

106Gbps LR COM Investigation (V)

Mike Li, Hsinho Wu, Masashi Shimanouchi

li_3ck_01_0719
For IEEE 802.3ck

July, 2019

Intel

Recap of the Basics Assumptions Used In Previous Investigations

Past Investigations

- Investigation (I): http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/18_09/li_3ck_02_0918.pdf
- Investigation (II): http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/18_11/li_3ck_02a_1118.pdf
- Investigation (III): http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/19_01/li_3ck_01_0119.pdf
- Investigation (VI): http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/19_05/li_3ck_01_0519.pdf

Observations

- Most of 802.3ck LR receivers will be ADC-based designs containing long FFE and short DFE
- Straw polls and trends indicated that 802.3ck is to adapt DFE-only baseline RX with increased bmax1 range
- COM evaluations on available 802.3ck channels indicated 20~24 post-taps are needed for adequate link performance
- Floating DFE taps is capable and efficient in improving link performance
- New die termination model proposed: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun12_19/healey_3ck_adhoc_01_061219.pdf
[1]

Investigation in this study

- Investigate reference RX architectures with various floating tap configurations
- Investigate die termination schemes
- Analysis of 2 critical channels and their impact on ref RX performance

106Gbps LR COM with Floating Tap DFEs and Termination Schemes

- TX
 - Scale: TX rise/fall time (T_r), jitter (A_{DD} , σ_{RJ})
 - TX EQ
 - 3 pre-taps + 1 post-tap
 - Same RLM, SNR_{TX}
- RX
 - RX input referred noise (η_{a0}): $8.2e-9$ V²/GHz
 - Equalization
 - CTLE
 - Scale f_z , f_{p1} , f_{p2}
 - $f_{p2} = 53.125$ GHz (= baud rate)*
 - f_{HP_PZ} : 0.7 GHz (= $f_b / 80$)
 - DFE Configuration
 - 12~24 fixed post-taps plus 1/2/3 banks of 4 grouped floating taps to up 40 UI or 80 UI
 - DFE tap coef.: Tap 1 ≤ 0.85 , others ≤ 0.3
- Package/TX/RX Capacitance and Termination
 - Length: 31/29mm (TX/RX) T-line + 1.8mm PTH
 - T-line/PTH parameters: $a1=0.0009909$, $a2=0.0002772$, $\tau=6.14e-3$ ns/mm, $Z_{c_T-line}=87.5\Omega$, $Z_{c_PTH}=92.5\Omega$
 - Case 1: [1]
 - $C_d = 120$ fF, $L_s=120$ pH, $C_b=30$ fF
 - $C_p = 87$ fF
 - Case 2
 - $C_d = 90$ fF
 - $C_p = 87$ fF
 - R_d : 50 Ohms

802.3ck LR COM Configuration

Table 93A-1 parameters			
Parameter	Setting	Units	Information
f_b	53.125	Gbd	
f_min	0.05	GHz	
Delta_f	0.01	GHz	
C_d	[1.2e-4 1.2e-4]	nF	[TX RX]
L_s	[0.12, 0.12]	nH	[TX RX]
C_b	[0.3e-4 0.3e-4]	nF	[TX RX]
z_p select	[1 2]		[test cases to run]
z_p (TX)	[12 31; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]
z_p (NEXT)	[12 29; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]
z_p (FEXT)	[12 31; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]
z_p (RX)	[12 29; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]
C_p	[0.87e-4 0.87e-4]	nF	[TX RX]
R_0	50	Ohm	
R_d	[50 50]	Ohm	[TX RX]
A_v	0.413	V	vp/vf=.694
A_fe	0.413	V	vp/vf=.694
A_ne	0.6081425	V	
L	4		
M	32		
filter and Eq			
f_r	0.75	*fb	
c(0)	0.5		min
c(-1)	[-0.3:0.02:0]		[min:step:max]
c(-2)	[0:0.02:0.12]		[min:step:max]
c(-3)	[-0.06:0.02:0]		[min:step:max]
c(1)	[-0.2:0.05:0]		[min:step:max]
N_b	12	UI	
b_max(1)	0.85		
b_max(2..N_b)	0.3		
g_DC	[-20:1:0]	dB	[min:step:max]
f_z	21.25	GHz	
f_p1	21.25	GHz	
f_p2	53.125	GHz	
g_DC_HP	[-6:1:0]		[min:step:max]
f_HP_PZ	0.6640625	GHz	

