Cl 45 # 1 Cl 45 P38 L33 SC 45.2.1.186aa.1 P36 L35 SC 45.2.1.186ab.8 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type Т Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket The "IFEC bypass indication enable" bit when set to a one enables the bypass of the FEC The "IFEC bypass indication ability" bit when set to a one one indicates that the bypass of error indication function. not the error indication. See text in clause 91.6.2. the FEC error indication function can be bypass. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "When set to a one, this bit enables bypass of the error indication.", Change: "This bit is set to one to indicate that the decoder has this ability to bypass error indication.". to: "When set to a one, this bit enables bypass of the error indication function." to: "This bit is set to one to indicate that the decoder has this ability to bypass the error Response Response Status C indication function " ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa.1 P36 L37 # Bruckman, Leon Huawei Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186ah.2 P41 / 40 Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket Bruckman, Leon Huawei Text not clear Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy Inconsistent bracketing. In clause 153.2.4.1.1 the variable is indicated as: fas lock<x> Change: "Writes to bit 1.2200.1 are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC SuggestedRemedy does not have the ability to bypass indicating decoding errors to the remote PCS layer (see 152.5.2.3).", Change: "fas lock[7]", to:"fas lock<7>". The same for all other 19 lanes in the following clauses 45.2.1.186ah.3 to 45.2.1.186ai.12. to: "Writes to bit 1.2200.1 are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC does Response Response Status C not have the ability to bypass decoding error indications to the remote PCS layer (see ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE 152.5.2.3)." Response Response Status C Change "fas lock[x]" to "fas lock<x>" in clauses 45.2.1.186ah.1 to 45.2.1.186ah.9 and in clauses 45 2 1 186ai 1 to 45 2 1 186ai 12 ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.186ai P45 L16 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.186aa.2 P36 1 44 # Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Lane identification shall be separated from lane lock, so the value of lane mapping is Text not clear dependent on the lane identification status. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "Writes to this bit are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC does Add the lane identification status bits to the MDIO and make the lane mapping register not have the ability to bypass correction.", dependent on these bits instead of fas lock. Details of remedy are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. to: "Writes to this bit are ignored and reads return a zero if the Inverse RS-FEC does not have the ability to bypass error correction." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT See response to comment 15.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 6

Page 1 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** bucket

Clause 80.1.4 indicates that the clause 74 FEC is optional for 100GBASE-Z, but it is not

shown in Table 80-4b

SuggestedRemedy

Add clause 74 to table 80-4b as optional.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Clause 74 is not relevant and will be removed from 80.1.4, see response to comment 52, so there is no need to add clause 74 to table 80-4b.

C/ 152 SC 152.5.3.4 P66 L38 # 8

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status R

It is strange that the the bit error ratio in the data received from the far-end PCS can be estimated by dividing the BIP block error ratio by something, if you already have a error ratio why divide it?. I saw the same wording in other 802.3 cluses, but it sounds strange.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "The bit error ratio in the data received from the far-end PCS can be estimated by dividing the BIP block error ratio by a factor of 1 081 344.",

to: "The bit error ratio in the data received from the far-end PCS can be estimated by dividing the BIP block errors by a factor of 1 081 344."

Response Status C

REJECT.

This is nearly identical text to the final para of 91.5.2.4, and to 82.2.15 from which it was derived, and the suggested remedy is technically wrong. The BIP values are actually generated by the far end PCS, and the intervening transcode/trans-decode steps should restore the sequence of bits over which they are calculated in the absence of errors. The calculation converts a block error ratio (the number of BIP violations over a unit of time) to an equivalent bit-error ratio (the estimate of the number of bit errors over that equivalent unit of time). You can't simply divide a count of block errors by a fixed value to get a BER, not knowing whether that block error count was over one second or one hour.

CI 152 SC 152.6.4 P75 L8 # 9

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The "FEC bypass indication ability" bit when set to a one one indicates that the bypass of the FEC error indication function can be bypass. See text in clause 91.6.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass error indication.".

to: "This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass error indication function "

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass error indication.".

to: "This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass the error indication function."

C/ 152 SC 152.6.7 P75 L26 # 10

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing word

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "This variable assigned by the FEC alignment state diagram shown in Figure 91-9 (see 152.5.4.3).",

to: "This variable is assigned by the FEC alignment state diagram shown in Figure 91-9 (see 152.5.4.3)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 P82 L12 # 11 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P87 Huawei Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Comment Type Т Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Status A fec align status is a noisy indication Text no clear SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "fec align status", with: "fecl align indication" twice in this sentence. Details of Change: "so this number are transmitted", remedy are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. to: "so this amount of octets are transmitted" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change Change: "so this number are transmitted", to See response to comment 15. "so 189x80 octets are transmitted" C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.4 P85 L16 # 12 C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.1 P88 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status R GMP requires that carrier signal payload rate is larger than the carried signal rate. This is the case for 100GBASE-ZR of course, but it will be beneficial to indicate the carrier signal Separate lane identification from alignment, add reference to the lane identification state pavload rate. diagram. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy At the end of sentence: "The Payload area of the SC-FEC frame has a capacity of Details of remedy including propossed text for this clause is presented in contribution (255/227) × (3800 / 4080) × 99.5328 Gb/s ±20 ppm.", add: "(~104.1367 Gb/s)" bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT.

13

bucket

Draft 1.2 is technically complete with regard to SC-FEC lane alignment and synchronization. Nevertheless, there could be merit to separating the process descriptions for lane alignment and lane identification. Commenter is invited to build consensus for a complete and consistent proposal to be considered against Draft 2.0.

