Cl **00** SC P L **# 99300**Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.0 #795

The entirely new concept to 802.3 of doing shared access via an entirely new access protocol is hidden through lack of use of the proper terminology to describe what is going on. The P2MP portion of the proposal is, in fact, a new shared access protocol of the TDMA variety yet none of the following standard terms appears appear anywhere in the description thereof:

multiple access

access method

time division

TDMA

access domain

MAC protocol

In fact the only mentions of a "shared LAN" is the claim that P2MP is emulating a shared LAN rather than admitting it is one!

SuggestedRemedy

Come clean. P2MP is at its most basic level a master-slave TDMA LAN. Revise text to describe P2MP fully as such using established 802 terminology for multiple access shared LANs.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Master-slave relationship is described in 64.3.1. item h.

Modify item d in 64.3.1 as follows:

Multiple MACs operate on a shared medium by allowing only a single MAC to transmit upstream at any given time across the network using a time-division multiple access (TDMA) method.

 CI 00
 SC
 P
 L
 # 99350

 Thompson, Geoffrey
 Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.1 #374

I continue to believe that many of the technically sound concepts included in this proposal, while suitable for the access market, are fundamentally at odds with the underlying principals of Ethernet embodied in IEEE Std 802.3 to date. While we have made changes in the past they have been all realativley minor and most of them have worked out. Some, in retrospect, while they seemed like a good idea at the time have set bad precedents for later work. Across it all Std 802.3 has remained conceptually pretty consistent. P802.3ah has several significant departures from that conceptual consistency. I believe that the precedents they set will cause significant confusion over the long term and destroy the conceptual consistency of Ethernet as it is known.

The specific areas that concern me most are:

Loss of the peer relationship to a provider - customer asymmetry

Unidirectional transport

Loopback

New non CSMA/CD mechanisms for shared media access arbitration.

OAM mechanism that are not consistent with the earlier Management

Low speed operation not consistent with prevalent perception of Ethernet.

The requirement for and complexity of ranging & discovery protocols

Requirement for additional levels of station addressing

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the PAR and the draft so that what is currently designated as P802.3ah can be approved as a separate full/new standard that is approved as and will remain a separate standard from IEEE Std 802.3. This will allow this project and its provider oriented successors/amendments to more freely meet the requirements of this significantly different marketplace and set of customers.

Pursue further steps to approval, both editorially and procedurely as a separate standard.

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT.

This issue has been discussed several times in the past. The scope and content of the draft is properly aligned with the approved PAR. The content of the draft as it currently stands has been approved by the balloting group. The commenter's suggested remedy is therefore clearly at odds with the concensus opinion of the task force that wrote the draft, the working group that approved the PAR and reviewed the draft, and the ballot group that approved the draft.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ **00** SC P L **# 99349**Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.1 #372

There is no provision in the draft to assure that the required disclaimer text (Ref: SB Ops Manual 5.9.3) will be included in the published standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Make provision in the next version of the draft to include the appropriately placed following text:

"At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position, explanation, or interpretation of the IEEE."

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Appropriate text may be added by IEEE-SA staff editor prior to publication

CI **00** SC P L # 137

Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Regarding your response to my TR comment #374.

Your response and the data behind it just goes to show that the balloting group is not always right, something well known by your TF Chair's as a result of his experience on REVCOM. I am confident that history will prove me correct in this matter. Therefore my comment stands.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the PAR and the draft so that what is currently designated as P802.3ah can be approved as a separate full/new standard that is approved as and will remain a separate standard from IEEE Std 802.3. This will allow this project and its provider oriented successors/amendments to more freely meet the requirements of this significantly different marketplace and set of customers.

Pursue further steps to approval, both editorially and procedurely as a separate standard.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Previously considered. No further action is required

C/ 00 SC P L # 106

Neal J. King Infineon Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

I am casting this vote in support of comments against D3.2 by Burkart Schneiderheinze, which have been submitted.

SuggestedRemedy

When Mr Schneiderheinze's comments are resolved, I will be pleased to switch.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Based on correspondence with balloter, this comment

is resolved.

C/ 00 SC P11 L14 # 121

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The boxed Editors' Notes throughout the draft are no longer required.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove.

Thompson, Geoff

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. These boxes will be removed by the staff editor when the document is submitted to her for publication

Cl **00** SC Piii L18 # 138

Nortel Networks

•

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Regarding your response to my TR comment #372. Your response was non-responsive. No rationale for rejection was provided. Further, while

"Appropriate text may be added by IEEE-SA staff editor prior to publication" there is the strong possibility based on experience that the text will not be added by staff. Since staff has not met the long standing requirement for the "addition" of this text, the appropriate remedy is to add draft front matter (in much the same manner as routinely done by 802.1) to assure that mandated material will appear in the published standard. Given that introductory matter has already been developed for this draft, this does not seem like a significant imposition.

SuggestedRemedy

Add draft front matter that includes the following text:

"At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position, explanation, or interpretation of the IEEE." thus assuring that the requirements of the Op Manual 5.9.3 will be met.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Previously considered. No further action is required

SC

D3.0 #726

D3.0 #730

C/ 00

James. David

C/ 00 SC 0 P1 L 35 # 99304 James, David JGG

This is just one example. Instruct your editors to eliminate capitalization on everything

The profuse use of capitalization, for emphasis, field name delineation, acronyms, etc. is

unnecessary and distracting. With so many capitals, its hard to tell when one sentence or

Start at the front, work through the end, and have a policy in mind. Simply repeating the

Comment Type Comment Status A TR

except proper nouns and the first word of headings and sentences.

Comment Type TR

SC 0

Comment Status A

D3.0 #727

99306

This trademark usage page is blank, with no notice of any desire to change or method of change.

P2

JGG

L1

This comments was not addressed when marked as editorial, in previous working group ballots. I hope action is taken this time.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Eliminate the page
- 2) Put some text describing what and when will happen to this page.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This page is a reminder that text will be added on publication. An editors note can be added to this effect

C/ 00 SC 00 P1 L9 # 592 JGG

James. David

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

Draft Amendment to Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications-

SuggestedRemedy

Draft Amendment to Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical laver specifications-

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, front page and page ii.
- 3) IEEE Draft P802.3ah(tm)/D3.2, page i, line 17

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

WITHDRAWN.

REJECT. This comment is on unchanged text. Further, it is on text that is not balloted in the front matter. Moreover, the title matches the PAR document

802.3 mistakes is not sufficient. SuggestedRemedy

for network Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM) is included

for network operations, administration and maintenance (OAM) is included

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Excessive capitalization.

Will try to improve on capitalization

C/ 00 SC 0 P10 L 1 # 99305 James, David JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

field name begins and another one ends.

Unnecessary page, not part of the specification.

This is normally provided (or so says Tom Alexander) for the convenience of editors when the document is in FrameMaker source. Its not needed in pdf, and (in fact) could lead to some interesting translation ambiguities.

SugaestedRemedy

Remove this and following page.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This has usually been added to 802.3 docs.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 3 of 104

C/ 01 SC 1.3 P12 L 15 # 816 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P13 L 44 # 591 Grow. Robert Intel James. David JGG Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Inappropriate change marking. Either make it a replace or mark it as a change Excessive capitalization: SuggestedRemedy 1.4.xxx Aggregation group: ... Line 13, all that is changing is the reference from ""G.652.2000"" to ""(2003) G6.52"" and SuggestedRemedy addition of the work ""and" in the title. Please also note style problem of missing em-dash. Proposed Response Response Status C 1.4.xxx aggregation group: ... ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Will change it to a replace 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 68, line 13. SC 1.3 P12 C/ 01 L 24 # 817 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Grow, Robert Intel Proposed Response Response Status U Comment Type Е Comment Status A REJECT. This is not an insert, 802.3ae has a reference to ANSI X3-230. This comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy C/ 01 P13 SC 1.4 L 47 # 593 Convert to a change instruction with proper marking or make it a Replace the ""ANSI X3.230"" reference with: James, David **JGG** Proposed Response Response Status C Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Excessive capitalization: Change the instruction on this reference to be a "Replace" 1.4.xxx Bandplan: ... SuggestedRemedy C/ 01 SC 1.3 P12 L 53 # 818 Grow. Robert Intel 1.4.xxx bandplan: ...

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Inconsistent document identification. The added year of this reference is consistent in placement with the above references, but inconsistent with the year location of p.12, l.15. It is also inconsistent with the ITU-T additions of 802.3ae which use G.652, 2000, instead of the year in parenthesis. Which is right?

SuggestedRemedy

If 802.3ae usage is incorrect, a knowledgable person should submit a maintenance request. If the dominant parentheitcal year specification (following the document number) is correct, then line 15 needs to be changes, if both line 15 and line 53 formats are correct (and possibly 802.3ae format), then ITU-T needs to stabilize its document numbering style. It would be nice to get this right before our ITU-T experts go away.

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Paranthesis should come after the document number. Will fix line 15 to say ITU-T Recommendation G.652 (2003). . .

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 648, line 31.
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 4 of 104

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P13 L 50 # 594 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L1 # 596 James. David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Excessive capitalization: Excessive capitalization: 1.4.xxx Coupled Power Ratio (CPR): ... 1.4.xxx Grant: ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1.4.xxx coupled power ratio (CPR): ... 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 11. 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 48, line 40. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is on unchanged text. This comment is on unchanged text. C/ 01 SC 1.4 P13 L 53 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L10 # 595 # 597 James, David JGG James, David **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type TR Comment Status A Excessive capitalization: Excessive terminology: 1.4.xxx MPCP Registration: ... 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... SuggestedRemedy My text editor could find no instance of ""MPCP Registration"" ==> nor ""MPCP registration"". 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... SuggestedRemedy As per: Delete the definition. 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). Response Status C Proposed Response 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 98, line 21. ACCEPT. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

SC 1.4

CI 01 SC 1.4 P14 L12 # 598

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive capitalization:

1.4.xxx OAM Discovery: ...

SuggestedRemedy

I don't like the proper noun implication, but this seems to be consistently done in this rather strange fashion, so I can't make this binding.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L16 # 599

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive capitalization:

1.4.xxx Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM): A group of network support functions

SuggestedRemedy

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 25.
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L22 # 600

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

1.4.xxx Optical Line Terminal (OLT): ...

SuggestedRemedy

==>

1.4.xxx optical line terminal (OLT): ...

As per

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 30.
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L25 # 602

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive capitalization:

1.4.xxx Point to Multi-Point Network (P2MP):

SuggestedRemedy

==>

1.4.xxx point to multi-point network (P2MP):

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 33.
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 6 of 104

SC 1.4

unchanged text

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P14 L25 # 601

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text
Excessive capitalization:

1.4.xxx Optical Network Unit (ONU): ...

SuggestedRemedy

==>

1.4.xxx optical network unit (ONU): ...

As per

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 30.
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Following 802.3ah liaison response regarding term ONU and ONT, I have received several inquires from people involved in network management. Based on their recommendations, I suggest that we clarify the definition of ONU as follows.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the following definition:

1.4.xxx Optical Network Unit (ONU): The subscriber-end DTE to an optical access network. Typically, the term ONU refers to a device that terminates optical portion of a network, but does not demarcate the network. For the purposes of this standard, the term ONU represents only a logical function constituting a slave entity in a P2MP network with regard to the MPCP protocol.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text. The current definition in clause 1 is adequate. It has been reviewed several times and has not generated any confusion in the TF.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P14 L28 # 603

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive terminology:

1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery: ...

My text editor could find no instance of ""P2MP discovery"" nor ""P2MP discovery"".

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the definition.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L33 # 604

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive terminology:

1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery window: ...

My text editor could find no instance of ""P2MP Discovery"" nor ""P2MP discovery"".

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the definition.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 7 of 104

C/ 01 SC 1.4

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L 36 # 605 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L 46 # 607 James, David JGG James, David JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type Т Comment Status R unchanged text Excessive terminology: Excessive capitalization: 1.4.xxx P2MP Timestamp: ... 1.4.xxx Ranging: SuggestedRemedy My text editor could find no instance of ""P2MP Timestamp"" nor ""P2MP timestamp"". 1.4.xxx point-to-point emulation (P2PE): SuggestedRemedy Delete the definition. 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). Proposed Response Response Status U 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 14, line 36. REJECT. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Proposed Response Response Status C This comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. SC 1.4 C/ 01 P14 L 39 # 606 This comment is on unchanged text. JGG James. David C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L 50 # 608 Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text Inconsistent definition James, David **JGG** Comment Type Т Comment Status R unchanged text 1.4.xxx Point to Multi-Point Network (P2MP): Excessive capitalization: and 1.4.xxx Reflectance: Ratio of ... P2MP point to multi-point SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> 1.4.xxx reflectance: Ratio of ... 1.4.xxx point to multi-point (P2MP) network: As per: Proposed Response Response Status C 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). REJECT. 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 276, line 9. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 This comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L **52** # 609 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P15 L38 # 99344 James, David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type Т Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #732 Excessive capitalization. There is no point in capitalizing every defined word (or many of Excessive capitalization: them, with no apparent pattern). This confuses the parsing of sentences, since defined 1.4.xxx Upstream: Upstream: In words, registers, fields, etc. are all capitalized. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1.4.xxx Aggregation group: ... 1.4.xxx upstream: 1.4.xxx aggregation group: ... As per: 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 1.4.xxx Bandplan: ... 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 47. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 1.4.xxx bandplan: ... Proposed Response Response Status C 1.4.xxx Coupled Power Ratio (CPR): ... REJECT. 1.4.xxx coupled power ratio (CPR): ... Style is consistant with EFM and previous 802.3 drafts. Extra term deleted by comment #610. 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 L 52 # 610 1.4.xxx downstream: ... JGG James, David 1.4.xxx Grant: Within P2MP protocols, ... Comment Type Comment Status A Е Excessive duplication: 1.4.xxx grant: Within P2MP protocols, ... 1.4.xxx Upstream: Upstream: 1.4.xxx Logical Link Identifier (LLID): ... SuggestedRemedy 1.4.xxx logical link identifier (LLID): ... ==> 1.4.xxx upstream: 1.4.xxx MPCP Registration: ... As per normal English usage (one instance is sufficient). 1.4.xxx MPCP registration: ... Proposed Response Response Status C 1.4.xxx OAM Discovery: ... ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1.4.xxx OAM discovery: ... Will remove one of the upstream terms. For capitalization response please see #609 1.4.xxx Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM): ... 1.4.xxx operations, administration and maintenance (OAM): ... 1.4.xxx Optical Line Terminal (OLT): ... 1.4.xxx optical line terminal (OLT): ... 1.4.xxx Optical Network Unit (ONU): ...

1.4.xxx optical network unit (ONU): ...

1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery: ... ==> 1.4.xxx P2MP discovery: ... 1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery window: ... 1.4.xxx P2MP discovery window: ... 1.4.xxx P2MP Timestamp: ... 1.4.xxx P2MP timestamp: ... 1.4.xxx Point to Multi-Point Network (P2MP): ... 1.4.xxx point to multi-point network (P2MP): ... 1.4.xxx Point-to-point emulation (P2PE): ... 1.4.xxx point-to-point emulation (P2PE): ... 1.4.xxx Ranging: ... 1.4.xxx ranging: ... 1.4.xxx Reflectance: ... ==> 1.4.xxx reflectance: ... 1.4.xxx Upstream: ... ==> 1.4.xxx upstream: ... Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will capitalize abbreviations in a definition to be consistant with 802.3ae (part of base document). Otherwise they will not be.

For definitons they will not be capitalized

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P16 L8 # 99355

Dr. David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.1 #591

has excess capitalization, as can be seen by looking at Definitions are

****>>>NOT<<<<***** capitalized just because they are defined. Even the most recent
802.3 "bible" has finally done this (mostly) right.

SuggestedRemedy

I view the responses to submitted comments arrogant and ill informed. Your should read the IEEE Style manual, which is available on line.

After that, establishing editorial guidelines (which a chief editor should do) or distributing pointers to useful references would be useful, such as http://dviames.com/templates/StdBook.pdf.

A response of 802.3 precedence is irrelevent: your job is to write based on IEEE style guidelines. Besides, the precedence (most recent 802.3) also shows definitions not capitalized unless proper nouns.

Proposed Response Status **U** REJECT.

The editor-in-chief has worked closely with the IEEE staff editor to ensure that the draft adequately conforms with the IEEE style guide.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 10 of 104

99345 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P17 L 5 LLID logical link identifier James, David JGG MPCP Multi-Point Control Protocol Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #733 Excessive capitalization. There is no point in capitalizing every acronym (or many of them, MPCP multi-point control protoco with no apparent pattern). This confuses the parsing of sentences, since defined words, registers, fields, etc. are all capitalized. OAM Operations, Administration, and Maintenance Also, IEEE Style manual clearly shown acronyms not capitalized unless proper nouns. OAM operations, administration, and maintenance Due to the large number of these, and failures in the past when attempting to resolve these earlier, they have been elevated to a TR. OAMPDU Operations, Administration, and Maintenance Protocol Data Unit After fixing the unnecessary capitalization, provide a check list to the other clause editors. OAMPDU operations, administration, and maintenance protocol data unit Its easier for them to search, then for me and/or others to do so on their behalf. **ODN Optical Distribution Network** SuggestedRemedy CO Central Office ODN optical distribution network ==> CO central office **OH Overhead** ==> **CPE Customer Premises Equipment** OH overhead CPE customer premises equipment **OLT Optical Line Terminal CPR Coupled Power Ratio** OLT optical line terminal CPR coupled power ratio ONU Optical Network Unit DMT Discrete Multi-Tone ONU optical network unit ==> DMT discrete multi-tone ORLT Optical return loss tolerance **DA Destination Address** ORLT optical return loss tolerance ==> DA destination address P2P Point to Point EFM Ethernet in the First Mile P2P point to point EFM Ethernet in the first mile P2PE Point to Point Emulation EFM Cu Ethernet in the First Mile ... P2PE point to point emulation --> EFM Cu Ethernet in the first mile ... P2MP Point to Multi-Point **FEC Forward Error Correction** P2MP point to multi-point FFC forward error correction PAF PMI Aggregation Function FSW Frame Synchronization Word PAF PMI aggregation function

PAFH PMI Aggregation Function Header

FSW frame synchronization word<cr

LLID Logical Link identifier

==>

PAFH PMI aggregation function header

PAM Pulse Amplitude Modulation

==>

PAM pulse amplitude modulation

PMS-TC Physical Media Specific - Transmission Convergence

==>

PMS-TC physical media specific - transmission convergence

PSD Power Spectral Density

==>

PSD power spectral density

SA Source Address

==>

SA source address

SHDSL Single-pair High-speed Digital Subscriber Line

==>

SHDSL single-pair high-speed digital subscriber line

STU-O SHDSL Transceiver Unit - Central Office

==>

STU-O SHDSL transceiver unit - central office

STU-R SHDSL Transceiver Unit - Remote

==>

STU-R SHDSL transceiver unit - remote

TCM Trellis Coded Modulation

==>

TCM Trellis coded modulation

UPBO Upstream power back-off

==>

UPBO upstream power back-off

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will capitalize abbreviations in a definition to be consistant with 802.3ae (part of base document), Otherwise they will not be.

For definitons they will not be capitalized

C/ 01 SC 1.4

P **21**

L **8**

611

James, David

Comment Type E

Comment Status D

JGG

Non-standard table centering:

The right-most column should be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Center it.

As per:

1) IEEE styles

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 253, line 34, column 1.

This cannot be done by the IEEE, since the rejection of previous comments in the area of centering columns indicates there is some (rather undocumented) convention for sometimes centering numbers/letters, and sometimes not.

In fact, this convention seems to be somewhat clause number dependent, but I'm not sure, since I've never seen a clear statement of rules on when 802.3ah intends to center or not, and (in general) the IEEE style rules don't seem to be followed.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

WITHDRAWN.

It is unclear where this comment is. There is no table in 1.4.

The editors believe that this may be in table 22-7 based on the page number indicater. Moreover, the IEEE publication editor will insert the changed text in this table to 802.3 base when .ah is added.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 12 of 104

C/ 01

SC 1.4

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P442 L42 # 612

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

If both bits Upstream use of optional band and Downstream use of

SuggestedRemedy

==>

If both bits upstream use of optional band and downstream use of

As per

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 47.

2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

Change to lower case so that the sentence reads (62.3.4.9.4.):

"If both bits upstream use of optional band and downstream use of"

Moved: James Second: Dineen

Y:3 N:7

A:1

Motion fails. Comment is rejected,

C/ 01 SC 30.11

P**72** JGG L 43

651

Comment Type T
Undefined term:

James, David

Comment Status A

""If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PCS is present""

I did not find ""MDIO"" that in 802.3-2002 or this draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

refiled against Clause 01 from Clause 30

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Note: The Clause 45 MDIO is defined in IEEE Std 802.3ae-2002 where Clause 45 is first published. The title of this Clause is 'Management Data Input/Output (MDIO) Interface'.

