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## Straw Polls Results

$\square$ C2C-L had 71\% support and No might be as some view it too close to KR
$\square$ C2C-S has stronger support possibly because it fill a void given that it can operate with end-end FEC.

## Straw Poll \#10:

I support the task force effort to define a C2C-L AUI similar to ghiasi_3ck_02_0519.
Yes: 20 No: 8 Abstain: 12

## Straw Poll \#11:

I support the task force effort to define a C2C-S AUI similar to ghiasi_3ck_02_0519 with loss TBD.
Yes: 30 No: 0 Abstain: 9

## TOR Trace Length

$\square$ Max trace for TOR switches according Rob Stone a well design system may have 9" long traces

- Assuming $1.25 \mathrm{~dB} /$ in and 1 dB for 2 vias a $9^{\prime \prime}$ host trace loss will be 12.5 dB
- http://www.ieee802.org/3/100GEL/public/18_03/stone_100GEL_01_0318.pdf
$\square$ To achieve 9 " long traces it require rotating ASIC by 45 degree otherwise traces could be ${ }^{\sim 11 "}$
- Assuming $1.25 \mathrm{~dB} /$ in and 1 dB for 2 vias a 11 " host trace loss will be 14.75 dB
$\square$ Potentially ~1/3 of the optical ports will require retimer
$\square$ Potentially $\sim 2 / 3$ of the $\mathrm{Cu} / o p t i c a l$ ports will require retimer
$\square$ Need a low power-cost C2C-C2M CDR solution!



## Facebook Minipack

$\square 4$ RU design with Tomahawk III and inverse-mux to 128 QSFP28

- The trace length for this system is about $16^{\prime \prime}$ (Meg $71.25 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{in}$ ) total for main board plus the daughter card
- The estimated total loss will be 20 dB for PCB, 2 dB for connector, and 2 dB for 4 vias for total loss of 24 dB
- Minipack will be more in line with C2C-L as 20 dB C2C not sufficient.



## Two Common C2C-S Applications

These two common C2C-S applications can satisfied with ~300 mm trace and by repurposing 16 dB C2M budget

- Connecting to far-side of the ASIC IO may require retimer



## Overview of C2C-S and C2C-L Attributes

$\square$ C2C-S will leverage C2M equalizer and operate with end-end FEC
$\square$ Can we safely increase C2C-S to 20 dB and still operate with end-end FEC?

| Parameters | C2M | C2C-S | C2C(MR) | KR | C2C-L |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chip configuration | ASIC to CDR | ASIC to CDR | ASIC to ASIC | ASIC to ASIC | ASIC to ASIC |
| Link configuration | One Connector | One Connector | One Connector | 2 Connectors | One Connector |
| Host PCB Reach (mm) | $\sim 225$ | $\sim 280$ | $\sim 360$ | $\sim 500$ | $\sim 500$ |
| FEC operation | Pass Through | Pass Through | $?$ | Terminated | Terminated |
| FEC Interleave/Non-Interleave | NA | Same as C2M | Same as C2M | TBD for 100G | Same as KR |
| Back Channel Link Training | NA | NA | Optional | Required | Optional |
| [ASIC, CDR] Trace Lengths (mm) | $[30,8]$ | $[30,15]$ | $[30,30]$ | $[30,30]$ | $[30,30]$ |
| [ASIC, CDR] Package Losses (dB) | $[4,1]$ | $[4,2]$ | $4+4$ | $4+4$ | $4+4$ |
| Max channel loss at Nyquist (dB) | 16 | 15 | 20 | 28 | $26.5^{*}$ |
| Max Bump-Bump Loss (dB) | $\sim 21$ | $\sim 21$ | $\sim 28$ | $\sim 36$ | $\sim 34.5$ |
| * C2C-L loss is lower by 1.5 dB compare to KR because the link only has one connector with about same PCB loss. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Largest DFE Taps That Link Segment Can Operate with End-End FEC

## DFE burst error analysis for 4 tap DFE, please see anslow 3ck $01 \quad 0119$

- Recommended DFE taps limit for 4 tap is $0 \leq t 1 \leq 0.5,-0.05 \leq t 2 \leq 0.2,-0.05 \leq t 3 \leq 0.1,-0.05 \leq t 4 \leq 0.05$