L3

L41

14

15

Change: "...as the ratios of the two clock rates do not provide a case where...",

to: "...as the ratio of the two clock rates does not provide a case where."

Comment Status A

P85

Huawei

Response Status C Response

SC 153.2.3.2.4

ACCEPT

C/ 153

Bruckman, Leon

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Text needs to be fixed

L50

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.3.5 P89 L34 # 16

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Since OTN devices may be used to implement the 100GBASE-ZR, and these devices support Cm values other than 188 and 189, there may be failure cases in which the GMP receiver receives values that are different from the ones in Table 153-1. What should the GMP demmaper do in this case ? Also what is expected the GMP demapper to do if DI=II=1?

On the other hand, there may be implementations based on OTN receivers that will be able to handle the situation, but there may also be 100GBASE-ZR targeted reduced functionality implementations that only accept the values specified in Table 153-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence: "If a C13:C0 value other than 188 or 189, or DI=1 and II=1 is received, the GMP demapper behavior is undefined."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the proposed resolution.

There is no harm in adding this sentence, although while the GMP mechanism is generic, there is no standardized mapping of a client other than 100GBASE-R directly into OPU4 via GMP. So any OTN kit that implements GMP mapping of a client into OPU4 should only be generating the indicated values)

C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.3.6 P89 L43 # 17

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There should be an indication to the upper layer if block lock is not achieved, but according to clause 153.2.1 the SIGNAL_OK parameter of the FEC:IS_SIGNAL.indication depends only on the FEC alignment indication.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the clause 82.2.19.2.2 rx_blobk_lock indication to the SIGNAL_OK parameter defined in 153.2.1. Details of remedy including propossed text for this clause is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1

P**90**

L12

18

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

New variables are needed according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane identification separation from the alignment process.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following variables: fecl_valid and lane_id_detected<x>. Details of remedy including propossed text for these variables is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

C/ 153

See response to comment 15.

SC 153.2.4.1.1

P**90**

L12

19

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

New variables are needed according to the update of the deskew state diagram propossed in bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following variables: fas_status, alignment_valid and fec_enable_deskew. Details of remedy including propossed text for these variables is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1

L12

20

Bruckman, Leon

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

A new variable is needed for the SIGNAL OK indication state diagram propossed in bruckman $3ct_01_0320$.

P90

Huawei

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following variable: fec_align_indication. Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 20

Page 4 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L19 # 21 C/ 153 P90 L41 # 24 SC 153.2.4.1.1 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R In the new state diagram described in bruckman 3ct 01 0320 there is no need for fec lane needs to be updated according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane identification separation from the alignment process. fas match. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove fas match Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. See response to comment 15. See response to comment 15. C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L22 # 22 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.2 P91 L15 Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R fas valid needs to be updated according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane In the new state diagram described in bruckman 3ct 01 0320 there is no need for the identification separation from the alignment process. FAS COMPARE function. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution Remove the FAS COMPARE function bruckman 3ct 01 0320. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. See response to comment 15. See response to comment 15. C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.1.1 P90 L29 # 23 C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.3 P91 L27 # 26 Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Huawei Huawei Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R current fecl needs to be updated according to the state diagrams propossed for the lane A new counter is needed for the alignmnet loss state diagram propossed in bruckman 3ct 01 0320 to keep the FAS position during loss of alignment identification separation from the alignment process. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Details of remedy including propossed text for this variable is presented in contribution Add the following counter: fas in counter. Details of remedy including propossed text for bruckman 3ct 01 0320. this counter is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

See response to comment 15.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

REJECT.

Comment ID 26

Response Status C

Page 5 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

Cl 153 SC 153.2.4.3 P91 L27 # 27

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

New counters are needed for the lane identification state diagram propossed in bruckman_3ct_01_0320.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following counters: fecl_ok_count and fecl_bad_count. Details of remedy including proposed text for these counters is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.3 P91 L27 # 28

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

New counters are needed for the SIGNAL OK state diagram propossed in bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following counters: align_ok_count and align_bad_count. Details of remedy including propossed text for these counters is presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The SIGNAL_OK parameter of the FEC:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is driven by fec align status.

P91

L35

29

fec_align_status is false if any lane looses alignment, but this happens frequently due to pre-FEC high BER. According to the text in this case receiver may be impaired frequently.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a stability state diagram for the fec_align_status variable. Details of remedy including the state diagram are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P92 L47 # 30

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

New state diagrams are needed to separate the lane identification from the alignment process.

SuggestedRemedy

New state diagrams are presented in contrbution bruckman 3ct 01 0320

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

Cl 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P93 L3 # 31

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Several issues with the SC-FEC deskew state diagram: fasalign_status and all_fas_valid are not defined, fec_enable_deskew is always false.

SuggestedRemedy

A updated SC-FEC deskew state diagram is presented in contrbution bruckman_3ct_01_0321

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Figure 153-8, change fasalign_status to all_locked, and change all_fas_valid to fec_alignment_valid (4 occurrences).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 31

Page 6 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

Cl 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P93 L3 # 32

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fec_enable_deskew is not defined

SuggestedRemedy

Define fec_enable_deskew as follows: "A Boolean variable that enables and disables the deskew process. The alignment start shall be maintained when fec_align_status is false. It is set to true when deskew is enabled and set to false when deskew is disabled."

The definition is similar to the fec_enable_deskew variable definition in 91.5.4.2.1, without allowing bits to be discarded during the deskew process to avoid communication impairment during the frequent synchronization losses (due to pre-FEC BER).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Define fec_enable_deskew as follows: "A boolean variable that indicates the enabling and disabling of the deskew process. Data may be discarded whenever deskew is enabled. True when deskew is enabled. False when deskew is disabled."