Motion to include a line in 1.5 that says: james/dineen

MDIO management data input/output

Y: 7 N:0 A:1

add the following line to 1.5:

MDIO management data input/output

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 13 of 104

C/ 01

SC 30.11

CI 22

CI 22 SC 1.4 P 21 L 1 # 99309 James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R D3.0 #734

Nortel

L9

Excessive capitalization. There is no point in capitalizing every acronym (or many of them, with no apparent pattern). This confuses the parsing of sentences, since defined words, registers, fields, etc. are all capitalized.

Also, IEEE Style manual clearly shown acronyms not capitalized unless proper nouns.

Due to the large number of these, and failures in the past when attempting to resolve these earlier, they have been elevated to a TR.

After fixing the unnecessary capitalization, provide a check list to the other clause editors. Its easier for them to search, then for me and/or others to do so on their behalf.

SuggestedRemedy

22. Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Media Independent Interface (MII)

22. Reconciliation sublayer (RS) and media independent interface (MII)

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Changing the title of an existing clause is outside the scope of P802.3ah.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1.12 P 23 L 20 # 99310 Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

D3.0 #747

Subclause is unclear and contains data that is either duplicated or belongs in another clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the last sentence of the last paragraph to be the last sentence of the first paragraph.

Move the second paragraph to proceed the first paragraph. Move MF42 & MF43 in PICS to proceed MF38 & MF39.

Delete the third paragraph and delete MF40 & MF41. This information should be in those respective clauses and repetition here just requires editing if another standards development wishes to use this bit.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

I agree with all the moves.

The third paragraph was added to resolve a TR in WG ballot that expressed concern about enabling this capability without consideration of the ramifications.

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

SC 22.2.4.2.8

D3.0 #793

99311

Proposed text goes well beyond the allowed scope of the project. As worded it would appear to allow "unidirectional ability" on legacy PHY types. This change could cause great confusion and interoperability problems with conformat legacy networks.

P 25

SuggestedRemedy

Thompson, Geoffrey

Limit the scope of this change to the PHY types being added by this clause that support unidirectional ability. Require that the value of bit 1.7 will be zero for all other current PHY types.

Any WG action to add unidirectional ability to legacy PHY types should be done through maintenance or a new project with the appropriate scope.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"Bit 1.7 shall be set to 0 for all PHYs except the following: 100BASE-X using the PCS specified in 66.1 and 1000BASE-X using the PCS specified in 66.2."

Use the major capability from comment #748 in the PICS entry.

Cl 22 SC 22.7.2.3 P 25 L 42 # 622 JGG

James, David

Comment Status R Comment Type Т

Not defined in glossary:

Unidirectional PCS

Maybe this is defined somewhere else, but I did not find:

- 1) Definition in this document
- 2) Definition in 802.3-2002.pdf
- 3) A search algorithm an precedence relationship for finding the definition elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Define in this document
- 2) Place in the definitions, but only something like unidirectional PCS (see 802.27-2017)
- 3) Define a search algorithm and precedence relationship for finding definitions contained within related baseline and/or admendment drafts.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

WITHDRAWN.

CI 22 SC 22.7.2.3 P 25 L 42 # 621 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.4 P 26 L 22 # 625 James. David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A Excessive capitalization: Excessive capitalization: Implementation of Unidirectional PCS **Unidirectional Ability** SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Implementation of unidirectional PCS Unidirectional ability Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. This comment is against Clause 22. Straw Poll: Р C/ 30 SC L # 92 Prefer to decapitalize Unidirectional: 4 Prefer leaving Unidirectioal as is: 2 Kramer, Glen Teknovus Ε Comment Status A CI 22 SC 22.7.3.4 P 26 L 15 Comment Type # 623 The cross-references to a section should not have word "subclause" in front of the number. JGG James, David This applies to many places in C30. Comment Status A Comment Type T SuggestedRemedy Excessive capitalization: Do global search and remove on word "subclause" **Enable Unidirectional mode** Proposed Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Enable unidirectional mode p 43 line 50 sub-clause Proposed Response Response Status C p 64: eight instances of subclause ACCEPT. p 69: line 48 also Clause 61 page 375 line 48 CI 22 SC 22.7.3.4 P 26 L18 # 624 C/ 30 Ρ L SC # 90 James, David JGG Teknovus Kramer, Glen Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Type Т Comment Status A Excessive capitalization: all 8 occurrences of "SPD" in 30 should now be "SLD". Also one in 30A.19, 4 times in Disable Unidirectional mode 30A.19.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace SPD with SLD ==> Disable unidirectional mode Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Also change "Unidirectional Ability" to "Unidirectional ability" on line 22 in MF45

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 15 of 104

C/ 30

SC

C/ 30 SC 30.1 P 28 L14 # 626 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

This clause provides the Layer Management specification for DTEs,

SuggestedRemedy

This clause provides the layer management specification for DTEs.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard.

C/ 30 SC 30.1 P 28 L 14 # 627 James, David JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type Т

Excessive capitalization:

MAC Control. Link Aggregation, and DTE Power via MDI, and subscriber access networks.

SuggestedRemedy

MAC control, link aggregation, and DTE power via MDI, and subscriber access networks.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard.

C/ 30 SC 30.1 P 28 L 44 # 628

James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R Т

Incorrect text:

Such containment is expected, but is outside the scope of this International Standard.

This would seem to imply this is an ISO/ITU standard, which is not yet true.

Also, make other changes via search-and-replace.

SuggestedRemedy

Such containment is expected, but is outside the scope of this standard.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard.

C/ 30 P**71** L 3 SC 30.11 # 650 JGG

James. David

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

30.11 Layer Management for Physical Medium Entity (PME)

SuggestedRemedy

30.11 Layer Management for physical medium entity (PME)

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2. Page 15. line 38:
- ""... PME physical medium entity ..""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 30 SC 30.11 P72 L43 # 652

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization. Also, the Clause name is wrong; a cross-reference for the name should be used to keep these correct.

""If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PCS is present""

SuggestedRemedy

==:

- 1) If a Clause 45 Management data input/output (MDIO) interface to the PCS is present
- 2) Make the name be a cross-reference, so it is update when the clause title changes.
- 3) Search and replace everywhere else.

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1,4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.4 P75 L41 # 654

JGG

James, David

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization:

current Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) Margin

SuggestedRemedy

==>

current signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) margin

As per

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 435, line 36 ""and the signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) of the sub-channels.""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Motion to accept the suggested remedy: james/dineen

Y: 5 N: 1 A: 0

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.4

P**75**

L 45

118

David Law

3Com

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Suggest the text 'If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PCS is present, then this attribute will map to the 10P/2B RX SNR margin register ...' should read 'If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PMA/PMD is present, then this attribute will map to the 10P/2B RX SNR margin register ...' since the 10P/2B RX SNR margin register is a PMA/PMD regsiter, not a PCS register.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The text 'PCS' will be replaced by the text 'PMA/PMD' as suggested.

Cl 30 SC 30.11.2.1.4

P**75**

Infineon Technologies

L 47

31

Schneiderheinze, Burkart

Comment Type E C

Comment Status A

wrong cross ref (points to line partner SNR margin)

SuggestedRemedy

point to local SNR register 45.2.1.16

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.4

P**77**

L 33

656

James, David

JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Excessive redundancy:

SuggestedRemedy

==:

""uncorrectable errors counter (see 45.2.1.23).;""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

also change line 15.

[&]quot;"uncorrectable errors counter counter counter (see 45.2.1.23).:""

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.5 P**75** L 53 # 30 C/ 30 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies James. David Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type maximum counter value not correct: SuggestedRemedy change value to 19230 link is Down. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.6 P76 L 16 # 32 As per: Infineon Technologies Schneiderheinze, Burkart Comment Type E Comment Status A ""link is down"" in total 12 profiles can be selected but only 6 per region SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response add region ACCEPT. Response Status C Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 30 Change text of Note-2 on line 30 to read: James. David Note 2 - For a 2BASE-TL PHY six profiles per region can be chosen for handshake (see Comment Type T 61.4) and the one with the highest data rate will be used.; Motion to accept response as shown above. Barrass/Dineen

Y: 10 N: 1 A: 0

SC 30.11.2.1.6 P76 L 23 # 655

JGG

Т Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization:

attribute aPHYEnd is "office" and the

attribute aPHYEnd is "office" and the link is down.

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 76, line 40

3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Response Status C

Change "Down" to "down" in two places on line 23:

P**76** L 27 SC 30.11.2.1.6 # 664

JGG

Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization:

""The Profile selected by a particular value""

SuggestedRemedy

""The profile selected by a particular value""

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 76, line 18

""As changing the operating profile is""

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Straw Poll:

Accept SuggestedRemedy: 2 Reject SuggestedRemedy: 0

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.6 P76 L 30 # 33 C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P30 L 24 # 629 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies James. David JGG Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R Т with the changes of clause 45 now 8 profiles will be supported Excess capitalization: SuggestedRemedy The top-most managed object class of the Midspan containment tree change four to 8 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. The top-most managed object class of the midspan containment tree See response to comment 32. Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.7 P76 L 49 # 34 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard including approved ammendments. Comment Type E Comment Status A wrong cross ref Table 63b-1 points to performance guidelines, profiles are defined in table C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P30 L 32 # 630 63A-1 James. David JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Comment Type update Excess capitalization: Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. to allow an instance of the Multi-Point MAC Control function SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 63B-1" to "Table 63A-1". C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 29 L 13 # 632 to allow an instance of the multi-point MAC control function JGG James, David Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. Comment Type T Comment Status R Excess capitalization: The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. can assist with debugging and fault finding in Systems that support Link Aggregation. C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P30 L 37 # 631 SuggestedRemedy James. David JGG Comment Type Comment Status R can assist with debugging and fault finding in systems that support link Excess capitalization: aggregation. Proposed Response Response Status C for each Aggregation Port that is part of the aggregation represented by REJECT. SuggestedRemedy The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged for each aggregation port that is part of the aggregation represented by in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard. Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

in this draft.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 19 of 104

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P31 L15 # 633

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excess capitalization:

The PSE Group managed object class is a view of a collection of PSEs.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

The PSE group managed object class is a view of a collection of PSEs.

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

The capitalisation of the text 'PSE Group managed object class' matches the subclause to which it is referring to found in the amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2002, IEEE Std 802.3af-2003 'Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) Power via Media Dependent Interface (MDI)', subclause 30.10.2, 'PSE Group managed object class'.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P31 L30 # 634

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excess capitalization:

The Port Enable/Disable function as reported by portAdminState is

SuggestedRemedy

==>

The port enable/disable function as reported by portAdminState is

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard including approved ammendments.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P32 L5 # 635

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excess capitalization:

next higher containment level, that is, either a DTE, repeater or Midspan with management.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

next higher containment level, that is, either a DTE, repeater or midspan with management.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard including approved amendments.

Motion to reject SuggestedRemedy: Barrass/Thompson

Y: 6 N: 2 A:1

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 20 of 104

Cl 30 SC 30.2.3 P32 L5 # 636

James. David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Not defined in glossary:

the term ""Midspan"" in:

""either a DTE, repeater or Midspan with management.""

Maybe this is defined somewhere else, but I did not find:

- 1) Definition in this document
- 2) Definition in 802.3-2002.pdf
- 3) A search algorithm an precedence relationship for finding the definition elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Define in this document
- Place in the definitions, but only something like midspan (see 802.27-2017)
- Define a search algorithm and precedence relationship for finding definitions contained within related baseline and/or admendment drafts.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The definition of the term Midspan can be found in the amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2002, IEEE Std 802.3af-2003 'Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) Power via Media Dependent Interface (MDI)'. The definition reads:

1.4.x Midspan:

An entity located within a link segment that is distinctly separate from and between the Medium Dependent Interfaces (MDIs).

Note - This comment is be marked reject only as there is no change required to the draft as the term Midspan is already defined in an already published amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2002.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.3

P33

JGG

L 51

637

James, David

Comment Type T

Comment Status R

Excess capitalization:

Figure 30-3-DTE System entity relationship diagram

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Figure 30-3-DTE system entity relationship diagram

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard including approved ammendments.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5

P**34** JGG L 45

638

James, David

Comment Type

Comment Status R

Unclear cross-reference.

to IEEE 802.3 Management.

Т

Is this a separate document, or a clause within a document?

Make the cross-reference clear.

For folks not close to this document, its not clear if this represents a clause, a function, a distinct document, or whatever.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard including approved ammendments.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 21 of 104

C/ 30

SC 30.2.5

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5.1.21 P47 L49 # 100

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The value of round trip time is only available at the OLT.

SuggestedRemedy

Add clarification that the RTT value is only available at the OLT.

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Add the text 'This value is only defined for an OLT. The contents of this attribute are undefined for an ONU.:'

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.34 P40 L34 # 643

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

A GET operation returns the current Defer Control mode of operation of the MAC.

SuggestedRemedy

A GET operation returns the current defer control mode of operation of the MAC.

As per

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 192, line 27.
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.34

P**40** L**9**

642

JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

when connected to a PHY utilizing the MAC-PHY Rate Matching defined in 61.2.1.1.;

SuggestedRemedy

when connected to a PHY utilizing the MAC-PHY rate matching defined in 61.2.1.1.;

As per:

James. David

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

This comment appears to be a duplicate of #639.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.34 P40 L9 # 639

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

when connected to a PHY utilizing the MAC-PHY Rate Matching defined in 61.2.1.1.;

SuggestedRemedy

when connected to a PHY utilizing the MAC-PHY rate matching defined in 61.2.1.1.;

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Note - This appears to be a duplicate of comment #642.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.35 P40 L 21 # 640

James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inconsistent notation, with respect to capitalization:

The enumeration "true" is returned when the interframe spacing is accomplished within the

sublayer (see 4A.2.3.2.3), the enumeration "false" is returned otherwise.;

See also page 141, line 6:

1 = remote_TC_out_of_sync is FALSE

0 = remote_TC_out_of_sync is TRUE

SuggestedRemedy

Use a consistent notation. The convention in code, that is commonly used elsewhere, is that ALL CAPS is used for defined constants, thus

The enumeration "TRUE" is returned when the interframe spacing is accomplished within the MAC

sublayer (see 4A.2.3.2.3), the enumeration "FALSE" is returned otherwise.;

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

SC 30.3.1.5.2 C/ 30

P 42

JGG

Comment Status R

L 40

645

James. David Comment Type

Т

Excessive capitalization:

A read-only value that identifies the operational state of the Multi-Point MAC Control sublayer.

SuggestedRemedy

A read-only value that identifies the operational state of the multi-point MAC control sublayer.

Use search and replace, to update all instances in the draft.

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 15, line 22:
- ""... MPCP multi-point control protocol..""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.5.2 P42 L44 # 646

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization:

The operational state of the Multi-Point MAC Control Sublayer can be

The capitalization of Sublayer is not even consistent with the previous sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

The operational state of the multi-point MAC control sublayer can be

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 15, line 22:
- ""... MPCP multi-point control protocol..""
 3) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 42, line 42:
- 3) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ah I M/D3.2, Page 42, line 42: ""... Multi-Point MAC Control sublayer..""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

will decapitalize s in sublayer:

The operational state of the Multi-Point MAC Control sublaver

C/ 30 SC 30.3.3.2

P**42**

L 1

644

Comment Type T

C

Comment Status R

JGG

Excessive capitalization:

A read-write list of the possible MAC Control functions implemented within the device. Each function implemented will have an associated MAC Control Function Entity object class.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

James. David

A read-write list of the possible MAC Control functions implemented within the device. Each function implemented will have an associated MAC Control Function Entity object class.

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 471, line 39:
- ""... multiple clients and additional MAC control functionality.""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft, and unchanged from the base standard including approved ammendments.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 24 of 104

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5 P42 L14 # 28 Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Many attributes defined for this package will be used very rarely, if ever. Such attributes should be optional. Making it a mandatory requirement puts unnecessary burden on ONUs for no good reason.

For example, consider all the counters for transmitted and received MPCP messages (a total of 10). MPCP is modeled after the PAUSE function. However,

aPAUSEMACCtrlFramesTransmitted and aPAUSEMACCtrlFramesReceived attributes are optional. So the MPCP counters should be optional.

SuggestedRemedy

Define a new package called Multi-Point Control Monitor Package (optional).

Move the following attributes to this package:

aMPCPMACCtrlFramesTransmitted

aMPCPMACCtrlFramesReceived

aMPCPTxGate

aMPCPTxRegAck

aMPCPTxRegister

aMPCPTxRegRequest

aMPCPTxReport

aMPCPRxGate

aMPCPRxRegAck

aMPCPRxRegister

aMPCPRxRegReguest

aMPCPRxReport

aMPCPTransmitElapsed

aMPCPReceiveElapsed

aMPCPDiscovervTimeout

aMPCPMaximumPendingGrants

Proposed Response Response Status Z

WITHDRAWN.

The contents and the structure of the Multi-Point Control Protocol Package is unchanged from that in draft D3.1. The comment therfore requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Adopt proposed response:

M: Grow S: Haran

Y: 7 N: 1 A: 0

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14

P46 Teknovus L3

98

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

GATE MPCPDU may have a well-knowm MAC Control multicast DA or unicast DA (see 64.3.3.5).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace item (1) to

(1) a destinationField equal to the reserved multicast address for MAC Control specified in

31A, or unique physical address associated with this station

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 P46

L6

L 38

96

Kramer, Glen

Teknovus

Comment Status A Comment Type Т

Items (3) and 94) are redundant. There is only one opcode field (and no field called MPCP opcode).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace items (3) and (4) with

"(3) an opcode indicating GATE MPCPDU"

Apply similar change (with the proper MPCPDU type) to sections 30.3.5.1.15 through 30.3.5.1.18

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.20 P47

99

Kramer, Glen

Teknovus

Comment Type Е Comment Status A

duplicated words "last MPCP"

SuggestedRemedy

remove repeated words

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.23 P48 L 17 # 102 Teknovus

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type Comment Status A

Attribute behaviour description is ambiguous. What constitutes a registration attempt at the OLT? Is it a transmission of a discovery GATE, or REGISTER MPCPDU? What about ONU? Is it a transmission or REGISTER_REQ or REGISTER_ACK?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this attribute altogether. All the necessary information is already available through aMPCPTxRegister, aMPCPTxRegAck, aMPCPTxRegReguest, etc.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

delete the attribute

straw poll:

delete attribute: 2 (7) keep attribute:0 (0)

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.23 P48 L 18 # 101

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type E Comment Status A

typo

SuggestedRemedy

"attempted" ashould be "attempt"

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.24

P48

L 29

103

Kramer, Glen Т

Discovery timeout is not well defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Define behaviour as follows:

In the OLT, this counter is incremented by one if after sending a REGSITER MPCPDU to an ONU, the OLT fails to receive a REGISTER ACK message from this ONU within the slot granted to this ONU.

Teknovus

In the ONU, this counter is incremented by one if after sending a REGSITER REQ MPCPDU, the ONU fails to receive a REGISTER MPCPDU from the OLT before it receives a new discovery GATE MPCPDU.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

Comment Status R

REJECT.

The behaviour of the attribute has remained unchanged since at least initial Workign Group ballot of D2.0. The comment therefore requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

straw poll:

Accept the suggested remedy: 4 Reject the suggested remedy: 3

motion to accept suggested remedy: kramer/james

Y: 3 N: 5 A: 3

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.4 P43 L13 # 647

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

that identifies the Logical Link identity (LLID) associated

SuggestedRemedy

==>

that identifies the logical link identity (LLID) associated

As per

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 15, line 20:
- ""... LLID logical link identifier ..""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5

P**43** JGG L 23

648

James, David

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization, inproper term:

of the last MPCPDU passed to the MAC Control.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

of the last MPCPDU passed to the MAC control sublayer. AND, use the text editor search&replace for all other instances.

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 471, line 39:
- ""... and additional MAC control functionality. ..""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

straw poll:

leave as is: 1 add "sublayer": 3 delete "the": 5

delete "the":

of the last MPCPDU passed to MAC Control.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 P43
Kramer. Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is no such entity as MCPC subtype. Instead, there is a regular MAC Control opcode which represents one of MPCP messages.

L 28

SuggestedRemedy

replace "(3) an MPCP subtype value equal to the subtype reserved for MPCP as specified in 31A."

with

"(3) an opcode value reserved for one of MPCP messages, as specified in 31A."

