

Some Perspectives on the Performance Impact of Link-Speed Switching Outages

Vern Paxson

*International Computer Science Institute and
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory*

Berkeley, California USA

vern@icsi.berkeley.edu

July 18, 2007

Where I'm Coming From

- Networking researcher:
 - Measurement/performance
 - (also security)
- Lots of work in the past on transport protocols in general (IETF co-Area Director for Transport) ...
- ... and TCP in particular
 - Co-author of RFCs on TCP congestion control, retransmission timeout management, implementation problems
- But: no “skin in the game”
 - I don't really care what you decide
 - Just would like to provide some useful input
- (Though new project w/ K. Christensen, B. Nordman on how energy efficiency affects network architecture)

Some Assumptions I Make

- Link speed transitions are **rare**
 - If the point is energy efficiency, this only pays off for lengthy periods of low-speed use (lots of idle time)
 - Sub-assumption: transitions have a **cost**
 - (If transitions very cheap, could consider making them all the time)
- Logic for deciding transitions can be compartmentalized
 - Including whether or not management is involved
- Logic is **aware** of explicit real-time mechanisms like AVB
- Dampening is used to avoid oscillations
 - Can be as simple as “once high, stay high for quite a while”
- If decision made to switch to lower speed, *very unlikely* a transition outage coincides with link use

Some Questions I Have

- Just how much minimal buffer will future switches have?
- When the rate changes, is it bidirectional or unidirectional?

Outages and TCP

- For TCP performance, can consider three types of packet loss:
 - SYNs
 - Acks
 - Data
- SYNs: if lost, sender endures lengthy timeout
 - But: they're not going fast yet anyway
 - And: they often incur such delays already due to DNS latency or congestive loss
 - And: if transitions are rare, then impact here very low

Outages and TCP, con't

- Acks: loss of individual packets has very minor impact on TCP throughput ...
 - ... unless entire window lost ...
 - ... which requires an outage of an RTT duration
- Data:
 - Important to keep in mind that most connections are **small and slow**
 - Thus, unlikely to have data in flight during transition
 - And even if so, they're already inconsequential
 - Only real concern is high speed connections
 - These have key property: an entire RTT's worth of data is in flight

High-Speed TCP Connections

- A modern TCP that has plenty of data to send does not time out upon packet loss ...
- ... unless all data packets are lost.
- I.e.: requires transition outage \geq RTT

- In addition: recovery time from loss **scales inversely with RTT**
 - Window expands (slow start or cong. avoid.) per RTT
- What if RTT is small (e.g., 1-10 msec)?
 - Then maybe risk timeout, but recovery from it is quick

High-Speed TCP Connections, con't

- What if no timeout?
- Then rate halved, with linear recovery ...
 - ... per RTT
- Summary for TCP:
 - Impairment will be truly rare
 - Requires (rare) large/high-speed connection, and ...
 - ... timeout will only occur for those with small RTTs
 - Best able to recover, [especially intra-LAN](#)
 - ... rate-halving only problematic for those with high RTTs (100s of msec)
 - And these are particularly rare for large + high-speed

What About (Streaming) Non-TCP?

Important to distinguish:

- ... one-way streaming
 - For which there will **already** be a significant play-out buffer
- ... Internet multimedia (soft/adaptive real-time)
 - Which will already be written to **adapt** to varying conditions and loss
- ... two-way interactive
 - This is the potentially hard case
 - If it uses LAN features (e.g., AVB) then transition logic can monitor its use
 - Also note: cell phones show that users are fine with occasional glitches, even of 100s msec or higher

Summary

- My two cents:
 - ≤ 1 msec: just can't be any real problem
 - ≤ 10 msec: this is going to work fine, no one will ever notice
 - ≤ 100 msec: you can get away with this too, but this is the time scale where you start to want to explore just what you're trading off