Insertion Loss Terminology Comments 13, 14, 116

Matt Brown Huawei P802.3ck Editor-In-Chief

IEEE P802.3ck Task Force ad hoc 14 July 2021

Comments 13, 14, 116

CI 162 SC 162.B.1.	3.3 P 283	L 33	# 13	C/ 162 SC 1	62.11.5	P 181	L2	# 116
Brown, Matt	Huawei				02.11.5	/ 101	LZ	# 110
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
	the terminology for insertion k ubclause alone two terms are		on loss parameters is	Comment Type Follow the nom	E	Comment Status X e we chose last round.		
SuggestedRemedy				0				
Select and use commo provided.	on terminology throughout the	e draft. A summa	ary presentation will be	SuggestedRemedy Change Conve		s(f) to ILcd(f), in 4 plac	es	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respons	е	Response Status O		
C/ 162 SC 162.B.1.	3.3 P 283	L 37	# 14					
Brown, Matt	Huawei							
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X							
loss are inconsistent.	the variable names used to of In D2.1, the return loss varials he draft. A similar convention	les were update	d so that they were					
SuggestedRemedy								
Select and use comm	on variable names throughou	t the draft. A sur	nmary presentation will					

be provided. Proposed Response Response Status O

Return loss variables in D2.1

D2.0 comment #61 aligned the return loss variable terminology for the different modes. These have been implemented in D2.1.

A similar alignment would be beneficial for the insertion loss variables.

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2	.2	P 223	L2	# 61
Brown, Matt		н	uawei		0.
Comment Typ Align terr	-	Comment Stat other clauses.	tus A		RL terminology
SuggestedRe In Equati Return L	on 120F-1 an	d in the variable li	st that follo	ws, change varia	able name RL_dcm to
Response		Response Stat	us C		
ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL				
Por strau	noll #16 and	#17 there is con	conque to c		loss variable names to
		slide 9 of brown			loss variable names to
Change		variable names to	the form of	fontion 2 on olic	to 0 of
	ck_01a_0521.		o the form o	or option 2 on sild	16 9 01
Otrow pol	II #16 (Chicag	o Dulac)			
	#16 (Chicag				
A: Option B: Option C: Option D: RLxx v E: No cha Straw pol A: 3 B: 20	1 1 per slide 9 2 per slide 9 3 per slide 9 where xx is DI anges to retur II #16 6 C: 14 D: 12	ble names I supp of brown_3ck_01 of brown_3ck_01 of brown_3ck_01 0, DC, CD, CC as n loss variable na E: 2	a_0521 (re a_0521 (e. a_0521 (e. subscript	g., RLdd)	
Straw pol	#1/	-			

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/brown_3ck_01a_0521.pdf

120F/120G/162B return loss variable names (part 4) Comments 61, 62, 63, 65, 66

	(Candidate variable names		
Parameter	Option 1 (per comments)	Option 2 (type is lower case)	Option 3 (all upper case)	
differential RL	return_loss	RLdd	RLDD	
common-mode to common-mode RL	return_loss	RLcc	RLCC	
common-mode to differential RL	return_loss	RLdc	RLDC	
differential to common-mode RL	return_loss	RLcd	RLCD	

IEEE P802.3ck Task Force, May 2021

A: 1 B: 22 C: 3 D: 4 E: 1

9

Parameter and Variable name proposal

- Use consistent parameter names and variable names throughout 802.3ck.
- Adopt the variable formats based on return loss variable names used adopted for D2.1.
- Table below shows proposed parameter names and variable names.
 - ILcc is never referenced in 3ck, but is included in this table for completeness.
 - The name for ILdd might alternately be "differential insertion loss", "differential-mode insertion loss", or "differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss", but due to the broad, consistent, and long-term use of simply "insertion loss", this is the proposed name.

Parameter name	Variable name
Insertion loss	ILdd
Common-mode to common-mode insertion loss	ILcc
Common-mode to differential conversion loss	ILdc
Differential to common-mode conversion loss	ILcd

Examples of conversion loss terminology, part 1

differential to common-mode conversion loss	162.11 Table 162-17 162.11.5 163.10 Table 163-10 163.10.5	
---	--	--

common-mode to differential conversion loss	163.10.6
common-mode conversion insertion loss	162B.1.3.3
common-mode to differential-mode conversion insertion loss	162B.1.3.3

Examples of insertion loss and conversion loss variables, part 1

162.11.2 Cable assembly insertion loss

The measured insertion loss at 26.56 GHz of a cable assembly shall be less than or equal to 19.75 dB.