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

94

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 P51 L14 # 649

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization, improper term:

""corresponding to the Maximum PDU Size value""

I could not find a match for ""Maximum PDU Size"" that defines the term formally, so its either an informal term, or should be more formally defined.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

corresponding to the maximum PDU size value

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 471, line 39:
- ""... and additional MAC control functionality. ..""
- 3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "PDU" to "OAMPDU"

"corresponding to the Maximum OAMPDU Size value"

also on line 24 for the remote PDU.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.7

P**44**

L **9**

95

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status R

in C64 it says that "The OLT shall not issue more than one message every 1024 time_quanta [16384 ns] to a single ONU". Therefore, the maximum rate for MPCP frames cannot be more than 61036 frames/s.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1 600 000 counts per second at 1000 Mb/s"

to

"This counter has a maximum increment rate of 64 000 counts per second at 1000 Mb/s" Apply the same change to sections $\,$

30.3.5.1.8 through 30.3.5.1.18

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This text is unchanged from D3.1. In addition a comment was already submitted to check the increment rate for the PON related counters against D3.1 but this was rejected as the OAM group was informed this increment rate was correct (see D3.1 comment #396)

Note - This subclauses is marked as changed due to subclause re-numbering from D3.1 to D3.2, the text related to the increment rate is unchanged from D3.1.

Straw poll:

Reject 4 Accept 0

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.9

P 44

L 43

97

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status A

redundant sentense: "with an opcode indicating an MPCP frame and a MPCP opcode indicating a GATE MPCPDU".

There is only one opcode field in MAC Control messages.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "with an opcode indicating an MPCP frame and a MPCP opcode indicating a GATE MPCPDU"

with

"with an opcode indicating GATE MPCPDU"

Apply similar change (with proper MPCPDU type) to sections 30.3.5.1.10 through

30.3.5.1.13

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.3 P63 L 47 # 104 Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

This attribute cannot be counted because with corrupted SPD frames will not be delineated. In other words, a device will not be able to determine whether it is a corrupted start of frame or just line errors.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this attribute

Response Status Z Proposed Response WITHDRAWN.

The comment states that 'a device will not be able to determine whether it is a corrupted start of frame or just line errors' however that is not the behaviour of the counter.

As stated in subclause 65.1.3.3. 'If the SLD field isn't found then the packet shall be discarded'. It is when this discard happens that this counter is incremented as it is normal to count at each point where packets discards may occur. If this particular type of packet discard where not recorded it would then become impossible to determine if a transmitted packet that was not received was lost due to line errors are a SLD framing error.

Regardless of the above this comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Note - This subclauses is marked as changed due to subclause re-numbering from D3.1 to D3.2, the text related to the increment rate is unchanged from D3.1.

P**64** C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.6 L 31 # 93

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This attribute refers to an ONU.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "at a OLT" with "at an ONU". Also remove "item b)" at the end of this paragraph.

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.7 P 64 L 44

91

Kramer, Glen

Teknovus

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

30.3.7.1.7 refers to subclause 65.1.3.3.2 item e). But there is no item e, just a, b, c and another a, b.

SuggestedRemedy

The text "item e)" should be removed. All there items (a,b,c) define matching rules for the OLT.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.8 P64

/ 47

20

Kramer, Glen

Teknovus

Comment Type Comment Status R Т

An aBadLLID attribute is useless and is a vaiolation of point-to-point emulation ideology. ONUs should not see frames on different p2p (emulated) links. There is no reason to count frames destined to someone else.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this attribute

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Note - This subclauses is marked as changed due to subclause re-numbering from D3.1 to D3.2, the text related to the increment rate is unchanged from D3.1.

Straw poll:

Delete attribute: 1

Rename (e.g. aOtherLLID): 4

Leave as is: 5

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P70 L 1 # 21 Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Two problems with the aFECCorrectedBlock attributes:

- 1. Description ambiguity it is not clear whether this attribute should count only blocks that had errors and were corrected, or also blocks that had no errors.
- 2. counter increment rate is wrong. The highest rate correspond to the highest frame rate (1500000) since each small frame is counted as one (truncated) block.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1. Clarify description.
- 2. Change counter rate to 1500 000 counts per sec. (add same change to 30.5.1.1.16)

Response Status C Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The behaviour of the counter is clear, however the increment rate will be changed 1 600 000.

Also change increment rate in 30.5.1.1.16.

CI 45 L 23 SC 30.11.2.1.6 P**76** # 663 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

""or the link is not Down.""

SuggestedRemedy

""or the link is not Down.""

As per:

- 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).
- 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 76, line 19 ""occur when the link is down.""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment applies to text that has been previously approved by the ballot group and is therefore out of scope for this ballot.

C/ 45 SC 30.11.2.1.9

P15

JGG

L1

653

d

d

James. David

Comment Type

Т

Comment Status A

Excessive redundancy:

""FEC uncorrectable errors counter counter counter (see 45.2.1.23).;""

Its hard to tell how many counter should be counted, since the name of the counter could include the word counter. In general, this is a problem with using multi-word names for things like counters or registers; it would be much easier to parse something like:

FecUncorrectedErrors counter (see xx)

SuggestedRemedy

==>

FEC uncorrectable errors counter (see 45.2.1.23)

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It's just a typo. Remove one instance of "counter".

Cl 45 L 1 SC 30.11.2.1.9 P32 # 665

JGG James, David

Comment Status A Comment Type Т

Excessive redundancy:

""FEC uncorrectable errors counter counter counter (see 45.2.1.23).;""

Its hard to tell how many counter should be counted, since the name of the counter could include the word counter. In general, this is a problem with using multi-word names for things like counters or registers: it would be much easier to parse something like: FecUncorrectedErrors counter (see xx)

SuggestedRemedy

FEC uncorrectable errors counter (see 45.2.1.23)

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It's just a typo. Remove one instance of "counter".

Duplicate of 653

Cl 45 SC 45 P80 L10 # 657

James, David JGG

Excessive capitalization:

Т

""subscriber network Physical layer devices.""

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

==>

""subscriber network physical layer devices.""

As per

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

Comment Status R

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 207, line 16

""operation of each physical layer device""

3) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 59

""only if the underlying physical layer is capable of sending""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

Comment is made against text that is unchanged from the previously balloted and approved draft.

C/ 45 SC 45.1.2

P 212

L 39

658

James, David

Comment Type T

Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization:

""interface between Station Management (STA) and the sublayers that form""

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

==>

interface between station management (STA) and the sublayers that form

As per:

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 215, line 34

""such as OAM remote loopback,""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (IEEE 802.3ae-2002). To maintain harmony with the amended document the old style has been kept.

- - -

Motion

Accept the suggested remedy

Y: 1

N: 10

A: 5

Motion fails.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 31 of 104

C/ 45

SC 45.1.2

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P88 L18 # 36 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status R register does not clearely map the needed behavior during start up SuggestedRemedy "define the following bits: 1. HSTU Initiated Start up 0 = local start up (default -R device) 1 = far end start up (default o device) 2. Initialize 0 = no initialization device can be configured and dos not pay attention to handshake actions, 1= Initialize Initialization Phase starts with the q.994.1 action as configured by bit HSTU Initiated Start Up 3. Clarify that PMA/PMD link control initiates the start of the physical 2b/10p layer for the definition of these bits bits of PMA/PMD which are currently unused might be reused and/or the STFU bit, see also appropriate commet of clause 61.4.8.3" Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. See response to comments 65 and 68 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P88 19 # 122 Booth, Brad Intel Comment Type E Comment Status A Instructions to editor don't state whether or not the table numbering should be reordered. SuggestedRemedy Change end of note to state "... 45.2.1.10, and renumber the tables accordingly:". Do the same for the Table 45-42 and 45-59 series. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 P89 L 32 # 35 SC 45.2.1.11.6 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A "bit is called handshake response, bit with the bit set to one the device does not respond to hand shake tones" SuggestedRemedy either swap definition or change name appropriately Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Swap the definition: Call it "Ignore incoming handshake"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P91 L15 # 667

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Missing horizontal table divider

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Add that divider, or its unclear what is what.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment applies to text that has been previously approved by the ballot group and is therefore out of scope for this ballot.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Confusing table. This looks just like a table in 802.1, where in that case, the number represents a subclause reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Separate the numbers from the options into two separate columns.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment applies to text that has been previously approved by the ballot group and is therefore out of scope for this ballot.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Motion to accept the proposed response:

Y:4 N:1 A:0

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 32 of 104

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P92 L 1 # 670 James, David JGG Comment Type Comment Status R d Т Excessive capitalization. for the Link partner PMA/PMD control register SugaestedRemedy

==>

for the link partner PMA/PMD control register

As per:

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, Page 91, line 44

""counterpart to the link partner register.""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment applies to text that has been previously approved by the ballot group and is therefore out of scope for this ballot.

CI 45 P92 L4 SC 45.2.1.13 # 671 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Excessive capitalization.

""Link partner PMA/PMD control register bit definitions""

SuggestedRemedy

""The link partner PMA/PMD control register bit definitions""

(While its slightly ackward with the ""The"", a register name should always have the same capitalization, particularly given your extensive use of capitals to sort-of delineate key names.

As per:

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2. Page 91. line 44

""counterpart to the link partner register.""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The addition of the word "The" makes no sense in the context of the text that the comment is referring to.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P92 L8 # 669 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R Т

Non centered columns.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Possibly center column 1.2) Definitely center the right-most column.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot aroup.

Motion to adopt the suggested remedy:

M: David James

S: none

d

fails for lack of second

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13.1 P92 / 19 # 672 **JGG**

James. David

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.13.1 Get link partner parameters (1.32.15)

When this bit is set to a one, the "-O" PHY updates its link partner registers shown in Table 45-10c with values

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.13.1 Get link partner parameters

When the Get link partner parameters (1.32.15) bit is set to a one, the "-O" PHY updates its link partner registers shown in Table 45-10c with values

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

d

d

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13.2 P92 L28 # 673

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.13.2 Send link partner parameters (1.32.13)

When this bit is set to a one, the "-O" PHY sends the contents of the 2B link partner line quality thresholds

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.13.2 Send link partner parameters

When the Send link partner parameters (1.32.13) bit is set to a one, the "-O" PHY sends the contents of the 2B link partner line quality thresholds

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Motion to apply the resolution applied to OAM comment #706 adopted on April 12 to this comment and all others labled "Innapropriate titles" by commenter David James Moved: Hugh Barrass
Second: Mathias Riess

Y:7 N:2 A:0

MOTION PASSES

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14 P92 L37 # 674

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.14 Link partner PMA/PMD status register (Register 1.33)

The Link partner PMA/PMD status register reflects the result of the operations that are performed using the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.14 Link partner PMA/PMD status register

The Link partner PMA/PMD status register (register 1.33) reflects the result of the operations that are performed using the

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

d

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P92 L 47 # 675

James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.14.1 Get link partner result (1.33.14)

After a "Get link partner parameters" operation terminates, this bit reflects the result of the operation. If the

operation did not complete successfully, the PHY shall set this bit to a one. Upon being read or a reset, the

PHY shall set the bit to zero.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.14.1 Get link partner result

After a "Get link partner parameters" operation terminates, the Get link partner result bit (1.33.14) reflects the result of the operation. If the

operation did not complete successfully, the PHY shall set this bit to a one. Upon being read or a reset, the PHY shall set the bit to zero.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P93 L 1 # 676 JGG James, David

Comment Type Comment Status R Т

Excessive capitalization:

Table 45-10e-Link Partner PMA/PMD status register bit definitions

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Table 45-10e-Link partner PMA/PMD status register bit definitions

As per:

1) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 92, line 39.

""The Link partner PMA/PMD status register""

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

Comment is against unchanged text from the previous draft which stands approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14.2 P93 L 17 # 677 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.14.2 Send link partner result (1.33.12)

After a "Send link partner parameters" operation terminates, this bit reflects the result of the operation. If the

operation did not complete successfully, the PHY shall set this bit to a one.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.14.2 Send link partner result (1.33.12)

After a "Send link partner parameters" operation terminates, Send link partner result (1.33.12) bit reflects the result of the operation. If the

operation did not complete successfully, the PHY shall set this bit to a one.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P93 1 27 # 678 JGG James. David

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.15 10P/2B PMA/PMD link loss register (Register 1.36)

The 10P/2B PMA/PMD link loss register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of times the ...

PMA/

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.15 10P/2B PMA/PMD link loss register

The 10P/2B PMA/PMD link loss register (register 1.36) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of times the...

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 35 of 104

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.15

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.16 P 92 L 44 # 679 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.16 10P/2B RX SNR margin register (Register 1.37)

For further information on 2BASE-TL SNR margin, see 63.3. For 10PASS-TS SNR margin. see 62.3.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.16 10P/2B RX SNR margin register

The 10P/2B RX SNR margin register is register 1.37.

For further information on 2BASE-TL SNR margin, see 63.3. For 10PASS-TS SNR margin, see 62.3.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

P92 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L 50 # 680 JGG James, David

Comment Status R Comment Type Т

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.17 10P/2B link partner RX SNR margin register (Register 1.38)

The 10P/2B link partner RX SNR margin register provides access to the link partner's receive SNR margin.

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.17 10P/2B link partner RX SNR margin register

The 10P/2B link partner RX SNR margin register (register 1.38) provides access to the link partner's receive SNR margin.

Response Status C Proposed Response

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P94 L 18 # 681 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.18 10P/2B line attenuation register (Register 1.39)

This register reports the line attenuation as measured by the PMA/PMD. ...

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.18 10P/2B line attenuation register (Register 1.39)

The 10P/2B line attenuation register (register 1.39) reports the line attenuation as measured by the PMA/PMD.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P85 L 10 # 659 JGG James. David

Comment Type T Comment Status R

d Noncentered table column.

Center rightmost and leftmost column.

SuggestedRemedy

d

Center these columns.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 P87 L10 # 660

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Noncentered table column.

Center rightmost and leftmost column.

SuggestedRemedy

Center these columns.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Motion to adopt the suggested remedy:

M: David James

S: none

motion fails for lack of second

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.20 10P/2B line quality thresholds register (Register 1.41)

The 10P/2B line quality thresholds register sets the target environment for the 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL connection.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.20 10P/2B line quality thresholds register)

The 10P/2B line quality thresholds register (register 1.41 sets the target environment for the 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL connection.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20.1 P95 L15 # 683

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.20.1 Loop attenuation threshold (1.41.15:8)

These bits set the loop attenuation threshold for 2BASE-TL PMA/PMDs. Writing to these bits on a

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.20.1 Loop attenuation threshold (1.41.15:8)

The Loop attenuation threshold (1.41.15:8) bits set the loop attenuation threshold for

2BASE-TL PMA/PMDs. Writing to these bits on a

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20.2 P95 L21 # 684

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.20.2 SNR margin threshold (1.41.7:4)

These bits set the SNR margin threshold for 10PASS-TS and 2BASE-TL PMA/PMDs. The threshold is

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.20.2 SNR margin threshold (1.41.7:4)

The SNR margin threshold (1.41.7:4) bits set the SNR margin threshold for 10PASS-TS and 2BASE-TL PMA/PMDs. The threshold is

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.21 P95 L 28 # 685

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R d
Inappropriate titles.
Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.
Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.21 10P/2B link partner line quality thresholds register (Register 1.42)
The 10P/2B link partner line quality thresholds register allows the "-O" STA to set its "-R" link partner's

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.21 10P/2B link partner line quality thresholds register)

The 10P/2B link partner line quality thresholds register (register 1.42) allows the "-O" STA to set its "-R" link partner's

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.21 P95 L30 # 37
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

according to 45.2.1.13.2 setting the threshold of a -R device is only allowed for 2B device

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

remove 10P

Comment Type E

Proposed Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 P95 L40 # 686

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.22 10P FEC correctable errors counter (Registers 1.43)

The 10P FEC correctable errors counter is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of FEC codewords that

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.22 10P FEC correctable errors counter)

The 10P FEC correctable errors counter (registers 1.43) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of FEC codewords that

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23 P96 L1 # 687

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

I Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.23 10P FEC uncorrectable errors counter (Registers 1.44)

The 10P FEC uncorrectable errors counter is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of FEC codewords

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.23 10P FEC uncorrectable errors counter

The 10P FEC uncorrectable errors counter (registers 1.44) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of FEC codewords

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.24 P96 L18 # 688 James, David JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.24 10P link partner FEC correctable errors register (Register 1.45)

The 10P link partner FEC correctable errors register provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot of the "-R" link

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.24 10P link partner FEC correctable errors register

The 10P link partner FEC correctable errors register (register 1.45) provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot of the "-R" link

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

CI 45 P96 SC 45.2.1.25 L 30 # 689 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.25 10P link partner FEC uncorrectable errors register (Register 1.46)

The 10P link partner FEC uncorrectable errors register provides the "-O" STA a snapshot of the "-R" link

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.25 10P link partner FEC uncorrectable errors register)

The 10P link partner FEC uncorrectable errors register (register 1.46) provides the "-O" STA a snapshot of the "-R" link

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P96 L 43 # 690 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.26 10P electrical length register (Register 1.47)

The bit definitions for the 10P electrical length register are found in Table 45-10l.

SuggestedRemedy

Inappropriate titles.

45.2.1.26 10P electrical length register)

The bit definitions for the 10P electrical length register (register 1.47) are found in Table 45-

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.26.1 P 97 L1 # 691 James. David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.26.1 Electrical length (1.47.15:0)

After the link is established, these bits contain the measured electrical length (in meters) of the medium as

SuggestedRemedy

d

45.2.1.26.1 Electrical length (1.47.15:0)

After the link is established, the Electrical length (1.47.15:0) bits contain the measured electrical length (in meters) of the medium as

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

d

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27 P97 L6 # 692 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.27 10P link partner electrical length register (Register 1.48)

The 10P link partner electrical length register provides access to the link partner's electrical length measurement.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.27 10P link partner electrical length register

The 10P link partner electrical length register (register 1.48) provides access to the link partner's electrical length measurement.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P97 SC 45.2.1.28 L 18 # 693 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.28 10P PMA/PMD general configuration register (Register 1.49)

The 10P PMA/PMD general configuration register is defined for "-O" port types only.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.28 10P PMA/PMD general configuration register

The 10P PMA/PMD general configuration register (Register 1.49) is defined for "-O" port types only.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28.1 P 97 L 36 # 694 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.28.1 TX window length (1.49.7:0)

Bits 7:0 control the PMD transmit window length within the cyclic prefix and suffix in units of number of

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.28.1 TX window length

The TX window length (1.49.7:0) bits control the PMD transmit window length within the cyclic prefix and suffix in units of number of

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

d

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.29 P97 / 41 # 695 JGG James. David

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.29 10P PSD configuration register (Register 1.50)

This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

Inappropriate titles.

-->

45.2.1.29 10P PSD configuration register

The 10P PSD configuration register (Register 1.50) register is defined for "-O" port subtypes only.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 40 of 104

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.29

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.29.1** P**97** L **47** # **696**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.29.1 PBO disable (1.50.8)

Setting this bit to a one disables UPBO for performance testing purposes. Refer to section 62.3.4.4.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.29.1 PBO disable (1.50.8)

Setting the PBO disable (1.50.8) bit to a one disables UPBO for performance testing purposes. Refer to section 62.3.4.4.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P97 L52 # 697

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.30 10P downstream data rate configuration (Registers 1.51, 1.52) This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.30 10P downstream data rate configuration

The 10P downstream data rate configuration registers (registers 1.51, 1.52) is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P97 L52 # 698

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status A

C

d

Inconsistent test: the title refers to two registers, the text only one.

45.2.1.30 10P downstream data rate configuration (Registers 1.51, 1.52) This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.30 10P downstream data rate configuration

The 10P downstream data rate configuration registers (register 1.51 and register 1.52) is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "This register is" to "These registers are"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P98 L31 # 699

James, David JGG

......

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.31 10P downstream Reed-Solomon configuration (register 1.53)

This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.31 10P downstream Reed-Solomon configuration (Register 1.53)

The 10P downstream Reed-Solomon configuration (register 1.53) register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

Proposed Response Status C

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.31.1** P **98** L **51** # **700**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.31.1 RS codeword length (1.53.0) This bit selects the Reed-Solomon forward

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.31.1 RS codeword length

The RS codeword length (1.53.0) bit selects the Reed-Solomon forward

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P99 L1 # 702

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Contradictory text: the header has two registers, the text describes only one.

