Р # 90002 Р C/ 00 SC L C/ 01 SC 1.3 L # 90014 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-226 Comment Type TR DVJ-238 A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Provide a list of tables. As corrected above. DVJ1-viii DVJ1-6 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. A list, bookmarks for and description of all the clauses is included and conforms REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. to the style of previous 802.3 amendments. C/ 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90013 C/ 00 SC Ρ L # 90004 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-237 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-228 Excess capitalization. A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. Provide a list of tables. DVJ1-6 DVJ1-xxx Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. This style conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments. C/ 01 Ρ SC 1.3 L # 90018 C/ 00 SC Ρ L # 90003 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-242 Comment Type TR DVJ-227 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. Provide a list of tables. DVJ1-6 DVJ1-xxix Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. This style conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments. Ρ C/ 01 SC 1.3 1 # 90011 SC 1.3 Р L C/ 01 # 90027 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-235 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-251 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected in nine preceding paragraphs. As corrected above. DVJ1-5 DVJ1-7 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 1 of 225

C/ 01 SC 1.3

C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90012	CI 01 SC 1.3 P David V. James JGG	L	# 90015
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-236	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.	2	DVJ-239
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status Unon unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.	J	
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90021	CI 01 SC 1.3 P David V. James JGG	L	# 90026
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-245	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.	t	DVJ-250
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status Ununchanged text.	IJ		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.	J	
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90023	CI 01 SC 1.3 P David V. James JGG	L	# 90022
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-247	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.	R	DVJ-246
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status (on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.	J	
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90024	CI 01 SC 1.3 P David V. James JGG	L	# 90028
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-248	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.	₹	DVJ-252
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status Unon unchanged text.	IJ		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.	J	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 2 of 225

C/ 01 SC 1.3

Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90029	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90020
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-253	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-244
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
CI 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90030	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90025
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status A		DVJ-254	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-249
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-8				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7			
Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status U E. Refer db. Will remove	extra term		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90005	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>9</u> 0017
Comment Type TR Inconsistent notation.	Comment Status R		DVJ-229	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-241
SuggestedRemedy Several steps: 1) Provide the preceding			notation clause.	SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6			
 Enforce this conventi A disclaimer note that document does things n 	t deprecates previous u		ed, since the baseline	Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
DVJ1-1 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Notation is explicit in the definition.				C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90019
REJECT. NOIduottis ex	plicit in the definition.	or in the definition.		Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-243
				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6			
				Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 3 of 225

C/ 01 SC 1.3

Р SC 1.4 Р C/ 01 SC 1.3 # 90016 C/ 01 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-240 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. Acronym used, but not defined. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>FP As corrected above. DVJ1-6 DVJ1-8 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. "The abbreviation is used in 67A.3, it is properly introduced there, and it is not used anywhere else in 802.3." C/ 01 SC 1.4 Ρ L # 90031 C/ 01 SC 1.4 Ρ L JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-255 Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status R DA meaning is unclear: destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, or SA meaning is unclear: source address, Source Address, sourceField, or source_address destination address could be implied. could be implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete this terms, as done above. Delete this term, as done above. DVJ1-8 DVJ1-9 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Scope of previous change was to fix capitalization only. REJECT. Scope of previous change was to fix capitalization only. Ρ C/ 01 SC 1.4 L # 90032 Р C/ 01 SC 1.4.1 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG DVJ-256 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Status R Comment Type Lack of capitalization (Ethernet is used in 802 as a proper noun). Inconsistent and highly confusing notation throughout. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. Several steps: DV.J1-8 1) Provide the preceding text on "Use of italics" in you notation clause. Proposed Response Response Status U 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text. ACCEPT. Consistent with a comment on an earlier draft. This is the last lower-case ""ethernet"" in

3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-1

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

802.3ah apart from one in a comment to an example program in 61A.3, so we should fix

both

Page 4 of 225

C/ 01 SC 1.4.1

90033

90034

90006

DVJ-257

DV.J-258

Cl **01** SC **1.4.2** P L # **90007**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-231

Inconsistent and highly confusing notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Field conventions" in your notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) Supplement this with text that describes the 802-specific bit notation, since its prelevance will make it difficult to ever change.
- 4) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-2

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.3 P L # 90008

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-232

Inconsistent and highly confusing constant notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Field value conventions" in your notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-3

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

C/ 01 SC 1.5 P L # 90009

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-233

Inconsistent and highly confusing constant notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Byte sequential formats" in your notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-3

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

C/ 04A SC 4A.1.1 P L # 91446

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1670

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>control

DVJ1-426

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.1 P L # 91444

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1668

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>physical layerl

DVJ1-425

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 5 of 225

C/ 04A SC 4A.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91445	CI 04A SC 4A.1.2.1 P L # 91449 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1669	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1673 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above == DVJ1-425	>data link layerl			SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-426
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchar	Response Status U			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text
Cl 04A SC 4A.1.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91448	Cl 04A SC 4A.1.2.1 P L # 91450 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R		DVJ-1672	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1674 Too many name aliases: SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a constant.
As corrected above == DVJ1-426 Proposed Response	sphysical layer Response Status U			SuggestedRemedy ==>sourceAddress
REJECT. Comment is on unchar	•			DVJ1-426 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.
C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2	P	L	# 91447	Comment is on unchanged text
David V. James Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	JGG Comment Status R		DVJ-1671	Cl 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 P L # 91451 David V. James JGG
SuggestedRemedy	:>physical layer signaling			Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1675 Too many name aliases: SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a constant.
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchar	Response Status U			SuggestedRemedy ==>sourceAddress DVJ1-427
				Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text

Р # 91452 C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 L David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1676 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SugaestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-427 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 L # 91453 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1677 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-427 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Р C/ 04A SC 4A.1.4 L # 91454 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1678 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SugaestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-428

Response Status U

Proposed Response

Comment is on unchanged text

REJECT.

Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.1 L # 91455 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1679 Quoted "references" are informative bibliography; useless in a normative environment. SuggestedRemedy Convert the code to C code, consistent with most other uses. Then, reference ANSI spec. DVJ1-428 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The use of Pascal as the descriptive language for the MAC has been accepted practice in the base standard for over 20 years. There is no need to convert to any other descriptive language. The references are useful in this context. C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2 Ρ # 91456 David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1680 Comment Type TR This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance. SuggestedRemedy Change the text, as shown. DVJ1-428 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended. Comment is on unchanged text C/ 04A Ρ SC 4A.2.2.1 # 91457 David V. James **JGG** Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1681 This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance. SuggestedRemedy Change the text, as shown.

DVJ1-429

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended.

Comment is on unchanged text

Р # 91458 Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.1 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 L JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1682 Comment Type TR Comment Status R This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the text, as shown. As corrected above ==>layer management DVJ1-429 DVJ1-431 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as Comment is on unchanged text intended. C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 Р L Comment is on unchanged text David V. James JGG Р L C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.2 # 91459 Comment Type Comment Status R David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1683 SuggestedRemedy Why, oh why, on earth are you specifying things in Pasca,? No one knows this. Also, there is no stated reference manual for the language, so this cannot be used. As corrected above ==>physical layer DVJ1-431 SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Convert the code to C code, consistent with most other uses. REJECT. DVJ1-429 Comment is on unchanged text Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. C/ 04A SC 4A.2.3.2.4 P L The use of Pascal as the descriptive language for the David V. James JGG MAC has been accepted practice in the base standard Comment Status R for over 20 years. There is no need to convert to any Comment Type TR

C/ 04A Р SC 4A.2.2.4 L # 91460 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1684

This "shall" cannot be guaranteed: you can't legislated behaviors, you can only state facts.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text, as shown.

other descriptive language.

Comment is on unchanged text

DVJ1-431

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as intended.

Comment is on unchanged text

DVJ-1685 # 91462

91463

DVJ-1687

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-432

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text

91461

Р Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91464 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91466 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1688 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1690 Too many name aliases: Excess capitalization; only capitalize the first word of headings DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above ==>destinationAddress ==>Individual addresses DVJ1-432 ==>Group addresses DVJ1-433 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91465 Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91467 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1689 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1691 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address. Excess capitalization; capitalizing Broadcast doesn't help specify its meaning. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress As corrected above DVJ1-433 DVJ1-433 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Comment is on unchanged text Р # 91468 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 1 # 91469 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1692 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1693 TR Too many name aliases: Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-433 DVJ1-433 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Comment is on unchanged text

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 9 of 225

C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1

Р # 91470 Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.9 L CI 22 SC 22.2.4 L # 90037 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1694 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-261 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>register DVJ1-435 DVJ1-10 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Comment is on unchanged text unchanged in this draft. SC 4A.3.2 Р L Ρ C/ 04A # 91471 CI 22 SC 22.2.4 L # 90039 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1695 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-263 Too many name aliases: Excess capitalization. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Basic/extended ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-11 DVJ1-437 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is REJECT. unchanged in this draft. Comment is on unchanged text CI 22 SC 22.2.4 P L # 90038 Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4 L # 90036 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James TR Comment Status R DVJ-262 Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-260 Register names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used Excess capitalization. as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>auto-negotiation As above: DVJ1-10 ==>mmdAccessControl ==>mmdAccessAddressData Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-11 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Proposed Response Response Status U unchanged in this draft. REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

SC 22.2.4 Р CI 22 1 # 90040 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-264

Not properly centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the entries in the leftmost and right two columns.

DVJ1-11

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90043

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-267

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-12

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Ρ Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90048 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-272

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90051

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-275

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90050

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-274

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 Ρ L # 90049

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р P CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90047 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90042 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-271 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-266 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-12 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is (etc.) DVJ1-13 unchanged in this draft. Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90041 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is David V. James JGG unchanged in this draft. Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-265 Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90046 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as David V. James JGG variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Comment Status R DVJ-270 SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR As above: List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable SuggestedRemedy (etc.) Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-12 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Proposed Response Response Status U SC is an abbreviation for self clearing RO is an abbreviation for read only REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. DVJ1-13 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 1 # 90052 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is David V. James JGG unchanged in this draft. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-276 Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 1 # 90044 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment JGG David V. James is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-268 Comment Type TR Change initial footnotes to be: The right column is not centered. aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SuggestedRemedy SC is an abbreviation for self clearing Center the right column. RO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-13 LL is an abbreviation for latching low LH is an abbreviation for latching high Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-13 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

Proposed Response

unchanged in this draft.

Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

unchanged in this draft.

SC 22.2.4.1 Р CI 22 L # 90045 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-269

DVJ-283

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-13

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1.12 Ρ L # 90053 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-277

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.

DVJ1-14

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90059 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-14

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 1 # 90058

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-282

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-14

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90060

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

LL is an abbreviation for latching low

LH is an abbreviation for latching high

DVJ1-14

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 1 # 90057 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-14

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

DV.J-284

DV.J-281

Р Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90054 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-278 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. As corrected above. DVJ1-14 DVJ1-15 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. unchanged in this draft. Р L Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 # 90055 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-279 Comment Type Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-15 (etc.) Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-14 Proposed Response Response Status U unchanged in this draft. REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Ρ Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 L unchanged in this draft. JGG David V. James Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 1 # 90056 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-280 TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-16

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-14

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

90063

DV.J-287

DVJ-286

90062

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

90064

DVJ-288

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90065

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-289

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

C/ 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90066

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-290

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnote to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90067
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-291

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>register.