I/O control		
DIAGNOSTICS	0	logical
DISPLAY_WINDOW	0	logical
CSV_REPORT	1	logical
RESULT_DIR	\\results\100GEL_KR_{date}\	
SAVE_FIGURES	1	logical
Port Order	[1 3 2 4]	
RUNTAG	KR_eval_	
COM_CONTRIBUTION	0	logical
Operational		
COM Pass threshold	3	dB
ERL Pass threshold	10	dB
DER_0	1.00E-04	
T_r	6.16E-03	ns
FORCE_TR	1	logical
Include PCB	0	logical
TDR and ERL options		
TDR	1	logical
ERL	1	logical
ERL_ONLY	0	logical
TR_TDR	0.01	ns
N	3000	
beta_x	2.53E+09	
rho_x	0.25	
fixture delay time	0	s
TDR_W_TXPKG	0	
N_bx	24	UI
Receiver testing		
RX_CALIBRATION	0	logical
Sigma BBN step	5.00E-03	V
Noise, jitter		
sigma_RJ	0.01	UI
A_DD	0.02	UI
eta_0	8.20E-09	V^2/GHz
SNR_TX	33	dB
R_LM	0.95	

Table 93A-3 parameters		
Parameter	Setting	Units
package_tl_gamma0_a1_a2	[0 0.0009909 0.0002772]	
package_tl_tau	6.141E-03	ns/mm
package_z_c	[87.5 87.5 ; 92.5 92.5]	Ohm

Table 92-12 parameters 5.2dB at 26.56GHz		
Parameter	Setting	
board_tl_gamma0_a1_a2	[0 0.000599 0.0001022]	1.286 dB/in or 0.0506 dB/mm at 100 ohms
board_tl_tau	6.200E-03	ns/mm
board_z_c	90	Ohm
z_bp (TX)	102.7	mm
z_bp (NEXT)	102.7	mm
z_bp (FEXT)	102.7	mm
z_bp (RX)	102.7	mm

Floating Tap Control		
N_bg	3	0 1 2 or 3 groups
N_bf	4	taps per group
N_f	40	UI span for floating taps
bmaxg	0.1	max DFE value for floating taps

COM v2.7 is used in this study.

802.3Ck Supported Channels

CH8#	Description	Reference Document	Notes
1	Cable_BKP_28dB\Cable_BKP_28dB_0p575m	heck_3ck_01_1118.pdf	
2	Cable_BKP_16dB\Cable_BKP_16dB_0p575m_more_isi	heck_3ck_01_1118.pdf	
3	CaBP_BGAVia_Opt2_28dB\CaBP_BGAVia_Opt2_28dB	mellitz_3ck_adhoc_02_081518.pdf	
4	tracy_3ck_03_0119_tradBP\Std_BP_12inch_Meg7	Tracy_3ck_01_0119	
5	tracy_3ck_02_0119_orthoBP\DPO_IL_12dB	Tracy_3ck_01_0119	
6	kareti_3ck_01_1118_ortho\OAch4 (new)	kareti_3ck_01a_1118.pdf	
7	kareti_3ck_01_1118_cabledBP\CAch3_b2	kareti_3ck_01a_1118.pdf	
8	kareti_3ck_01_1118_backplane_2\Bch2_b7p5_7	kareti_3ck_01a_1118.pdf	

All channel data are from IEEE 802.3ck Task Force Tools & Channels page: <http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/tools/index.html>

COM Analysis Results w/ CK LR Termination [1]

Ref RX Type	DFE (Fixed)	Float	Float Bank	Float Max	TX/RX Term	Pkg (TX/RX)	Channel							
							CH1	CH2	CH3	CH4	CH5	CH6	CH7	CH8
1	24	0	0	40	Cd=120fF, Ls=120pH, Cb=30fF	31/29	3.07	5.78	4.91	3.98	6.45	2.9	4.15	3.01
5	12	4	3	40		31/29	3.07	5.92	4.91	4	6.61	3.19	4.17	3.09
9	20	4	1	40		31/29	3.07	5.78	4.91	3.98	6.49	2.91	4.15	3.09
10	16	4	2	40		31/29	3.07	5.84	4.91	4	6.57	3.14	4.17	3.09
11	16	4	2	80		31/29	3.07	5.84	4.93	4.46	6.68	3.3	4.39	3.17
17	12	4	2	40		31/29	3.02	5.8	4.88	3.96	6.56	3.07	4.11	2.94

■ Initial Observations


- CH6 (OAch4) remains the toughest channel in the test
 - CH8 is slightly worse than CH6 for some ref RX Types
- Ref RX type 5, 10, 11 are able to pass 3dB COM threshold for all selected channels
- Ref RX type 17 can achieve 3dB COM except CH8