45.2.1.32 10P upstream data rate configuration (Registers 1.54, 1.55) This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

-->

45.2.1.32 10P upstream data rate configuration (Registers 1.54, 1.55)

The 10P upstream data rate configuration registers (registers 1.54 and register 1.55) are defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "This register is" to "These registers are"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P99 L1 # 701

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.32 10P upstream data rate configuration (Registers 1.54, 1.55)

This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.32 10P upstream data rate configuration (Registers 1.54, 1.55)

The 10P upstream data rate configuration registers (registers 1.54 and register 1.55) are defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P99 L22 # 703

James. David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.33 10P upstream 10P upstream Reed-Solomon configuration register (Register 1.56) This register is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.33 10P upstream 10P upstream Reed-Solomon configuration register (Register 1.56) The 10P upstream 10P upstream Reed-Solomon configuration register (register 1.56) is defined for "-O" port sub-types only.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.33.1 P99 L41 # 704

James, David JGG

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.33.1 RS codeword length (1.56.0)

This bit selects the Reed-Solomon forward error correction codeword length used in the upstream direction.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.33.1 RS codeword length (1.56.0)

The RS codeword length (1.56.0) bit selects the Reed-Solomon forward error correction codeword length used in the upstream direction.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P100 L17 # 705

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35 10P tone control parameters (Registers 1.59, 1.60, 1.61, 1.62, 1.63) These registers allow the STA to specify parameters for the tones

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.35 10P tone control parameters (Registers 1.59, 1.60, 1.61, 1.62, 1.63)

The 10P tone control parameters registers (registers 1.59, 1.60, 1.61, 1.62, 1.63) allow the STA to specify parameters for the tones

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35.1 P101 L32 # 706

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35.1 Tone active (1.59.15)

These bits are used to control the activity of the selected tones. When the "Change tone activity" command

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.35.1 Tone active

The Tone active (1.59.15) bits control the activity of the selected tones. When the "Change tone activity" command

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. The TF does not believe any ambiguity exists.

Motion:

Approve the response as stated above.

Y: 10

d

N: 2 A: 5

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35.2 P101 L38 # 707

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35.2 Tone direction (1.59.14)

These bits are used to control the direction of the selected tones. When the "Change tone direction" command

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.35.2 Tone direction (1.59.14)

The Tone direction (1.59.14) bits control the direction of the selected tones. When the "Change tone direction" command

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35.3 P101 L 42 # 708 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

Also, excessive/inconsistent capitalization.

45.2.1.35.3 Max SNR Margin (1.59.13:5)

These bits control the maximum SNR margin for the selected tones. When the "Change SNR margin" command

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.35.3 Max SNR margin (1.59.13:5)

The Max SNR margin (1.59.13:5) control the maximum SNR margin for the selected tones. When the "Change SNR margin" command

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P101 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35.4 L 49 # 709 JGG James, David

Comment Status R Comment Type т

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35.4 Target SNR margin (1.60.8:0)

These bits control the target SNR margin for the selected tones. When the "Change SNR margin" command

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.35.4 Target SNR margin (1.60.8:0)

The Target SNR margin (1.60.8:0) bits control the target SNR margin for the selected tones. When the "Change SNR margin" command

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

710 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.35.5 P102 L1 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35.5 Min SNR margin (1.61.8:0)

These bits control the minimum SNR margin for the selected tones. When the "Change SNR margin" command

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

==>

45.2.1.35.5 Min SNR margin (1.61.8:0)

The Min SNR margin (1.61.8:0) bits control the minimum SNR margin for the selected

Response Status C

Comment Status A

tones. When the "Change SNR margin" command

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P102 SC 45.2.1.35.6 L 12 # 123

Booth, Brad Intel

Ε

Equation is not numbered. Neither is the one in 45.2.1.35.7, 45.2.1.43.4, 45.2.1.57.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

d

Insert equation number. For example, (45-1).

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.35.6** P**102** L**7** # **711**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35.6 PSD level (1.62.8:0)

These bits control the transmit PSD level of the selected tones. When the "Change PSD level" command is

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.35.6 PSD level (1.62.8:0)

The PSD level (1.62.8:0) bits control the transmit PSD level of the selected tones. When the "Change PSD level" command is

Proposed Response Resp

e Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35.7 P102 L15 # 712

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.35.7 USPBO reference (1.63.8:0)

These bits control the reference level for the upstream power back-off

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.35.7 USPBO reference (1.63.8:0)

The USPBO reference (1.63.8:0) bits control the reference level for the upstream power back-off

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P102 L26 # [713]
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Comment Status R

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36 10P tone control action register (Register 1.64)

The operations in this register apply to the tones selected in the 10P tone group registers (1.57, 1.58).

SuggestedRemedy

Inappropriate titles.

==>

45.2.1.36 10P tone control action register

The operations in the 10P tone control action register (register 1.64) apply to the tones selected in the 10P tone group registers (1.57, 1.58).

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36.1 Refresh tone status (1.64.5)

When this bit is set to a one, the tone status information from the local and link partner is gathered so that it

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.36.1 Refresh tone status

When the Refresh tone status (1.64.5) bit is set to a one, the tone status information from the local and link partner is gathered so that it

Proposed Response Response Status C

d

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36.2 P103 L 35 # 715 JGG

James, David

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36.2 Change tone activity (1.64.4)

When this bit is set to a one, the selected tones are enabled or disabled according to the assignment in the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.36.2 Change tone activity

When the Change tone activity (1.64.4) bit is set to a one, the selected tones are enabled or disabled according to the assignment in the

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P103 SC 45.2.1.36.3 L 42 # 716 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36.3 Change tone direction (1.64.3)

When this bit is set to a one, the transmission direction of selected tones is changed according to the assignment

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.36.3 Change tone direction

When the Change tone direction (1.64.3) bit is set to a one, the transmission direction of selected tones is changed according to the assignment

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.36.4 P103 L 49

717

James. David

Comment Type

Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles. Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

JGG

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36.4 Change SNR margin (1.64.2)

When this bit is set to a one, the SNR margin parameters for the selected tones are loaded according the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.36.4 Change SNR margin

When the Change SNR margin (1.64.2) bit is set to a one, the SNR margin parameters for the selected tones are loaded according the

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P104 *L* 1 # 718 SC 45.2.1.36.5

James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36.5 Change PSD level (1.64.1)

When this bit is set to a one, the PSD level for the selected tones is set according to the value in the PSD

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.36.5 Change PSD level

When the Change PSD level (1.64.1) bit is set to a one, the PSD level for the selected tones is set according to the value in the PSD

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36.6 P104 L8 # 719 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.36.6 Change USPBO reference PSD (1.64.0)

When this bit is set to a one, the upstream power back-off reference PSD level for the selected tones is set

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.36.6 Change USPBO reference PSD

When the Change USPBO reference PSD (1.64.0) bit is set to a one, the upstream power back-off reference PSD level for the selected tones is set

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P104 SC 45.2.1.37 L16 # 720 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37 10P tone status registers (Registers 1.65, 1.66, 1.67)

The 10P tone status registers allow the STA to guery the status of any individual tone in the link. The values

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.37 10P tone status registers

The 10P tone status registers (Registers 1.65, 1.66, and 1.67) allow the STA to query the status of any individual tone in the link. The values

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.37.1 P105

L1

721

James. David Comment Type

Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

JGG

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.1 Refresh status (1.65.15)

This bit set to a one indicates that the values for this tone table have not been read since the last "Refresh

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.1 Refresh status

The Refresh status (1.65.15) bit set to a one indicates that the values for this tone table have not been read since the last "Refresh

Response Status C Proposed Response

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P105 SC 45.2.1.37.2 L7 # 722

James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.2 Active (1.65.14)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the selected tone is disabled (i.e. powered off and not carrying

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.2 Active

When the Active (1.65.14) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the selected tone is disabled (i.e. powered off and not carrying

Proposed Response Response Status C

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.37.3 P105 L12 # 723

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.3 Direction (1.65.13)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the selected tone is assigned to upstream communication. When

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.3 Direction

When the Direction (1.65.13 bit is read as a one, this indicates that the selected tone is assigned to upstream communication. When

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.37.4 P105 L17 # 724

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.4 RX PSD (1.65.7:0)

These bits report the PSD of the selected tone as perceived at the receiver in units of dBm/Hz.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.4 RX PSD (1.65.7:0)

The RX PSD (1.65.7:0) bits report the PSD of the selected tone as perceived at the receiver in units of dBm/Hz.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.37.5 P105 L21 # 725

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.5 TX PSD (1.66.15:8)

These bits report the PSD of the selected tone as output by the transmitter in units of dBm/Hz.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.5 TX PSD (1.66.15:8)

The TX PSD (1.66.15:8) bits report the PSD of the selected tone as output by the transmitter in units of dBm/Hz.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37.6 P105 L24 # [726

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.6 RX PSD (1.66.7:3)

These bits report the number of bits encoded on the selected tone.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.6 RX PSD (1.66.7:3)

The RX PSD (1.66.7:3) bits report the number of bits encoded on the selected tone.

Proposed Response Status C

d

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37.7 P105 L 29 # 727

James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.37.7 SNR Margin (1.67.9:0)

These bits report the current SNR margin for the selected tone, as perceived by the receiver, in units of dB.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.37.7 SNR Margin (1.67.9:0)

The SNR Margin (1.67.9:0) bits report the current SNR margin for the selected tone, as perceived by the receiver, in units of dB.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P106 SC 45.2.1.38 *L* 1 # 728 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38 10P outgoing indicator bits status register (Register 1.68)

The 10P outgoing indicator bits status register conveys the current state of the indicator bits being sent to the

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.38 10P outgoing indicator bits status register

The 10P outgoing indicator bits status register (register 1.68) conveys the current state of the indicator bits being sent to the

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.1

P106

L 43

729

d

James. David

Comment Status R

Comment Type Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

JGG

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.1 LoM (1.68.8)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD is receiving a signal whose SNR margin is below

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.1 LoM

When the LoM (1.68.8) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD is receiving a signal whose SNR margin is below

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.38.2 P106 L 49 # 730

James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.2 lpr (1.68.7)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD is not receiving sufficient power supply input for

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.2 lpr (1.68.7)

When the lpr (1.68.7) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD is not receiving sufficient power supply input for

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.3 P107 L 1 # 731

James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.3 po (1.68.6)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD has been instructed to power off. The specific conditions

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.3 po (1.68.6)

When the po (1.68.6) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD has been instructed to power off. The specific conditions

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P107 L7 SC 45.2.1.38.4 # 732 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.4 Rdi (1.68.5)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD has received PMA/PMD frames containing severe

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.1.38.4 Rdi (1.68.5)

When the Rdi (1.68.5) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD has received PMA/PMD frames containing severe

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.38.5

James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.5 los (1.68.4)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD is not receiving a valid signal.

P107

L 12

L 18

The status of this

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.5 los

When the los (1.68.4) bit is read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD is not

receiving a valid signal. The status of this

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by

the ballot group.

CI 45 P107 SC 45.2.1.38.6 James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.6 fec-f (1.68.3)

This bit is reserved and shall read as zero for 10PASS-TS.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.6 fec-f

The fec-f (1.68.3) bit is reserved and shall read as zero for 10PASS-TS.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

733

734

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.7 P107 L21 # 735

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.7 be-f (1.68.2)

This bit is reserved and shall read as zero for 10PASS-TS.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.7 be-f

The be-f (1.68.2) bit is reserved and shall read as zero for 10PASS-TS.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.8 P107 L26 # 736

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.8 fec-s (1.68.1)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or more correctable

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.38.8 fec-s

When the fec-s (1.68.1) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or more correctable

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38.9 P107 L32 # [737]
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.38.9 be-s (1.68.0)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or more uncorrectable

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.38.9 be-s (1.68.0)

When the be-s (1.68.0) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or more uncorrectable

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P108 L1 # 738

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39 10P incoming indicator bits status register (Register 1.69)

The 10P indicator bits status register conveys the current state of the indicator bits being received from the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39 10P incoming indicator bits status register

The 10P incoming indicator bits status register (register 1.69) register conveys the current state of the indicator bits being received from the

Proposed Response Status C

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.39.1** P**108** L **39** # **739**James, David JGG

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.1 LoM (1.69.8)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is receiving a signal whose SNR margin

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39.1 LoM

When the LoM (1.69.8) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is receiving a signal whose SNR margin

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.39.2 P108 L45 # 740

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.2 Flpr (1.69.7)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is not receiving sufficient power supply

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39.2 Flpr (1.69.7)

When the Flpr (1.69.7) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is not receiving sufficient power supply

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39.3 P109 L1 # 741

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.3 Fpo (1.69.6)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD has been instructed to power off. The

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.39.3 Fpo (1.69.6)

When the Fpo (1.69.6) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD has been instructed to power off. The

Proposed Response Response Status C
REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.39.4 P109 L7 # 742

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.4 Rdi (1.69.5)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD has received PMA/PMD frames containing

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39.4 Rdi

When the Rdi (1.69.5) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD has received PMA/PMD frames containing

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

d

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39.5 P109 L13 # 743

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.5 Flos (1.69.4)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD has is not receiving a valid signal. The

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39.5 Flos

When the Flos (1.69.4) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD has is not receiving a valid signal. The

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.39.6** P**109** L**18** # <u>744</u>
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.6 Ffec-s (1.69.1)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39.6 Ffec-s

When the Ffec-s (1.69.1) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39.7 P109 L25 # 745

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.39.7 Febe-s (1.69.0)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.39.7 Febe-s

Inappropriate titles.

When the Febe-s (1.69.0) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the link partner PMA/PMD is receiving FEC blocks with one or

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4. P87 L40 # 78
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 45-5 appears twice

SuggestedRemedy

remove one of them

Proposed Response Status C
ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.4.2** P**87** L **43** # **661**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Noncentered table column.

Center rightmost and leftmost column.

SuggestedRemedy

Center these columns.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Motion to reject all comments dealing with the justification or centering or formating of table contents, as these issues are taken up by the IEEE staff editor, without further action.

Moved: Michael Beck Second: Hugh Barrass

Y:9 N:1 A:0

MOTION PASSES

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P88 L18 # 662

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Noncentered table column.

Center rightmost and leftmost column.

SuggestedRemedy

Center these columns.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P89 L29 # 666

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Excessive capitalization.

"Link down (ready)" state (see 45.2.1.12.4),

SuggestedRemedy

==>

"link is down (ready)" state (see 45.2.1.12.4),

As per:

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, 45.2.1.12.4

""MA/PMD link is down and the PMA/PMD is detecting

handshake tones from a link partner. This state is known as "ready""".

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

s/b "link is Down (ready)"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P109 L31 # 746

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.40 10P cyclic extension configuration register (Register 1.70)

The 10P cyclic extension configuration register controls the length of the cyclic extension for the 10P PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.40 10P cyclic extension configuration register

The 10P cyclic extension configuration register (register 1.70) controls the length of the cyclic extension for the 10P PMD.

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.41** P**109** L **51** # **747**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.41 10P attainable downstream data rate register (Register 1.71)

The 10P attainable downstream data rate register reports the data rate that the "-R" link partner measures to

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.41 10P attainable downstream data rate register (Register 1.71)

The 110P attainable downstream data rate register (register 1.71) reports the data rate that the "-R" link partner measures to

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P110 L16 # 748

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.42 2B general parameter register (Register 1.80)

The 2B general parameter register controls various parameters for the operation of the 2BASE-TL

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.42 2B general parameter register

The 2B general parameter register (register 1.80) controls various parameters for the operation of the 2BASE-TL

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P110 L17 # 39

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Special line probing bit and Nosie environment bit were introduced, PMMS margin during hand shake still missing"

SuggestedRemedy

use currently reserved bit (i.e. 14:10 or 15:11) for PMMS margin

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

PMMS target margin in dB, 5 bits.

Margin = value of bits -10dB

PMMS target margin specified in these bits will be measured against either the worst case or current line condintions, based oin the value set in bit 1.80.8

The PMMS margin bits are transferred during 2BASE-TL line via the worst case PMMS margin bits in tables 61b-57 61b-43, or the current condition PMMS margin bits in tables 61b-48. 61b-44.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42.1 P110 L50 # 749

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.42.1 Line probing control (1.80.9)

When set to a one, this bit tells the PMA/PMD to perform line probing the next time link is initialized. When

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.42.1 Line probing control)

When the Line probing control (1.80.9) bit is set to a one, this tells the PMA/PMD to perform line probing the next time link is initialized. When

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42.1 P110 L53 # 38
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"When set to zero, NO line probing is performed"

SuggestedRemedy

change respectively

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the word "not" to the sentence as it appears in the draft

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.42.2 P111 L1 # [750]
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.42.2 Noise environment (1.80.8)

This bit controls the reference noise used during line probing. When set to a one, the noise environment is

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.42.2 Noise environment

The Noise environment (1.80.8) bit controls the reference noise used during line probing. When set to a one, the noise environment is

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.42.3 P111 L7 # 751

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.42.3 Region (1.80.1:0)

These bits select the regional annex that is used for the operation of the 2BASE-TL

PMA/PMD. These

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.42.3 Region

The Region (1.80.1:0) bits select the regional annex that is used for the operation of the 2BASE-TL PMA/PMD. These

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P111 L14 # 752

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.43 2B PMD parameters registers (Registers 1.81 through 1.88)

The 2B PMD parameters registers set the transmission parameters for an individual

2BASE-TL PMA/PMD

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.43 2B PMD parameters registers

The 2B PMD parameters registers (registers 1.81 through 1.88) set the transmission parameters for an individual 2BASE-TL PMA/PMD

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P111 L 25 # 40 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43.1 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies James. David Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type entire first sentence obsolete since a dedicated PMMS bit was introduced Inappropriate titles. SuggestedRemedy Text should read correctly without a header. remove first sentence Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ranges. Valid values SuggestedRemedy Paragraph should read: ==> If at least one data rate range is specified with different min and max data rates, the link is 45.2.1.43.1 Min data rate trained with the highest attainable rate. If line probing is enabled, the highest rate is determined by the result of line probing and the "data rate step" value is ignored. If line probing is disabled, the min and max rate, "data rate step" and "power" values are used to ranges. Valid values determine the highest attainable rate. Proposed Response Motion to adopt proposed response: the ballot group. Moved: Scott Simon Second: Tom Mathey Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43.1 James, David Y:9 N:1 A:0 Comment Status R Comment Type Т SC 45.2.1.43 P111 Cl 45 L 29 Inappropriate titles. Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status R d Text should read correctly without a header. data rate ranges 5-8 are covered by register 102-109 SuggestedRemedy Bits 6:2 in registers 1.82 through 1.88 add a respective note SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C 45.2.1.43.4 Power) REJECT. Bits 6:2 in registers 1.82 through 1.88 The information requested already appears two paragraphs before. Line 22. Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P111 L 30 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies the ballot group. Comment Status A Comment Type E d dedicated line probing bit was introduced SuggestedRemedy remove part of the sentence ((the line probing is not performed) Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

P113 L 42 # 753

JGG

Comment Status R

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

45.2.1.43.1 Min data rate (1.81 through 87.14:8)

Bits 14:8 in registers 1.81 through 1.87 set the minimum data rate for each of the four

The Min data rate (1.81 through 87.14:8) bits are described herein.

Bits 14:8 in registers 1.81 through 1.87 set the minimum data rate for each of the four

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by

P114 L7 # 756 JGG

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading.

45.2.1.43.4 Power (1.82 through 88.6:2)

The Power (1.82 through 88.6:2) bits are described herein.

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43.2 P113 L50 # 754

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R d

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.43.2 Max data rate (1.81 through 87.6:0)

Bits 6:0 in registers 1.81 through 1.87 set the maximum data rate for each of the four ranges. Valid values for

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.43.2 Max data rate

The Max data rate (1.81 through 87.6:0) bits are described herein.

Bits 6:0 in registers 1.81 through 1.87 set the maximum data rate for each of the four ranges. Valid values for

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43.3 P114 L1 # 755

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.43.3 Data rate step (1.82 through 88.13.7)

Bits 13:7 in registers 1.82 through 1.88 set the granularity

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.43.3 Data rate step

The Data rate step (1.82 through 88.13:7) bits are described herein.

Bits 13:7 in registers 1.82 through 1.88 set the granularity

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43.4

P 114

Infineon Technologies

L 8

43

Schneiderheinze, Burkart

Comment Type T

Comment Status R

not clear what power means - is it transmit power - if yes no power boost up to 21 db (as equation) supported - add a note the max.TX power is specified by annex - if it is power back off - only 1 value supported per constellation

SuggestedRemedy

clarify

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

Suggested remedy is inadequate. TF members have not come up with changed text that will satisfy the commenter.

The editors have no action.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43.5 P114 L18 # [757

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.43.5 Constellation (1.82 through 88.1:0) Bits 1:0 in registers 1.82 through 1.88 set

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.1.43.5 Constellation

The Constellation (1.82 through 88.1:0) bits are described herein.

