Trying to understand TDECQ

Gary Nicholl, Cisco

IEEE P802.3cu Ad-Hoc Teleconference, March 5, 2020

1

The issue

During the January Interim meeting of 802.3cu, "TDECQ-10logCeq" was removed from the transmitter specs:

• Having the effect of increasing the potential range of compliant transmitters

However "SECQ-10LogCeq" was not removed from the receiver specs:

 Meaning that receivers are only required to operate with (and be tested against) a more restricted range of transmitters

This means that in D2.0 we introduced a potential interoperability gap (where a fully compliant transmitter and a fully compliant receiver might not interoperate).

There are two ways to address this:

- Reinstate "TDECQ-10LogCeq" on the transmitter
- Remove "SECQ-10LogCeq" from the receiver

TDECQ Overview

TDECQ can be viewed as a component penalty comprising the sum of two individual penalties*:

TDECQ = K + C

K=unequalizable penalty (penalty from impairments on the link that cannot be equalized, i.e. random noise)

C=equalizer penalty (penalty introduced by the equalizer itself, often called "noise enhancement", and the harder the equalizer has to work to compensate for equalizable impairments on the link the higher the penalty)

- C=0: equalizer is doing nothing
- C>1: working as traditional linear equalizer (HPF), and the higher the number the harder it is working
- C<1: probably atypical situation where the equalizer is really operating as a LPF (restricting bandwidth)



- TDECQ can therefore be plotted on a 2D graph as shown
- Transmitters #1,#2,#3 all have the same TDECQ value, but are very different.

3

- Transmitter #3 has a lot of unqualizable penalty
- Transmitter #2 has a lot of equalizable penalty
- A receiver has to work with all these transmitters
- A receiver therefore cannot be simply tested at a single TDECQ point

TDECQ as a point constraint ?

- A single TDECQmax spec by itself itself dos not constrain where on the TDECQmax line that a given transmitter sits
- Therefore theoretically the range over which a receiver has to work is unbounded



TDECQ – Additional constraints

- Additional constraints are necessary to bound the range over which a receiver has to operate
- These were added in 802.3cd (tap weight limits and transition time)



TDECQ-10LogCeq

- An additional incremental constraint on transmitters (red shaded area) and hence range over which a receiver has to operate
- It essentially bounds the unequalizable penalty at 3.5dB rather than 4.3 dB



TDECQ-10LogCeq – why add this constraint?



Summary

Adding a TDECQ-10LogCeq (K) limit provides an incremental benefit (currently unquantified) to the receiver:

- further restricts the range over with a receiver has to operate and be tested
- simplifies SRS testing

Adding a TDECQ-10LogCeq (K) limit appears to have no impact on the transmitter:

- zero impact on yield (not expect to see any real transmitters in this region)
- zero impact on test time (since it falls out for free as part of the TDECQ test)

If these assumptions are correct then what is the downside ?

(Note: This presentation does not argue against the inclusion of a separate "overshoot" test)

Final thought ...

Now we can debate the absolute benefit of TDECQ-10LogCeq, but it is certainly not