COM Analysis Results

Comparisons among Termination Schemes

Ref RX Type	DFE (Fixed)	Float	Float Bank	Float Max	TX/RX Term	Pkg (TX/RX)	Channel							
							CH1	CH2	CH3	CH4	CH5	CH6	CH7	CH8
1	24	0	0	40	Cd=90fF	31/29	3.06	5.87	4.91	4.06	6.34	2.9	4.12	3.02
5	12	4	3	40		31/29	3.09	5.95	4.91	4.06	6.45	3.17	4.12	3.01
9	20	4	1	40		31/29	3.06	5.87	4.91	4.06	6.37	2.95	4.12	3.02
10	16	4	2	40		31/29	3.06	5.87	4.91	4.06	6.45	3.12	4.12	3.01
11	16	4	2	80		31/29	3.06	5.87	4.93	4.5	6.45	3.31	4.34	3.14
17	12	4	2	40		31/29	3.01	5.85	4.9	4.01	6.43	3.07	4.08	2.9

Compared to CK LR Termination [1]: Mean Difference = 0.0dB, Std. = 0.11dB





Focused/Detailed Analysis on CH6 (OAch4) and CH8 (Bch2_b7p5_7)

Channel Characteristics Comparison between original and updated OAch4_t.s4p (CH6)


Channel Viewer: [3] FR: Sdd21

Channel Viewer: [2] SBR: Sdd21





Comparison among original, updated, and “spike removed at 70UI” OAch4_t.s4p (CH6) (cont.)

Channel Viewer: [2] SBR: Sdd21



Channel Viewer [7] SBR: Sdd21

Channel only



COM Analysis Results w/ OAch4_t.s4p (CH6) updated vs. “spike removed at ~70UI”

Ref RX Type	DFE (Fixed)	Float	Float Bank	Float Max	TX/RX Term	Pkg (TX/RX)	COM (dB)	COM (dB, w/ 70UI fix)
1	24	0	0	40	Cd=120fF, Ls=120pH, Cb=30fF	31/29	2.9	3.34
5	12	4	3	40		31/29	3.19	3.65
9	20	4	1	40		31/29	2.91	3.34
10	16	4	2	40		31/29	3.14	3.58
11	16	4	2	80		31/29	3.3	3.58
17	12	4	2	40		31/29	3.07	3.53


- OAch4_t has significant discontinuities at ~70UI (from the main cursor)
 - Remove the 70UI reflections yields ~0.43dB COM improvement and more flexible reference RX architecture and COM margins
 - Another channel (kareti_3ck_01a_1118.pdf) also has this type of discontinuities

Bch2_b7p5_7 (CH8) Detailed Analysis




Observations

- CH8 is shown to be one of the worst case channels among ref. RX candidates
- CH8 is also shown to have large reflection at >40UI




Channel Viewer: [4] FR: Sdd21



Channel Viewer: [3] SBR: Sdd21



CH8: Bch2_b7p5_7 Analysis (cont.)



Observations

- Though CH8 is shown to need 3 floating tap banks (within 40 taps) to achieve 3dB COM with Ref RX Type 5, the improvement by the 3rd bank is small.
- With large reflections at ~ 50 UI removed, Ref RX Type 17 passes 3dB COM with 0.3 dB margin

Summary and Conclusions

Ref RX Type	DFE (Fixed)	Float	Float Bank	Float Max	TX/RX Term	Pkg (TX/RX)
1	24	0	0	40	Cd=120fF, Ls=120pH, Cb=30fF	31/29
5	12	4	3	40		31/29
9	20	4	1	40		31/29
10	16	4	2	40		31/29
11	16	4	2	80		31/29
17	12	4	2	40		31/29

- Evaluated different CK LR ref RX types and 2 die termination models
 - Propose to adapt termination model [1] as it is more consistent with 802.3ck SerDes design
 - Ref RX with 3 banks of floating taps works but the improvement from the 3rd bank is insignificant compared with RX with 2 banks of floating taps, with the same 12 fixed DFE taps
- Analyzed in detail for the two most difficult channels (CH6 and CH8)
 - Found that these 2 channels have significant reflections at >40UI
 - It is desirable that those reflection be removed or minimized via improved channel design
 - Simpler ref RX, such as RX Type 17, can achieve 3dB COM with >0.3 dB margin for all 8 focused channels with significant reflections at > 40UI be reduced/removed
- Considering lower power, area, and latency, which are equally important for 802.3ck applications, Ref RX Type 17 (i.e., 12 fixed DFE, + 2 banks of 4-tap floating DFE) is the optimal choice to support the 802.3ck focused channels with > 0.3 dB COM margin
 - Given that channels supported do not have large reflections at > 40UI

Thank You !