Bits 1:0 in registers 1.82 through 1.88 set

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43.5 P114 L22
Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Comment Type E Comment Status A

constellation will not be negotiated during PMMS (PMMS can be turnde on/off)

SuggestedRemedy

replace PMMS with initialization

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

45

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P114 L 24 # 759 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.45 P114 L 43 # 760 James, David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R Inconsistent plurality: the title is plural, the text is singular. Inappropriate titles. Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. 45.2.1.44 2B code violation errors counter (Registers 1.89) Text should read correctly without a header. The 2B code violation errors counter is a 16-bit counter 45.2.1.45 2B link partner code violations register (Register 1.90) SuggestedRemedy The 2B link partner code violations register provides the "-==> SuggestedRemedy 45.2.1.44 2B code violation errors counter 45.2.1.45 2B link partner code violations register The 2B code violation errors counter (register 1.89) is a 16-bit counter The 2B link partner code violations register (register 1.90) provides the "-Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by (Registers 1.89) -> (Register 1.89) the ballot group. CI 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P114 L 24 # 758 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.45 P114 / 46 # 44 James, David JGG Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status R d Comment Type E Comment Status A Inappropriate titles. value must not necessarily increment Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. SuggestedRemedy Text should read correctly without a header. add either update or replace incrment with updated 45.2.1.44 2B code violation errors counter (Registers 1.89) Proposed Response Response Status C The 2B code violation errors counter is a 16-bit counter ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.44 2B code violation errors counter

The 2B code violation errors counter (register 1.89) is a 16-bit counter

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 59 of 104

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.46** P**115** L**1** # **761**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.46 2B errored seconds counter (Register 1.91)

This 8-bit counter contains the number of errored seconds (see 63.2.2.3) These bits shall be set to all zeros

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.46 2B errored seconds counter

The 2B errored seconds counter (register 1.91) is an 8-bit counter that contains the number of errored seconds (see 63.2.2.3) These bits shall be set to all zeros

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.47 P115 L19 # 762

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.47 2B link partner errored seconds register (Register 1.92)

The 2B link partner errored seconds register provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot of the "-R" link partner's

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.47 2B link partner errored seconds register

The 2B link partner errored seconds register (register 1.92) provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot of the "-R" link partner's

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.47 P115 L22 # 46
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

add either update or replace incrment with updated

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

value must not necessarily increment

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.48 2B severely errored seconds counter (Register 1.93)

This 8-bit counter contains the number severely errored seconds (see 63.2.2.3). These bits shall be set to all

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.48 2B severely errored seconds counter)

The 2B severely errored seconds counter (register 1.93) is an 8-bit counter contains the number severely errored seconds (see 63.2.2.3). These bits shall be set to all

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.49 P115 L 50 # 764 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.51 P116 L 27 # 765 James, David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R Т Inappropriate titles. Inappropriate titles. Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header. Text should read correctly without a header. 45.2.1.49 2B link partner severely errored seconds register (Register 1.94) 45.2.1.51 2B link partner LOSW register (Register 1.96) The 2B link partner severely errored seconds register provides the "-O" STA with a The 2B link partner LOSW register provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot snapshot of the "-R" link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 45.2.1.51 2B link partner LOSW register ==> 45.2.1.48 2B severely errored seconds counter The 2B link partner LOSW register (register 1.96) provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot The 2B severely errored seconds counter (register 1.93) is an 8-bit counter contains the Proposed Response Response Status C number severely errored seconds (see 63.2.2.3). These bits shall be set to all REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by Proposed Response Response Status C the ballot group. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.51 P116 L 30 # 48 the ballot group. Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies CI 45 P115 L 53 SC 45.2.1.49 # 47 Comment Type E Comment Status A Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies value must not necessarily increment Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy value must not necessarily increment add either update or replace incrment with updated SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C add either update or replace incrment with updated ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P116 L39 # 766 James. David JGG Comment Type Comment Status R Inappropriate titles. Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header. 45.2.1.52 2B unavailable seconds counter (Register 1.97) This 8-bit counter contains the number of unavailable SuggestedRemedy 45.2.1.52 2B unavailable seconds counter

of unavailable

Proposed Response

the ballot group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 61 of 104

The 2B unavailable seconds counter (register 1.97) is an 8-bit counter contains the number

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by

Response Status C

768 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.53 P117 L 1 # 767 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 P117 L 13 James, David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R Inappropriate titles. Inappropriate titles. Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header. Text should read correctly without a header. 45.2.1.53 2B link partner unavailable seconds register (Register 1.98) 45.2.1.54 2B state defects register (Register 1.99) The 2B link partner unavailable seconds register provides the "-O" STA with a snapshot of The 2B state defects register is used to communicate defect states from the 2BASE-TL the "-R" link PMD (see 63.2.2.3). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> ==> 45.2.1.53 2B link partner unavailable seconds register 45.2.1.54 2B state defects register The 2B link partner unavailable seconds register (register 1.98) provides the "-O" STA with The 2B state defects register (register 1.99) communicates defect states from the 2BASEa snapshot of the "-R" link TL PMD (see 63.2.2.3). Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. the ballot group. CI 45 P117 L4 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.53 # 49 SC 45.2.1.54.1 P117 L 41 # 769 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies James, David **JGG** Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status R value must not necessarily increment Inappropriate titles. Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. SuggestedRemedy Text should read correctly without a header. add either update or replace incrment with updated 45.2.1.54.1 Segment defect (1.99.15) Proposed Response Response Status C When read as a one, this bit indicates that the local PMA/PMD has detected a segment ACCEPT. defect. SuggestedRemedy 45.2.1.54.1 Segment defect

Proposed Response Response Status C

PMA/PMD has detected a segment defect.

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

When the Segment defect (1.99.15) bit is read as a one, this bit indicates that the local

d

d

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.54.2** P**117** L **45** # **770**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.54.2 SNR margin defect (1.99.14)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the local PMA/PMD has received a signal whose SNR is below

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.54.2 SNR margin defect

When the SNR margin defect (1.99.14) bit is read as a one, this bit indicates that the local PMA/PMD has received a signal whose SNR is below

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54.3 P117 L51 # 771

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.54.3 Loop attenuation defect (1.99.13)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD has detected that the loop attenuation is below the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.54.3 Loop attenuation defect (1.99.13)

When the loop attenuation defect (1.99.13) bit is read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD has detected that the loop attenuation is below the

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54.4 P118 L1 # 772

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.<CR

45.2.1.54.4 Loss of sync word (1.99.12)

When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PMA/PMD has lost PMA/PMD frame sync.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.54.4 Loss of sync word

When the Loss of sync word (1.99.12) bit is read as a one, this indicates that the PMA/PMD has lost PMA/PMD frame sync.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P118 L5 # 773

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.56 2B negotiated constellation register (Register 1.101)

The bit definitions for this register are shown in Table 45-10ai.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.56 2B negotiated constellation register

The 2B negotiated constellation register (Register 1.101) bit definitions for this register are shown in Table 45-10ai.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

d

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56.1 P118 L34 # 774

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.56.1 Negotiated constellation (1.101.1:0)

These bits report the resulting constellation that was obtained after initialization. For more information on

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.56.1 Negotiated constellation (1.101.1:0)

The Negotiated constellation (1.101.1:0) bits report the resulting constellation that was obtained after initialization. For more information on

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P118 L41 # 775

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.57 2B extended PMD parameters registers (Registers 1.102 through 1.109) The 2B extended PMD parameters registers define four additional data range sets to be used in conjunction with

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.57 2B extended PMD parameters registers

The 22B extended PMD parameters registers (registers 1.102 through 1.109) define four additional data range sets to be used in conjunction

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57.1 P120 L43 # 776

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.57.1 Min data rate (1.102 through 108.14:8)

Bits 14:8 in registers 1.102 through 1.108 set the minimum data rate for each of the four extended ranges.

SuggestedRemedy

==

45.2.1.57.1 Min data rate

The Min data rate (1.102 through 108.14:8) bits are described herein.

Bits 14:8 in registers 1.102 through 1.108 set the minimum data rate for each of the four extended ranges.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57.2 P120 L50 # 777

James. David JGG

2...00, 24...

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.57.2 Max data rate (1.102 through 108.6:0)

Bits 6:0 in registers 1.102 through 1.108 set the maximum data rate for each of the four extended ranges.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.57.2 Max data rate

The Max data rate (1.102 through 108.6:0) bits are described herein.

Bits 6:0 in registers 1.102 through 1.108 set the maximum data rate for each of the four extended ranges.

Proposed Response Response Status C

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.57.3 P121 L1 # 778

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.57.3 Data rate step (1.103 through 109.13:7)

Bits 13:7 in registers 1.102 through 1.109 set the granularity used by the PMA/PMD when determining the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.57.3 Data rate step

The Data rate step (1.103 through 109.13:7) bits are described herein.

Bits 13:7 in registers 1.102 through 1.109 set the granularity used by the PMA/PMD when determining the

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57.4 P121 L8 # 50
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R see similar comment in clause 45.2.1.43.4

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

Suggested remedy is inadequate. TF members have not come up with changed text that will satisfy the commenter.

The editors have no action.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.57.4 P121 L8 # 779

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.57.4 Power (1.103 through 109.6:2)

Bits 6:2 in registers 1.103 through 1.109 set the allowed power level for each extended data rate range. The

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.57.4 Power

The Power (1.103 through 109.6:2) bits are described herein.

Bits 6:2 in registers 1.103 through 1.109 set the allowed power level for each extended data rate range. The

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57.5 P121 L17 # 780

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.1.57.5 Constellation (1.103 through 109.1:0)

Bits 1:0 in registers 1.103 through 1.109 set the allowed constellation for each extended data rate range.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.1.57.5 Constellation

The Constellation (1.103 through 109.1:0) bits are described herein.

Bits 1:0 in registers 1.103 through 1.109 set the allowed constellation for each extended data rate range.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57.5 P121 L21 # 51
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R
see similar comment in clause 45.2.1.43.5

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

Suggested remedy is inadequate. TF members have not come up with changed text that will satisfy the commenter.

The editors have no action.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.2.14 P122 L9 # 782

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R d

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.2.14 10G WIS Far End Line BIP Errors (Register 2.55 and 2.56)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

NOTE - These counters do not follow the behavior described in 45.2 for 32-bit counters.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.2.14 10G WIS Far End Line BIP Errors (Register 2.55 and 2.56)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

NOTE - The 10G WIS Far End Line BIP Errors (register 2.55 and 2.56) counters do not follow the behavior described in 45.2 for 32-bit counters.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P122 L15 # [785]
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.2.15 10G WIS Line BIP Errors (Register 2.57 and 2.58)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

NOTE - These counters do not follow the behavior described in 45.2 for 32-bit counters.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.2.15 10G WIS Line BIP Errors (Register 2.57 and 2.58)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

NOTE - The 10G WIS Line BIP Errors (register 2.57 and 2.58) counters do not follow the behavior described in 45.2 for 32-bit counters.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P122 L15 # 783

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.2.15 10G WIS Line BIP Errors (Register 2.57 and 2.58)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

NOTE - These counters do not follow the behavior described in 45.2 for 32-bit counters.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.2.15 10G WIS Line BIP Errors (Register 2.57 and 2.58)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

NOTE - The 10G WIS Line BIP Errors (register 2.57 and 2.58) counters do not follow the behavior described in 45.2 for 32-bit counters.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P122 L15 # 784

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Fix your tools, so that sort by page does numerical comparison, not an alphabet comparison (44 is followed by 441).

Hey, you could even steal back the P802.16 tool, which is a much better refinement. Believe it or not, some new things from others can actually be as good as yours, or even better!

SuggestedRemedy

Use less brain-damaged tools.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

The tools are adequate to the task.

The subject matter of this comment is outside the scope of a sponsor recirculation ballot.

Motion to adopt to proposed response:

M: Hugh Barrass

S: Scott Simon

Y:8 N:1 A:0

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P124 L 50 # 786

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.1.2 Loopback (3.0.14)

The 10GBASE-R PCS shall be placed in a Loopback mode of operation when bit 3.0.14 is set to a one.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.1.2 Loopback (3.0.14)

The 10GBASE-R PCS shall be placed in a loopback mode of operation when the Loopback bit (bit 3.0.14) is set to a one.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.2.15 P125 L13 # 787

James, David JGG

ies, David

Inappropriate titles.

iappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Comment Status R

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.2.1 Fault (3.1.7)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

For 10BASE-TS or 2BASE-TL operation, this bit shall become a one when any 10P/2B PCS registers indicate

a fault (see 45.2.3.17, 45.2.3.21 through 45.2.4.5).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

==>

45.2.3.2.1 Fault (3.1.7)

Insert the following sentence at the end of the subclause:

For 10BASE-TS or 2BASE-TL operation, the Fault bit (bit 3.1.7) shall become a one when any 10P/2B PCS registers indicate

a fault (see 45.2.3.17, 45.2.3.21 through 45.2.4.5).

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.5 P122 L1 # 781

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.2.5 WIS devices in package (Registers 2.5 and 2.6)

Change subclause text to read:

The WIS devices in package registers are defined in Table 45-1a.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.2.5 WIS devices in package

Change subclause text to read:

The WIS devices in package registers (registers 2.5 and 2.6) are defined in Table 45-1a.

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.2.5 P122 L5 # [124]
Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Changes aren't shown in the sentence with underscores and strikethroughs.

SuggestedRemedy

Make correction.

Same problem in 45.2.3.5, 45.2.4.5, and 45.2.5.5.

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P126 L10 # 791

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R d

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.17 10P/2B capability register (3.60)

The 10P/2B capability register reports which functions are supported by the PCS. This register is present at

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.17 10P/2B capability register

The 10P/2B capability register (register 3.60) reports which functions are supported by the PCS. This register is present at

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.1 P126 L32 # [792]
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.17.1 PAF available (3.60.12)

This bit indicates that the PHY supports the PME aggregation function. The PHY sets this bit to a one when

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.17.1 PAF available

The PAF available bit (bit 3.60.12) indicates that the PHY supports the PME aggregation function. The PHY sets this bit to a one when

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.1 P126 L 37 # 793

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.17.2 Remote PAF supported (3.60.11)

This bit indicates that the remote, link-partner PHY supports the PME aggregation function.

The PHY sets

SuggestedRemedy

=>

45.2.3.17.2 Remote PAF supported

The Remote PAF supported bit (bit 3.60.11) indicates that the remote, link-partner PHY supports the PME aggregation function. The PHY sets

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl **45** SC **45.2.3.18** P**126** L **45** # **794**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.18 10P/2B PCS control register (Register 3.61)

The assignment of bits in the 10P/2B PCS control register is shown in Table 45-42b.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.18 10P/2B PCS control register

The assignment of bits in the 10P/2B PCS control register (register 3.61) is shown in Table 45-42b.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P126 L45 # 795

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.18.1 MII receive during transmit (3.61.15)

This register bit is used to tell the PHY-MAC rate matching function if the MAC is capable of receiving

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.18.1 MII receive during transmit

The MII receive during transmit bit (bit 3.61.15) tells the PHY-MAC rate matching function if the MAC is capable of receiving

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P127 L17 # 796

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.18.2 TX EN and CRS infer a collision (3.61.14)

This bit is set by the STA to tell the MAC-PHY rate matching function that the MAC-PHY interface does

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.18.2 TX_EN and CRS infer a collision (3.61.14)

The TX_EN and CRS infer a collision bit (bit 3.61.14) is set by the STA to tell the MAC-PHY rate matching function that the MAC-PHY interface does

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P127 L 25 # 797

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.18.3 PAF enable (3.61.0)

Setting this bit to a one shall activate the PME aggregation function of the PCS when the link is established.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.18.3 PAF enable

Setting the PAF enable bit (bit 3.61.0) to a one shall activate the PME aggregation function of the PCS when the link is established.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

d

d

Cl **45** SC **45.2.3.18.3** P**127** L **25** # **798**James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

I don't understand why there is a shall in:

"Setting this bit to a one shall activate the PME aggregation function of the PCS when the link is established."

where there is no shall in almost all of the other descriptions.

Either explain, or start on the crusade for shall consistency.

SuggestedRemedy

Either explain, or start on the crusade for shall consistency.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

The shall is innapropriate, remove it:

"Setting this bit to a one activates. . . "

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P127 L32 # 799

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.19 10P/2B PME available (Registers 3.62 and 3.63)

The 10P/2B PME available registers are used to indicate which PMEs in the aggregation group are available

SugaestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.19 10P/2B PME available

The 10P/2B PME available registers (registers 3.62 and 3.63) are used to indicate which PMEs in the aggregation group are available

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.2.2 P125 L20 # [788]
James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.2.2 PCS receive link status (3.1.2)

Insert the following paragraph after the last paragraph:

When a 10PASS-TS or 2BASE-TL PCS is implemented and selected, the PCS sets this bit to a one when the

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.2.2 PCS receive link status (3.1.2)

Insert the following paragraph after the last paragraph:

When a 10PASS-TS or 2BASE-TL PCS is implemented and selected, the PCS sets the PCS receive link status (bit 3.1.2) bit to a one when the

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P128 L17 # 800

James. David JGG

arries, David

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.20 10P/2B PME aggregate registers (Registers 3.64 and 3.65)

The 10P/2B PME aggregate registers are used to select PMEs for aggregation. Attempts to activate

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.20 10P/2B PME aggregate registers

The 10P/2B PME aggregate registers (registers 3.64 and 3.65) are used to select PMEs for aggregation. Attempts to activate

Proposed Response Response Status C

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 P128 L 50 # 801 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.21 10P/2B PAF RX error register (Register 3.66)

The 10P/2B PAF RX error register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of fragments that have been

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.21 10P/2B PAF RX error register

The 10P/2B PAF RX error register (register 3.66) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of fragments that have been

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P128 L 54 SC 45.2.3.21 # 79 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A

"Replace

This counter is present even when the PAF is not implemented, or implemented but not enabled' by

This counter is inactive when the PAF is unsupported or disabled. Upon disabling the PAF, the register retains its previous value'

to make the description consistent with the Registers 3.67 .. 3.73.

See also resolution of D3.1 #436."

SuggestedRemedy

replace sentence as described in comment

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P129 L 16 # 802 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.22 10P/2B PAF small fragments register (Register 3.67)

The 10P/2B PAF small fragments register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of small fragments

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.22 10P/2B PAF small fragments register

The 10P/2B PAF small fragments register (register 3.67) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of small fragments

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 # 803 SC 45.2.3.23 P129 L 38 James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т

Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.23 10P/2B PAF large fragments register (Register 3.68)<CRThe 10P/2B PAF large fragments register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of large fragments that

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.23 10P/2B PAF large fragments register

The 10P/2B PAF large fragments register (register 3.68) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of large fragments that

Proposed Response Response Status C

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P129 L 41 # 52
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"corresponding signal is not FragmentTooSmall, it is FragmentTooLarge instead"

SuggestedRemedy

change accordingly

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

s/b: "TC_PAF_FragmentTooLarge"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 P130 L1 # 804

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.24 10P/2B PAF overflow register (Register 3.69)

The 10P/2B PAF overflow register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of fragments that have been

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.24 10P/2B PAF overflow register

The 10P/2B PAF overflow register (register 3.69) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of fragments that have been

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P130 L20 # 805

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.25 10P/2B PAF bad fragment register (Register 3.70)

The 10P/2B PAF bad fragment register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of bad fragments that

SuggestedRemedy

==>

d

45.2.3.25 10P/2B PAF bad fragment register

The 10P/2B PAF bad fragment register (register 3.70) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of bad fragments that

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P130 L 39 # 806

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.26 10P/2B PAF lost fragment register (Register 3.71)

The 10P/2B PAF lost fragment register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of gaps in the sequence

SuggestedRemedy

=>

45.2.3.26 10P/2B PAF lost fragment register)

The 10P/2B PAF lost fragment register (register 3.71 is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of gaps in the sequence

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.27 P130 L 52 # 807 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.27 10P/2B PAF lost start of fragment register (Register 3.72)

The 10P/2B PAF lost start of fragment register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number missing start of

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.27 10P/2B PAF lost start of fragment register

The 10P/2B PAF lost start of fragment register (register 3.72) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number missing start of

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 P130 L 52 SC 45.2.3.27 # 53 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A missing of the word 'of' between number and missing

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P131 L 23 # 808 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.28 10P/2B PAF lost end of fragment register (Register 3.73)

The 10P/2B PAF lost end of fragment register is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of missing end of

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.28 10P/2B PAF lost end of fragment register

The 10P/2B PAF lost end of fragment register (register 3.73) is a 16 bit counter that contains the number of missing end of

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P132 # 809 SC 45.2.3.28 *L* 1 James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.4.5 PHY XS devices in package (Registers 4.5 and 4.6)

Change subclause text to read:

The PHY XS devices in package registers are defined in Table 45-1a.

