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## Loss of ODN Components



| Loss budget |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components | Loss Max <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Comment |
| 50 km Fiber | 12 | $0.24 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| $\lambda$ Router | 6.6 | 4 to 6.6 |
| $1 \times 64$ | 21.5 |  |
| splice/connector | 1 | arbitrary |
| Total | 41.1 |  |

- Worst case numbers are used for the splitters and the CAWG
- Typical numbers are used for the fiber and splice/connector
- Could assume the use of MZI-AWG for a loss of 3.3 dB
- Could add 1.5 dB to this to account for the initial lower volume optimization phase
- Total link budget of 39.3


## Loss of ODN Components worst case

| Loss budget L-band |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components | Loss Max <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Comment |
| 50 km Fiber | 17.5 | $0.35 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| $\lambda$ Router | 6.6 | 4 to 6.6 |
| $1 \times 64$ | 21.5 |  |
| splice/connector | 2.2 | $2^{*} 0.5+6^{*} 0.2$ |
| Total | 47.8 |  |


| Loss budget C-band |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components | Loss Max <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Comment |
| 50 km Fiber | 13.75 | $0.275 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| $\lambda$ Router | 6.6 | 4 to 6.6 |
| $1 \times 64$ | 21.5 |  |
| splice/connector | 2.2 | $2^{*} 0.5+6 * 0.2$ |
| Total | 44.05 |  |

- Worst case numbers are used for the splitters, CAWG, fiber, and splices
- The increase in link length/complexity magnifies the margin left for components

Downstream power levels


- High output power of amplifier is a big problem for worst case - A class 4 laser will be needed - Likely to have many NL effects
- Using typical values still requires a challenging booster amplifier - Still a class 3 laser

| Location | DS/WL [dBm] | DS total [dBm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4.8 |  |
| B | -0.7 | 11.3 |
| C | 19.3 | 31.3 |
| D | 18.3 | 30.3 |
| E | -29.5 PR40 |  |

## Performance: EML + pre-amp (10G)

Early samples shows we can achieve RX sensitivity -38dBm at $B E R=1 e-4$

- measured at the input of pre-amp
- $\mathrm{ER}=8.5 \mathrm{~dB}$



## Penalty from ER

- 2.3 dB penalty for 6 dB ER
- 4.5 dB penalty for 4.5 dB ER
- These are larger than values previously seen in PON because the US is signal-ASE limited, rather than Rx power limited
- Formula is described:
$Q=\frac{I_{1}-I_{0}}{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{0}} \propto \frac{P_{1}-P_{0}}{\sqrt{P_{1}}+\sqrt{P_{0}}} \propto \sqrt{2 P_{\text {ave }}} \frac{\sqrt{E R}-1}{\sqrt{E R+1}}$


## Penalty from ER 8.5 dB



## Upstream power levels



- High required ONT launch powers will drive up ONT costs
- For worst case, the power required (@8.5 dB ER) is very unrealistic
- For typical, it is possible but still higher than NG-PON2's requirements

| Location | DS/WL [dBm] | DS total [dBm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | -17.5 |  |
| B | -12 | 0 |
| C | -37 | -25 |
| D | -38 | -26 |
| E | 6.05 |  |

## Comfortable link budgets

Downstream

| Location | DS/WL [dBm] | DS total [dBm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | -1.5 |  |
| B | -7 | 11.05 |
| C | 13 | 25 |
| D | 12 | 24 |
| E | -29.5 PR40 |  |

41.5 dB link budget

Upstream

| Location | US/WL [dBm] | US total [dBm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | -17.5 |  |
| B | -12 | 0 |
| C | -37 | -25 |
| D | -38 | -26 |
| E | 1.7 |  |

39.7 dB link budget
1.7 dB @ 8.5 dB ER gives equivalent performance to 4.0 dBm @ 6 dB ER.

This aligns the required ONT to that of NG-PON2

## Loss of ODN Components



DS: 41.5 dB link budget US: 39.7 dB link budget

| Loss budget |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components | Loss Max <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Comment |
| 50 km Fiber | 12 | $0.24 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| $\lambda$ Router | 4.8 | $3.3+1.5$ |
| $1 \times 64$ | 21.5 |  |
| splice/connector | 1 | $2^{*} 0.2+6^{*} 0.1$ |
| Total | 39.3 |  |

- 2.2 dB margin in DS link budget
- 0.4 dB margin on US link budget
- Can achieve our objectives


## Summary

- Using worst case values for all optical components results in a very large required link budget, making the optical components difficult/expensive
- Assuming worst case loss values, we can reduce the maximum link length or the power splitting ratio to close the budget in a reasonable way
- Other specifications suffer of similar issues and use a "better link"
- e.g., 10GBASE-ER
- The increased number of elements in Super-PON (WDM components) magnifies the worst case
- It is desirable to find a balance between worst case scenarios and reasonable specifications


## Thank you