In Figure 153-8 in the state LOSS_OF_ALIGNMENT, change "fec_enable_deskew<=false" to "fec_enable_deskew<=true"

Cl 153 SC 153.2.5.2 P93 L39 # 33

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Text not clear

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword contains errors".

to: "An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.5.3

P**94** Huawei L1

34

Bruckman, Leon

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

Lane identification validity MDIO control vailables are needed for the lane identification separation from the alignment process.

SuggestedRemedy

Add SC-FEC line identification status 1 and 2 registers, as detailed in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

Cl 153 SC 153.2.5.3 P94 L8 # 35

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC-FEC align status shall be driven by the stable fec alignment indication

SuggestedRemedy

Replace fec_align_status with the new variable fec_align_indication (used in the SIGNAL OK stability state diagram, see bruckman 3ct 01 0320)

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

C/ 153 SC 153.2.5 P94 L10 # 36

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Lane identification shall be separated from lane lock, add the lane identification status.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the lane identification row to Table 153-2 after the second row. Details of remedy are presented in contribution bruckman 3ct 01 0320.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 15.

Cl 153 SC 153.3.1 P94 L48 # 37

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The SC-FEC not only sends 20 parallel bit streams to the 100GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer, it also receives 20 parallel bit streams from the PMA sublayer.

SuggestedRemedy

After the end of sentence: "SC-FEC continuously sends.", add: "Likewise the 100GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer continuously sends 20 parallel bit streams to the SC-FEC sublayer."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add to the end of the paragraph "Likewise the 100GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer continuously sends 20 parallel bit streams to the SC-FEC sublayer, each at a nominal signaling rate of (255/227) × 4.97664 Gb/s ±20 ppm (~5.59049868 Gb/s)."

Cl 153 SC 153.3.2.2.2 P95 L50 # 38
Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Text not clear

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "The selection of the two lanes of the four-lane interface is used to form each stream of DQPSK symbols is arbitrary",

to: "The selection of the two lanes of the four-lane interface used to form each stream of DQPSK symbols is arbitrary"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 154 SC 154.5.2 P104 L41

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Text not clear

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_1.request into two DQPSK optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and delivered to the MDI.".

to: "The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_1.request into two DQPSK optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and deliver them to the MDI."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See resolution to comment #67

Cl 154 SC 154.7.1 P109 L49 # 40

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"Minimum channel spacing" is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

"Minimum channel spacing" is defined in ITU-T G.671 clause 3.2.3.17 as: "The centre-to-centre difference in frequency or wavelength between adjacent channels in a WDM device. DWDM channel spacings are based on the grid found in [ITU-T G.694.1]. CWDM channel spacings are based on the grid found in [ITU-T G.694.2].".

So in clause 154.8 it can be defined as: "The minimum channel spacing, as defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.671, shall be within the limits given in Table 154-8."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See resolution to comment #84

39

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 L3 # 41 C/ 80 SC 80.1 P49 L12 # 44 The Siemon Company Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Maguire, Valerie Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Editor's note is incorrect Missing oxford comma. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert Table 80-4 after Table 80-4a as follows:" to "Insert Table 80-4b after Table Replace, "100GBASE-LR1 and in Clause154: with, "100GBASE-LR1, and in Clause154" and extend the underline change mark to include the added ".". 80-4a as follows:" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change "Insert Table 80-4 after Table 80-4a as follows: "Insert Table 80-4b after Table CI 80 SC 80.5 P**55 L1** 80-4a as follows:" The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 P51 L5 # 42 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Suggest that "skew variation needs to be revisited, input requested" be formatted as an Trowbridge. Steve Nokia Editor's Note Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy The first sentence is wrong given the additions in the rest of the paragraph. Format. "skew variation needs to be revisited, input requested" as an Editor's Note. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the entire paragraph to: Clause 83 specifies 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PMAs that may be used with any PHY ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. type of the corresponding rate. Additional PMAs are only applicable to specific PHY types: a) Clause 94 specifies a PMA that may be used only in a 100GBASE-KP4 PHY. See response to comment 58. b) Clause 135 specifies a PMA that may be used in other 100GBASE-P PHY types. c) Clause 153 specifies a PMA that is used in the 100GBASE-ZR PHY. C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 **L9** # 46 Response Response Status C Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status R **Bucket** Should "(compliant 100GBASE-R)]" be on the same line as "AND"? Implement the suggested remedy with editoral license to ensure proper formatting. SuggestedRemedy C/ 152 # 43 SC 152.7 P77 L2 Remove extraneous carriage return or correct as needed. Nokia Trowbridge. Steve Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A REJECT. Need to replace vestigial "Clause 200" from the FrameMaker template with the actual clause number. The carriage returns are in place to clearly identify the terms that are operated on by the

"AND". It is also consistent with preceeding clauses.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change "Clause 200" to Clause 152" in the title of clause 152.7, and also on page 77 line

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

6, page 77 line 34.