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.4.5 PHY XS devices in package

Change subclause text to read:

The PHY XS devices in package registers (registers 4.5 and 4.6)

are defined in Table 45-1a.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by

the ballot group.

d

d

d

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P132 L9 # 810 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.5.5 DTE XS devices in package (Registers 5.5 and 5.6)

Change subclause text to read:

The DTE XS devices in package registers are defined in Table 45-1a.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.5.5 DTE XS devices in package

Change subclause text to read:

The DTE XS devices in package registers (registers 5.5 and 5.6) are defined in Table 45-1a.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

SC 45.2.3.4.2 P125 C/ 45 L 51 # 789 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.4.2 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL capable (3.4.1) When read as a one, this bit indicates that the PCS is able to operate as the 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL PCS. as

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.4.2 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL capable (3.4.1)

When read as a one, the 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL capable bit (bit 3.4.1) indicates that the PCS is able to operate as the 10PASS-TS/2BASE-TL PCS, as

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.5 P126 L1 # 790 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R Т

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.3.5 PCS devices in package (Registers 3.5 and 3.6)

Change subclause text to read:

The PCS devices in package registers are defined in Table 45-1a.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.3.5 PCS devices in package

Change subclause text to read:

The PCS devices in package registers (registers 3.5 and 3.6) are defined in Table 45-1a.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 P134 *L* 1 # 812 SC 45.2.6.1 James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.6.1.1 Reset (6.0.15)

Resetting a TC is accomplished by setting bit 6.0.15 to a one. This action shall set all TC registers to their

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.6.1.1 Reset

Resetting a TC is accomplished by setting the Reset bit (bit 6.0.15) to a one. This action shall set all TC registers to their

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

d

d

C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P134 L 1 # 811 James, David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this" should never appear in the heading. Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.6.1 TC control register (Register 6.0)

The assignment of bits in the TC control register is shown in Table 45-59b. The default value for each bit of

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.6.1 TC control register

The assignment of bits in the TC control register (register 6.0) is shown in Table 45-59b. The default value for each bit of

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 P135 *L* 1 SC 45.2.6.1.2 # 814 James, David JGG

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Inconsistent capitalization of the speed selection register. They are lower-case in the remainder of this subclause.

45.2.6.1.2 Speed selection (6.0.13, 6.0.6, 6.0.5;2)

Speed selection bits 6.0.13 and 6.0.6 shall both be written as a one. Any attempt to change the bits to an

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.6.1.2 The speed selection bits

The speed selection bits 6.0.13 and 6.0.6 shall both be written as a one. Any attempt to change the bits to an

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The style in this text has been inherited from 802.3ae-2002. There are not technical errors in the text and the style has been approved by the ballot group.

Motion to adopt the proposed response:

Voice vote taken, the aves have it.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1.2 P135 L3 # 813 James. David JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Inappropriate titles.

Normative text, or the target for a ""this"" should never appear in the heading.

Text should read correctly without a header.

45.2.6.1.2 Speed selection (6.0.13, 6.0.6, 6.0.5:2)

Speed selection bits 6.0.13 and 6.0.6 shall both be written as a one. Any attempt to change the bits to an

SuggestedRemedy

==>

45.2.6.1.2 Speed selection

Speed selection bits 6.0.13 and 6.0.6 shall both be written as a one. Any attempt to change the bits to an

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P141 L 6 # 641 JGG

James, David

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Wow! This is really confusing!

Everyone else in the world defined FALSE to be 0 and 1 to be TRUE.

Was this done by the same folks that put the LSB on the left in diagrams?

This group may be powerful, but hoping to changing the word is not a good idea and simply leads to confusion.

1 = remote TC out of sync is FALSE

0 = remote_TC_out_of_sync is TRUE

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition to something more understandable and not in direct contridiction to established industry norms. Maybe something like:

1 = remote TC is synchronouzed

0 = otherwise

Or, perhaps change the state machine variable.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P135 L 17 # 54 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status R "not clear what difference between register 6.2/6.3 (TC device id) and 6.14/6.15 (TCpackage ID) are," SugaestedRemedy either remove one or add a clarifying note which identifies the differences between both Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. The package ID and device id register definitions are consistent with those defined in 802.3ae-2002. The descriptions in the draft are sufficient Motion to adopt the proposed response Y:7 N:1 A:0 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.6. P136 L 24 # 80 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A reference to nonexisting chapter SuggestedRemedy update or remove reference Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8. P138 / 15 # 81 Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A reference to nonexisting chapter SuggestedRemedy update or remove reference Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P158 L17 # 99346
Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #760

Figures 56-1 and 56-2 should be showing the relationship of the EFM layers to the LAN model and the OSI reference model.

SuggestedRemedy

2BASE-TL and 10PASS-TS can be merged in 56-1.

In 56-2, remove one stack and remove brackets showing OLT and ONU(s). That information belongs in the P2MP clause. The name of the medium should just be "MEDIUM". The MEDIUM should be shown as a shared medium, jagged edge on both ends. Port types should be listed under the MEDIUM.

Proposed Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the Cu stacks, we will merge the two into one stack.

The commenter is correct that the P2MP diagram appears in subsequent clauses. However, since this is a new means of operating on a shared medium it warrants its own topology in the introduction (as it is different from the point-to-point).

The jagged edges are correct as is since there are no additional OLTs to the left of the shown stack. The jagged edge to the right indicates that the medium could go on with additional ONUs (and OLT is mentioned as singular in contrast to ONUs).

Indication that the ONUs communicate with the OLT but not with each other will be indicated by way of arrows or curvature.

The stub on the left will be removed. The connecterization on the GMII will be removed.

Cl 56 SC 56.1 P 204 L 34 # 125

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In Figure 56-1, the leftmost PHY bracket doesn't go to the top of the PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

The PHY bracket should include the PCS.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 76 of 104

C/ 56 SC 56.1 P 205 L19 # 126 Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type Е Comment Status R Curved line MDI makes very little sense.

SuggestedRemedy

Don't bother being creative as it will be lost in generations to come. Make the MDI look like all the others.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 P 206 L 1 # 613 JGG James, David

Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive capitalization:

56.1.2.1 Multi-Point MAC Control Protocol (MPCP)

SuggestedRemedy

==>

56.1.2.1 Multi-point MAC control protocol (MPCP)

As per:

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 23.

2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 P 206 L3 # 614

James. David JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type unchanged text Т

Excessive capitalization:

The Multi-Point MAC Control Protocol (MPCP)

SuggestedRemedy

The multi-point MAC control protocol (MPCP)

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 23.

2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

CI 56 L4 # 615 SC 56.1.2.1 P 206

James, David **JGG**

Comment Type Т Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive capitalization:

consists of one Optical Line Terminal (OLT)

SuggestedRemedy

consists of one optical line terminal (OLT)

As per:

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 29.

2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 P 206 L4 # 616 C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P 206 L 17 # 619 James, David JGG James. David JGG Comment Type Т Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type Comment Status R Т Excessive capitalization: Excessive capitalization: prepending a Logical Link Identification (LLID) to EFM Copper links use the MII of Clause 22 operating SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy prepending a logical link identification (LLID) to EFM copper links use the MII of Clause 22 operating 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 20. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. The base documents have a number of styles used. This particular style is consistent This comment is on unchanged text. within EFM C/ 56 P 215 CI 56 P 206 SC 56.1.2.1 L 13 # 617 SC 56.1.2.2 L 17 # 620 James, David JGG James, David **JGG** Comment Type Т Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type Т Comment Status R Excessive capitalization: Not defined in glossary: and the extension of the Reconciliation Sublaver (RS) EFM Copper links use the MII of Clause 22 operating SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> ==> and the extension of the reconciliation sublaver (RS) Define in the clossary: EFM copper links As per: Proposed Response Response Status C 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). REJECT. 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 20. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 EFM Copper is introduced in the layering discussion above and is clear. Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

This comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P215 L9 # 618

James, David JGG

Comment Type T Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive capitalization:

56.1.2.2 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and media independent interfaces

SuggestedRemedy

==>

56.1.2.2 Reconciliation sublayer (RS) and media independent interfaces

As per

1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized).

2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 57 SC 57.2.8.2.2 P221 L13 # 2

Daines, Kevin World Wide Packets

Comment Type T Comment Status A

802.3ae/2002 changed the MA_DATA.request and MA_DATA.indication service primitives. In both cases, the frame_check_sequence parameter was added as an optional parameter.

Internal to the OAM sublayer is the OAMI.indication service primitive. It mimicks the MA_DATA.indication service primitive by containing a frame_check_sequence parameter. However, it does not state this parameter is optional. It should.

Note: The companion service primitive, OAMI.request, correctly refers to the frame_check_sequence parameter as being optional.

Note 2: The commenter recognizes that this text did not change in D3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Add ", if present, " to sentence starting with "The frame_check_sequence" to read:

"The frame_check_sequence parameter, if present, is the cyclic redundancy check value (see 3.2.8) as specified by the FCS field of the incoming frame.

Implementing this change would bring Clause 57 in harmony with 802.3/Clause 2 as amended most recently by 802.3ae.

Proposed Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 57 SC 57.3.2.1.3

Ε

P 231 Nortel Networks

L 39

16

Martin, David

Comment Type

Comment Status A

Wording format. Remove space. Wouldn't want a Leaf puck to get through!

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'a Passive DTE can not complete the OAM Discovery process' to 'a Passive DTE cannot complete the OAM Discovery process'

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 57 SC 57.4.3.1

P192 L01

99318

James, David

JGG

201

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.0 #736

In many cases (often 802 related), the ordering of bits in the OUI is rather ambiguous. As such, the IEEE/RAC requires that standards clearly define the mappings of an example hex field, as is done in the online tutorials.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a clear example of how the OUI is mapped, using an hex example.

Proposed Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a bullet to 57.4.1 to read:

"The bit/octet ordering of any OUI field within an OAMPDU is identical to the bit/octet ordering of the OUI portion of the DA/SA. Additional detail defining the format of OUIs can be found in IEEE Std 802-2001 Clause 9."

Modify Figure 57-14 by removing the bit ordering example.

Modify Table 57-10 by removing the second sentence.

Modify other references as appropriate.

Remove other references to 802-2001 Clause 9.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P192 L01 # 99319

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.0 #735

The need for uniqueness of an OUI based identifier is best met by utilizing the EUI-48 or EUI-64 definitions, so that each organization doesn't have to understand the context when assigning such numbers to the requesting division.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the OUI and Vendor Specific Information field to be either 48-bit or 64-bit fields, defined to be an EUI-48 or EUI-64.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

During the November meeting of the RAC (see notes below) the following decisions were established.

- - -

INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERS REGISTRATION AUTHORITY COMMITTEE (RAC)

INTERIM MEETING MINUTES

From: 13 November 2003

Location: Hyatt Regency Albuquerque

Boardroom North

330 Tijeras

Albuquerque, New Mexico

Decision 111303 RAC-04: EUI-48 and 64-bit identifiers are appropriate for instance identification.

Decision 111303 RAC-05: Protocol identifiers in addition to 48 and 64 bits are acceptable to use an OUI followed by N Octet, subject to the constraint for the expected consumption rate, the number space can never be consumed.

- - -

The combination of the OUI and Vendor Specific Information fields does not constitute a unique 56-bit identifier.

The purpose of the Vendor Specific Information field is not instance identification, but rather class identification.

The meaning of the bits in the Vendor Specific Information field is out of scope.

The Vendor Specific Information field _may_ be used to differentiate amongst a vendor's product models and versions. It is not a serial number or anything like unto a serial number.

See also response to comment #737.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P196 L16 # 99320

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.0 #737

The need for uniqueness of an OUI based identifier is best met by utilizing the EUI-48 or EUI-64 definitions, so that each organization doesn't have to understand the context when assigning such numbers to the requesting division.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the OUI and following data, so that this starts with an EUI-48 or EUI-64 value. Otherwise, multi-division organizations will have to define their own subparsing conventions, which is prone to error (some have already happened with Japanese vendors and parts of 1394/AVC that do this type of thing).

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Governance of the internal behavior of multi-division organizations is entirely out of scope of the IEEE standards activities.

See also response to comment #735.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P196 L24 # 99321

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.0 #738

The IEEE/RAC defines OUIs as HEX values. Given the confusion between leftmost being first, or the first transmitted bit being first, any descriptions in terms of bits and/or bit ordering should be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the binary text: the hex values are sufficient.

Proposed Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #736, which removes the bit ordering example.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 80 of 104

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1

D3.0 #739

D3.0 #741

CI 57

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P197 L40 # 99322

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

John Messenger

SC 57.6.2.2

Given the inconsistencies/ambiguities of the OUI definitions within 802.3, any definition should be self-contained, not cross referencing something else.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the OUI cross reference to:

found in IEEE Std 802-2001 Clause 9.

Proposed Response Response Status **U** REJECT.

See comment #736, which moves the reference to 802-2001 Clause 9 to 57.4.1.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P199 L23 # 99323

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #740

In many cases (often 802 related), the ordering of bits in the OUI is rather ambiguous. As such, the IEEE/RAC requires that standards clearly define the mappings of an example hex field, as is done in the online tutorials.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a figure with the classical HEX-value example.

Proposed Response Status **U**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove second sentence. Also, see #736.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P200 L 09 # 99324

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In many cases (often 802 related), the ordering of bits in the OUI is rather ambiguous. As such, the IEEE/RAC requires that standards clearly define the mappings of an example hex field, as is done in the online tutorials.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a figure with the classical HEX-value example.

Proposed Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #736, which removes bit ordering examples of OUIs.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The order of returning attributes in packages and objects could be clearer. The meaning of the words "first" and "successive" are unclear in this context.

ADVA Optical Network

L 42

105

P 254

SuggestedRemedy

Add text similar to the following after the text in line 42:

"Attributes within packages and objects are returned in the order those attributes are listed in Annex 30A."

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

C/ 57 SC 57.7 P258 L1 # 128
Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Fix the pagination of this section. One small table per page is a waste of paper.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Expected to be fixed by IEEE publications editor with no further action from EFM TF.

C/ 57 SC 57.7.2.3 P258 L6 # 127

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{*}}}$ in the options section indicates that other PICS have dependencies on these options/capabilities.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the * from OM, CSI, ISI, UNI and OSI.

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P 263 L 01 # 17 Martin. David Nortel Networks

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

Page formatting.

SugaestedRemedy

Remove the page break to allow this sub-clause to start on the previous page, it should fit.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Expected to be fixed by IEEE publications editor with no further action from EFM TF.

CI 57 SC 57.7.3.6 P 265 L 01 # 18

Martin, David Nortel Networks

Comment Status A Comment Type Е

Page formatting.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the page break to allow this sub-clause to start on the previous page, it should fit.

Response Status C Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Expected to be fixed by IEEE publications editor with no further action from EFM TF.

CI 58 SC 58.1 P 218 L 9 # 99331 Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Status A Comment Type BB D3.0 #780 TR

Sentence is very disjointed and needs better clarification.

SuggestedRemedy

Change second sentence of paragraph to read:

A 100BASE-LX10 and 100BASE-BX10 PHY (physical layer) device is a combination of a 100BASE-X PCS and PMA with the respective PMD. If the optional OAM is being used, the 100BASE-X PCS and PMA in Clause 66 shall be integrated; otherwise, the Clause 24 100BASE-X PCS and PMA shall be integrated. The management functions may be accessible through the optional Management Interface.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context.

The second sentence will be changed to:

A PMD is connected to the 100BASE-X PMA of Clause 24 or the 100BASE-X PMA of 66.1, and to the medium through the MDI. A PMD is optionally combined with the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 22 or by other means.

C/ 58 SC 58.1 P 252 L8 # 815

Grow. Robert Intel

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

I agree with unsatisfied D3.1 comment #558.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement a complete specification of the components of a port, if not in the location recommend by #558, in some other clause.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment supports an unresolved negative comment from a previous ballot. The concensus of the ballot group is to leave the text unchanged. No further action is required.

CI 58 SC 58.1 P 252 **L8** # 99354

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.1 #558 Three clauses

The response for D3.0 comments #780, 786 and 787 cause me some concern. The response states that "As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context." Considering all other 100BASE-X and 1000BASE-X PMDs use shalls in this context, the response is very misleading. In looking through D3.1, I have found no compliance statement related to the port types associated with the PMD. There is nothing within this draft that mandates which PCS/PMA shall be used by the Clause 58, 59 and 60 PMDs to create a compliant port type.

SuggestedRemedy

Reconsider the responses to comments #780, 786 and 787 in D3.0.

Response Status U Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Each one of the clauses 58, 59, and 60, defines only the PMD not a complete port and cannot make requirements outside the PMD.

Will refer to PMA in 66, where option to be identical to clause 24, and connection to PCS, will be found.

Clauses 56 and 66 make it very clear what is needed to build a port.

Change "A PMD is connected to the 100BASE-X PMA of Clause 24 or the 100BASE-X PMA of 66.1," to "A PMD is connected to the 100BASE-X PMA of 66.1,".

Similarly in 59 and 60. Remove 59.10.3 and 60.10.3 PICS "PCS". In 60.1, change "appropriate 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 66" to "appropriate 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 65".

Cl 58 SC 58.2.1.1 P229 L18 # 99332

Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A FBT D3.0 #288

Use of the Optical frame based test pattern of 58.8.1.1 will lead to a broadcast storm and take down the Ethernet network. This pattern is too dangerous to imbed into low-cost test equipment that could be used in the field. It is a recipe for malicious hacking.

SuggestedRemedy

Use valid 100BASE-X signal.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The broadcast nature of the test patterns is a necessary feature of this testing mechanism to ensure that the statistics in the receiving DTE are properly incremented without having to know the destination address of the receiving DTE. The test pattern will continue to use a broadcast address.

The note that appears in 58.8.1.1 will be replicated in clauses 59 and 60 and 58A

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

Cl 58 SC Table 58-11 P229 L12 # 99333

Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

FBT D3.0 #287

Use of the Optical frame based test pattern of 58.8.1.1 will lead to a broadcast storm and take down the Ethernet network. This pattern is too dangerous to imbed into low-cost test equipment that could be used in the field. It is a recipe for malicious hacking.

SugaestedRemedy

Substitute with Valid 100BASE-X signal.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 288

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 58 SC Table 58-5 P224 L16 # 99334

Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The TDP test is not achieving widespread support.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to a Path Penalty Test with a minimum specified amount of dispersion in the test fiber

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

See comment 296

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

Cl 59 SC 59.1 P256 L7 # 99335

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

BB D3.0 #786

TDP D3.0 #289

Second sentence of second paragraph is very disjointed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change second sentence of paragraph to read:

A 1000BASE-LX10 and 1000BASE-BX10 PHY (physical layer) device is a combination of a 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA with the respective PMD. If the optional OAM is being used, the 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA in Clause 66 shall be integrated; otherwise, the Clause 36 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA shall be integrated. The management functions may be accessible through the optional Management Interface.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context.

The second sentence will be changed to:

A PMD is connected to the 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 36, and to the medium through the MDI. A PMD is optionally combined with the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 22 or by other means.

Cl 59 SC 59.1 P308 L7 # 129

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"Clause" not required.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "... PMA of 66.2."

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 59 SC Table 59-13 P 269 L12 # 99336 Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type Comment Status A TR

FBT D3.0 #295

Use of the Random pattern test frame Optical frame based test pattern of 58.8.1.1 will lead to a broadcast storm and take down the Ethernet network when broadcast mode is entered. This pattern is too dangerous to imbed into low-cost test equipment that could be used in the field. It is a recipe for malicious hacking.

SuggestedRemedy

Substitute with Valid 1000BASE-X signal.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 288

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 59 SC Table 59-5 P 263 L 19 # 99337 Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

TDP D3.0 #291

The TDP test is not achieving widespread support.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to a Path Penalty Test with a minimum specified amount of dispersion in the test fiber.

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. See 296

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments". on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 59 SC Table 59-8 P 266 L 27 # 99338

Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status R TDP D3.0 #293

The TDP test is not achieving widespread support.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to a Path Penalty Test with a minimum specified amount of dispersion in the test

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT. See 289

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments". on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 286 L9 # 99339 Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Status A BB D3.0 #787 Comment Type TR

Last sentence of first paragraph seems disjointed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change second sentence of paragraph to read:

A 1000BASE-PX10-D and 1000BASE-PX10-U PHY (physical layer) device is a combination of a 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA with the respective PMD. If the optional OAM is being used, the 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA in Clause 66 shall be integrated; otherwise, the Clause 36 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA as modified by 65.3 shall be integrated. The management functions may be accessible through the optional Management Interface.

Proposed Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status U

As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context.

The second sentence will be changed to:

A 1000BASE-PX-U PMD or a 1000BASE-PX-D PMD is connected to the appropriate 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 66, and to the medium through the MDI. A PMD is optionally combined with the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 22 or by other means.

C/ 60 SC 60.8.11 P304 L8 # 99340 Circadiant Systems

Paul Fitzgerald

Comment Type TR Comment Status A FBT D3.0 #300

Requires a test pattern rather than live traffic.

SuggestedRemedy

Use valid or live 1000BASE-X traffic for all stressed receiver conformance tests in

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace last sentence with last sentence of 59.9.14 with the appropriate references

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 60 SC Figure 60-1 P341 / 23 # 141

Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The "level indication" lines are no sufficiently visible for publication

SuggestedRemedy

Redraw to be more visible

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Problem may result from misconfiguration of editor in chiefs framemaker application. This will be corrected before another draft is produced.