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

C/ 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90061

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-285

DVJ-293

DV.J-296

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-15

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90069

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90072

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 L # 90073

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-297 Comment Type TR

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 Ρ L # 90070

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-294

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 L

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12

Р JGG

90071

Comment Status R

DVJ-295

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

Center the right column.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

Ρ

JGG

unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.7.2.3 L

L

90074

David V. James

Comment Type

Comment Status R

DVJ-298

The Subclause, Status, Support columns are not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This affects an existing table and changing the format of this table is beyond the

scope of P802.3ah

CI 22 SC 22.7.2.4 P

JGG

L

90075

David V. James

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-299

The Subclause, Status, Support columns are not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

unchanged in this draft.

90068

Р C/ 22D SC 22D.1 # 91475 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1699

SuggestedRemedy

Excess capitalization.

As corrected above ==>register

DVJ1-441

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р C/ 22D SC 22D.4 L # 91477 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1701 Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>bit error ratio test

DVJ1-445

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Comment is actually on Annex 58A, not Annex 22D.

C/ 30 SC 30.1 Ρ L # 90077 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-301 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>control.

DVJ1-18

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.1 L # 90078 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-302

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>link aggregation.

DVJ1-18

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

C/ 30 Ρ 1 SC 30.11 # 90239 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-463

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>physical medium entity

DVJ1-66

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P C/ 30 SC 30.11 1 # 90238

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-462

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>Laver management

DVJ1-66

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.10 L # 90247 C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.4 L # 90242 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-471 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-466 Don't hide the basic properties. Generic terms when a constant value is implied. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, As corrected above, ==>Range[] DVJ1-70 ==>TRUE... DVJ1-68 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. L C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.2 # 90240 C/ 30 P SC 30.11.1.1.5 L # 90243 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-464 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-467 Generic terms when a constant value is implied. Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>UNKNOWN... Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-67 DVJ1-68 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Ρ L Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.3 # 90241 C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.5 L # 90244 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-465 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-468 Generic terms when a constant value is implied. Generic terms when a constant value is implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, As corrected above. ==>UNKNOWN... ==>ENABLED... DVJ1-67 DVJ1-68

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

DVJ-469

Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.6 # 90245

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Don't hide the basic properties.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Range[].

DVJ1-69

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.9 # 90246

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-470

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>UNKNOWN...

DVJ1-70

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ L C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 # 90249

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-473

Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the tbd's.

DVJ1-71

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2

Р JGG

1

DVJ-474

90250

90251

DVJ-475

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

As corrected above,

==>ENABLED...

DVJ1-71

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 1 # 90248 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status A DV.J-472

Missing line.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>ATTRIBUTE

DVJ1-71

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.3

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.

==>PS DOWN NOT READY...

DV.J1-72

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

L

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 19 of 225

C/ 30

SC 30.11.2.1.3

DVJ-477

C/ 30

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.5 P L # 90252

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

David V. James

DVJ-476 Comment Type TR

Comment Status R DVJ-479

90255

L

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-73

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.7 P L # 90253

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>NO_LINK... DVJ1-73

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.8 P L # 90254

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-478

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-74

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type TR Comment Status

SC 30.11.2.1.9

Uniform notation for clarity.
Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

Р

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-74

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90081

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-305

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>link aggregation.

DVJ1-19

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90080

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-304

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>aggregation port.

DVJ1-19

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90079
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-303

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>systems.

DVJ1-19

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90085

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-309

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>midspan.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90084

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-308

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>standard.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90082

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-306

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>standard.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-307

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>standard.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90087

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-311

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>standard.

DVJ1-21

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 21 of 225

Р C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 # 90086 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-310

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>multi-point.

DVJ1-21

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.2.3 Р L C/ 30 # 90089 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-313

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>system.

DVJ1-22

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 L # 90088 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-312 Comment Type

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>midspan

DVJ1-22

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 L # 90090 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess capitalization; only the first word of a heading is capitalized

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>PHY error monitor capability (optional).

DVJ1-22

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 P L SC 30.3.1.1.20 # 90092 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-316

Routines are always differentiated by their parenthesis.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>LayerMgmtTransmitCounters()

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 L # 90091

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-315

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 1 # 90094 C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.35 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-318 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, As corrected above, ==>rate matching. DVJ1-23 ==>TRUE ==>FALSF Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-23 REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Proposed Response Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. L C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 # 90093 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. David V. James JGG C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.36 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-317 David V. James Routines should be differentiated by italics. Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>LayerMgmtTransmitCounters() SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-23 As corrected above, Proposed Response Response Status U ==>UNKNOWN... REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in DVJ1-24 Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.35 L # 90095 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-319 Comment Type TR C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 Uniform notation for clarity. David V. James Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. Comment Type TR

SugaestedRemedy

==>

TRUE-...

FALSE-...

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р L # 90096

JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-320

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Ρ L # 90097 JGG

Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P L # 90098

JGG

Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.

==>OTHER...

DVJ1-24

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DV.J-321

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 1 # 90099 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-323

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>standard.

DVJ1-24

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р L C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 # 90100 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-324

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>auto-negotiation.

DVJ1-25

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.3.2 L # 90101 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-325 Comment Type TR

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control.

DVJ1-25

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.10

Р

L

90118

David V. James

JGG Comment Status R

DVJ-342

Uniform notation for clarity.

TR

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-29

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.11 P L # 90119

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-343

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-29

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.12 L # 90120

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-344 Comment Type TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.13 L # 90121

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-345

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-348

90124

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-30

David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

SC 30.3.5.1.14

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р

JGG

L

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 L # 90125

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-349

DVJ-351

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-31

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 Ρ L # 90127

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-31

DVJ-346

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

Uniform notation for clarity.

DVJ1-30

==>

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 P L # 90123 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-347

Too many name aliases:

DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-30 Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 L # 90122 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-350

DVJ-353

DVJ-352

C/ 30

Р # 90126 C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 1

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

SC 30.3.5.1.16 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-354

90130

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Р

1

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 L # 90132 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-356

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 P L # 90131

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-355

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

Too many name aliases:

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 Р L # 90129 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Too many name aliases:

DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 L # 90128 David V. James JGG

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 1 # 90133 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-357

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 # 90134

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-358 TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 Ρ L # 90136 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-360

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18

Р JGG L

90135

David V. James

TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-359

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-32

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P L # 90104

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-328

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control sublayer.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 L # 90102

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the tbd's.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-327

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P L # 90103

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R
Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>ENABLED...

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.22 P L # 90137

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-361

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-33

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.23 P L # 90138

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-362

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-33

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.24 P L # 90139

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-363

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-34

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 P L # 90106

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-330

No need for quotes around defined constants.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Delete the quotes (as illustrated above) or

2) Use non-conflicting longer nonconflicting enumeration names, such as MP_OLT...

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 P L # 90107

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-331

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>multi-point.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 L # 90105 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-329

Enumerated values are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

Fill in the tbd's. DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.5.1.4 Р L C/ 30 # 90108 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-332

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>logical link

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 L # 90110 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-334 Comment Type TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 L # 90109

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-333

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.6 Ρ L # 90112

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-336

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

==>multi-point DVJ1-28

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.6 L # 90111

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-335 Comment Type TR

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>UNREGISTERED...

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.7 L # 90113 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-337 TR Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy ==> Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-28 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.7 P L # 90114 JGG David V. James DVJ-338 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>control. DVJ1-28 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.8 L # 90115 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-339 Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-28

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.8 L # 90116

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-340

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-28

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.5.1.9 C/ 30 L # 90117

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-341

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum rate: ...

DVJ1-29

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.2.1 L # 90141

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-365

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above,

==>UNREGISTERED...

DVJ1-34

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.2.1 1 # 90140 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R DVJ-364

Enumerated values are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

Fill in the tbd's. DVJ1-34

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.10 # 90156

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-380

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-39

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 L # 90159

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-383 Comment Type TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType. DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SugaestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-39

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L

90157

DVJ-381

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.11 L # 90158

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-382

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.13 Ρ L # 90161

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30

David V. James

SC 30.3.6.1.16

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.13 L # 90160 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-384 TR Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SugaestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-40 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.15 L # 90162 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-386 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address. SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DV.J1-41 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. SC 30.3.6.1.15 C/ 30 L # 90163 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-387 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType.

Response Status U

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ1-41

Proposed Response

Comment Type Comment Status R TR Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address. SugaestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-41 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.16 1 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-41 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.17 Ρ 1 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address. SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-42 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р

JGG

L

90164

90165

90166

DVJ-388

DVJ-389

DVJ-391

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.17 P L # 90167

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.18 P L # 90168

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-392

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 P L # 90171

David V. James JGG

avia v. cambo

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-395

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19

JGG

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

P L

<u>90169</u>

DVJ-393

David V. James

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 P L # 90170

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-394

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.2 P L # 90142

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Enumerated values are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

Fill in the tbd's.

DVJ1-35

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.2 L # 90143 C/ 30 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-367

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>ENABLED... DVJ1-35

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.20 L # 90172 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-396

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-43

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.6.1.21 Ρ C/ 30 L # 90175 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-399 TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType. DVJ1-43

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р SC 30.3.6.1.21 L # 90174

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-398 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-43

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 1 # 90173

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-397

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-43

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.22 L # 90178

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-44

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 L # 90176 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-400 TR Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy ==> Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-43 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 Ρ L # 90177 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-401 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-44 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 Ρ L SC 30.3.6.1.23 # 90180 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-404 TR Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ1-44

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 L # 90181 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-405

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-44

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 L # 90179 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-403

Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==> Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-44

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 Ρ L # 90184 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 L # 90183 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-407 TR Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address. SugaestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-45 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 L # 90182 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-406 Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy --> Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-45 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30	SC	30.3.6.1.25	Р		L	# 90186	
David V. Jar	nes		JGG				
Comment Ty	уре	TR	Comment Status	R		DVJ-410	
Too many name aliases: DA destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination, address,							

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 L # 90185

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 P L # 90187

David V. James JGG

DVJ-411 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.26 L # 90188

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-46

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-412

Р Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 L # 90189 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.28 L # 90192 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-413 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-416 TR Uniform notation for clarity. Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> ==> Maximum increment rate: ... Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-46 DVJ1-46 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 Р L # 90190 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.29 P L # 90194 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-414 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-418 Too many name aliases: Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-46 DVJ1-47 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 Ρ L C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.27 # 90191 SC 30.3.6.1.29 L # 90195 JGG **JGG** David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-415 Comment Status R DVJ-419 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-46 DVJ1-47 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Page 37 of 225

C/ 30

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.29 L # 90193 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-417 TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-47

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.6.1.3 C/ 30 P L # 90144 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-368

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>PASSIVF... DVJ1-35

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.6.1.30 Ρ C/ 30 L # 90196 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-420 TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-47

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 L # 90199

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-423 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.31 1 # 90197

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-421

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.31 L # 90198

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30

Р # 90202 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.33 L

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-426

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-49

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.33 # 90200

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-424

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

-->

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ L SC 30.3.6.1.33 # 90201

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-425 TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-49

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

Replace with a compact list

SC 30.3.6.1.35

DVJ1-49

Proposed Response Response Status U

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р

JGG

L

90203

DVJ-427

DVJ-428

DVJ-429

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.37 L # 90204

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-50

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.39 L # 90205

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-51

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.4 L # 90147 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-371 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-36 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.4 P L # 90146
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-370

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>LINK_FAULT...
DVJ1-36

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.41 P L # 90206

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-430

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-52

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 P L # 90208

David V. James JGG

avid v. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType. DVJ1-52

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 P L # 90207
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-431

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-52

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 P L # 90209

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-433

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-53

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 L # 90210 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 L # 90213 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-434 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-437 The verbose sentence is hard to follow. The verbose sentence is hard to follow. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with a compact list Replace with a compact list DVJ1-53 DVJ1-54 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р L C/ 30 Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 # 90212 SC 30.3.6.1.44 L # 90215 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-436 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-439 Too many name aliases: Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-53 DVJ1-54 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р P C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 L # 90211 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 L # 90214 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-435 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-438 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-53 DVJ1-54

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 41 of 225

DVJ-442

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 L # 90218 David V. James JGG

David V. James JGG

SC 30.3.6.1.46

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-443 Uniform notation for clarity.