Comment ID 46

Page 9 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	P 24	L8	# <u>4</u> 7		C/ 80	SC 80.1.3	P 49	L16	# 51	
Maguire, \	/alerie	The Siemon Company				Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada					
Comment 802.3	Type E cg has published.	Comment Status A			bucket	Comment this is	,,	Comment Status A ble amendment instruction		bucket	
SuggestedRemedy						SuggestedRemedy					
Replace, "802.3cg-20xx" with, "802.3cg-2019"					Change instruction to "Replace figure 80-1 with the following:"						
Response		Response Status C				Import Figure 80-1 and make the necessary changes.					
ACCE	PT. SC 45.2.1.186	P 36		# 48		"In Fig	Alternately, change instruction to the following: "In Figure 80-1, change the list of medium types as follows:" "100GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z." with proper strike-out and underline				
				# 40		Response Response Status C					
Maguire, \		The Siemon (ompany		buokst	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket 802.3cg has published.					bucket						
SuggestedRemedy					Remove existing text and replace with "In Figure 80-1, change the list of medium types under CGMII as follows:						
00	•	" with, "802.3cg-2019"				under	OOMII as lollow	3.			
Response		Response Status C				"100G	BASE-R, or 100	GBASE-P, or 100GBASE-Z.	" with proper strike	e-out and underline.	
ACCE		Response Status C				C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P 49	L 25	# 52	
						Brown, Ma	att	Huawei Tech	nologies Canada		
C/ 125	SC FM	P 1	L 26	# 49		Comment	Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	
Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada					The Clause 74 FEC is not relevant and for Clause 91 it is not necessary to list out the						
Comment Type E		Comment Status A b			bucket		-	e of many subfunctions within	ng the Clause 91	FEC.	
spellir	ng					Suggested					
SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE" to "IEEE"					Change to: "Some 100GBASE-Z Physical Layer devices also use the FEC of Clause 91 or the FEC of Clause153."						
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCE		Response Status C			
C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 22	L 27	# 50		C/ 80	SC 80.2.2	P 50	L34	# 53	
Brown, Ma	latt Huawei Technologies Canada			Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada							
Comment Type E only one defintion		Comment Status A			bucket	Comment 100Gl	• •	Comment Status A added to the list of PHY type	es.	bucket	
Suggested Chang	dRemedy ge "definitions" to '	'definition"				Suggested Add 1		he list of PHY types.			
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCE	•	Response Status C			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 53

Page 10 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 P**51** L6 # 54 C/ 80 SC 80.4 P52 L50 # 57 Huawei Technologies Canada Huawei Technologies Canada Brown, Matt Brown, Matt Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket There are no changes marked in the paragraph. No need to describe the not-shown rows. It is sufficient to refer to "unchanged" rows. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Underline the last sentence. Change "unchanged 40G rows" to "some unchanged rows". Response Response Status C You might then reduce the table size by deleting rows for MAC, PCS, and 100GBASE-R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. FEC. Response Response Status C See response to comment 42. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P51 L30 # 55 Change wording to "unchanged rows not shown" and remove unchanged rows from the Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada table. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket P55 C/ 80 SC 80.5 / 1 # 58 Fix amendment markup. Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Space after "Figure 80-4" should be undelined. Improper editor's note. Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Use proper editor's note by inserting editor's note that and include "Editor's note:". SC 80.3.2 C/ 80 P52 # 56 L1 Response Response Status C Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ 152 SC 152.1.1 P58 L11 # 59 Underlined text is not required here. Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A Remove underline on "Figure 80-4a". This new sublayer is intended in this project for support of 100GBASE-ZR which is a Response Response Status C 100GBASE-Z PHY and might be used for 100GBASE-P PHYs as well. It could be used for ACCEPT. 100GBASE-R PHYs. SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to: "The Inverse RS-FEC sublaver specifies a Reed-Solomon Forward Error Correction (RS-FEC) sublayer for 100GBASE-R, 100GBASE-P, and 100GBASE-Z PHYs." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 59

Page 11 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

C/ 152 SC 152.1 P59 L33 # 60 C/ 153 SC 153.2.1 P82 L16 # 63 Huawei Technologies Canada Huawei Technologies Canada Brown, Matt Brown, Matt Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Comment Status D The definition for Inverse RS-FEC is in the wrong location in the list. The text in this parapraph does not match the architecture. There are three cases to consider as follows. SuggestedRemedy Case #1: SC-FEC connects directly to the PCS. Move definition for Inverse RS-FEC to between definitions for FEC and LLC. Case #2: SC-FEC connects directly to the Inverse RS-FEC, RS-FEC, Clause 135 PMA, etc. Case #3; SC-FEC is connected to a Clause 83 PMA then through a CAUI-4 or CAUI-10 to Response Response Status C the PCS. ACCEPT. This paragraph should address both Case #2 and #3. SC 152.1 P59 C/ 152 / 34 # 61 SuggestedRemedy Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Replace the paragraph with the following: "The PCS may be connected to the SC-FEC using a physical instantiation of the PMA Comment Type E Comment Status A service interface (see Annex 83A, Annex 83B, Annex 83D, and Annex 83E) in which case a The 100G PMA defined in Clause 135 is called the 100GBASE-P PMA. PMA (see Clause 83) is a client of the FEC service interface." "The PCS may be connected to the SC-FEC using a physical instantiation of the PMA SuggestedRemedy service interface (see Annex 135E and Annex 135G) in which case an Inverse RS-FEC Remove the note from the definition list and in the layer diagram for the associated PMA (see Clause 152) is a client of the FEC service interface." sublayers replace "PMA" with "100GBASE-P PMA". Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 153 SC 153.1.1 P81 L81 # 62 Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada C/ 153 SC 153.2.3.2.7 P88 / 37 # 64 Comment Type E Comment Status A Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada "staircase" should not be capitalized. Comment Type T Comment Status D There is no specification for the FEC lane skew or PMA lane Skew Variation for the SC-SuggestedRemedy FEC transmit output. It would be reasonable to use the same numbers used for the RS-Change "Staircase" to "staircase". FEC receive function (see Table 80-6 and Table 80-7). Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Add the following sentence at the end of 153.2.3.2.7. "At the output of the FEC transmit function the Skew between FEC lanes shall be no more than 49 ns and the Skew Variation between PMA lanes shall be no more than 0.4 ns." Proposed Response Response Status Z

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

REJECT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 64

Page 12 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:40 AM

bucket

Cl 153 SC 153.2.3.3.1 P88 L46 # 65

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The "support" of Skew and Skew Variation is ambiguous. Presumable this means tolerance of Skew and Skew Variation. Also, the numbers are still TBD; it would be reasonable to use the same numbers used for the RS-FEC receive function (see Table 80-6 and Table 80-7).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence to: "The FEC receive function shall tolerate a maximum Skew of 180 ns between FEC

lanes and a maximum Skew Variation of 4 ns between PMA lanes."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 153 SC 153.3.2 P96 L0 # 66

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Skew tolerance and generation are not specified for the PMA, but are essential budgeting end to end skew. Normally, for new 100GBASE PHYs we would simply refer back to 80.5, however, the stack for 100GBASE-ZR is a bit different and the PMA is different in various ways.