C/ 60 SC Table 60-5 P 293 L 19 # 99341

Paul Fitzgerald Circadiant Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status R TDP D3.0 #296

The TDP test is not achieving widespread support.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to a Path Penalty Test with a minimum specified amount of dispersion in the test

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

TDP is a dispersion based path penalty test and is the more comprehensive of the two. If it were substituted by path pealty, then additional tests would have to be adderd. TDP testing has been under development for ~3 years in 10G and is accepted in this community. An alternative testing mechanism would need considerable scrutiny before it could be implemented.

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 60 SC Table 60-8 P 296 / 31 # 99342

Circadiant Systems Paul Fitzgerald

TDP D3.0 #298 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The TDP test is not achieving widespread support.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to a Path Penalty Test with a minimum specified amount of dispersion in the test fiber.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. See # 296

Balloter submitted a ballot marked "Affirmative, no comments", on draft 3.2. The BRC thus considers this comment resolved to the satisfaction of the balloter.

C/ 61 SC 61.1 P372 L 47 # 15 C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.2 P357 L 20 # 99352 Grow. Robert Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A D3.1 #556 TR MAC does not check CRS. The MAC uses carrierSense which is mapped from CRS (see Register numbers for TC control not updated correctly. note in 22.2.1.3.3). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Parts of register 6.0 and registers 6.16 through 6.23 specified in Clause 45 may be used Prior to transmission, the MAC checks the carrierSense variable (mapped from the MII to control the TC sublayer of Clause 61." signal CRS), and will not transmit another frame as long as CRS is asserted. Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See also comment #55. SC 61.1.1 C/ 61 P372 L 45 # 56 C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P422 / 12 # 9 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Type Ε register 3.0 controls PCS Editorial problems with automatically generated PICS entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add register 3.0 -> 422/12 change "are" to "is" -> 422/21 change "are" to "is" Proposed Response Response Status C -> 423/39 change "are" to "is" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. -> 423/42 change "are" to "is" Add "Parts of register 3.0," before "Parts of register 3.4". See also comments #6 and #8. -> 424/21 delete hyphen -> 424/46 change "have" to "has" C/ 61 SC 61.1.1 P372 L 47 # 55 -> 425/34 change "its" to "their" Schneiderheinze, Burkart -> 425/49 change "haves" to "has" Infineon Technologies -> 426/30 change "respond" to "responds" Comment Type E Comment Status A -> 426/37 change "respond" to "responds" "MMD 6 register set was adjusted, now registers 6.0 and 6.4 were added" -> 426/46 change "respond" to "responds" -> 427/5 change "transmit" to "transmits" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status C add register 6.0 and 6.4 ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P423 C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 L 21 # 10 See resolution of comment #15. Parts of register 6.0 can indeed be used to control the Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. PCS. Register 6.4 is read-only. Comment Type T Comment Status A PICS entry only applies to -R devices. SugaestedRemedy PAF-17 should be CPE:M instead of M. Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P423 L 24 # 12 C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P 427 L4 # 13 Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A PICS entry applies to Clause 45. Sentence is meaningless out of context. SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "within the next 0.5 seconds" with "within 0.5 seconds after an MR message". PAF-18 should be removed or made optional, as it applies to Clause 45. Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Remove PAF-18. Renumber all PAF-n with n>18. C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P427 L 1 # 130 C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P424 L 28 # 14 Booth, Brad Intel Beck, Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A The status shows 10PASS-TS and 2BASE-TL but those are not listed as options in 61.10.3. "this" is meaningless out of context. Same problem exists with the variables CPE and CO. They need to be defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy -> 424/28 change "this" to "the". -> 424/45 change "this" to "the". Insert two new options in 61.10.3 options table called *10PS and *2BL. Define new -> 425/10 change "this" to "the". options, and use the variables in 61.10.4.4 (without the *). -> 425/28 change "this" to "the". -> 426/10 change "this" to "the". Insert options *CO and *CPE in 61.10.3 options table also. -> 426/20 change "this" to "the". Proposed Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. The most unambiguous way to do this, seems to be to specify four "major capabilities": 2BR. 2BO. 10PR and 10PO. P424 C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 L 37 # 11 As a result, the following PICS entries must be adjusted: PAF-10: 2BO:M 10PO:M Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. PAF-11: 2BR:O 10PR:O Comment Type Comment Status A PAF-14: 2BR:O 10PR:O HS-3: 10PR:M 10PO:M The phrase "this value" is meaningless out of context. HS-4: 2BR:M 2BO:M SuggestedRemedy Additionally, add "PAF:" in front of every "status" entry in the PAF PICS. -> 424/37 change "this value" to "the value of the Remote Discovery register NPar(3)". -> 425/20 change "this value" to "the value of the Remote Discovery register NPar(3)". C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.4. P427 L 36 # 69 Proposed Response Response Status C Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status R information about ID field used for aggregation and discovery missing SuggestedRemedy add that part Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. This material is covered by PICS entries PAF-22 through PAF-50.

CI 61 SC 61.2.1.2.1 P382 L1 # 57
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A carrierSense Variable not defined in chapter 61.2.1.3.2

SuggestedRemedy

"rename CRS to carrierSense in chapter 61.2.1.3.2 (this is a guess and needs to be confirmed)

if it is true adjust consequently at least figure 61-6 (may be the following ones as well 61-7, 61-8"

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change sentence to:
"CRS behaves as defined in 61.2.1.3.2."

C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.4.2. P387 L39 # 82

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"missingStartOfPacket needs further condition: EndOfPacket bit deasserted, otherwise it clashes with the definition of unexpectedEndOfPacket"

SuggestedRemedy add condition

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no clash; unexpectedEndOfPacket implies missingStartOfPacket.

Comment Status A

CI 61 SC 61.2.2.4.4. P388 L37 # 83

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Fourth transition condition is missing: 'or MissingStartOfPacket'

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

add this condition in text

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In bullet c), add following text before "then":

"or (the fragment has the StartOfPacket bit deasserted when the start of a new packet is expected)"

Cl 61 SC 61.2.2.7.3. P392

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

in this error case no buffer needs to be flushed, because the next frame should be started with the fragment just received.

L9

85

SuggestedRemedy

remove words 'and flush the PMA buffers'

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.8.4 P393 L38 # 58
Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

according to lines 1-3 of this pages there does not exist management access to the remote discovery register at the -r side

SuggestedRemedy

remove this sentence

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In the first sentence of 61.2.2.8.4, remove "(see 45.2.1.13.1 length and format)".

Delete the second sentence of 61.2.2.8.4.

Delete PICS entry PAF-18, renumber PICS entries PAF-n for n>18.

C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.8.4. P393 L37 # 86

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

wrong cross reference

SuggestedRemedy

change to 45.2.6.8

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See resolution of comment #58.

C/ 61

Beck, Michael

Comment Type

Typo: betweenthe

SC 61.3.3.4

Ε

C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.3. P403 L 32 # 87 Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status R change 'degree 31' to 'degree <= 31' and 'degree 15' to 'degree <= 15' See also IEEE 802.3-2002, chapter 3.2.8, where this text is obviously copied from. SugaestedRemedy make changes as described in the comment Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.3. P403 L 38 # 88 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A In this and the next paragraph 'left-most' and 'right-most' have to be exchanged. This text is obviously copied from IEEE 802.3-2002, chapter 3,2.8, but in the corresponding picture figure 3-1 LSB is on the left side, in Figure 61-16 LSB is on the right side. SuggestedRemedy apply changes (4 appearances in 2 paragraphs). Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. However, the BRC believes following technical change is required: Replace "left-most bit" with the "bit position a 1 on the gamma-interface as shown in Figure 61-16": Replace "right-most bit" with the "bit position a 8 on the gamma-interface as shown in Figure 61-16": for both 2BASE-TL and 10PASS-TS. C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.4 P404 19 # 59 Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A missing space between 'between' and 'the' SugaestedRemedy insert space

Response Status C

Proposed Response

Duplicate comment: #3.

ACCEPT.

SugaestedRemedy Add proportional space between "between" and "the". Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Duplicate comment: #59. C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 P408 L 35 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type Е Comment Status A "wrong cross ref," SuggestedRemedy update to 45.2.6.13 Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.8 P410 / 30 Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A wrong cross ref to clause 45 SuggestedRemedy update cross ref to 45.2.6.13 Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 61 SC 61.4.4 P413 L 15 Beck, Michael Alcatel Bell n.v. Comment Type Comment Status A Subclause title is "Changes to 9.3.4". However, the subclause doesn't actually contain any changes to 9.3.4. The only meaningful statement it makes, is that "The use of the Identification field is outside the scope of this standard." which is no longer true (see resolution of comment #417/D3.1). SuggestedRemedy Remove subclause 61.4.4. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Note: Make sure that changed heading numbers are reflected correctly in PICS tables.

P404

Comment Status A

Alcatel Bell n.v.

L9

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 89 of 104

C/ 61 SC 61.4.4

60

61

C/ 61 SC 61.4.4. P413 L18 # 62

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Status A

use of ID field is used for aggregation

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

add a note to the ID field

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #4.

C/ 61 P414 SC 61.4.8 L8 # 63

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status R

"both ID field and standard information field contain Nand SPAR, clarify that these NPAR belongs to ID field"

SuggestedRemedy

add of ID field behind NPAR

besides line 8 this also applies to line 9 and 10

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no ambiguity; there are no NPar codepoints of the same name anywhere but in the Identification field.

P414 C/ 61 SC 61.4.8.1 L 20

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

ambiguity

SuggestedRemedy

add a note that the NPar and Spar in the following 2 chapter are part of the ID field

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no ambiguity; there are no NPar codepoints of the same name anywhere but in the Identification field.

C/ 61 SC 61.4.8.1 P416 L 31 # 66

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

accdg. to page 414 line 16 either CLR or MR can be first message

SuggestedRemedy

change sentence to:

...the -R device shall beginn the first g.994.1 transaction either by an CLR or MR message

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Motion to reject: Dineen/Beili

Approve: 6 Don't Approve: 1 Abstain: 1

64

C/ 61 SC 61.4.8.3 P416 L25 # 65
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"relation to either STU-R initiated start up or STU-C initiated start up according to g.994.1 missing, see also comment against PMA/PMD control register"

SuggestedRemedy

- a) STU-R intitiated start up (default scenario): the -o device shall listen to R-tone and after detection transmitting C-tones
- b) SUT-C intiated sceanrio: sentence as it is (transmitting C_TONES)

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 does not specify the behavior of an STU-R or an STU-C.

For both 2BASE-TL and 10PASS-TS, the draft allows -O initiated handshake as well as -R initiated handshake.

-R initiated start-up is accomplished by configuring the existing registers in the following way:

[-R]

PMA/PMD link control = 1 (default) Handshake response = DC

[-0]

PMA/PMD link control = DC Handshake response = 0

-O initiated start-up is accomplished by configuring the existing registers in the following way:

[-R]

PMA/PMD link control = 0 Handshake response = 0

[-O1

PMA/PMD link control = 1 Handshake response = DC

In line 29, add following sentence: "The -O device responds by sending C-TONES if the Handshake response register bit is set to 0 (add reference to Clause 45)." Resolution of comment on bit polarity may apply.

C/ 61 SC 61.4.8.3 P416 L39 # 67

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

missing word (exchange)

SuggestedRemedy

add exchange behind capabilities

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 61 SC 61.4.8.3 P416 L49 # 68

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"STA does not have any knowledge whic g.994.1 action is taking place, sees only the result, therefore there is no way for the STA to monitor this 0.5s criteria and set the STFU bit"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove last sentence and replace it with the follwoing:Phases between the different stage shall be filled by a silent period. After discovery phase and after PME aggregation phase both devices shall enter a silence period initiated by the -O device with a length of silence time (according to 45.2.1.22.3). The silence period will be terminated by the -O device sending C-Tones. This action is triggerd by either initiating the link or by initiating the PME aggregation or discovery.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Motion (Riess/Simon) Approve: 8 Don't Approve: 0 Abstain: 2

The requested functions are already present in the current specification. Subclause 61.4.8.3 states that "[i]f neither the PMA/PMD control bit nor the discovery or link partner aggregation register operations are activated within the next 0.5 seconds, the 'O' shall transmit an MS message with the SPar(1) silent bit set (see 45.2.1.11.2)". Hence, the transmission of of this MS message is the automatic consequence of the expiry of a .5 second timeout; it does not require management intervention. Although the wording has been modified slightly between D3.1 and D3.2, the underlying concept is believed to have consensus in the Sponsor Ballot group.

Line 23, add: "NOTE---Handshake operations specified in this subclause occur autonomously in the PHY, without intervention of the STA. They may however be triggered by an STA using the management interface."

In line 49, remove reference to clause 45.

C/ 61 SC 61.7 P417 L 32 # 5 Beck. Michael Alcatel Bell n.v.

Text was copied from ASCII source (using 'primes' or so-called 'straight single quotes' instead of real apostrophes).

Comment Status A

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace system's with system<apostrophe>s.

Proposed Response Response Status C

Ε

ACCEPT.

P389 L 34 C/ 61 **SC Figure 61-11** # 84

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Transition condition from INCREMENT EXPECTED FRAGMENT to FRAGMENT ERROR is incorrect: UnexpectedEndOfPacket is missing

SuggestedRemedy

add as fourth condition 'UnexpectedEndOfPacket'

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

If UnexpectedEndOfPacket is TRUE, then missingStartOfPacket must also be TRUE (see also comment #82). Therefore, the condition "missingStartOfPacket" in the state transition is sufficient to ensure that "UnexpectedEndOfPacket" will also cause a transition from state INCREMENT EXPECTED FRAGMENT into state FRAGMENT ERROR.

C/ 61 SC Figure 61-19 P411 L 2 # 89

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R

TC_synchronized changing to TRUE must in all cases make the statemachine passing the state LOSS OF SYNC, where k is adjusted. This is ensured by transitions from CHECK SYNC* to LOSS OF SYNC. The transition (TC synchronizedCHANGE=TRUE AND TC synchronized=TRUE) directly into OUT OF FRAGMENT does not adjust k to the found synchronization and is furthermore a contradiction to the mechanism described above.

SuggestedRemedy

remove (TC_synchronizedCHANGE=TRUE AND TC_synchronized=TRUE)

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

C/ 61 **SC Figure 61-19** P411 L8 # 107

Bernard, Debbasch Conexant

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We believe that there is a potential lock-up in the 64/65-octet receive state machine. If a ""Start of Frame While Idle" codeword has S as the last octet, then the receive state machine enters IN FRAGMENT with k = 64. In this state, the sync byte of the next ""All Data"" codeword is read, k is incremented to 65, and the state machine gets stuck in the IN FRAGMENT state forever. It passes all octets, including Zs and syncs to PAF.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the IN FRAGMENT block to:

IF k < 64

B <= receiveOctet():

 $k \le k+1$:

sendOctetToPAF(B);

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change condition for transition from state OUT_OF_FRAGMENT into state

IN FRAGMENT to: (B=50)*(k<64)*(k!=1)

Create new transition from state OUT_OF_FRAGMENT into state CHECK_SYNC2 with

following condition: (B=50)*(k=64)

C/ 61A SC 61A.2 P610 / 40 # 71

Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status R

remove word optional after transaction B

SuggestedRemedy

remove optional and add a footnote that every CLR may be preced by a MR/REQ-CLR (see 61.4.8)

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Figure 61A-3 was modified in resolution of comment #533/D3.1. The appearance of the label "optional" is consistent with the text in subclause 61.4.8.3. As Figure 61A-3 is an informative example, there is no need to replicate an informative note from Clause 61 here.

CI 61B SC P615 L3 # 136

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Annex 61B is listed as normative, contains "shall" statements, but has no PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add PICS.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Booth/Simon)

Move to add PICS in accordance with the existing shalls in the text. (Resolution of comment #72 applies.)

Approve: 10 Don't Approve: 0 Abstain: 1

C/ 61B SC 61B.2 P616 L12 # [72

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

entire chapter is just copy of a part of table 10 of g.994.1 and provides therefore no additional information

SuggestedRemedy

remove chapter

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The content of two footnotes was placed in this subclause in resolution of comments #207/D3.1 and #417/D3.1. The resulting text in 61B.2 is largely redundant with respect to ITU-T Recommendation G.994.1.

Remove the paragraphs starting with "The silent period bit shall..." and "The variable silence period bit shall...".

Table 61B-1 and the text introducing it should however remain (most of it is unchanged from D3.1 and thus stands approved by the Ballot group).

C/ 61B SC 61B.2 P616 L35 # 73

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This entire chapter is related to the ID part of G.994.1 and has nothing to do with neither Table 61B-1 nor Level1 S Field codepoints

SuggestedRemedy

Either move this chapter up infront of Level-1 S field code points with an appropriate head line or move it to g.994.1

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution of comment #72.

C/ 61B SC 61b3.1 P618 L17 # 74

Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

clarify Band A and Band B operation

SuggestedRemedy

add a footnote that band A stands for Annex A and Band B stands for Annex B

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

However, the BRC believes the following change is necessary:

Replace "Band A" with "G.991.2 Annex A" Replace "Band B" with "G.991.2 Annex B"

Cl 62 SC 62.1 P430 L8 # 6

Beck, Michael Alcatel Bell n.v.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Register numbers not updated correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Align register numbers with the ones currently referenced in 61.1. Sentence should read: "Parts of register 3.4 and registers 3.60 through 3.73 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the PCS of Clause 61. Parts of register 6.0 and registers 6.16 through 6.23 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the TC sublayer of Clause 61. Registers 1.16 through 1.55 and 6.0 through 6.12290 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the 10PASS-TS PMA and PMD."

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Sentence should read:

"Parts of register 3.0, parts of register 3.4, and registers 3.60 through 3.73 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the PCS of Clause 61. Parts of register 6.0 and registers 6.16 through 6.23 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the TC sublayer of Clause 61. Registers 1.16 through 1.71 may be used to control the 10PASS-TS PMA and PMD."

Cl 62 SC 62.3.4.2 P436 L17 # 7

Beck, Michael Alcatel Bell r

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Grammar: support [...] are mandatory

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with: support [...] is mandatory

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 63 SC 63.1 P 454 L8 # 70 Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A PMD/PMA register 1.1 and 1.4 also used to control the PMA and extend range to reg. 1.109

SugaestedRemedy

add these registers

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Registers 1.1 and 1.4 are read-only, and therefore they cannot "control the PMA". See resolution of comment #8.

CI 63 SC 63.1 P454 L8 Beck, Michael Alcatel Bell n.v.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Register numbers not updated correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "register 3.4.1" with "parts of register 3.4" as in 61.1.

Insert sentence:

"Parts of register 6.0 and registers 6.16 through 6.23 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the TC sublaver of Clause 61." after "...the PCS of Clause 61."

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Sentence should read:

"Parts of register 3.0, parts of register 3.4, and registers 3.60 through 3.73 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the PCS of Clause 61. Parts of register 6.0 and registers 6.16 through 6.23 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the TC sublayer of Clause 61. Registers 1.16 through 1.42 and 1.80 through 1.109 specified in Clause 45 may be used to control the 2BASE-TL PMA and PMD."

L1 C/ 63 SC 63.4 P464 # 132 Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Pagination needs rework as small tables are on an entire page.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The table in 63.4.4.1 is too big to allow either the preceding or the following table to share the same page. In order to keep the tables together with their subclause headings, the smaller tables have to be kept on a separate page. Sorry.

NOTE: We expect issues like these to be fixed by the IEEE Publications Editor (if possible). See also comment #128.

C/ 63 SC 63.4.3 P465 L 13 # 131

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Status A Comment Type Ε

Missing options from the table.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the *MDIO option.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove PICS entry 2BPMA-11.

L 1 C/ 63 SC 63.4.4 P466 # 63001

Michael Beck

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment from the Floor

Heading:

63.4.4 PICS proforma tables for the Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) type 2BASE-TL

SuggestedRemedy

Should be:

63.4.4 PICS proforma tables for the Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) and Physical Medium Dependent (PMD).

type 2BASE-TL

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 63A SC 63A.4 P674 L 52 # 75

Schneiderheinze. Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

wrong corss ref

SuggestedRemedy

update to 45.2.1.42

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 63A SC 63A4 P674 L53 # 76
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

new added register missing

SuggestedRemedy

add chapter 45.2.1.57

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add sentence:

"The 2B extended PMD parameters registers (see 45.2.1.57) define four additional data range sets to be used in conjunction

with the 2B PMD parameters registers when additional PMD configuration detail is desired." before "Detailed register settings..."

C/ 63A SC 63A4 P675 L30 # 77
Schneiderheinze, Burkart Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status R

"register 1.81 and 1.82 are just example,"

SuggestedRemedy

"values can also written to pairs 1.83/1.84, 1.85/1.86, 1.87/1.88, 1.102/1.103, 1.104/1.105.1.106/1.107, 1.108/1.109"

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT. Motion to Reject.

(Dineen/Diab) Approve: 6 Don't Approve: 0 Abstain: 4

C/ 64 SC 64.1.5 P474 L28 # 22

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** grammar

SuggestedRemedy

Change text: "This function a < b is used..." to "a < b: This function is used ..."