L

L

L

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SugaestedRemedy

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-55

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

SC 30.3.6.1.5

TR

DVJ1-55

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 # 90217

JGG David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P

Р

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-441

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Comment Type

David V. James

C/ 30

C/ 30

JGG Comment Status R

DVJ-373

DVJ-372

90219

90149

90148

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Response Status U

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-55

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-36 Proposed Response

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 L # 90216

JGG David V. James

DVJ-440

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

JGG David V. James

SC 30.3.6.1.5

TR

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-54

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Ρ

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Comment Status R

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-36

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 42 of 225

C/ 30

SC 30.3.6.1.5

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.6 L # 90150 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-374

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list DVJ1-37

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 # 90151 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-375

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-37

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 L # 90152 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-376 Comment Type TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-38

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 L # 90153

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-377

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-38

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.9 L # 90154

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-378

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-38

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

P C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.9 L # 90155

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-38

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-444

Р # 90220 C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 1 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>UNKNOWN... DVJ1-56

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 # 90222 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-446

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>point to point emulation. DVJ1-56

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Р L SC 30.3.7.1.2 # 90221

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-445

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>reconviliation sublaver

DVJ1-56

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.3 L # 90223

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-56

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.4 L # 90224

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-448

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.5 P L # 90225

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-449

DVJ-447

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30

Р # 90226 C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.6

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-450 Comment Type

Comment Status R TR

SC 30.5.5.1.12

DVJ-458

90234

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

Р

JGG

1

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.5.5.1.14 L # 90235

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-459

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ-451

DVJ-452

As corrected above. ==>UNKNOWN...

DVJ1-65

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.15 P L # 90236 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-65

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Uniform notation for clarity.

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Р C/ 30 1 SC 30.3.7.1.7 # 90227 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.8 Р # 90228 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-58

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Р # 90237 C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.16

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-461 Comment Type TR

C/ 30

Comment Status R

Р

JGG

1

DVJ-454

90230

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SC 30.5.5.1.4

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

As corrected above,

==>OTHER...

DVJ1-61

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

P C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.6 # 90233

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-457

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

-->

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.6 P L # 90232

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-456

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.

==>OTHFR...

DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

Uniform notation for clarity.

DVJ1-66

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.2 Р

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

L

90229

90231

DVJ-453

DVJ-455

JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

As corrected above. ==>MT GLOBAL...

DVJ1-60

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.4

Р JGG

L

Comment Status R

Bad spacing.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Mb/s

TR

DVJ1-61

David V. James

Comment Type

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 46 of 225

C/ 30

SC 30.5.5.1.6

Р Р C/ 31A SC 31A. L # 90701 Cl 45 SC 45. L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-925 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excessive capitalization. Excessive capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct, as shown above. Correct, as shown above. DVJ1-147 DVJ1-76 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or C/ 43B SC 43B.1 Ρ L 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been # 90703 kept when appropriate. JGG David V. James Cl 45 Ρ L SC 45.1.1 Comment Status R DVJ-927 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization. As corrected above, ==>client DV.J1-148 SuggestedRemedy Response Status U Proposed Response As corrected above, ==>station management. REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, MAC Client is DVJ1-76 consistently used in the text of Clause 43, of which this is an annex. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ REJECT. C/ 43B SC 43B.1 L # 90702 The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or JGG David V. James 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been DVJ-926 Comment Type Comment Status R kept when appropriate. TR This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. Cl 45 SC 45.1.1 P L SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James ==>lengthType. Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ1-148 Excess capitalization. Response Status U Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, Length/Type is

DVJ1-76

Proposed Response Response Status U

As corrected above, ==>physical laver

REJECT.

The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

consistently used in the text of Clause 43, of which this is an annex.

Page 47 of 225

SC 45.1.1

90257

90258

90259

DVJ-481

DV.J-482

DVJ-483

Cl 45

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L # 90260 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L # 90263 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-484 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-487 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The right column is not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-77 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. (etc.) The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the DVJ1-77 ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P REJECT. L # 90264 The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the David V. James JGG ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-488 P Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L # 90261 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment JGG David V. James is intended or implied. DVJ-485 SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R Change initial footnotes to be: Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. aRO is an abbreviation for read only SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-77 ==>Include a distinct value column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-77 REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved Response Status U Proposed Response by the ballot group REJECT. Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1 L # 90267 The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Р Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-491 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 1 # 90262 David V. James JGG The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-486 SuggestedRemedy Add the table continuation variable. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that DVJ1-78 can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor DVJ1-77 Proposed Response Response Status U

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the

REJECT.

ballot group

Page 48 of 225

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 1 # 90265 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 L # 90287 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-489 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-511 TR Excess capitalization. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>register ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-77 DVJ1-81 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ L Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.11 L # 90266 # 90286 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-490 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-510 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Do the following: ==>reset 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. ==>autoNegotiateEnable 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. (etc.) DVJ1-78 DVJ1-81 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor been approved by the ballot group Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 1 # 90290 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 L # 90291 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-514 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-515 TR Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with is intended or implied. enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-81

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Status U

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

DVJ1-81

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 49 of 225

Р # 90289 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 1 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-513 The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 L # 90288 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-512

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-81

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 L # 90296 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-520

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-83

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 L # 90292

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-516

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.12 1 # 90293

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-517

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.12 1 # 90294 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DV.J-518

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SC 45.2.1.13

TR

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

been approved by the ballot group

SC 45.2.1.13

TR

The right column is not centered.

Center the right column.

RO is an abbreviation for read only

is intended or implied.

n/a not applicable

DVJ1-84

DVJ1-84

Р # 90295 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 L Cl 45 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-519 Comment Type The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-83 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 # 90299 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-523 C/ 45 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when David V. James included in C code. Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-84 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90306 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-530 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Center the right column.

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90303 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

Р

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

JGG

Comment Status R

Response Status U

Comment Status R

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Ρ

JGG

L

1

90302

90300

DVJ-526

DVJ-524

DV.J-527

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р # 90298 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90304 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-522 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-528 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-84 DVJ1-85 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90297 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90301 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-521 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-525 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-84 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U **DVJ1-84** REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90308 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 1 # 90305 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-532 David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type Comment Status R DV:1-529 TR is intended or implied. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Change initial footnotes to be: included in C code. aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SuggestedRemedy SC is an abbreviation for self clearing Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

> REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 52 of 225

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.13

Cl 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 1 # 90307 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 Ρ L # 90314 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-538

DVJ-531

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only LL is an abbreviation for latching low DVJ1-86

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 Р L SC 45.2.1.14.1 # 90310 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DV:J-534

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type

TR Comment Status R DVJ-536

1

90312

DV:J-537

DVJ-533

The right column is not centered.

SC 45.2.1.14.1

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

Center the right column.

DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р

JGG

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 L # 90313 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-86

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 L # 90309

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 L # 90311 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 1 # 90317 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-535 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-541 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-86 DVJ1-87 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 Ρ L # 90318 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90319 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-542 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-543 The right column is not centered. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-87 Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DV.J1-87 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 Ρ L # 90315 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Cl 45 Ρ L Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-539 SC 45.2.1.15 # 90316 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-540 TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: SuggestedRemedy ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>Include a distinct value column. (etc.) DVJ1-87 DVJ1-87 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L # 90321 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-545

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L # 90325

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-549

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write RO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-88

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L # 90322 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L # 90320

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 # 90323

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-547

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.17 L # 90324 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DV.J-548

DV.J-544

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DV.J-546

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 L # 90327 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-551 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-88 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 L # 90328 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-552 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-88

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.18 L # 90329 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 L

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.18 P L # 90326 David V. James JGG

TR

DVJ-550

90330

DVJ-554

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

Comment Status R

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ-553

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 L # 90272

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 L # 90271 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-495 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The right column is not centered. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-79 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-78 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 L # 90269 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-493 TR C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.2.1 1 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Comment Type TR ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-78 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Proposed Response Response Status U included in C code. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has SuggestedRemedy been approved by the ballot group Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 Ρ L # 90273 DVJ1-78 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U Comment Status R DVJ-497 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Comment Type TR been approved by the ballot group List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG Change initial footnotes to be: Comment Type TR Comment Status R aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SC is an abbreviation for self clearing Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as DVJ1-79 variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

90268

90270

90331

DV.J-492

DV.J-494

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90332 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-556 Comment Type TR

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 # 90335

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-559

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-89

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90336 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-560

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

90334

JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-558

L

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90333

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-557

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-89

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90340

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-564

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90337 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90339 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-561 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-563 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-90 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-90 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 P L # 90345 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90338 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-569 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Status R DVJ-562 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-91 ==>Include a distinct value column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-90 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 Ρ L # 90343 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 1 # 90341 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-567 David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-565 TR SuggestedRemedy List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment ==>Include a distinct value column. is intended or implied. DVJ1-91 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 59 of 225

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 L # 90346 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-570 Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-92 aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-91 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 L been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.26 Ρ L # 90342 Comment Type Comment Status R JGG David V. James List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-566 is intended or implied. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as SuggestedRemedy variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Change initial footnotes to be: SuggestedRemedy aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-92 ==>reset Response Status U Proposed Response ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-91 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 L REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 L # 90344 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as David V. James JGG variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-568 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when ==>reset included in C code. ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-91 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

Page 60 of 225

90348

90352

90347

DVJ-572

DV.J-576

DVJ-571

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.28

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90351

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-575

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90350

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-574

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P L # 90349
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90358

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90355

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-579

DVJ-582

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.30** P L # **90356**David V. James JGG

David V. James JOO

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-580

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90357 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-581 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-93 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 Ρ L # 90363 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-587 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90362

JGG David V. James

DVJ-586 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90360

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-584

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90364

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-588

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-93

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 Ρ L # 90354

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-578

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90353 David V. James JGG

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-92

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90359 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-583

DVJ-577

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 1 SC 45.2.1.30 # 90361 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ-585

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 L # 90368

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-592

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 L # 90369

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-593

DVJ-591

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 Ρ L # 90367

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.31 L # 90366 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-590

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.31 L # 90370

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-594

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-94

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.31 L # 90365 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-589

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 1 # 90375

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-599

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 P L # 90374

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-598

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 Ρ L # 90373

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.32 L # 90372 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 1 # 90381 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-596 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-605 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. ==>Include a distinct value column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-94 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-95 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.32 L # 90371 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90382 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-595 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-606 variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment SuggestedRemedy is intended or implied. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: SuggestedRemedy ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write (etc.) DVJ1-94 DVJ1-95 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 P Ρ SC 45.2.1.33 L # 90379 SC 45.2.1.32 1 # 90376 David V. James JGG

C/ 45 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DV.J-600 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

TR

DVJ1-95

Comment Type

included in C code.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that

can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

Comment Status R

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 65 of 225

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.33

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.33 L # 90380 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-604 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-95 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.33 L # 90378 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-602 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-95 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group SC 45.2.1.33 Ρ L # 90377 JGG David V. James DVJ-601

Cl 45

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34

Ρ JGG

L

L

L

90384

David V. James

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-608

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-96

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34

Ρ JGG

90385

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-609

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-96

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

JGG

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-610

90386

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-96

David V. James

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 1 # 90387 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 1 # 90391 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG TR Comment Status R DVJ-611 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-615 Comment Type Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. ==>reset DVJ1-96 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-97 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.34 Ρ L # 90388 by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 1 # 90396 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-612 David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Status R DVJ-620 Comment Type TR is intended or implied. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment SuggestedRemedy is intended or implied. Change initial footnotes to be: SuggestedRemedy aRW is an abbreviation for read/write DVJ1-96 Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-97 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved Ρ # 90383 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.34 L by the ballot group JGG David V. James Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90395 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-607 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-619 variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous SuggestedRemedy when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. ==>reset SuggestedRemedy ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-96 DVJ1-97 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U

by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Page 67 of 225

Cl 45

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 L # 90394 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-618 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-97 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 L # 90392 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-616 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-97 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 Ρ L # 90390 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-614 Comment Type TR The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. SuggestedRemedy Add the table continuation variable.