SuggestedRemedy

Define skew points in a similar way as for 100GBASE-R/P in 80.5. A presentation will be provided with background and proposals.

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 154 SC 154.5.2 P104 L44

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The change made in D1.2 is incorrect. It is a stream of DPQSK symbols transferred via the tx_symbol parameter. Although tx_symbol is earlier defined in the referenced 116.3 its reference here is somewhat mysterious.

67

SuggestedRemedy

Change 154.5.2. to the following:

"The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested by the PMD service

interface messages PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.request(tx symbol) and

PMD:IS UNITDATA 1.request(tx symbol) into two DQPSK

optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and delivered to the MDI, all according to the transmit optical

specifications in this clause.

The PMD maps symbols from each tx_symbol parameter to phase changes to each of the DQPSK optical signals as specified in Table 154-4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "The PMD Transmit function shall convert the two DQPSK symbol streams requested by the PMD service interface messages

PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request(tx_symbol) and PMD:IS_UNITDATA_1.request(tx_symbol) into two DQPSK optical signals on orthogonal polarizations and be delivered to the MDI, all according to the transmit optical specifications in this clause.

The PMD maps symbols from each tx_symbol parameter to phase changes to each of the DQPSK optical signals as specified in Table 154-4."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 154 SC 154.5.3 # 68 P105 L39 Huawei Technologies Canada

Brown, Matt

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

The change made in D1.2 is incorrect. It is a stream of DPQSK symbols transferred via the rx symbol parameter. Although rx symbol is earlier defined in the referenced 116.3, its reference here is somewhat mysterious. The list of primitives is two so connector should be "and" not "to".

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in 154.5.3 to:

The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into two

DQPSK symbol streams for delivery to the PMD service interface using the messages PMD:IS UNITDATA

0.indication(rx_symbol) and PMD:IS_UNITDATA_1.indication(rx_symbol), all according to the receive optical specifications in this clause.

The PMD maps the phase changes on each of the DQPSK optical signals to symbols on each rx symbol parameter as specified in Table 154-4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into two DQPSK symbol streams for delivery to the PMD service interface using the messages PMD:IS UNITDATA

0.indication(rx symbol) and PMD:IS UNITDATA 1.indication(rx symbol), all according to the receive optical specifications in this clause.

The PMD maps the phase changes on each of the retrieved DQPSK signals to symbols on each rx symbol parameter as specified in Table 154-4." and the last sentence of 154.5.3 to:

"Table 154-4 shows the mapping of the phase change of the retrieved DQPSK signals to the DQPSK rx symbol streams for delivery to the PMD service interface."

With editorial license.

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P105 / 48 # 69

Huawei Technologies Canada Brown, Matt

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Bucket

Although the service interface in 116.3 is used as a basis for specification, subclause 154.2 (which specifies the service interface for this PMD) further elaborates (e.g., number of leans, SIGNAL OK parameter values, etc.) the details. Should reference 154.2 instead.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "116.3" to "154.2".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

C/ 83C SC 83C.4 P120 **L8** # 70

Huawei Technologies Canada Brown, Matt

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Editing instruction should refer to the inserted subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Insert new subclause 83C.4 at the end of Annex 83C as follows:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 135A P122 C/ 135A / 1

Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Editing instruction was carried over from 802.3cd and is not relevant in 802.3ct.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete editing instruction at the top of page 122.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 135A SC 135A.3 P122 1 # 72

Brown. Matt Huawei Technologies Canada

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Editing instruction should refer to the inserted subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Insert new subclause 135A.3 at the end of Annex 135A as follows:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ **154** SC **154.3.2** P**102** L**48** # [73]
Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

TBD for skew at SP2, SP3, SP4 and SP5 needs a value and additionally the ssentences that there is no skew variation need to be removed because of the presence of 2 lanes, each at 50 Gb/s

SuggestedRemedy

Replace text by "Skew at SP2 is limited to 43 ns and the Skew Variation at SP2 is limited to 400 ps.The Skew at SP3 (the transmitter MDI) shall be less than 54 ns and the Skew Variation at SP3 shall be less than 600 ps. The Skew at SP4 (the receiver MDI) shall be less than 134 ns and the Skew Variation at SP4 shall be less than 3.4 ns. If the PMD service interface is physically instantiated so that the Skew at SP5 can be measured, then the Skew at SP5 shall be less than 145 ns and the Skew Variation at SP5 shall be less than 3.6 ns."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editoral license.

In addition remove sentence "The measurements of Skew and Skew Variation are defined in TBD with the exception that the measurement clock and data recovery unit high frequency corner bandwidth is TBD MHz." Also remove associated editor's note and related editor's note in 80.5.