Proposed Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use text: "a < b: A function that is used . . . "

C/ 64 SC 64.2.2

P477 L54

23

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type E

Comment Status A

Teknovus

wrong variable name

SuggestedRemedy

Change transmitENABLE to transmitEnable

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.3

P 481

Teknovus

L 31

24

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type E

Comment Status A

grammar

SuggestedRemedy

change "time quantas" to "units of time quanta".

Proposed Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ **64** SC **64.2.2.3**

P **482**

L **25**

25

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status A

variable description is inaccurate

SuggestedRemedy

Change "These variables are used to indicate that an instance is ready to transmit data" to

"This variable indicates that the Multi-point MAC Control instance j is ready to transmit a data frame."

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"This variable indicates that the Multi-point MAC Control instance j is ready to transmit a frame."

				P802.3an L)raπ 3.2 Com	iments				
C/ 64	SC 64.3.2.3	P 469	L 15	# 99348	C/ 64	SC 64.3.6		L 42	# <u>108</u>	
Choi, Su-il		ETRI			Kramer, Gl		Teknovus			
	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Not Member Of Ballot Group					<i>Type</i> T	Comment Status R			
This caluse describes OLT may support multicast by using additional multicast MACs. Additional multicast MACs require additional LLIDs and filtering rules. However, multicast channel configuration as well as filtering and marking of frames for multicast isn't defined in Clause 65.1.3.3.2						The GATE message has grant start field preceding grant length. It would add clarity if the field descriptins follow the same order.				
						Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy					Exchange items (c) and (d).					
Suggest a solution for multicast channel configuration as well as filtering and marking of frames for multicast. Attached file "choi_p2mp_1_0304.pdf" suggests a new variable						Response RAWN.	Response Status Z			
	p2mp_2_0304.p	entifier)" for grouping of some df" shows the changes of the			Cl 64 Booth, Brad	SC 64.4	P 512 Intel	<i>L</i> 1	# 133	
Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.						Comment Type E Comment Status A Pagination needs some work. Heading on its own page.				
	Editor suggests this comment to be rejected as it constitutes a new feature. Y: 5 N: 1 A: 2 Remove words "(multicast MACs)". Remove the words "Multicast and" from the section header					Re <i>medy</i> mment.				
N: 1						Response PT IN PRINCI	Response Status C			
						Will be fixed by IEEE publication editor without further action from EFM TF.				
Y:1 N:1 A:5										
		ed in the comment								
	n to accept STF i 302.3ah:	resolution (reject the commen	ıt)							

Y:17 N:1 A:4

CI 65 SC 65.1 P506 L12 # 99307
Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.0 #794 Comment Type

Kramer, Glen

C/ 65

omment Type T Comment Status R

SC 65.1.3.3.1

The entire concept of this extension to emulate point-to-point operation seems to be a violation of the following text extracted from the Overview and Architecture, IEEE Std 802 clause 6.2.1 Service access points (SAPs)

"The MAC sublayer provides a single MAC service access point (MSAP) as an interface port to the LLC sublayer in an end station."

AND

"The Physical layer provides an interface port to a single MAC station...."

This also seems to be a violation of the 5 Criteria commitment in Compatibility paragraph 1.

SuggestedRemedy

Alter draft to remain within original commitment.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The statements "The MAC sublayer provides a single MAC service access point (MSAP) as an interface port to the LLC sublayer in an end station." AND "The Physical layer provides an interface port to a single MAC station,..." do not have a 'shall' and therefore are not a requirement for 802 networks.

P2P emulation concept is required for interworking with 802 Networks, and is consistant with compatibility requirements undertaken by the 802.3ah project.

Draft says: "It may replace the first octet of preamble with the /S/ code-group and pass the second octet unchanged or it may discard the first octet of preamble and replace the second octet of preamble with the /S/ code-group. The SLD is transmitted in the third octet. These are the only two possibilities considered when parsing the incoming octet stream for the SLD."

P533

Teknovus

L 38

Which two possibilities?

SuggestedRemedy

The text should say:

"If the first octet of preamble is replaced by Start_of_Packet delimiter (SPD), the SLD is transmitted in the second octet after SPD (not counting the SPD itself). If the first octet of preamble is discared, and the SPD replaces the second octet, the SLD is transmitted immediately following the SPD. These are the only two possibilities considered when parsing the incoming octet stream for the SLD."

Proposed Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

It is clear that the two posibilities are discard or no discard of preamble head.

Strawpoll:

Text unchanged: 6

Adopt suggested remedy: 3

TR

Cl 65 SC 65.1.3.3.2 P514 L11 # 99347

Choi, Su-il

Comment Type

ETRI

Comment Status R

Not Member Of Ballot Group

116

In subclause 64.3.2.3, additional multicast MACs are described roughly. This means that multicast MACs require multicast_llid individually. However, each ONU checks only the match of SCB_LLID(0x7FFF).

SuggestedRemedy

Add additional comparison as "..., or the received logical_link_id matches 0x7FFF or one of the multicast_llids, then ..."

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Proposed new feature is past deadline for new feature addition.

See comment #125 for clause 64.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 97 of 104

C/ 65 SC 65.1.3.3.3 P 534 L 25 # 26 Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

grammar

SugaestedRemedy

replace "replace" with "replaced"

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3 P538 L 48 # 112 Teknovus

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The specification for FEC is incomplete. It lacks precise specification about how parity bits are generated and in which block and bit order parity bits are transmitted.

In addition, no specification is given to parity buffer. Variable parity_buffer_empty is used without ever being initialized and set. No procedure for removing parity data from the buffer

Also missing is the state digram for Selector state machine which will forward received code-groups to either packet buffer or parity buffer (refer to Figure 65-10). No synchronization mechanisms are shown which would prevent data to leave the receive buffer before the entire frame is received and corrected.

It seems that there is an assumption that every implementation in some magical way will implement FEC in the same fashion and will become interoperable.

SuggestedRemedy

In its current form, FEC specification is absolutely incomplete. To fix the situation, several new state machines should be developed, at the price of delaying the standard. Therefore, the commenter suggests to completely remove FEC section from the current draft with the understanding that a new project can be initiated to specify FEC. The new specification can be made generic to benefit different configurations, not only P2MP.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

- > It lacks precise specification about how parity bits are enerated
- > and in which block and bit order parity bits are transmitted.
- > Section 65.2.3.1 (especially p.540 line 5-13) and 65.2.3.2.1 define
- > the parity bytes generation method and the block and bit order
- > of the data. In addition, no specification is given to parity buffer.

Generally speaking the state machine only describe the data streaming process - transmit (and receive and sync) path. Not the encoding and decoding of the data.

The encoding process is not described in the transmit state diagram, instead the

RS_Encode function is described in p.547 l17-21 "

RS Encode(Data)

This function is used to encode the Reed Solomon (255,239.8) code. The encoder encodes the 239 octets data frame and generates 16 parity octets for each data frame. Before being passed to the Reed Solomon encoder, this function passes the data through DECODE([/x/]). "

The parity data from this function is defined in: P.545 line 29 " parity<D7:D0> An 8-bit array that contains the current parity

bits to be encoded in the FEC Transmit Process. The elements within the array are updated with the next 8-bits to be encoded upon each entry into the XMIT PARITY state.) "

- > Variable parity buffer empty is used without ever being
- > initialized and set.

The variable usage is defined in figure 65-11 transmit state diagram. In the state: "XMIT PARITY" In this state the initial setting of the variable is FALSE. And when the transmission of the parity is ended then the setting is set to TRUE. This definition is complete.

- > No procedure for removing parity data from the buffer is shown. The RS Decode function is specified, this is not in the states diagram. The encoder is filling and emptying the buffer.
- > Also missing is the state diagram for Selector state machine which
- > will forward received code-groups to either packet buffer or parity
- > buffer (refer to Figure 65-10). No synchronization mechanisms are
- > shown which would prevent data to leave the receive buffer before the
- > entire frame is received and corrected.

The behavior of the data streaming is described in the state machines - figure 65-13 and figure 65-14. The behavior of the state machine in this scenario is fully described in all cases. The state machine is waiting for S FEC. If it is not found the buffer is filled with the incoming code groups, and the code group is forwarded to the PCS. The buffer emptying defines the replacement of the parity bytes. The alignment of the data is defined by the buffer in the sense that is keeps the streaming of the data whether it is FEC decoded or not. In that sense the FEC decoding process is done in parallel to the buffer filling and emptying and its delay should be matched.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.2.3 P 541 L 15 # 27

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 65-7 the SFD should be SLD

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 P 545 L 2 # 109 Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Status A Comment Type Т missing definition for variable rx_code-group

SuggestedRemedy

Add missing definition

Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add:

rx code-group<9:0>

A 10-bit vector represented by the most recently received code-group from the PMA. The element rx code-group<0> is the least recently received (oldest) rx bit; rx code-group<9> is the most

recently received rx bit (newest). When code-group alignment has been achieved, this vector contains precisely one code-group.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.5 P 549 L1 # 134

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

State diagrams are ugly.

SuggestedRemedy

There is some overlap of the transitions equations on the transition lines. Equations should not overlap other equations or transition lines. The diagrams are very compressed and you have room available to make look better.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Diagrams will be asthetically edited to improve legibility.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.5.1 P 549 L 31 # 113

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

In Figure 65-11, wrong idles are generated. When disparity is positive /l1/ should be generated. If disparity is negative /I2/ is generated. Refer to Figure 36-6.

SuggestedRemedy

Swap the XMIT T FEC2 I1 and XMIT T FEC2 I2 states, or swap the labels.

Proposed Response Response Status Z WITHDRAWN.

P551 # 114 Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 1

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Figure 65-13 can be simplified by making a transition from FILL_TFEC_O_2 to FILL_TFEC_E_2 and removing states FILL_TFEC_O_3, FILL_TFEC_O_4, FILL TFEC O 5, FILL TFEC O 6, FILL TFEC O 7

SuggestedRemedy

Fix per comment

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Comment not editorial. Nothing broken in diagram.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 P551 L11 # 117

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

FEC receive process is broken.

The FEC syncronization state machine generates sync_status variable synchronously with data arriving to the receive buffer. This variable is used to reset 2 state machines (Fig 65-13 and Fig 65-14). But these two state machines operate with at least 12 us (max packet size) delay and cannot use the same sync_status variable.

Otherwise, a lost sync may affect a previously received good frame which is still partially in FEC receive buffer.

SuggestedRemedy

In its current form, FEC specification is absolutely incomplete. To fix the situation, several new state machines should be developed, at the price of delaying the standard. Therefore, the commenter suggests to completely remove FEC section from the current

draft with the understanding that a new project can be initiated to specify FEC. The new specification can be made generic to benefit different configurations, not only P2MP.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

- > The FEC synchronization state machine generates sync status
- > variable synchronously with data arriving to the receive buffer.
- > This variable is used to reset 2 state machines (Fig 65-13 and
- > Fig 65-14). But these two state machines operate with at least 12
- > us (max packet size) delay and cannot use the same
- > sync status variable. Otherwise, a lost sync may affect a
- > previously received good frame which is still partially in FEC
- > receive buffer.

A lose if sync state may cause the FEC decoder to lose a frame.

Synchronizing will occur in the next comma detect which is before the start of the next frame. Fig 65-13 and Fig 65-14 defines the buffer fill and buffer empty state diagrams. In that sense they are

dealing in a frame bounded case. The sync_status defines a reset to the operation of the 2 state machines. If the state machine is not

synchronized then the buffer is not filling and returning to its initial

state, and an emptying case (in the middle of any parity replacement in idles) should also return to its initial state.

It is understood that the specifications in Clause 65 will permit implementations to discard multiple frames in the event the FEC receive process loses synchronization.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 P551 L28 # 115

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Figure 65-13 generates incorrect idles. If disparity is positive, /l1/ should be generated, otherwise /l2/.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix states FILL_TFEC_E_4 and FILL_TFEC_O_5:

tx_disparity=POSITIVE should be tx_disparity=NEGATIVE

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The comment and suggested remedy are incorrect, and no change is necessary.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.6.1 P548 L47 # 110

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type E Comment Status A

typo

SuggestedRemedy

variables should be variable

Proposed Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 65 SC 65.3.2.1 P553 L11 # 111

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The definition of CDR is explained after the CDR requirements are listed.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1. Renumber section 65.3.2.1.2 into 65.3.2.1.3
- 2. Add section 65.3.2.1.2 CDR lock timing requirements after text ending with "...for FEC enabled systems"
- 3. Move text "A PMA instantiated ..." to the beginning of the new section 65.3.2.1.2

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

 CI 66
 SC
 P
 L
 # 99351

 Thompson, Geoffrey
 Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.1 #375

Changes have been made for 100 Mb/s that violate the compatibility promises committed to in the 5 Criteria presentation that added 100 M to the project:

Compatibility

100BASE-X PCS & PMA assumed, and the 802.3 MAC

- No changes whatsoever to the MAC
- PHY identical to current 100Mbps Std except for a new PMD
- No change to Clause 24
- Retain all state machines, 4B/5B coding etc. of 100BASE-X
- o Only need to extend Clause 26, 100BASE-FX PMD, to include SMF
- o Physical medium compatibility through SMF
- Compatible with existing 1000BASE-LX
- Provides upgrade paths to higher speeds and multiple wavelengths, with fiber plant untouched

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all changes to 100BASE-X other than PMD optical changes to bring the proposal back into line with the 5 Criteria Compatibility promises made when 100 M was added to the project.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See the presentation dawe_2_0304 that serves to make unidirectional operation dependent upon the ability of the PHY and the existence of the OAM Remote Fault option.

Promises made by a presenter back in St. Louis are in no way binding on the group. The text referenced is from a presentation by Ulf Jonsson, made at a Call For Interest, archived in the file:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/smfx_study/public/jonsson_1_0302.pdf

It was never adopted by the task force, and is not binding on the task force.

The baseline presentation on the subject is archived in the file:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/efm/baseline/ionsson 1 0502.pdf

This presentation also assumes that the 100BASE-X PCS is retained unchanged, but decisions to modify the PCS have been made since the baseline was adopted, and these are reflected in the approved text of the draft.

The PAR and 5 Criteria for EFM never claimed that the 100BASE-X PCS would be retained unchanged. The changes that we have made to the 100BASE-X PCS for the sake of unidirectional OAM PDU transmission were approved by the WG in the course of the WG ballot. This change was approved in Italy in September of 2003 in the following

presentation:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/efm/public/sep03/frazier_1_0903.pdf

C/ 66 SC P560 L4 # 139

Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Editorial required.

The sentence structure is misleading. The phrase "which is required to initialize a 1000BASE-PX network" is not appropriately a dependent clause wrt the previous independent clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Restructure to (or equivalent):

In the absence of unidirectional operation, the sublayers in this clause are precisely the same as their equivalents in Clause 24, Clause 36, and Clause 46. For unidirectional operation, this clause describes additions and modifications to the 100BASE-X, 1000BASE-X and 10GBASE physical layers, making them capable of unidirectional operation. Unidirectional operation allows the transmission of Operations, Administration and Management (OAM) frames regardless of whether the PHY has determined that a valid link has been established. Unidirectional operation is required to initialize a 1000BASE-PX network.

Proposed Response Status C

REJECT.

The suggested remedy modifies the style but not the substance of the text.

Straw Poll

Prefers to leave this paragraph as is: 5 Prefer to accept suggested remedy: 6

Motion: Accept the suggested remedy:

Y: 7

N: 4

A: 4

Motion fails.

- - -

Commenter downgraded the comment from TR to E with the understanding that it would not be recirculated.

D3.1 #557

Cl 66 SC 66 P540 L1 # 99353
Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Paragraph makes use of "should" and "must". IEEE 802.3 tries to avoid the use of such words.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "should" in 2nd sentence to "may". In the 3rd sentence, change second and third "should" to be "shall". In the 4th sentence, change both "must" to be "shall". Change "should" in 5th sentence to be a "shall".

Proposed Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In addition - need to drop "on both ends of the link" from the part where OAM is enabled.

I'm okay with accepting these changes but these 5 new shall statements require a new PICS entry.

Replace the existing text with the following:

"This clause describes additions and modifications to the 100BASE-X, 1000BASE-X and 10GBASE physical layers, making them capable of unidirectional operation, which is required to initialize a 1000BASE-PX network, and allows the transmission of Operations, Administration and Management (OAM) frames regardless of whether the PHY has determined that a valid link has been established.

However, unidirectional operation may only be enabled under very limited circumstances. Before enabling this mode, the MAC shall be operating in full duplex mode and Auto-Negotiation, if applicable, shall be disabled. In addition, the OAM sublayer above the MAC (see Clause 57) shall be enabled or (for 1000BASE-X), the PCS shall be part of a 1000BASE-PX-D PHY (see Clause 60 and Clause 64). Unidirectional operation shall not be invoked for a PCS that is part of a 1000BASE-PX-U PHY (except for out-of-service test purposes or where the PON contains just one ONU). Failure to follow these restrictions results in an incompatibility with the assumptions of 802.1 protocols, a PON that cannot initialize, or collisions, which are unacceptable in the P2MP protocol."

Add a new subclause before 66.4.4.1 with title: "Maintaining compatibility with 802.1 protocols"

Add a PICS table identical to the others in this section with the following entry: MC1 - Unidirectional mode enabled - 66 - Full duplex and disable AutoNeg and (enable OAM or 1000BASE-PX-D) and not 1000BASE-PX-U - M - Yes[], No[]

Cl 66 SC 66 P560 L4 # 19

Martin, David Nortel Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The portion of the sentence about 1000BASE-PX initialization should be a separate sentence. Uni-directional operation of 100BASE-X and 10GBASE is not related to 1000BASE-PX initialization.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

'making them capable of unidirectional operation, which is required to initialize a 1000BASE-PX network, and allows the transmission of Operations, Administration and Management (OAM) frames regardless of whether the PHY has determined that a valid link has been established.'

to

'making them capable of unidirectional operation, and allowing the transmission of Operations, Administration and Management (OAM) frames regardless of whether the PHY has determined that a valid link has been established. Further, unidirectional operation is required to initialize a 1000BASE-PX network.'

Proposed Response Status C REJECT.

See response to comment #139.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ 66 SC 66 P 560 L8 # 142 Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The conditions in 66 introductory text for enabling unidirectional operation are not sufficiently restrictive for the operation unidirectional operation. Clause 57 is entirely optional. I can not find that its presence is actually required for unidirectional operation. only that if the OAM sublayer is present then it shall be enabled. This means that it is a valid configuration under the current text to enable unidirectional operation in a network with no OAM sublaver. This violates the normal operation of an 802.3 network and would break networks.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the presence of an OAM sublayer as well as enabling of the OAM sublayer a requirement for unidirectional operation for all DTEs (except as specified form PONs). It is not OK for the OAM sublayer to be optional if unidirectional operation can be enabled on a point-to-point link.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add "present and" to read:

"In addition, the OAM sublayer above the MAC (see Clause 57) shall be present and enabled..."

66.4.4.2

Change: PICS entry: MC1 "enable OAM or" to "OAM present and enabled or"

CI 66 SC 66.3.2.2 P540 L 41 # 99313 Grow. Robert Intel

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

D3.0 #552

The true value needs to be better tied to the register bits that define unidirectional being enabled.

SuggestedRemedy

TRUE; Unidirectional capability enabled (register bits 0.1 = 1 and 1.7 = 1, see Clause 22)

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This is the RS. Clause 22 registers have never been used to represent variables or anything else in an RS. While the RS is part of the physical layer, it is not part of the PHY. CI 67 SC 67.6.1 P 549 L3 # 140

Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text:

"Prior to EFM, compliant 100 Mb/s, 1000 Mb/s and 10 Gb/s PCS implementations were not able to ..."

is contextually inappropriate for integration into the standard. Once the amnedment is approved and integrated there will be no notion of "Prior to EFM" all portions of the standard will be present and peer.

(Editorial required)

SuggestedRemedy

Rephrase appropriately in the present tense.

Proposed Response Response Status Z WITHDRAWN.

CI 67 SC 67.6.3 P 573 L 20 # 135

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Signaling is spelt with one "I".

SuggestedRemedy

Change per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 67 SC 67.6.3 P 573 L 24 # 819

Wael William Diab

Comment Type Е Comment Status A

"defined in sub-clause 64.3.8.3 as follows" is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "defined in 64.3.3.2 as follows"

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC Pi L37 # 120

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

10GBASE-T cannot use unidirectional.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "and 10 Gb/s Ethernet" to be "10GBASE-R, 10GBASE-W and 10GBASE-X".

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Apply also to the comment in clause 66, #139 (check comment number)

Cl 99 SC 0 Pi L1 # 119

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Excess use of TM symbol.

SuggestedRemedy

After first use, TM is not required.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Have had various guidance on this. Will rely on the IEEE project editor to follow appropriate TM usage as part of her publication process.