

Chief Editor's report

Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3cn Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3cn Task Force, Contingent interim call, 24 September 2019

P802.3cn editorial team

Pete Anslow, Ciena

- Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses FM, 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 116, 121, 124, 131, 138, 140

Peter Stassar, Huawei

- Editor for Clauses 122, 139

Status


P802.3cn D3.0 Public review

- Opened 19 July 2019, closed 18 September 2019
- 60 day comment period
- 11 comments received
- Proposed responses posted


	D 3.1		
Voters	88		
Approve	77	98.7%	≥ 75%
Disapprove	1		
Abstain	4	4.9%	< 30%
Returns	82	93.2%	≥ 75%

Description	Clause(s)	TR	T	GR	G	ER	E	Total
Front matter, Intro	00, 1, FM							0
Management	30, 45				1			1
Misc	78, 116, 131							0
TDECQ related	121, 124, 138, 140							0
ER PMDs	122, 139		9		1			10
Total comments		0	9	0	2	0	0	11

Comment distribution



Comment history



Meeting goals

Resolve public review comments against Draft 3.0

- Comment resolution agenda in later slides
- Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution
- Public review comments are non-binding so no commenter signoff required

As there are only 11 comments, they will be resolved individually and in the order on page 9.

Comment resolution

- The comments on page 9 will be resolved on 24 September contingent interim call.
- The order in which the comments are reviewed and the schedule are **subject to change**
- Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic
 - Where a comment number is coloured blue it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments with underline (e.g., 300) have associated hyperlinked presentations.

Contingent interim call comment resolution

- The comment resolution process for this call is the same as that for face-to-face meetings. This is as per the last contingent interim meeting. Note that the straw poll text has been added below.
- As per 3.4.2 of the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Operations Manual:

“Electronic meetings shall only consider motions to progress a draft and only when balloting is substantially complete. Electronic meetings that will consider motions shall be approved by the WG Chair prior to the meeting announcement. In such meetings only IEEE 802.3 Working Group members may make and vote on motions. If a motion is not approved by unanimous consent it shall be taken as a roll call vote.

In all cases, regardless of any membership rules that may or may not be in force, all participants who feel qualified may participate in a straw poll.”

General comments (11 comments)

- [199, 207] FR1, LR1, ER1
- 198 DGD penalty
- [197, 200] 40 km reach
- [204, 202, 206] 400GBASE-ER8 power levels
- [201, 205, 203] 200GBASE-ER4 power levels

Conditions for submitting to RevCom

- Standards Association ballot continues until the following conditions are met:
 - All comments closed and responses adopted
 - No substantive (technical) changes in the last recirculation
 - No new negative comments (TR/GR/ER) associated with a Disapprove ballot in the last recirculation
 - $\geq 75\%$ approval ratio
 - $\geq 75\%$ response ratio
 - $< 30\%$ abstention ratio
- When the above conditions are met the TF can request that the draft is submitted to RevCom and the SASB for final approval

Thanks!