The measured insertion loss of a cable assembly shall be greater than or equal to the minimum cable assembly insertion loss given in Equation (162-17) and illustrated in Figure 162-6.

$$\begin{array}{c} Ldd_{\min}(f) \\ IL_{\min}(f) \ge \begin{cases} 0.418 \sqrt{f} + 0.177f + 0.0059f^2 & 0.05 \le f < 26.56 \\ 1.222 \sqrt{f} + 0.138f + 0.0015f^2 & 26.56 \le f \le 40 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (162-17) \\ \end{array}$$

where ILdd_{min}(f)

f

ILmin(f) is the minimum cable assembly insertion loss in dB is the frequency in GHz

$$\frac{\text{ILcd}(f) - \text{ILdd}(f)}{\text{Conversion}_{\text{loss}}(f) - \text{IL}(f) \ge \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 10 & 0.05 \le f < 12.89 \\ 14 - 0.3108f & 12.89 \le f \le 40 \end{array} \right\}$$
(162-19)

where IL.cd(f) Conversion loss(f) IL(f) ILdd(f) f

is the cable assembly differential to common-mode conversion loss at frequency f in dB is the cable assembly insertion loss at frequency f in dB is the frequency in GHz

163.10.2 Channel insertion loss (recommended)

The maximum recommended insertion loss of the channel is given by Equation (163-4).

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ILdd(f)} \\ & \text{IL}(f) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0.693 + 2.161 \sqrt{f} + 0.607f & 0.01 \leq f \leq 26.5625 \\ -19.12 + 1.773f & 26.5625 < f \leq 40 \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{163-4}$$

where ILdd(f)

is the insertion loss in dB at frequency fis the frequency in GHz

163,10.5 Channel differential to common-mode conversion loss

The difference between the TP0 to TP5 channel differential to common-mode conversion loss and the TP0 to TP5 channel insertion loss shall meet Equation (163-6) as illustrated in Figure 163-8.

ILcd(f)-ILdd(f) $Conversion_loss(f) - IL(f) \ge \begin{cases} 10 & 0.05 \le f < 12.89 \\ 14 - 0.3108f & 12.89 \le f \le 40 \end{cases}$ (163-6)

where LCd(f) Conversion loss(f) is the TP0 to TP5 channel differential to common-mode conversion loss at frequency f in dB IL(f) |Ldd(f) is the TP0 to TP5 insertion loss at frequency f in dB f is the frequency in GHz

Examples of insertion loss and conversion loss variables, part 2

(120F-2)

(120G-3)

120F.4.2 Channel insertion loss (recommended)

The channel insertion loss should be equal to or less than Equation (120F-2). Actual channel loss could be higher or lower than that given by Equation (120F-2) due to the channel ILD, return loss, and crosstalk. Note that for this equation the channel loss at the Nyquist frequency is less than or equal to 20 dB.

Insertion $loss(f) \le 1.083 + 1.444 \sqrt{f} + 0.432 f$ (dB) for $0.01 \le f \le 53.125$

where

IL

Insertion loss(f) ILdd(f)

```
is the frequency in GHz
is the informative C2C insertion loss
```

_dd(f)		
$IL(f) \leq c$	$0.05 + 1.8\sqrt{f} + 0.2513f$	0.01 ≤f≤ 26.56
	-12.4181 + 1.07f	26.56 < f≤ 40 J

ILdd(f) where IL(f)

f

is the channel insertion loss in dB is the frequency in GHz

$ILdd_{PCB}(f)$ $ILdd_{PCBmax}(f)$

 $IL_{PCR}(f) \leq IL_{PCRmax}(f) = 0.9809(0.417\sqrt{f} + 0.1194f + 0.002f^2)$ (162A-1) for 0.01 GHz < f < 50 GHz

where

IL _{PCB} (f)	is the insertion loss of the transmitter and receiver PCB in dB
IL PCBmax(f)	is the maximum insertion loss of the transmitter and receiver PCB in dB
f	is the frequency in GHz

common-mode to differential conversion loss

162B.1.3.3 Mated test fixtures common-mode conversion insertion loss

common-mode to differential conversion loss The common-mode conversion insertion loss of the mated test fixtures measured at either test fixture test interface shall meet the values determined using Equation (162B-6). This parameter is common-mode to differential mode conversion insertion loss

ILdc(f)			
CMCIL(f) >	30 - (21/28)f	$0.01 \le f < 20$	(162B–6)
	15	$20 \le f \le 50$]

where ILdc(f)

CMCIL(f)	is the common-mode conversion insertion loss in dB at frequency f
f	is the frequency in GHz

There are many more examples in 162A and 162B.

IEEE P802.3ck Task Force, ad hoc 14 July 2021

Summary

- Align insertion loss and conversion loss parameter names and variable names throughout 802.3ck.
- Use formats adopted for return loss terminology in D2.1.
- Adopt the proposed parameter/variable names proposed on slide 4 or similar.