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff

DVJ1-96

Editor

Proposed Response

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90389
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
- 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P L # 90393

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-617

DVJ-613

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-97

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-626

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90398 Cl 45 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-622 Comment Type Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-98 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90399 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-623 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-98

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90401 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90397

JGG

TR Comment Status R DV.J-621 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ 1 SC 45.2.1.36 # 90400

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-624

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 1 # 90406

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-630 TR

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-100

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р # 90405 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 1 # 90408 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-629 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-632 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when is intended or implied. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. a RO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-100 DVJ1-100 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved been approved by the ballot group by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.37 Ρ L # 90404 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90407 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-628 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-631 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. ==>Include a distinct value column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-100 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DV.J1-100 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 Ρ L # 90403 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Cl 45 P SC 45.2.1.38 L # 90413 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-627 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-637 variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous SuggestedRemedy when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. ==>reset SuggestedRemedy ==>autoNegotiateEnable Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. (etc.) DVJ1-101 DVJ1-99

Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 70 of 225

Response Status U

Р # 90412 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 1 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-636

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Comment Status R

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 # 90409 David V. James JGG

TR

DVJ-633

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

Comment Type

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 1 # 90411 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-635

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38

Ρ JGG

1

90414

David V. James Comment Type

TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-638

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SugaestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90410

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-634

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 L # 90417

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-641

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DV.J1-102

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 L # 90420 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-644 Comment Type TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset DVJ1-103 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-102 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 Ρ L # 90418 JGG David V. James C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.39 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-642 David V. James The right column is not centered. Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-103 Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-103 Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 Ρ L # 90416 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-640 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. David V. James SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-102 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Ρ L # 90415 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-639 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ 1 # 90419 JGG Comment Status R DV.J-643 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р L # 90277 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-501 The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90278 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90275 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-502 Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-79 aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-79 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L been approved by the ballot group # 90279 David V. James JGG C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4 Ρ L # 90276 Comment Type Comment Status R JGG David V. James Unclear notation distinction. Sometimes 1.4.1 means a bit number, othertimes it might Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-500 mean a subclause number. The distinction is subtle in many cases, and can often be Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that confusina. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when SuggestedRemedy included in C code. Two things: SuggestedRemedy 1) When used to prepresent a bit ==> (1.4.1)Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. 2) When used to represent a subclause==> (see 1.4.1) DVJ1-79 Here and througout. DVJ1-80 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90274 C/ 45 P L SC 45.2.1.4.2 JGG # 90280 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-498 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>reset (etc.) ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-79 (etc.) DVJ1-80 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-499

DV.J-503

DVJ-505

Р # 90281 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L # 90285

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-509

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-80

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L # 90282 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R DVJ-506

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-80

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2

Р JGG

L

DVJ-507

90283

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-80

David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L # 90284

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-508

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-80

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 L # 90422

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-646

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DV.J1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р # 90426 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-650 Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.1.40 # 90425 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-649

DVJ-645

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading. R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Р L SC 45.2.1.40 # 90421 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р

90423

1

JGG

DVJ-647

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 P L # 90424

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-648

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DV.J1-104

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 Ρ L # 90432

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90427

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-651

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-104

Proposed Response F

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90428

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-652

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.41** P L # **90429**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-653

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90430

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-654

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90431

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-655

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90438

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-662

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-657

DV.J-659

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90434

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-658

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.42** P L # **90435**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90437

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 P L # 90436

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-660

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90439

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
- 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-107

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

DVJ-663

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90445 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 1 # 90440 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-669 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-664 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Add the table continuation variable. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-107 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-107 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Proposed Response Response Status U Editor REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43 Ρ L been approved by the ballot group # 90446 David V. James JGG C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43 Ρ L # 90441 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-670 David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-665 is intended or implied. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as SuggestedRemedy variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Change initial footnotes to be: SuggestedRemedy aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: RO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset DVJ1-107 ==>autoNegotiateEnable Proposed Response Response Status U (etc.) REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DVJ1-107 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U C/ 45 Ρ REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90442 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90444 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-666 TR David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-668 SuggestedRemedy The right column is not centered. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-107 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Center the right column. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DVJ1-107 been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р # 90443 Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 1 # 90449 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-667 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-673 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-107 DVJ1-108 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.44 Ρ L # 90450 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90448 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-674 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-672 The right column is not centered. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-108 DV.J1-108 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 Ρ L # 90451 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 Ρ L # 90447 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-675 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-671 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as is intended or implied. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset DVJ1-108 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U **DVJ1-108** REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.46 L # 90452 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-676 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The right column is not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-109 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-109 Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.46 L REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type Comment Status R Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.46 L # 90456 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that David V. James JGG can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. Comment Status R DVJ-680 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. is intended or implied. DVJ1-109 SuggestedRemedy Response Status U Proposed Response Change initial footnotes to be: REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has aRO is an abbreviation for read only been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-109 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 L # 90453 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that David V. James JGG can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. Comment Status R DVJ-677 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. SuggestedRemedy DV.J1-110 ==>Include a distinct value column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-109 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

90455

90454

90459

DVJ-679

DV.J-678

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L # 90460 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-684 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-110 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L # 90461 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-685 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-110 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L # 90458 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-682 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column.

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

DVJ1-110

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SugaestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) DVJ1-110 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.50 1 David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-110 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 L David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

90457

90464

90465

DVJ-681

DVJ-688

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.50 L # 90466 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 1 # 90470 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-690 Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment The right column is not centered. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-111 aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-111 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.52 L been approved by the ballot group # 90467 David V. James JGG Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 Ρ L # 90462 Comment Type Comment Status R David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-686 variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as SuggestedRemedy variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: SuggestedRemedy ==>reset Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>reset (etc.) ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-111 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-110 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.52 L # 90469 David V. James JGG Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 L # 90463 Comment Type Comment Status R TR David V. James JGG Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Comment Status R DVJ-687 Comment Type TR can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-110 DVJ1-111 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-694

DVJ-691

DV.J-693

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 L # 90471 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90473 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-695 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-697 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-112 aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-111 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L been approved by the ballot group # 90474 David V. James JGG Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 Ρ L # 90468 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-698 JGG David V. James Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-692 can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-111 DVJ1-112 Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90472 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90475 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-696 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-699 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The right column is not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-112

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 1 # 90476 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG TR Comment Status R DVJ-700 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with is intended or implied. enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. aRW is an abbreviation for read/write DVJ1-112 RO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-113 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 Ρ L # 90477 Ρ C/ 45 L SC 45.2.1.56 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-701 Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous is intended or implied. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. Change initial footnotes to be: SuggestedRemedy aRW is an abbreviation for read/write RO is an abbreviation for read only Except for the column heading. R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-113 LH is an abbreviation for latching high DVJ1-112 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 Ρ L SC 45.2.1.56 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 L # 90480 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-704 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-113 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

90483

90482

90479

DVJ-707

DVJ-706

DV:J-703

SC 45.2.1.56

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

DVJ1-113

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 L # 90478 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-702 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-114 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-113 Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 P L been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 # 90481 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. Comment Status R DVJ-705 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The right column is not centered. Add the table continuation variable. SuggestedRemedy DV.J1-114 Center the right column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-113 Proposed Response Response Status U Editor REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 Ρ L been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L # 90487 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-711 TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-114 ==>Include a distinct value column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-114

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

90488

DVJ-712

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

90485

DVJ-709

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff

90489

DVJ-713

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L # 90490 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG TR Comment Status R DVJ-714 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Do the following: DVJ1-114 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-114 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.57 Ρ L # 90491 Editor JGG David V. James Cl 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3 1 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-715 David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Status R Comment Type TR is intended or implied. The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply SuggestedRemedy that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot Change initial footnotes to be: be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SuggestedRemedy RO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-114 Do the following: 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Proposed Response Response Status U 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DVJ1-116 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 Р L # 90486 SC 45.2.1.57 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff JGG **Editor** David V. James Comment Status R DV:J-710 Ρ Comment Type TR Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 1 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as David V. James JGG variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Comment Status R Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: SuggestedRemedy ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable

DVJ-717 Add the table continuation variable. DVJ1-116 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

(etc.)

DVJ1-114

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

90484

90492

90493

DVJ-708

DV.J-716

Р # 90499 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-723 Comment Type TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L # 90496 SC 45.2.3.1 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Р L SC 45.2.3.1 # 90494 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р 1 # 90498 JGG

Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90497 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-721

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ-720

DV:J-718

Center the right column.

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 Ρ L # 90495

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-719

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L # 90506 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-730 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-118 aRO is an abbreviation for read onlyh Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-118 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L # 90505 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-729 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Type TR Comment Status R variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: included in C code. ==>reset SuggestedRemedy ==>autoNegotiateEnable Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. (etc.) **DVJ1-118** DV.J1-118 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 P Ρ SC 45.2.3.17 L C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17 1 # 90508 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:1-732 Comment Type TR Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy

DVJ-733

when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SugaestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Center the right column.

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

DVJ1-118

Proposed Response

Page 88 of 225

90510

90507

90509

DV:J-734

DVJ-731

Cl 45

DVJ-736

DVJ-735

Cl **45** SC **45.2.3.18** P L **# 90512** David V. James JGG

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

==>Include a distinct value column.