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4 P106 L6 # [74

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

TBD for Signal_Detect Fail needs a value. Considering that this Clause primary objective is to achieve distances up to at least 80 km on the basis of an optically amplified black liink it is proposed to use the common average power value of -30 dBm and add a note that for unamplified cases a lower threshold may be necessary

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by "-30" and add a note "for applications on unamplified links it may be necessary to use a lower value".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace TBD by "-30"

C/ 154 SC 154.5.4

P106

L20

L5

75

76

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The TBD needs to be replaced by describing a condition of the signal that is being monitored

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "in response to the TBD of the optical signal and implementations that respond to the average optical power of the modulated optical $\frac{1}{2}$

signal." by "in response to the average optical power of the modulated optical signal."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

 Cl 154
 SC 154.7.1
 P110

 Stassar. Peter
 Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The TBD for Average channel output power (max) needs a value. Proposed is 0 dBm, leaving a setting range of 8 dB, sufficient to meet the requirements for the 80 km application, in line with remarks made during previous meetings that for most implementations the optical output power can be easily adjusted.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by "0" (zero)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 154 SC 154.7.2 P111 L11 # 77

Stassar. Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The TBD needs to be replaced by a value. It is suggested to specify 3 dBm, which is 3 dB above the proposed Tx average output power.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by "3"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L36 # 78 Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

At the January 2020 meeting in Geneva it was agreed to set the maximum chromatic dispersion to 1600 ps/nm. This is appropriate for black links containing 80 km of G.652 fiber. ITU-T SG15 at its recent closing plenary meeting 7 Feb 2020 consented revised Recommendation G.654, adding new fiber type G.654.E, optimized for low loss, but with somewhat higher chromatic dispersion values. This new fiber type should not be precluded for usage inside the black link, because it may be appealing for operators/users. The worst case chromatic dispersion over the wavelength range of interest is 24.14 ps/nm, leading to a worst case link dispersion of 1931 ps/nm. 2000 ps/nm would be an appropriate rounded number for 80 km links. The relevant ITU-T Recommendations provide a difference in maximum attenuation of 0.05 dB/km, implying a loss difference of 4 dB over 80 km.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 1600 by 2000

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L37 # 79

Stassar, Peter Huawei Comment Status A

TR

A dispersion of -200 ps/nm will occur only when using G.653 (dispersion shifted) fibers, which are not anticipated to be used in C-band applications. Therefore the minimum chromatic dispersion should be 0 ps/nm for 0 km.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace -200 by 0 (zero)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L39 # 80

Stassar, Peter Huawei

TR

The parameter "Fiber zero dispersion wavelength" does not seem to useful. Should be

Comment Status A

deleted

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row for "Fiber zero dispersion wavelength" from Table

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Delete row for "Fiber zero dispersion wavelength" from Table 154-10

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111

L40

L42

81

82

83

Stassar, Peter

Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The TBD for "Fiber dispersion slope (max) (S0)" needs to be replaced by a value. 0.05 ps/nm.nm.km is an appropriate minimum for both G.652 and G.654.E fibers avoiding occurrence of FWM

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by 0.05

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In table 154-10 replace for parameter fiber dispersion slope replace (max) by (min). Replace TBD by 0.05.

C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There should be a value 0f 25 dB for "Minimum optical return loss at TP2" in accordance with agreed resolution to comment #88 to D1.1, at the January 2020 meeting in Geneva

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD by 25

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace TBD by 25 in Table 154-10.

Also remove the final row in Table 154-10 due to duplication.

C/ 154 P111 SC 154.7.3 L43

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Because the medium is a black link there should not be a requirement for "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3"

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row for "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3" from Table

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 104

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 83

Page 16 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:41 AM

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P22 # 84 C/ 153 L13 SC 153.2.4.4 P92 # 87 Stassar, Peter Huawei Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket We may need a definition of channel spacing. The proposed definition is consistent with FAS COMPARE should read COMP to be consistent with the left side of the block diagram the one currently in Recommendation ITU-T G.671. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to COMP Add "1.4.181a Channel Spacing: The center-to-center difference in frequency or Response Response Status C wavelength between adjacent channels in a WDM application. DWDM channel spacings are based on the grid found in [ITU-T G.694.1]. CWDM channel spacings are based on the ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. grid found in [ITU-T G.694.2]." Change the state name in the box on the right side, line 13 from FAS COMPARE to COMP Response Response Status C C/ 153 SC 153.2.4.4 P**92** L14 ACCEPT Maniloff, Eric Ciena SC 1.4 Comment Type E Comment Status A C/ 1 P22 # 85 bucket FAS COMPAR is a typo Stassar, Peter Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A We may need a definition of polarization dependent loss. The proposed definition is change FAS COMPAR to FAS COMPARE consistent with the one currently in Recommendation ITU-T G.671. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Add "1.4.401a polarization dependent loss: The variation of insertion loss due to a variation of the state of polarization (SOP) over all SOPs within the channel frequency range C/ 154 SC 154.8.13 P113 L47 # 89 (DWDM link) or channel wavelength range (CWDM and WWDM links) Maniloff, Eric Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A ACCEPT. The reach will likely be limited to < 80km for the unamplified case due to the input power restriction, not the OSNR. So the comment "The associated channel loss will likely limit the C/ 154 SC 154.7.3 P111 L36 # 86 Stassar, Peter Huawei reach of these applications to less than 80 km specified for amplified applications." should be in clause 154.8.13 rather than 154.8.15 Comment Type Т Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy The term "residual" between brackets in the parameter name "(residual) chromatic dispersion" may be confusing and imply usage of dispersion compensation inside the black Move the text "The associated channel loss will likely limit the maximum link, which is unlikely in the anticipated applications. Therefore it is proposed to remove reach of these applications to less than 80 km specified for amplified applications." from "(residual)". clause 154.8.15 to 154.8.13

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Remove "(residual)" in both parameter entries in Table 154-10.

