#### Chief Editor's report

Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3cn Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3cn Task Force, Contingent interim call, 20 August 2019

#### P802.3cn editorial team

Pete Anslow, Ciena

• Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses FM, 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 116, 121, 124, 131, 138, 140

Peter Stassar, Huawei

• Editor for Clauses 122, 139

#### Status


P802.3cn D3.0 initial Standards Association ballot

- Opened 19 July 2019, closed 18 August 2019
- 30 day comment period
- 37 comments received
- Thanks to those who reviewed the draft and those who commented
- Proposed responses posted on 19 August


Description	Clause(s)	TR	Т	GR	G	ER	E	Total
Front matter, Intro	00, 1, FM						2	2
Management	30, 45		2				1	3
Misc	78, 116, 131						2	2
TDECQ related	121, 124, 138, 140	1					5	6
ER PMDs	122, 139		1				23	24
Total comments		1	3	0	0	0	33	37

	D 3.0									
Voters	88									
Approve	73	98.6%	≥75%							
Disapprove	1									
Abstain	3	3.9%	< 30%							
Returns	77	87.5%	≥75%							

#### **Comment distribution**



# **Comment history**



# **Meeting goals**

Resolve comments against Draft 3.0

- Comment resolution agenda in later slides
- Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution
- TRs and ERs require signoff as to whether commenter is satisfied

Editors have identified less controversial comments as candidates for a bucket motion. These comments will not be reviewed individually, but will be resolved as proposed via a single motion towards the end of the call.

The initial list of comments for the bucket motion is on slide 10 (also marked "Bucket" in comments file) please review this and ask for a comment to be taken off the list if you don't agree with the proposed response.

# **Comment resolution**

- The comments on the following slides will be resolved on 20 June contingent interim call.
- The order in which the comments are reviewed and the schedule are subject to change
- Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic
  - Where a comment number is coloured blue it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments with underline (e.g., <u>300</u>) have associated hyperlinked presentations.

# **Contingent interim call comment resolution**

- The comment resolution process for this call is the same as that for face-toface meetings.
- As per 3.4.2 of the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Operations Manual:

"Electronic meetings shall only consider motions to progress a draft and only when balloting is substantially complete. Electronic meetings that will consider motions shall be approved by the WG Chair prior to the meeting announcement. In such meetings only IEEE 802.3 Working Group members may make and vote on motions. If a motion is not approved by unanimous consent it shall be taken as a roll call vote."

# General comments (12 comments)

- [1, 2]
- [12, 3, 15, 14]
- 20
- 19
- 24, 37
- 25
- 13

MR 1342 amendment order TDECQ optimization interoperation transition time 800 GHz spacing Bessel-Thomson

# Bucket comments (25 comments)

- 11, 8
- 4, 5, 23, 28, 29
- [7, 16, 17]
- 6
- 9
- 10
- 18
- 26
- 30
- [32, 34, 35, 36]
- 21, 22, 27, 31, 33

editing instruction multiple or, and, comma paragraphs 6 PMDs clause structure Equation (122-2a) channel requirements **RINxx.xOMA** reference equalizer graphs editorial

#### **Draft review schedule**

Standards Association recirculation ballot will be 15 days.

Dates shown are subject to change

									,	,							,		,	,			,	,							,		,					
	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	
May			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31					May
																																						-
																							Salt	Lake	City													
5																															l		l					
								Wk 19						-	Wk 20							Wk 21							Wk 22		<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
Jun						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30			Jun
								.8					Draf	t 2.1 l	allot						8																	
6																																						
							<u> </u>	Wk 23						<u> </u>	Wk 24							Wk 25							Wk 26									
Jul	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31		l					Jul
																	nna				Draft 3.0 ballot																	
7																vie	nna				8	8		וט	alt 5.	U Dai		8		8								
	Wk 27							Wk 28							Wk 29							Wk 30							Wk 31									
Aug				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31				Aug
												-4-2		llat															Droft	. 2 4 1			8					
8											וט	aft 3	.u ba		,								тс						Draft	ເວ.ເເ	Jano							
0								Wk 32							Wk 33							Wk 34							Wk 35									
Sep							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		Sep
								Dr	aft 3.	1 bal	lot					Ind	ianap	olis	1											тс								
9								1															DL															
								Wk 36							Wk 37							Wk 38							Wk 39							Wk 40		

#### **Standards Association ballot differences**

- Standards Association (SA) recirculation ballot scope is changes between D3.0 and D3.1 drafts and any unsatisfied comments
- Only members of SA ballot group can submit "Must Be Satisfied" comments (equivalent to "R" or Required)
  - Can only submit "Must Be Satisfied" comment if you have voted Disapprove
- Can't use the same comment tools as WG ballot. Either:
  - enter comments one at a time through the MyBallot web interface
  - or download spreadsheet from MyBallot and upload as a batch
- SA ballot has additional "G" (General) type comment
  - In addition to the usual T and E types
- Participation requirement is higher for SA ballot
  - $\geq$  75% required to close ballot (> 50% for WG ballot)

# **Conditions for submitting to RevCom**

- Standards Association ballot continues until the following conditions are met:
  - No substantive (technical) changes in the last recirculation
  - No new negative comments (TR/GR/ER) associated with a Disapprove ballot in the last recirculation
  - $\geq$  75% approval ratio
  - ≥ 75% response ratio
  - < 30% abstention ratio</li>
- When the above conditions are met the TF can request that the draft is submitted to RevCom and the SASB for final approval

# Thanks!