Eta_0 & SNR Tx Impact on Copper Cables

Bruce Champion 17 June 2020

EVERY CONNECTION COUNTS





Overview

- Description of new work being shared
- Results of copper cable measurements using various COM settings in addition to recommended settings
- Summary



New Work Being Shared

- Various OSFP cable assemblies have been built
- Tested with prototype OSFP MCBs and connectors
- MCB trace loss is per the draft specification, 2.3 dB at 26.56 GHz
- Measurement results were then analyzed using various COM settings specifically in regard to eta_0 and SNR Tx

Test Set-up





OSFP Pin Map

Pin #	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31
	G	Tx1+	Tx1 -	G	Tx3+	Tx3-	G	Tx5+	Tx5-	G	Tx7+	Tx7-	G	SB	SB	SB	SB	G	Rx8-	Rx8+	G	Rx6-	Rx6+	G	Rx4-	Rx4+	G	Rx2-	Rx2+	G
	G	Tx2+	Tx2-	G	Tx4+	Tx4-	G	Tx6+	Tx6 -	G	Tx8+	Tx8-	G	SB	SB	SB	SB	G	Rx7-	Rxy+	G	Rx5-	Rx5+	G	Rx3-	Rx3+	G	Rx1 -	Rx1+	G
Pin #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30

COM vs IL using Varying Eta_0 Values



- Past data shown recommends eta_0 should be 9.0e-9 V²/GHz
 - lim_3ck_01a_1119
 - mellitz_3ck_03a_1119
- Spec is currently at 1e-8 V²/GHz
- Plot on right shows IL vs COM for 60 channels using two different eta_0 values
- Average \triangle between COM values = 0.15 dB
- 0.15 dB of COM is significant when approaching the Tp1-Tp4 IL target of -19.75 dB as shown in plot
- Adjusting eta_0 back to 9e-9 is recommended to achieve the desired 2m copper reach





COM vs IL using Varying Eta_0 & SNR_Tx Values

- In addition to evaluating Eta_0 impact on COM we also evaluated SNR_Tx
- Plot on right compares
 - Two different SNR_Tx values
 - Two different Eta_0 values
- Latest 2.93 COM setting file has SNR_Tx listed as 32.5, but 32 has also been mentioned in discussions and past contributions
- Keeping SNR_Tx set at 32.5 as listed in latest COM setting file is recommended in order for 2m copper channels to reliably pass specification limits





	l able 93A-1 param	eters			10	U control			l able 93A-3 parameters							
Parameter	Setting	Units	Information		DIAGNOSTICS	0	logical		Parameter	Setting	Units					
<u>f_b</u>	b 53.125				DISPLAY_WINDOW	LAY_WINDOW0logicallog		kage_tl_gamma0_a1	0.0009909 0.000277	2]						
f_min	0.05	GHz			CSV_REPORT	1	logical		package_tl_tau	6.141E-03	nsimm					
Delta_f	0.01	GHz			RESULT_DIR	.tresults1100GEL	_KR_{date}		package_Z_c	7.5 87.5 ; 92.5 92.5	Ohm					
C_d [1.2e-4 1.2e-4]		nF	[TX RX]		SAVE_FIGURES	0	logical		benartsi_	<u>3ck_01_0119 & mellitz</u>	_3ck_01_0119					
L_s	[0.12, 0.12]	nH	[TX RX]		Port Order	[1324]				Table 92–12 parameters						
С_Ь	[0.3e-4.0.3e-4]	nF	[TX BX]		RUNTAG	KR_evaL			Parameter	Setting						
z_p select [2]			[test cases to run]	(COM_CONTRIBUTIO	0	logical	Ŀ	board_tLgammaQ_a_a	<u> 7.3.8206e-04 9.5909e-05</u>	57					
z_p(TX) [1231; 1.81.8]		mm	[test cases]		0	perational			boand_tl_tau	5.790E-03 ns/mm						
z_p(NEXT)	[12 29; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]		COM Pass threshold	3	dB		board_2_c	100	Ohm					
z_p(FEXT)	[12 31; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]		ERL Pass threshold	10.5	dB		z_bp(TX)	110.3	mm 10:					
z_p(RX)	[12 29; 1.8 1.8]	mm	[test cases]		DER_0	1.00E-04			z_bp(NEXT)	110.3	mm					
С_р	[0.87e-40.87e-4]	nF	[TX RX]		T_r	0.00616071	ns		z_bp (FEXT)	110.3	mm					
<u> </u>	50	Ohm			FORCE_TR	1	logical		z_bp(RX)	110.3	mm					
R_d	[50 50]	Ohm	[TX BX]		Local Search	2		Ц	<u></u>	[0.29e-4]	nF					
<u> </u>	0.413	V							<u>C1</u>	[0.19e-4]	nF					
A_fe	0.413	V			TDR ar	nd ERL options			Include PCB	1	logical					
A_ne	0.608	V			TDR	1	logical			Floating Tap Contro	ol					
L	4				ERL	1	logical		N_bg	3	012 or 3 groups					
M	32				ERL_ONLY	0	logical		N_bf	3	taps per group					
	filter and Eq				TR_TDR	0.01	ns		N_f	40	UI span for floating taps					
<u>f_r</u>	0.75	°fЬ			N	7000			bmaxg	0.05	nax DFE value for floating taps					
c(0)	0.54		min		beta_x	0.0000E+00			B_float_RSS_MAX	0.02	rss tail tap limit					
c(-1)	[-0.34:0.02:0]		[min:step:max]		rho_x	0.618			N_tail_start	25	(UI) start of tail taps limit					
c(-2)	[0:0.02:0.12]		[min:step:max]		fixture delay time	[0.6e-90.6e-9]	[port1 port2]			ICN parameters						
c(-3)	[-0.06:0.02:0]		[min:step:max]		TDR_W_TXPKG	0			f_v	0.723	*Fb					
c(1)	[-0.2:0.05:0]		[min:step:max]		N_bx	21	UI		f_f	0.723	*Fb					
<u>N_</u> 6	12	UI			Rec	eiver testing			f_n	0.723	*Fb					
b_max(1)	0.85				RX_CALIBRATION	0	logical		f_2	39.844	GHz					
<u>b_max(2N_b)</u>	[0.30.2"ones(1,10)]				Sigma BBN step	5.00E-03	V		A_ft	0.600	v					
bmin(1)	-0.85				N	oise, jitter			A_nt	0.600	v					
b_min(2N_b)	[0.3 0.2" ones(1,10)]			sigma_RJ	0.01	UI									
_DC	[-20:1:0]	dB	[min:step:max]			0.02	UI		TBD in document	under consideration						
f_z	21.25	GHz			eta_0	9.00E-09	V°2/GHz		nev							
f_p1	21.25	GHz			SNR_TX	32.5	dB									
f_p2	53.125	GHz			R_LM	0.95										
DC_HP	[-6:1:0]		[min:step:max]													
EHP_PZ	0.6640625	GHz														
GDC_MIN	0	dB	is and ingnore													



Summary

- TP1 to TP4 OSFP cable assembly measured results have been presented
- Eta_0 settings currently in the draft will make it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to consistently produce copper cable assemblies in compliance with the ck specification
- Recommend to change eta_0 values to 9.0e-9
 - These results are based on OSFP, early indications are showing QSFP-DD will have even less margin to the COM limit and these settings will have more impact
- Recommend to set SNR_Tx at 32.5