in total length
- May include sealed connectors

Shielded balanced pair


* wienckowski_3ch_01_032118


## Channel Model



## Cable Modeling Parameters (Differential Pair)

10m Cable Only Insertion Loss (Sdd21)


Cable A
C1 = -2.5898e-5
C2 $=-6.7924 \mathrm{e}-11$
$V p=2.16 e 8$

Cable B
C1 $=-1.97042 \mathrm{e}-5$
$C 2=-2.31881 e-10$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$

Both cables
are 26AWG,
but vary in
construction

## Cable Comparison - 24AWG vs 26AWG



Cable A (11m)
C1 $=-2.5898 e-5$
$C 2=-6.7924 e-11$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$

Cable B (11m)
C1 $=-1.97042 e-5$
C2 $=-2.31881 e-10$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$

Cable C (15m)
C1 $=-1.81334 e-5$
$C 2=-1.32573 e-10$
$V p=2.16 e 8$

## Connector Modeling Parameters (Diff. Pair)



## Adopted RL Limits

## Group 10G: Return Loss Limit Line (Adjusted with IL)



## Cable Impedance - Gaussian Distribution



## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable A Parameters
C1 $=-2.50898 \mathrm{e}-5$
$C 2=-6.79241 e-11$
$V p=2.16 e 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD (Gaussian Dist.)

2 RL Violations
S11/S22 > 5.2 GHz

Connector Tolerance Profile \#1

## Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable A Parameters
C1 $=-2.50898 \mathrm{e}-5$
C2 $=-6.79241 e-11$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD (Gaussian Dist.)

0 RL Violations

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#2
## Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable A Parameters
C1 $=-2.50898 \mathrm{e}-5$
C2 $=-6.79241 e-11$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD (Gaussian Dist.)

0 RL Violations

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#3Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable A Parameters
C1 $=-2.50898 \mathrm{e}-5$
C2 $=-6.79241 e-11$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

0 IL Violations

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#1
## Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable B Parameters
C1 $=-1.97042 \mathrm{e}-5$
$C 2=-2.31881 e-10$
$V p=2.16 e 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

1 RL Violations
S11/S22 > 5 GHz
Greater than 20 dB attenuation at 3 GHz so black limit line violation

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#1
## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable B Parameters
C1 $=-1.97042 \mathrm{e}-5$
$C 2=-2.31881 e-10$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD (Gaussian Dist.)

0 RL Violations

Connector Tolerance Profile \#2

Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable B Parameters
C1 $=-1.97042 \mathrm{e}-5$
$C 2=-2.31881 e-10$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD (Gaussian Dist.)

0 RL Violations

Connector Tolerance Profile \#3

Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 1 - Random - 500 iterations

(Max. 3 Segments, 11m)


Cable B Parameters
C1 $=-1.97042 \mathrm{e}-5$
C2 $=-2.31881 \mathrm{e}-10$
$V p=2.16 e 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

0 IL Violations

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#1
## Topology Set 1

## Topology Set 2 - Random - 500 iterations

(3 Segments, $11 \mathrm{~m}-15 \mathrm{~m}$ )


Topology Set 2

## Topology Set 2 - Random - 500 iterations

(3 Segments, $11 \mathrm{~m}-15 \mathrm{~m}$ )


Cable C Parameters (24AWG)
C1 $=-1.81334 e-5$
$C 2=-1.32573 e-10$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD (Gaussian Dist.)

0 RL Violations

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#2
## Topology Set 2

## Topology Set 2 - Random - 500 iterations

(3 Segments, $11 \mathrm{~m}-15 \mathrm{~m}$ )


Cable C Parameters (24AWG)
C1 $=-1.81334 e-5$
$C 2=-1.32573 e-10$
$V p=2.16 e 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)
0 RL Violations

## Connector Tolerance

 Profile \#3
## Topology Set 2 - Random - 500 iterations

(3 Segments, 11m-15m)


Cable C Parameters (24AWG)
C1 $=-1.81334 e-5$
C2 $=-1.32573 e-10$
$\mathrm{Vp}=2.16 \mathrm{e} 8$
Cable Imp: $100 \Omega$ mean 1.5 SD
(Gaussian Dist.)

0 IL Violations

Connector Tolerance Profile \#1

Topology Set 2

## How much additional Insertion Loss for $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ?



| Frequency <br> $[\mathrm{MHz}]$ | Mueller <br> Temp. <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.65 |
| 200 | 0.85 |
| 500 | 2.5 |
| 1000 | 2.5 |
| 1500 | 3.15 |
| 2000 | 3.75 |
| 2500 | 4.1 |
| 3000 | 4.6 |
| 3500 | 5 |
| 4000 | 5.45 |
| 4500 | 5.9 |
| 5000 | 6.3 |
| 5500 | 7.2 |

olations at low Frequencies

* mueller_3ch_01_0318.pdf (recommend to add 0.5 dB )


## Insertion Loss Limit - Temperature Compensation



| Frequency <br> $[\mathrm{MHz}]$ | Mueller <br> Temp. <br> Difference | New Limit <br> Temp. <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.65 | 0.77 |
| 200 | 0.85 | 1.10 |
| 500 | 2.5 | 1.78 |
| 1000 | 2.5 | 2.57 |
| 1500 | 3.15 | 3.20 |
| 2000 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| 2500 | 4.1 | 4.25 |
| 3000 | 4.6 | 4.71 |
| 3500 | 5 | 5.14 |
| 4000 | 5.45 | 5.54 |
| 4500 | 5.9 | 5.93 |
| 5000 | 6.3 | 6.30 |
| 5500 | 7.2 | 6.66 |

## Insertion Loss Limits



## Conclusions

- Both $95 \%$ and $5 \%$ Topologies were investigated
- 26AWG was used for $95 \%$ use cases (Topology \#1)
- 24AWG was used for 5\% use cases (Topology \#2)
- 3 Different connector tolerance profiles were simulated
- Profile \#1 exhibited RL violations at upper frequencies
- Profiles \#2 \& \#3 had 0 RL violations for both topologies
- Gaussian Distribution was used for generating the cable segment impedance
- Eliminated the RL violations at low frequencies previously seen with a uniform distribution
- New IL Limit is proposed based on these simulations


## Motion \#

- Move to adopt a new Insertion Loss Limit given by the equation:

$$
I L_{d B}(f) \leq 0.0031 * f+0.30 * \sqrt{ } f+1.5
$$

as shown by the "gray curve" on page 25 of DiBiaso_3ch_01_0518.pfd for all 3 speeds for frequencies from 5 MHz to 5.5 GHz .

- M : Eric DiBiaso
- S:
- (Technical >= 75\%)
- $Y$ : $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A}$ :
- Motion Passes/Fails

Thank You!!!