TR

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl **45** SC **45.2.3.18** P L # **90513**David V. James JGG

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-737

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90511

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90516

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-740

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90515

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with

enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

 $\label{eq:reduced_reduced_reduced} \textbf{REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has}$

been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90514

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-738

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.19 L # 90518 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-742 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.19 L # 90520 JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-744 TR

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 Ρ L # 90521 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 1 # 90522 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-746

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 L SC 45.2.3.19 # 90517 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-741

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ-745

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 Ρ L # 90519

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-743

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DV.J1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L # 90523 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-747 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-121 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-121 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L # 90524 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Status R DVJ-748 Comment Type TR is intended or implied. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write ==>Include a distinct value column. DV.J1-121 DVJ1-121 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 P Ρ SC 45.2.3.20 L C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20 1 # 90526 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-750 Comment Type TR TR Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The right column is not centered. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-121

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Page 91 of 225

90525

90528

90527

DVJ-749

DVJ-752

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90529 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 1 # 90532 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-753 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-756 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The right column is not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-122 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-122 Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90530 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-754 Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90531 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-755 Comment Type TR ==>Include a distinct value column. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that DVJ1-122 can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Proposed Response Response Status U included in C code. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has SuggestedRemedy been approved by the ballot group Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 P L # 90534 DVJ1-122 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has TR Comment Status R DVJ-758 Comment Type been approved by the ballot group Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90533 SugaestedRemedy David V. James JGG Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-757 ==>reset List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment ==>autoNegotiateEnable is intended or implied. (etc.) DVJ1-122 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Change initial footnotes to be:

Proposed Response Response Status U

aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-122

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 L # 90535 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 1 # 90537 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-759 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-761 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Center the right column. DVJ1-122 DVJ1-122 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 L # 90538 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 L # 90539 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-762 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-763 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-122 (etc.) DVJ1-123 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.3.22 L # 90536 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 1 # 90543 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-760 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-767 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment included in C code. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Response Status U

Change initial footnotes to be:

DVJ1-123

aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 L # 90542 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-766 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-123 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 L # 90540 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-764

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 Ρ L # 90541 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-765 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

SuggestedRemedy

included in C code.

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24

Р JGG

1

90547

David V. James

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-771

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.24 L # 90548

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-772

DVJ-770

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3.24 L # 90546

JGG David V. James

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 L # 90545 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-769

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 # 90544

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-768

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 1 # 90551 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 L # 90550

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-774

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.25 L # 90552

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-776

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90553

JGG David V. James

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

DV:J-775

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 L # 90549 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 1 # 90556 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-773 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-780 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-125 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-124 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90555 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 # 90557 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-779 David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Comment Status R DVJ-781 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The right column is not centered. ==>Include a distinct value column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-125 Center the right column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-125 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 Ρ L # 90554 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 1 # 90558 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-778 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Type Comment Status R DV:1-782 TR variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment SuggestedRemedy is intended or implied. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: SuggestedRemedy ==>reset Change initial footnotes to be: ==>autoNegotiateEnable aRO is an abbreviation for read only (etc.) NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-125 DVJ1-125 Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90559 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-783 Comment Type TR Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) DVJ1-126 DVJ1-125 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.27 Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.27 1 # 90561 David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-785 Comment Type TR Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Center the right column. included in C code. DVJ1-126 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-125 Proposed Response Response Status U C/ 45 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has SC 45.2.3.27 been approved by the ballot group David V. James Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90560 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-784 Comment Type TR Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-126 DVJ1-125

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р L # 90564 JGG Comment Status R DV.J-788 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ L # 90562 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-786 The right column is not centered. Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ L # 90563 JGG Comment Status R DV.J-787 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous

when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.28 L # 90565 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-789 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SugaestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.)

DVJ1-126

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.28 L # 90566 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-790

DV:J-791

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.28 1 # 90567 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 L # 90569

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:1-793

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 L SC 45.2.3.28 # 90568

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-792

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3.4 L # 90501

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DV.J1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.4 L # 90500 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 L # 90502 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-724 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-726 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-118 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-117 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 P L # 90573 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р 1 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.4 # 90503 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-797 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Status R DVJ-727 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The right column is not centered. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DV.J1-127 Center the right column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-118 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 L # 90570 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.4 1 # 90504 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-794 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Comment Type Comment Status R DV:1-728 TR variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment SuggestedRemedy is intended or implied. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: SuggestedRemedy ==>reset Change initial footnotes to be: ==>autoNegotiateEnable aRO is an abbreviation for read only (etc.) DVJ1-118 DVJ1-127 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-795

Cl 45

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 1 # 90571 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 L # 90572

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-796

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-127

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 L # 90574 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-798

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:1-799

90575

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

1

Р

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

SC 45.2.6.1

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

DVJ1-127

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 L SC 45.2.6.1.1 # 90576

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-800

An s in isolation is confusing, particularly given the use of s for other purposes, as in book(s) or s in equations (see 63B.3.1). There is no need to abbreviate here, as there are no table width constraints or figure boxes that mandate conservation of horizontal space.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>seconds

DV.J1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90613

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ-837

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-135

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 L # 90617 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 L # 90614 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-841 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-838 TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when is intended or implied. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-135 DVJ1-135 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.10 Ρ L # 90616 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90612 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-840 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-836 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. ==>reset DVJ1-135 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-135 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ # 90615 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.10 L been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90618 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-839 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. DVJ-842 Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Center the right column. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. DVJ1-135 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has ==>reset been approved by the ballot group ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) DVJ1-135 Proposed Response Response Status U

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 101 of 225

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.11

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90619 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-843 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-135 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90620 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-844 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-135 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90621 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-845 The right column is not centered.

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ1-136

Proposed Response

Center the right column.

been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90622 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-136 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 L SC 45.2.6.12 # 90627 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only MW is an abbreviation for multi-word DVJ1-136 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 L # 90624 David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DV.J-846

DVJ-851

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 L # 90623 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 L # 90629 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-847 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-853 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-137 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-136 Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.13 L # 90631 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-855 Р 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 # 90626 The right column is not centered. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-850 Comment Type TR Center the right column. The right column is not centered. DVJ1-137 Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Center the right column. DVJ1-136 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 P L # 90630 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group TR Comment Status R DVJ-854 Comment Type Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 1 # 90625 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that David V. James JGG can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-849 TR SuggestedRemedy Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-137

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

SuggestedRemedy

included in C code.

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-136

D V 0 1 - 100

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 103 of 225

C/ 45

SC 45.2.6.13

Cl 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 1 # 90632 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-856

David V. James

DV.J-802

90578

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-137

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.13 Ρ L # 90628 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-852

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-136

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 L # 90579 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-803

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate, 22,2,4,3,1 has the exact format

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 45.2.6.2

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

L

Р

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

P Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 1 # 90577 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-801

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper. DV.J1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 1 # 90583 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 L # 90581 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-807 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The right column is not centered. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-129 DVJ1-129 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 L # 90584 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.3 L # 90580 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-808 Comment Type Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset DVJ1-129 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-129 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.3 L # 90582 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.5 1 # 90586 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-806 David V. James JGG Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Comment Type Comment Status R TR can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric SuggestedRemedy number, since they are so poorly defined.

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate, 22,2,4,3,1 has the exact format

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 105 of 225

DVJ-805

DV.J-804

DV.J-810

DVJ-809

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P L # 90585

David V. James JGG

David V. James 300

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl **45** SC **45.2.6.5** P L # **90587**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-811

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response F

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90588

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-812

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90590

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-814

DVJ-813

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90589

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 1 # 90591 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 L # 90599 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-815 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-823 The right column is not centered. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-130 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Proposed Response Response Status U RO is an abbreviation for read only REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has LH is an abbreviation for latching high been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-132 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ L C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.6 # 90592 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-816 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 1 # 90596 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with David V. James JGG enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Comment Status R DV.J-820 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when DVJ1-130 included in C code. Response Status U Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-131 Proposed Response Response Status U Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.6 L # 90593 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-817 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 L # 90597 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment David V. James JGG is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-821 Change initial footnotes to be: The right column is not centered. aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-130

Center the right column.

DVJ1-132

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.7 1 # 90598 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 1 # 90603 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-822 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-827 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The right column is not centered. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-133 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-132 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L been approved by the ballot group # 90604 David V. James JGG Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 Ρ L # 90594 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-828 David V. James JGG Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-818 when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-133 ==>reset Response Status U Proposed Response ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-131 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90602 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-826 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 L # 90595 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that David V. James JGG can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. Comment Status R DVJ-819 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-133 ==>Include a distinct value column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-131 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90601 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90606 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-825 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-830 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-133 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-133 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90600 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-824 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90607 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-831 Comment Type TR Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. ==>reset SuggestedRemedy ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>Include a distinct value column. (etc.) DVJ1-133 DVJ1-133 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90605 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90610 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV:1-829 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-834 TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous is intended or implied. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-133 DVJ1-134 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90609 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 1 # 90636 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-833 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-860 The right column is not centered. The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Center the right column. DVJ1-134 DVJ1-138 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р L Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 # 90611 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90633 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-835 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-857 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write ==>reset DVJ1-134 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-137 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90608 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90637 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-832 David V. James JGG Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-861 can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-133

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Response Status U

Change initial footnotes to be: a RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-138

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 110 of 225

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90634 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-858 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-137 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-138 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90635 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-859 C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.7.3 1 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when David V. James JGG included in C code. Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when DVJ1-137 included in C code. Response Status U Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-138 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2.1 L # 90638 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-862 Р CI 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L Excess capitalization. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R ==>forward error correction DVJ1-138 The right column is not centered. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Center the right column.

> Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ1-138

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 111 of 225

90639

90641

90642

DV.J-863

DVJ-865

DVJ-866

Cl 45

SC 45.2.7.3

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90640 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3.1 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-864 Comment Type TR Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-138 DVJ1-138

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90644 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-868

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write DVJ1-138

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90643 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-138

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ 1 # 90645 JGG Comment Status A DVJ-869

As corrected above, ==>forward error correction

Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 P L # 90648

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-872 Comment Type TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.4 Ρ L # 90649

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-873 Comment Type TR

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-867

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 L # 90647 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 L # 90651 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-871 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-875 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-139 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-139 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 L # 90646 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-870 C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.7.6 1 # 90653 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-877 Comment Type TR Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that ==>reset can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when ==>autoNegotiateEnable included in C code. (etc.) SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-139 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-140 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 1 # 90650 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 L # 90654 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-874 TR David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-878 is intended or implied. The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Center the right column. NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-140 DVJ1-139 Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

been approved by the ballot group

Р SC 45.5 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 # 90655 Cl 45 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-879 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The title is too long and will (most likely) overflow automatic TOC generation. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SugaestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Make it smaller, as suggested above. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-141 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-140 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has old style has been kept when appropriate. been approved by the ballot group SC 45.5 C/ 45 P L Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 Ρ L # 90656 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-880 The split between Clause and 45 makes this confusing. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment SuggestedRemedy is intended or implied. Put a nonbreaking space in here. SuggestedRemedy DV.J1-141 Change initial footnotes to be: Proposed Response Response Status U aRW is an abbreviation for read/write NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-140 Editor Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.4.3 L REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R Cl 45 Р L SC 45.2.7.6 # 90652 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. JGG David V. James SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-876 TR

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-139

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

90658

DVJ-882

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the

90657

DVJ-881

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff

90663

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 114 of 225

Cl 45

SC 45.5.4.3

DVJ-887

CI 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90661

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-885

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90662
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-886

The Value/Comment field is blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Place appropriate content within this cell.

2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".

DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.4.3 P L # 90660
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90689

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90687

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-911

DV:J-913

Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Place appropriate content within this cell.

2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.15 P L # 90686

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-910

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-884

Р Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 L # 90685 Cl 45 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-909 Comment Type The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-143 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 L # 90688 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-912 David V. James The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. DVJ1-143 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group SC 45.5.5.16 Ρ L # 90691 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-915 Comment Type TR

Cl 45

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SC 45.5.5.16

JGG

Ρ

L

90693

David V. James

TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-917

Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

1) Place appropriate content within this cell.

2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 Ρ L SC 45.5.5.16 # 90694 JGG

TR Comment Status R

DV.J-918

The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.16 1 # 90692

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-916

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 L # 90697 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 L # 90696 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-921 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-920 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Center them. DVJ1-144 DVJ1-144 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 L # 90698 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.2 L # 90666 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-922 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-890 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. DVJ1-141 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-144 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 P L # 90665 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 L # 90699 Comment Status R DVJ-889 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. DVJ-923 Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. Center them. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-141 Enlarge this column width. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-144 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.2 L # 90667 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-891 The Value/Comment field is blank and useless. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". DVJ1-141 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р 1 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 # 90670 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-894 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.