100G 4 tap DFE(0.5, -0.05, 0.1, -0.05) worst without precoding


100G 4 tap DFE(0.5, 0.2, $-0.05,0.05$ ) worst with precoding


## C2C Channels

$\square$ Construction of C2C channels based on PCB and cable construction provided by Brandon Gore

- http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/19 05/gore 3ck 01a 0519.pdf


COM 2.7 Table for C2C and C2C-L


## Gore C2C 20 dB Channels

## 20 dB PCB Channel

## 20 dB Cabled Channel



DFE5 Taps=[0.433;-0.045;-0.025;-0.015;0.022]


DFE4 Taps $=[0.335 ;-0.086 ;-0.030 ;-0.0125]$
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-12](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case I=7.13 dB, Case II=5.4 dB DER at 3 dB COM
Case $\mathrm{I}=2.4 \mathrm{e}-12$, Case $\mathrm{II}=1.2 \mathrm{e}-7$
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-8](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case I=6.1 dB, Case II=5.4 dB DER at 3 dB COM
Case I=6.0e-9, Case II=1.3e-7
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-5](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case I=5.9 dB, Case II=5.2 dB DER at 3 dB COM
Case I=3.3e-8, Case II=2.9e-7
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-4](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case I=5.5 dB, Case II=5.1 dB DER at 3 dB COM
Case $\mathrm{I}=1.5 \mathrm{e}-7$, Case $\mathrm{II}=4.3 \mathrm{e}-7$


DFE5 Taps $=[0.37 ;-0.054 ;$;-0.01;-8.9e-04;0.014]

$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-12](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case I=6.9 dB, Case II=5.1 dB DER at 3 dB COM
Case I=1.0e-10, Case II=1.7e-7
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-8](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case $\mathrm{I}=5.9 \mathrm{~dB}$, Case $\mathrm{II}=4.9 \mathrm{~dB}$ DER at 3 dB COM
Case I=3.0e-8, Case II=9.7e-7
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-5](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case $I=5.8 \mathrm{~dB}$, Case $I I=4.8 \mathrm{~dB}$ DER at 3 dB COM
Case I=5.2e-8, Case II=1.2e-6
$B 1(\max )=0.5, B[2-4](\max )=0.2$ COM
Case I=5.7 dB, Case II=4.8 dB DER at 3 dB COM
Case $I=6.5 \mathrm{e}-8$, Case $\mathrm{II}=1.2 \mathrm{e}-6$

DFE4 Taps=[0.395;-0.079;-0.045;-0.027]

## How to Proceed

$\square$ What should be the loss of C2C-S 16 dB ?

- What should be C2C-S reference packages
- Assuming [15, 30] mm for ASIC with 1.8 mm PTH and $[4,15] \mathrm{mm}$ for CDR having PTH of $[0,0.4] \mathrm{mm}$
$\square$ What should be the loss of C2C-L 26 dB ?
- What should be C2C-L reference packages
- Assuming [30,30] mm for ASIC with 1.8 mm PTH
$\square$ What equalizer would be necessary for each solution assuming bmax=0.5 and $b[2, n]=0.2$ and $D E R=1 E-5$
- C2C-S with 16 dB similar to C2M
- C2C with 20 dB about 5 taps DFE
- C2C-L with 26 dB about 12 taps DFE
$\square$ Instead of defining C2C-S and C2C-L should we instead define just one C2C with $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{~ d B ~ i f ~ i t ~ c a n ~ b e ~ o p e r a t e d ~ w i t h ~}$ end to end FEC?
- Expand C2C-S applications but only have one solution
- The 20 dB Gore channels can operate with end-end FEC not sure if we can broadly say 20 dB channels can be operated with 5 Tap sufficiently constrain DFE to avoid burst error
- As shown in case of design such as Facebook minipack 20 dB not sufficient
$\square$ Only C2C-S can leverage C2M equalizer both C2C and C2C-L are new equalizer class given that KR would be overkill for C2C-L.