Response Status C

Comment ID 89

Response Status C

Adopt slides 15 and 16 from Schmitt 3ct 01 200402.pdf.

Page 17 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:41 AM

C/ 154 SC 8.1 P112 L6 # 90 C/ 154 SC 8.2 P112 L33 # 93 Finisar II-VI Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John DeAndrea, John Comment Type E Comment Type Е Comment Status D Comment Status D "Any of the test patterns given for a particular test in Table 154-12 may be used to perform eliminate sentance. that test." is not needed SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy eliminate sentance "The transmitter is modulated using the test pattern defined in Table Remove sentance 154-12." Proposed Response Response Status Z Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 154 SC 8.1 P112 L16 # 91 C/ 154 SC 8.3 P112 L38 # 94 Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D TBD not required Modify SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Eliminate TBD Change to: "The average optical power is measured per the test setup in Figure 53-6." Proposed Response Response Status Z Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 154 SC 8.1 P112 # 92 L19 C/ 154 SC 9.1 P114 L51 # 95 DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type E Consider dropping table Modify sentence SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Drop table since a specific pattern is not required for testing transmitter characteristics. Change to: "whether coupled into a fiber or from an open MDI active output" Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. No evidence / description has been provided why the current sentence is wrong or inappropriate. The current sentence is completely consistent with similar sentences in in-force optical clauses.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 95

Page 18 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:41 AM

Cl 154 SC 6 P107 L25 # 96

Comment Status R

DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI

Ε

This sentence is unclear, "However, it does not enable interoperability at multichannel points between the optical multiplexer and demultiplexer that are likely to be included in the black link" What are multichannel points? If a single channel is only supported through one transfer characteristics, then mentioning interoperability through multichannel points is not needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Drop sentaence.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The quoted sentence refers to an essential characteristic of the black link, that it contains points where more than one channel is present in the fiber and that at those points the interoperability is not supported by the specification.

Cl 154 SC 7.2 P111 L11 # 97

DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status A

TBD value for receiver damage threshold.

SuggestedRemedy

For amplified links, 48 channel system can have 48 channels launched at +1 dbm for 80 km link. Total amplified power for +1 dBm launch power, 48 channels, 17.8 dBm total power is realized. Occassionally, mistakes are made, and this total power is applied to a receiver without a DeMux or fiber span. Suggest using 18 dBm as maximum damage threshold for receiver damage threshold.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The TBD was addressed in comment 77 however is not attempting to cover misconnections from inside the black link directly into the receiver.

Cl 154 SC 8.1 P110 L52 # 98

DeAndrea, John Finisar II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Specific test patterns are not required, based on Clause 153.2.3.2.5 SC-FEC encoder, and Clause 153.2.3.2.6 Scrambler for dual polarization optical signals. The scrambler and dual carrier channels provide enogh randomization for optical signal parameter messurment and compliance.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify 154.8.1 to: "Compliance is to be achieved in normal operation, and Clause 153.2.3.2.5 SC-FEC encoder, and Clause 153.2.3.2.6 Scrambler, provide a sufficient pseudo random signal for transmit parameter measurements."

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 154 SC 154.7.1 P110 L5 # 99

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs

Comment Type T Comment Status R

For the TBD value of "Average channel output power (max)" in Table 154-8, propose adopting the same value as the CableLabs PHYv1.0 specification, which was selected as a safety threshold (as opposed to a power level anyone thought would ever be used).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TBD" to "7" for "Average channel output power (max)" in Table 154-8.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See resolution to comment #76

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 L1 # 100

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Shouldn't Table 154-9 be in Sub-clause154.7.2 as in previous drafts? Is there a reason that it isn't inline with that text? If not, it should be moved there.

SuggestedRemedy

Move Table 154-9 back into sub-clause 154.7.2.

Response Status C

ACCEPT

Cl 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 L29 # 101
Schmitt. Matt CableLabs

Schmitt, Matt CableLaba

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Shouldn't Table 154-10 be in Sub-clause 154.7.3 as in previous drafts? Is there a reason it isn't inline with that text? If not, it should be moved there.

SuggestedRemedy

Move Table 154-10 back into sub-clause 154.7.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 L11 # 102

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs

Comment Type T Comment Status A

For the TBD value of "Damage threshold" in Table 154-9, the most energy that could hit the receiver if a transmitter and receiver are connected back to back would nominally be the same as the max output from the transmitter as defined in Table 154-8. However, if the signal were fed into an optical ampplifier before being connected to the receiver it could be much higher. Therefore, for additional safety in this case, propose setting the value to +18 dBm.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TBD" to "18" for "Damage threshold" in Table 154-9.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment #77.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1

P111

L42

103

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In table 86-10, Optical Return Loss is defined as being measured at point TP2 looking downstream into the fiber. Therefore, having "Optical return loss" in Table 154-8 and "Optical return loss at TP2" in Table 154-10 is redundant, since they are both the same thing measured at the same point (one implicitly, one explicitly). To be consistent with other usage in 802.3, propose keeping "Optical return loss" in Table 154-8, and removing "Optical return loss at TP2" from Table 154-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the row from Table 154-10 for "Optical return loss at TP2".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "Optical return loss" in Table 154-8 and leave it in Table 154-10.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P111 L43 # 104

Schmitt, Matt CableLabs

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Per the contribution stassar_3ct_01_200213, propose to remove "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3" from Table 154-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the row from Table 154-10 for "Maximum discrete reflectance between TP2 and TP3"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 104