DVJ1-142

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 L SC 45.5.5.3 # 90671 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-895 Comment Type TR

Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

1) Place appropriate content within this cell.

2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".

DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 L # 90669

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-893

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.3 L # 90673 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-897

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.5.5.3 1 # 90672

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-896

The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-142

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 L # 90675 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 L # 90679 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-899 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-903 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-142 DVJ1-142 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 L Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 L # 90676 # 90680 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-900 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-904 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. DVJ1-142 DVJ1-142 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 P L # 90677 # 90683 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-901 Comment Status R DVJ-907 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot SuggestedRemedy be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Either: SuggestedRemedy 1) Place appropriate content within this cell Do the following: 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. DVJ1-142 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ1-143

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Page 119 of 225

Р # 90682 SC 56.1 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 L C/ 56 L # 90709 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-906 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-933 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. As corrected above, ==>passive optical networks DVJ1-142 DVJ1-149 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. been approved by the ballot group Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90708 Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 # 90681 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-932 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-905 Excess capitalization. Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>physical medium dependent Either: DV.J1-149 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. Proposed Response Response Status U 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". DVJ1-142 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ C/ 56 SC 56.1 L # 90706 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Status R DVJ-930 Comment Type TR Ρ C/ 56 SC 56.1 L # 90713 Excess capitalization. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy DVJ-937 Comment Type Comment Status R TR As corrected above, ==>control Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. DVJ1-149 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U ==>8 point REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. DVJ1-149 Ρ Cl 56 SC 56.1 1 # 90710 Proposed Response Response Status U JGG David V. James REJECT. This is consistant with other similar diagrams. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-934 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>reconciliation DVJ1-149 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 120 of 225

C/ 56 SC 56.1

C/ 56 David V. Ja	SC 56.1	P JGG	L	# 90711	C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90715
Comment		Comment Status	R	DVJ-935	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status R	1	DVJ-939
Suggested As corr DVJ1-	rected above, ==	=>operations, adminis	tration, and mainte	enance	SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, : DVJ1-150	==>physical medium attac	chment	
Proposed I		Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status Us on unchanged text.	I	
CI 56 David V. Ja	SC 56.1	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90707	C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90714
Comment Excess	Type TR s capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-931	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status R	t.	DVJ-938
Suggested As corr DVJ1-	rected above, ==	=>physical layers			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, : DVJ1-150	==>optical network units		
Proposed REJEC	•	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status Us on unchanged text.	ı	
CI 56 David V. Ja	SC 56.1	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90712	C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90718
Comment Excess	Type TR s capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-936	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status R	1	DVJ-942
Suggested As corr DVJ1-	rected above, ==	=>P2P topologies			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, : DVJ1-150	==>optical line terminall		
Proposed REJEC	•	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status Us on unchanged text.	1	
CI 56 David V. Ja	SC 56.1	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90705	C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90716
Comment Excess	Type TR s capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-929	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status R		DVJ-940
Suggested As corr DVJ1-	rected above, ==	=>media access contr	ol.		SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, : DVJ1-150	==>multi-point		
Proposed I REJEC	•	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status Us on unchanged text.	I	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 121 of 225

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90717	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90726
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-941	Comment Type TR The Rate, Nominal, and	Comment Status R d Clause columns should	d be centered.	DVJ-950
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-150	control protocol			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-152			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90719	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90721
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-943	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-945
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-151	>reconciliation sublayer			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==: DVJ1-151	>physical layer		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90720	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90724
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-944	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-948
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>clientl DVJ1-151				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==: DVJ1-151	>downstreaml		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90723	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90725
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-947	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-949
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-151	-upstreaml			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==: DVJ1-151	>passive optical networl	k downstream	
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 122 of 225

C/ 56 SC 56.1.3

Р # 90728 SC 57. Ρ C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 L CI 57 L # 90730 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-952 Comment Type TR DVJ-954 Blank cells cannot be easily differentiated from TBDs, which they represent elsewhere in Excessive capitalization. this draft (subclause numbers that have not yet been specified, for example). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Correct, as shown above. ==> Place an em dash in these cells. DVJ1-154 DVJ1-152 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Consistent with previous tables. of the subclause. Р CI 57 SC 57.1.1 Ρ L # 90731 C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 # 90727 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-951 Comment Status R DVJ-955 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>operations, administration, and maintenance ==> Nominal reach. DVJ1-154 DVJ1-152 Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. of the subclause. Ρ C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 L # 90722 SC 57.1.4 CI 57 P L # 90732 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG DVJ-946 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Status R DVJ-956 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>bidirectional As corrected above, ==>link aggregation DVJ1-151 DVJ1-155 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 43.

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.1.4 L # 90733 CI 57 SC 57.2.1 L # 90736 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-957 Comment Type TR DVJ-960 The intermixture of lower-case and ALLCAPS callouts is discouraged by IEEE Style Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>control Eliminate the ALLCAPS callouts, here and throughout. DVJ1-155 DVJ1-156 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this style is widely used of the subclause as defined in Clause 31. by 802.3. P L CI 57 SC 57.1.5.2 # 90734 CI 57 Ρ 1 SC 57.2.11.4 # 90744 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-958 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-968 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>discoveryl As corrected above, ==>discoveryl DVJ1-155 DVJ1-167 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this usage is consistent REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-958. in 1.4 and the balance of 57. CI 57 SC 57.1.5.3 Ρ L Ρ # 90735 CI 57 L SC 57.2.12 # 90745 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-959 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-969 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>flow control As corrected above, ==>unidirectional DVJ1-156 DVJ1-168 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text.

of the subclause as defined in Clause 31.

SC 57.2.12

Cl 57 SC 57.2.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# <u>90737</u>	CI 57 SC 57.2.6 P L # 90741 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-96	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-965 Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-156	=>link aggregationl			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>control DVJ1-161
Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - see	Response Status Comment DVJ-956.	U		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, it is the proper name of the function.
Cl 57 SC 57.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90738	C/ 57 SC 57.2.8.2.2 P L # 90742 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Comment Statu Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy		R DVJ-	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-966 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.	
As corrected above, == DVJ1-156	=>control			SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress
Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - see	Response Status Comment DVJ-959.	U		DVJ1-163 Proposed Response Response Status U
CI 57 SC 57.2.4 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90739	REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this parameter is patterned after those in Clause 2.
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-96	CI 57 SC 57.2.8.2.2 P L # 90743 David V. James JGG
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>discovery				Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-967 Inconsistent name with respect to the definition.
DVJ1-157 Proposed Response Response Status REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-958.		U		SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>Slow_Protocols_Multicast DVJ1-163
Cl 57 SC 57.2.5.1. David V. James	4 P JGG	L	# <mark>90740</mark>	Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - despite some inconsistency, no ambiguity exists as to the value of the address
Comment Type TR This term is defined in	Comment Status I too many ways: length		DVJ-96 n/Type, type, etc	
SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-158		•		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text.

Page 125 of 225

CI 57

SC 57.2.8.2.2

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.3.1.1 L # 90746 CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 L # 90752 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-970 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-976 Bad reference pointer; I can't find the OAMPDU subtype in 802.3-2002 Table 43B-3.. What kind of code is that? This doesn't appear to be C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>Point to appropriate revision (perhaps this one?). Either: DVJ1-168 1) Define the formal coding style. 2) Delete these lines Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-172 REJECT. Reject - Annex 43B will be changed concurrently with the publication of 57 Proposed Response Response Status U thereby avoiding reference issues. REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974. Р CI 57 SC 57.3.1.1 L # 90747 Ρ JGG CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 L # 90750 David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-971 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-974 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-168 Eliminate here, expand where used. DVJ1-172 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974. Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.3.1.2 # 90748 SC 57.3.1.3 CI 57 P L JGG # 90749 David V. James David V. James JGG DVJ-972 Comment Type Comment Status R TR Comment Status R DVJ-973 Comment Type TR This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. What kind of arithmetic is being used? This doesn't appear to be C code, with the SuggestedRemedy illegetimate use of '/' and '=' assignments, and the syntax is unknown to me. ==>lengthType. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-169 Either: Response Status U Proposed Response 1) Define the syntax. REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. 2) Delete these lines DVJ1-172 Р L CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 # 90751 Proposed Response Response Status U David V. James JGG REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. An alias is used to beautify Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-975 otherwise cluttered state diagrams. Furthermore, the notation used is consistent with IEEE 802.3-2002/Table 21-2. This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message. SuggestedRemedy Eliminate here, expand where used. DVJ1-172

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

Response Status U

Page 126 of 225

C/ 57 SC 57.3.1.3

DVJ-977

CI 57

Р CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 # 90753 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

David V. James JGG

SC 57.4.1

This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate here, expand where used.

DVJ1-172

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.3.1.5 # 90754

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-978 Comment Type TR

An s in isolation is confusing, particularly given the use of s for other purposes, as in book(s) or s in equations (see 63B.3.1). There is no need to abbreviate here, as there are no table width constraints or figure boxes that mandate conservation of horizontal space.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>seconds

DVJ1-173

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, timers in 802.3 always use an abbreviation for the interval (e.g., s. ms. us. ns. etc.)

CI 57 SC 57.4.1 Ρ L # 90755

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-979 Comment Type TR

No need to mention the SA.

SuggestedRemedy

==>deleted DVJ1-178

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, while mention is unnecessary, it is also doesn't hurt either.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric

1

number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

Ρ

DVJ1-179

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 L SC 57.4.1 # 90756 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-980

90758

DVJ-982

Too many name aliases:

DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DV.J1-179

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. DA is a well-known abbreviation and is

found in D3.2/1.5.

 CI 57
 SC 57.4.1
 P
 L
 # 90757

 David V. James
 JGG

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 DVJ-981

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-179

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90767
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, DestinationField, etc. Also, not easy to parse and DA looks like a constant.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress, here and througout.

DVJ1-181

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 P L # 90760
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-984

Too large font.

SuggestedRemedy

Use 8-point for all text, including "Common..., as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

and through

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.2

P JGG

L

L

L

90761

Comment Type 1

David V. James

TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-985

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.

DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.2

on changed P

90764

David V. James

Comment Type

JGG

Comment Status R

DVJ-988

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

DVJ1-180

DVJ-991

Proposed Response Status U

TR

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl **57** SC **57.4.2** P
David V. James JGG

JGG

DVJ-986

90762

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

The value for the field should be placed on the right, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90771 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90759 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-995 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-983 There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all Excess capitalization. occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Ocetes==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-180 DVJ1-181 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. SC 57.4.2 Cl 57 P L # 90768 Р L CI 57 SC 57.4.2 # 90763 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-992 Comment Type TR DV.J-987 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Too many name aliases: Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a SA, source address. Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. constant. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. ==>sourceAddress, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. DVJ1-180 DVJ1-181 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, canonical format is widely REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. used within 802.3. CI 57 SC 57.4.2 Ρ L # 90770 SC 57.4.2 Р L CI 57 # 90769 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-994 DVJ-993 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Vague cross-reference: Slow_Protocols_Type is a constant, not a field value. This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy More accurate reference, as done above, here and througout. ==>lenathType. DVJ1-181 DVJ1-181 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 129 of 225

CI 57 SC 57.4.2

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90772 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-996 Comment Type TR Comment Status R There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all Unclear text; the field name should be on the left, not an unnecessary a-z) listing. Also, the occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for field values cannot be easily cross-referenced later. Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a definition-like style, as done in changed illustration. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-181 DVJ1-181 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.3 1 Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 Ρ L # 90773 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-997 Comment Type Comment Status R This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. The FCS all caps is confusing, since this has also been listed as an acronym. All caps SuggestedRemedy normally implies a constant value also. ==>lengthType. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-183 Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-181 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 Ρ L JGG David V. James CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.4.2 # 90765 Comment Status R Comment Type TR David V. James JGG Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a DVJ-989 Comment Type TR Comment Status R range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. Nonstandard font size (I think). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use #8 point Arial, as done in changed illustration. 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-180 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Page 130 of 225

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1

90766

90774

90780

DV.J-990

DV.J-998

DVJ-1004

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 # 90776 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1000 Comment Type

CI 57

DVJ-1002

90778

Too large font.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 Р SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90775 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-999 Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90777

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1001

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

TR Comment Status R

SC 57.4.3.1

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

1

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

David V. James

1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.

