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## Comment Resolution Process

Goal is to resolve all comments against Draft 2.0.
Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session.

If there is no disagreement on the final proposed response, the comment will be closed as proposed.

If there is disagreement on the final proposed response from anyone other than the commenter, a measure of consensus will be taken and the majority position will decide the final response.

## Comment Resolution Process

Editors have identified non-controversial comments as candidates for a bucket motion.

- The initial list of comments for the bucket motion are on slide 10 (also marked "Bucket" in comments file), please review this and ask for a comment to be taken off the list if you don't agree with the proposed response.
- These comments will not be reviewed individually, but will be resolved as proposed via a single motion

Comments bracketed together with [ ] cover a common topic.
Where a comment is underlined it contains the proposed response for the group of comments.

The order in which the comments are reviewed and the schedule are subject to change.

## Plans for the Apr 7 Interim Conference Call

During the last call (Mar 31) we closed 8 comments in 1 hours ( $\sim 7.5$ minutes per comment). We need to try and pick up the pace!

Here is the proposed comment order for the Apr 7 call.

- Interop: [103, 104, 105, 106, 115, 116]
- RS Sensitivity (equations in tables): [77, 83]
- RS Sensitivity (description of RS): [79, 85]
- Tx Average Power: 54
- Tx Average Power: $[92,109]$
- 42
- CI 140 - Test Patterns: 75
- CI 140 - Tx OMA - TDECQ: 66
- CI 140 - Tx Ref EQ: [74, 101]
- CI 140 - Tx TECQ: 60, [61, 76]
- plus working down the list if we get done with the above .....


## Cross-Clause comments

| Topic | Clause | Comment \# | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fx-Overshoet | 440,154 | $\begin{aligned} & {[8,9,16,17,36,39,47,48,58,70,72,} \\ & 73,88,89,90,94,95,97,1221, \\ & \text { rodes_01, zivny_01, } \\ & \text { redes_01_032420, zivny_01_032420 } \end{aligned}$ | 49 |
| 10Log106e9 | 440,154 | $[59,69,87,93],[38,52,53,56,57,71$, 119, 120, 121], [62, 68], cole_01, dawn_01, nicholl_03, stassaf_01, nicholl_02a_032420 | 45 |
| Interop | 140, 151 | [37, 67], [103, 104, 105, 106, 115, 116] | 8 |
| Rx Sensitivity | 140, 151 | $\begin{aligned} & {[29,78,84,96,102,114],[79,85],[77,} \\ & 83] \end{aligned}$ | 10 |
| Tx Average Power | 140, 151 | 54, [92, 109] | 3 |
| Total |  |  | 55 |

$[103,104,105,106,115,116]$ comments under offline discussion with goal of coming in with a stronger consensus position for the call on Apr 7.
$[79,85]$ comments on the topic of "RS equations in optical parameter tables" is also under offline discussion in preparation for the call on Apr 7.

Legend: [\#\#,\#\#,\#\#] = process together, \#\# = pivot comment, [\#\#,\#\#,author_nn] = related presentation

## FM and Clause 1 to 116 comments

| Clause | Topic | Comment \# | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80 | Nomenclature and Table name | 42 | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 1 |

## Clause 140 (100G optical) comments

| Clause | Topic | Comment \# | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 140 | Test patterns | 75 | 1 |
| 140 | Tx OMA - TDECQ | 66 | 1 |
| 140 | Tx Reference equalizer | $[74,101]$ | 2 |
| 140 | Tx TECQ | $60,[61,76]$ | 3 |
|  | Total |  | 7 |

## Clause 151 (400G optical) comments

| Clause | Topic | Comment \# | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 151 | Connector loss | 114 | 1 |
| 151 | Editorial | 63 | 1 |
| 151 | Reach | 82, ehang_01_033120 | 1 |
| 151 | Test patterns | 81 | 1 |
| 151 | Tx OMAouter | 19 | 1 |
| 151 | Tx RINxx.x | 113 | 1 |
| 151 | Tx TECQ | 80 | 1 |
| 151 | Tx transition time | 64 | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 8 |

Legend: [\#\#,\#\#,\#\#] = process together, \#\# = pivot comment, [\#\#,\#\#,author_nn] = related presentation

## Withdrawn comments

| Clause | Comment \# | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 00 |  |  |
| FM |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |
| 78 |  | 1 |
| 80 |  | 2 |
| 91 | 30 | 3 |
| 116 |  | 34 |
| 140 | Total |  |
| 151 |  |  |

## Bucket comments

| Clause | Comment \# | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 00 | $10,49,100$ | 3 |
| FM | $2,43,44,99$ | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 |  | 3 |
| 45 | $3,4,5$ |  |
| 78 |  | 4 |
| 80 | $6,7,26,45$ | 12 |
| 91 | $11,27,28,35,41,46,55,65,86,91,107,117$ | 21 |
| 116 | $12,13,14,15,18,20,22,23,24,25,31,32,33,40,50,51$, <br> $98,108,110,112,118$ | 48 |
| 140 | Total |  |
| 151 |  |  |

Requests to remove:
Piers [22,23,117]

Legend: \#\#* $=$ updated proposed response (also identified as "Topic=bucket2" in the comment reports)

## Summary count (double check)

| Topic | Comment count |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gross-clause_"Tx-Overshoot" | 19 |
| Gross clause_"10_og(Geq)" | 15 |
| Cross-clause -Other | 21 |
| FM and Clause 1 to 116 | 1 |
| Clause 140 | 7 |
| Clause 151 Total (non-bucket) | 74 |
| Withdrawn | 8 |
|  | 48 |
| Bucket | 3 |

## Big ticket items

- Tx Overshoot
- Resolved during 3/24 Interim Teleconference
- All associated comments closed
- 10Log10Ceq
- Resolved during Mar 3/24 Interim Teleconference
- All associated comments closed
- 400GBASE-LR4 reach (proposal to change to 10 km )
- chang_01_033120