Page 20 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:41 AM

C/ 1 SC₁ P21 L14 C/ 154 SC 154.11 P117 **L1** # 107 # 105 Nicholl, Gary Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Cisco systems Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Comment Status A The "important Notice" is no longer required according to IEEE. If Annex J is inserted in 154.9.1 then the PICs require updating. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete lines 14 through 24: IMPORTANT NOTICE: IEEE Standards documents are not Add "General Safety" PICS entry and use "Conforms to J.2" for Value/format. intended to ensure safety, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against Response Response Status C interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers of IEEE Standards ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and Modify any "General Safety" entries in response to comment 125 to "Conforms to J.2" for regulations. Value/Comment. This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal SC 1 C/ 1 P1 disclaimers. These L27 # 108 notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems found under the Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents. IEEE Std 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3cg-2002 have now been approved They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at SuggestedRemedy http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html Change 802.3cm-20XX to 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3cq-20XX to 802.3cq-2020 throughout Response Response Status C the draft ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 154 SC 154.9.1 P114 # 106 L44 Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems CI 80 SC 80.1.3 P49 L10 # 109 Comment Type T Comment Status A Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems P802.3cr is harmonizing general safety references across all of IEEE 802.3 in Annex J. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket P802.3cr is in the 1st WG ballot recirculation and is likely to complete the ballot cycle prior to P802.3ct. Coordination between TFs and the P802.3cr project should be maintained to Extra space between "and " and "in" keep this material in sync. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete extra space.

Response

ACCEPT.

Change "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to IEC 60950-1." to "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in J.2". Add Editor's Note to be removed prior to SA ballot to align text with changes to P802.3cr.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 109

Response Status C

Page 21 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:41 AM

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P49 L14 # 110 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 **L6** # 113 Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The editing instruction states "Change Figure 80-1 in 80.1.3 as follows:", but there is no Table 80-4b is a new table, so there should be no underlining. "Figure 80-1" in the document. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete all underlining in Table 80-4b Import Figure 80-1 and update accordingly. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC 80.3.2 P51 L28 C/ 80 # 114 See response to comment 51. Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 L3 # 111 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Nicholl, Gary Extra space between 100GBASE-R and 100GBASE-P Cisco systems Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy Editing instruction states "Insert Table 80-4 after Table 80-4a as follows:". but the tabel Use strikethrough for the extra space after the "and" inserted is actually Table 80-4b. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Update editing instruction to read "Insert Table80-4b after Table 80-4a as follows:" C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P51 L30 # 115 Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Nicholl, Garv Cisco systems Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket See response to comment 41. Missing underline, under space. C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P50 **L6** # 112 SuggestedRemedy Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Change "Figure 80-4a," to "Figure 80-4a," Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket Response Response Status C Table 80-4b is missing a column for Clause 135. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment 55. Add a column for Clause 135.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P52 **L1** # 116 C/ 154 SC 154.7.1 P110 L26 # 119 Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems Lewis, David Lumentum Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Comment Status D There should be no underline in editing instruction Optical return loss tolerance should be a minimum value, not maximum. For example, a return loss from the black link of 24 dB would result in more power reflected back into the SuggestedRemedy transmitter and a return loss from the black link of 26 dB would result in less power Remove underline in editing instruction reflected back into the transmitter. Therefore the limit value of 25 dB is a minimum, not a maximum. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change description to "Optical return loss tolerance (min)" See response to comment 56. Proposed Response Response Status Z L49 REJECT. C/ 80 SC 80.4 P52 # 117 Nicholl, Gary Cisco systems This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L27 # 120 Need to reference 802.3cu in editing instruction D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Change editing instruction from "Change Table80-5 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cd-The last entry in Table 154-12 is TBD. There are no other test parameters requiring a test 2018) as follows (unchanged 40G rows not pattern definition pointing to Table 154-12 in the draft shown)" to SuggestedRemedy "Change Table80-5 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018 and IEEE Std 802.3cu-xx) as Delete the contents of the entire row for the "TBD" entry follows (unchanged 40G rows not shown)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT. Implement slides 5 and 6 of stassar ct 01 200416 with editorial license. C/ 152 SC 152.5.1 P61 / 47 # 118 C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L15 # 121 Lewis. David Lumentum D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A The caption for Fig 152-2 does not say what it is a function block diagram of. The last entry in Table 154-11 is TBD. There are no other defined test patterns. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change caption to "Inverse RS-FEC sublayer functional block diagram". 1. Delete the contents of the entire row for the "TBD" entry Response Response Status C 2. Rename Table 154-11 to "Test Pattern" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 121

Page 23 of 24 4/16/2020 11:01:41 AM

Cl 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L22 # 122

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There has only been one test pattern defined in Table 154- in that can be used in Table 154-12 for the optical parameters.

SuggestedRemedy

Change TBD in all optical paramaeter entries to Pattern 5.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment #120.

C/ 154 SC 154.8.1 P112 L18 # 123

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The title for Table 154-12 seems incorrect. The ITest pattern definitions are inTable 154-11. What is actually being defined is the test patterns during testing of optical paramaeters

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of Table 154-12 to "Optical Parameter Test-pattern definitions and related subclauses.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The current title is consistent with existing in-force clauses.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.21b P27 L35 # 124

Comment Status A

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei

States table 45.24b "as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx" but table 45.24b was inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Change "as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx" to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ **154** SC **154.11.13**

P**118**

L1

<u>1</u>25

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The PICs tables starting in 154.11.3 are incomplete.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the required PICS tables with the information from issenhuth 3ct 04 0320

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use tables in issenhuth ct 01 200416 to complete the PICS entries with editoral license.

If an entry for "General Safety" is added, align the Value/Comment with the Value/Comment from comment 107.