Ρ

JGG

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 L SC 57.4.3.1 # 90779

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-1003

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example, its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

P L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 # 90786

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1010

There is no need to capitalize "OAM Configuration" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

DVJ-1013

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90789

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no need to capitalize "Vendor Specific Info" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90790

David V. James JGG

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1014

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90791

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1015

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90785

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1009

There is no need to capitalize "State" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90783

David V. James JGG

David v. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1007

There is no need to capitalize "OAM Version" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90787 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90781 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1011 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1005 There is no need to capitalize "OAMPDU Configuration" field names, since the There is no need to capitalize "Information Type" field names, since the capitalization capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-185 DVJ1-184 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90788 # 90794 JGG David V. James **JGG** David V. James DV.J-1012 DV.J-1018 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R There is no need to capitalize "OUI" field names, since this really refers to something else Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures. (a specific value assigned by the IEEE, not this specific field). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout. 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-185 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-186 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 Ρ L # 90782 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90792 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1006 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1016 There is no need to capitalize "Information Length" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Either: DVJ1-184 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 2) Use this new illustration. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-186 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

SC 57.4.3.2

TR

DVJ1-187

Either:

DVJ1-186 Proposed Response

2) Use this new illustration.

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90805 CI 57 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1029 Comment Type There is no need to capitalize "Event Running Total" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-187 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90796 JGG David V. James DV.J-1020 Comment Type Comment Status R TR Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-186 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987 Р CI 57 1 SC 57.4.3.2 # 90804 David V. James JGG

Comment Type

SugaestedRemedy

DVJ1-187

Proposed Response

TR

Comment Status R

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

There is no need to capitalize "Error Running Total" field names, since the capitalization

Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-186 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Cl 57 Ρ L SC 57.4.3.2 David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

Response Status U

Ρ

There is no need to capitalize "Event Time Stamp" field names, since the capitalization

JGG

doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

Comment Status R

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

1

90800

90795

90797

DVJ-1021

DVJ-1024

DV.J-1019

DV.J-1028

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L # 90799 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L # 90803 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1023 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1027 There is no need to capitalize "Event Length" field names, since the capitalization doesn't There is no need to capitalize "Errors" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-187 DVJ1-187 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Р SC 57.4.3.2 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L Cl 57 1 # 90801 # 90793 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-1025 Comment Type DVJ-1017 TR There is no need to capitalize "Window" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in Too large font. all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. DVJ1-187 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-186 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 Ρ L # 90802 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L # 90798 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1026 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1022 There is no need to capitalize "Threshold" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). There is no need to capitalize "Event Type" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-187 Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-186

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Page 135 of 225

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90806

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1030

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90811

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1035

DVJ-1036

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90812

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no need to capitalize "Variable Branch" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90809

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1033

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90808

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1032

DVJ-1031

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

C/ 57 SC 57.4.3.3 P L # 90807
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Too large font.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 1 # 90813 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1037 There is no need to capitalize "Variable Leaf" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SugaestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-188 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Р 1 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 # 90810 JGG David V. James DV.J-1034 Comment Type Comment Status R TR Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-188 Proposed Response Response Status U

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90814

David V. James JGG

David v. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1038

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90817

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1041

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90816

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1040

DVJ-1042

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 P L # 90818

David V. James JGG

David V. James 300

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 L # 90820 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 1 # 90822 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1044 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1046 There is no need to capitalize "Branch" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in There is no need to capitalize "Width" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-190 DVJ1-190 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text. Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 1 Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 1 # 90821 # 90823 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DV.J-1045 DV.J-1047 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R There is no need to capitalize "Leaf" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all There is no need to capitalize "Value" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-190 DVJ1-190 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text. CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 Ρ L # 90815 # 90819 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1039 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1043 Too large font. Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Fither:

- 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Proposed Response

DVJ1-189

2) Use this new illustration.

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4

Page 138 of 225

Р # 90829 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1053 Comment Type TR

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 Р 1 # 90827

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1051 TR

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Р 1 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 # 90828 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1052 TR

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 # 90825 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1049

Too large font.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 # 90830 David V. James JGG

TR

DVJ-1054

There is no need to capitalize "OAM Remote Loopback command" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

Comment Status R

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 # 90824

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1048

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Р # 90826 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 1 # 90835 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1050 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1059 Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures. Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Either: 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration. 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-191 DVJ1-193 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987 OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57. Р 1 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 # 90833 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 Р 1 # 90831 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1057 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1055 TR Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Either: 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration. 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 2) Use this new illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-193 DVJ1-193 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57. throughout Clause 57. CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 Ρ L Р # 90837 CI 57 L SC 57.4.3.6 # 90832 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1061 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1056 There is no need to capitalize "OUI" field names, since the capitalization has specific other Too large font. meanings, defined by the IEEE/RAC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout. 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. DVJ1-193 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-193 Response Status U Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 1 # 90836 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1060

DVJ-1062

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 Р 1 # 90838 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-194

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 # 90840 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1064

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-194

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 1 # 90834 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 P SC 57.4.3.6 # 90839 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric

number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-194

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

DVJ-1058

DV.I-1063

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90842

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1066

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Resp

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90841

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1065

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-195

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

C/ 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90843

David V. James JGG

avid v. James 500

TR

DVJ-1067

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90846

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

CI 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90845

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1069

DVJ-1070

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р # 90844 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.5.2.4 CI 57 SC 57.7 L # 90850 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1068 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1074 This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy. The title is too long and will (most likely) overflow automatic TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Fither: Make it smaller, as suggested above. 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context DVJ1-206 dependent identifier. Proposed Response Response Status U Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its REJECT. Reject - this is the normal title for the PICS section meaning is clear to any number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC. CI 57 SC 57.7 P L # 90849 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB. JGG David V. James 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several Comment Status R DVJ-1073 Comment Type TR magnitudes cheaper. DVJ1-196 The split between Clause and 45 makes this confusing. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Put a nonbreaking space in here. DVJ1-206 Р CI 57 SC 57.5.3.7 L # 90847 Proposed Response Response Status U JGG David V. James REJECT. Reject - the draft does not have a split between clause and 57. Comment Status R DVJ-1071 Comment Type TR Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.7.2.3 L # 90853 Excess capitalization. JGG David V. James SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1077 As corrected above, ==>media access control DVJ1-201 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. Р Cl 57 SC 57.6.4 1 # 90848 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". DVJ1-206 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1072 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format The shorthand notation in the title is confusing. SuggestedRemedy

Clarify by listing each variable distinctively, as shown above.

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Response Status U

DVJ1-205

Proposed Response

Р # 90852 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.7.2.3 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 L # 90858 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1076 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1082 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix Center them. them now, since its easy to do. DVJ1-206 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. CI 57 SC 57.7.3 Ρ L DVJ1-207 # 90854 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, AIP - IEEE staff editor will fix pagination, orphan rows of PICS Comment Status R DVJ-1078 Comment Type TR tables **Excess capitalization** Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.1 P # 90857 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James Correct as shown above. DVJ1-206 Comment Status R DVJ-1081 Comment Type TR Response Status U Proposed Response The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. REJECT. Reject - this is the name of the subclause and follows 802.3 practice. SuggestedRemedy Center them. Ρ CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 # 90855 DVJ1-207 David V. James JGG Response Status U Proposed Response Comment Status A DVJ-1079 Comment Type TR REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format Excess capitalization Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.7.3.2 # 90861 SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG Correct as shown above. DVJ1-206 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1085 Proposed Response Response Status U The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. ACCEPT. Accept SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. DVJ1-207

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Р # 90860 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.2 L David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1084

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.7.3.3 # 90864 JGG David V. James

DVJ-1088 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 Ρ L # 90865

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-1089 Comment Type TR

The PDU8 & PDU9 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates..

SuggestedRemedy

Make these have two-line entries, like below:

X.X.X

(Table 57-6)

That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled.

DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, tables and figures.

Р CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 L # 90863

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1087

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

CI 57 P L SC 57.7.3.3 # 90866

JGG David V. James

TR Comment Status A Comment Type

DVJ-1090

DVJ-1092

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. AIP - IEEE staff editor will fix pagination, orphan rows of PICS tables

Р CI 57 L SC 57.7.3.4 # 90868

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them.

DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Р # 90869 SC 57.7.4 Р CI 57 SC 57.7.3.4 L CI 57 L # 90876 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1093 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1100 The LIT8 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates.. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. Make these have two-line entries, like below: DVJ1-208 X.X.X (Table 57-8) Proposed Response Response Status U That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format DVJ1-208 Response Status U Proposed Response CI 57 SC 57.7.4 P L # 90877 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, JGG David V. James tables and figures. Comment Status R DVJ-1101 Comment Type TR Ρ CI 57 SC 57.7.3.5 L # 90871 The ET7 subclause cell is not a subclause, as the header indicates... David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy TR Comment Status R DVJ-1095 Comment Type Make these have two-line entries, like below: The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. X.X.X (Table 57-12) SuggestedRemedy That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. Center them. DVJ1-208 DVJ1-208 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format tables and figures. Cl 57 Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.7.4 P SC 57.7.3.7 # 90873 L # 90875 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1097 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1099 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-208 DVJ1-208 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Р # 90879 SC 58. Р CI 57 SC 57.7.5 L C/ 58 L # 90883 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1103 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1107 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-208 DVJ1-210 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 57 SC 57.7.5 Ρ L # 90881 Р CI 58 SC 58.10 L # 90903 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1105 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1127 The VAR7 subclause cell is not a subclause, as the header indicates... The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make these have two-line entries, like below: Make it smaller, as suggested above. X.X.X DVJ1-233 (Table 57-16) That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-208 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U CI 58 Ρ L SC 58.10 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, # 90902 tables and figures. JGG David V. James DVJ-1126 Cl 57 SC 57.7.5 Ρ L Comment Type TR Comment Status R # 90880 David V. James JGG The "Clause" and "58" are unnaturally split across lines. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1104 Use a nonbreaking space. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. DVJ1-233 SuggestedRemedy Response Status U Proposed Response Enlarge this column width. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. DVJ1-208 Proposed Response Response Status U C/ 58 Ρ L SC 58.10.1 # 90904 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1128 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>medium dependent DVJ1-233 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 147 of 225

C/ 58 SC 58.10.1

Р # 90906 Р C/ 58 SC 58.10.1.3 L CI 58 SC 58.10.2.3 L # 90914 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1130 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1138 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-233 DVJ1-234 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 58 SC 58.10.2 Ρ L C/ 58 SC 58.10.2.4 P L # 90908 # 90916 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1132 Comment Status R DVJ-1140 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-233 DVJ1-234 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ CI 58 CI 58 SC 58.10.2.1 L # 90910 SC 58.10.2.5 Ρ L # 90919 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1134 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR DVJ-1143 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The comma's are unnecessary in the Subclause column, since they are vertically listed... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-234 DVJ1-234 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 58.10.2.2 Р Ρ C/ 58 1 # 90912 Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.5 1 # 90918 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-1136 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1142 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-234 DVJ1-234 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 148 of 225

C/ 58 SC 58.10.2.5

Р Р C/ 58 SC 58.10.2.6 L # 90921 CI 58 SC 58.10.2.7 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1145 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. Center them. DVJ1-234 DVJ1-235 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 58 Ρ L SC 58.10.2.7 # 90923 SC 58.2.3 Р CI 58 L JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1147 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Excess space. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above, delete the space after the em dash. DVJ1-235 DVJ1-213 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ L CI 58 SC 58.10.2.7 # 90925 CI 58 SC 58.3.1 P L JGG David V. James David V. James JGG DVJ-1149 Comment Type TR Comment Status R TR Comment Status R Comment Type The PDU8 & PDU9 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates... The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) SuggestedRemedy imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix Make these have two-line entries, like below: them now, since its easy to do. X.X.X (Table 57-6) SuggestedRemedy That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. DVJ1-235

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-214

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

Comment is actually against Clause 57.

Comment is on unchanged text.

REJECT.

Response Status U

Page 149 of 225

CI 58 SC 58.3.1

90924

90884

90885

DVJ-1148

DVJ-1108

DVJ-1109

Р # 90886 Р C/ 58 SC 58.3.2 L C/ 58 SC 58.4.1 L # 90889 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1113 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1110 Comment Type TR The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix =>split these rows. them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. CI 58 Р L # 90891 DVJ1-214 SC 58.4.1 David V. James **JGG** Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1115 Comment is on unchanged text. Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader. P Cl 58 L SC 58.4 # 90887 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James =>split these rows. Comment Status R DVJ-1111 Comment Type TR Proposed Response Response Status U Excess capitalization. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy C/ 58 SC 58.4.1 Ρ L # 90892 As corrected above, ==>control JGG David V. James DVJ1-214 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1116 Proposed Response Response Status U Mbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps mega-baud?) REJECT. The comment is on non-existent text. SuggestedRemedy describe this in a footnote! CI 58 SC 58.4.1 P L # 90890 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment Status R DVJ-1114 Comment Type TR Comment is on unchanged text. Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader SuggestedRemedy =>split these rows. Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 58 SC 58.4.1 # 90893 CI 58 SC 58.4.1 1 # 90888 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1117 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1112 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Don't intermix two table rows, this confuses the reader imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SugaestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix =>add this distinct column them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. CI 58 Р L DVJ1-215 SC 58.4.2 # 90896 David V. James JGG Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1120 Comment is on unchanged text. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other P L Cl 58 SC 58.4.1 # 90894 published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix JGG David V. James them now, since its easy to do. Comment Status R DVJ-1118 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy This title line seems strange. Do the following: 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. SuggestedRemedy 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. =>retitle this as "parameter". DVJ1-216 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 58 SC 58.4.1 Ρ L CI 58 SC 58.7.1.1 P L # 90895 # 90899 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1119 Comment Status R DVJ-1123 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR This title line seems strange The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix =>retitle this as "parameter". them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Do the following: 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-219 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 151 of 225

Cl 58

SC 58.7.1.1

Р # 90897 Р C/ 58 SC 58.7.1.1 L C/ 58 SC 58.9.4 L # 90901 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1121 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1125 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>Medium dependent interface ==>lengthType. DVJ1-218 DVJ1-232 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р L C/ 58 SC 58.7.1.1 # 90898 C/ 59 SC 59. # 90927 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1122 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1151 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Excessive capitalization. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Correct, as shown above. DVJ1-236 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Comment is on unchanged text. DVJ1-218 Cl 59 SC 59.1.4 Ρ L # 90928 Proposed Response Response Status U David V. James JGG REJECT. Comment Status R DVJ-1152 Comment Type TR Comment is on unchanged text. Excess capitalization. CI 58 SC 58.7.11.2 Ρ L # 90900 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James As corrected above, ==>medium dependent DVJ-1124 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ1-237 Should be using alphabetic characters when making lists, not kludge with 2nd level indent. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

As corrected above, ==>a), b), ...l

DVJ1-229

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 152 of 225

CI 59 SC 59.1.4

Р # 90950 Р C/ 59 SC 59.10 L C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.10 L # 90971 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1174 Comment Type TR DVJ-1195 The "Clause" and "58" are unnaturally split across lines. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. Center them. DVJ1-250 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р C/ 59 SC 59.10 # 90951 C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.11 L # 90973 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1175 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1197 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it smaller, as suggested above. Center them. DVJ1-250 DVJ1-252 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 59 Ρ L SC 59.10.1 # 90952 Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.3 Ρ L # 90954 JGG David V. James David V. James **JGG** DVJ-1176 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Status R DVJ-1178 Comment Type TR The text that referred to Clause 59 was out-of-date. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use an explicit cross-reference, to ensure accuracy, as was done above. Center them. (This looks funny now, due to the marked changes, but these will disappear when changes DVJ1-250 are finalized.) DVJ1-250 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Comment is on unchanged text.

Page 153 of 225

C/ 59

SC **59.10.2.3**

Р # 90956 Р C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.4 L C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.6 L # 90961 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Status R DVJ-1185 Comment Type TR DVJ-1180 Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-250 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р L C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.5 # 90958 C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.6 # 90962 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1182 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1186 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Top-line delimiter is too thick. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Top of BXD3 row ==> very thin. DVJ1-251 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.5 Ρ L CI 59 SC 59.10.2.7 Ρ L # 90959 # 90964 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1183 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1188 Comment Type TR Comment Type The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Top-line delimiter is too thick. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Top of LX4 row ==> very thin. Center them. DVJ1-251 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 154 of 225

C/ 59

SC **59.10.2.7**

Р # 90965 Р C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.7 C/ 59 SC 59.3.1 L # 90929 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1189 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1153 Top-line delimiter is too thick. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Top of BXU3 row ==> very thin. ==>8 point DVJ1-251 DVJ1-240 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. change figure font size to be consistent with Figure 60-Р L Ρ L C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.8 # 90967 C/ 59 SC 59.4 # 90930 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1191 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1154 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Bad line break. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above, ==>use nonbreaking hyphen DVJ1-251 DVJ1-240 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.9 Ρ L Cl 59 SC 59.4.2 P L # 90969 # 90933 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1193 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1157 Comment Type Comment Type The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix Center them. them now, since its easy to do. DVJ1-251 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-241 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р # 90932 Р C/ 59 SC 59.4.2 C/ 59 SC 59.7.12 1 # 90947 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1156 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1171 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Excess capitalization. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. As corrected above, ==>bit error ratio tester DVJ1-245 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-241 SC 59.7.2 Р L C/ 59 # 90946 Proposed Response Response Status U David V. James JGG REJECT. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1170 Comment is on unchanged text. The right three columns should be centered. P Cl 59 SC 59.4.2 # 90931 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James Center them. Comment Status R DVJ-1155 Comment Type TR DVJ1-243 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Proposed Response Response Status U imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other REJECT. published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90941 Do the following: JGG David V. James 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment Status R DVJ-1165 Comment Type TR 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-240 Excessive capitalization. Response Status U Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Disparity ==> disparity Comment is on unchanged text. DVJ1-243 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ L C/ 59 SC 59.7.1 # 90934 REJECT. David V. James JGG Comment is on unchanged text. DVJ-1158 Comment Type Comment Status R Vague references to apparent clause numbers. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

As corrected above.
DVJ1-242

Proposed Response

Comment is on unchanged text.

REJECT.

Response Status U

Page 156 of 225

Cl 59 SC 59.7.2

Р Р C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 # 90945 C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 L # 90940 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1169 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1164 Excessive capitalization. Wrong spelling, base on IEEE Dictionary and preceding table SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Number of Octlets==> Number of octlets Hexa-decimal==> Hexadecimal DVJ1-243 DVJ1-243 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Hexa-decimal -> hexadecimal There also appears to be a typo in the suggested remedy. C/ 59 Р L SC 59.7.2 # 90939 as "Octlets" does not appear in the referenced text. David V. James JGG Ρ L CI 59 SC 59.7.2 # 90944 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1163 JGG David V. James The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1168 imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other The 1st column looks wrong: published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. number of octlets is the label but action statements are the entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clarify. Do the following: DVJ1-243 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-243 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Ρ CI 59 SC 59.7.2 L # 90943 Comment is on unchanged text. JGG David V. James C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 P L # 90938 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1167 JGG David V. James Excessive capitalization. DVJ-1162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R SugaestedRemedy Excessive capitalization. Repeat ==> . repeat SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-243 Number of Octlets==> Number of octlets Proposed Response Response Status U DV.J1-242 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. There also appears to be a typo in the suggested remedy, as "Octlets" does not appear in the referenced text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 157 of 225

C/ 59

SC 59.7.2

Р # 90935 Р # 90942 C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 L C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1159 Comment Type TR DVJ-1166 Excessive capitalization. The 1st column looks wrong: number of octlets is the label but action statements are the entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Binary ==> binary Clarify. DVJ1-243 DVJ1-242 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 59 SC 59.9.1 Ρ L # 90948 Р L C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 # 90937 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1172 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1161 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be Times. Excessive capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Arial Binary ==> binary DVJ1-247 DVJ1-242 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Cl 59 SC 59.9.4 Ρ L # 90949 SC 59.7.2 Р C/ 59 L # 90936 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1173 DVJ-1160 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. The right three columns should be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>dependent interface Center them. DVJ1-248 DVJ1-242 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 158 of 225

Cl 59 SC 59.9.4

Р # 90975 Р C/ 60 SC 60. L C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 L # 90983 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1199 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1207 Excess capitalization Excessive capitalization SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Medium Dependent==>medium dependent, if nothing else is changed. This is a generic word in this context==>include units in the distinct column DVJ1-253 DVJ1-254 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #228. If added, style REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. consistent with existing approved style. Р L C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60. # 90976 SC 60.1.1 # 90986 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1200 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1210 Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation. Excessive capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> PMD sublayer and medium for long wavelength passive optical networks. Upstream=>upstream DVJ1-253 DVJ1-254 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style REJECT. consistent with existing approved style. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.1 L # 90977 C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 Ρ L # 90988 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1201 Comment Status R DVJ-1212 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Excess capitalization Excessive capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Forward Error Correction==>forward error correction Downstream=>downstream DVJ1-253 DVJ1-254 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

SC 60.1.1

C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90985	Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90981
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R vord values should be centered		DVJ-1209		omment Status R		DVJ-1205
SuggestedRemedy Center this columns DVJ1-254				SuggestedRemedy Unstraddle into distinct max/ DVJ1-254	min rows		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchar	Response Status U nged text.			Proposed Response Re REJECT. Comment is on unchanged t	esponse Status U eext.		
Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90984	CI 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90980
Comment Type TR Table rows with one-w	Comment Status R vord values should be centered		DVJ-1208	Comment Type TR C Merge columns for clarity	omment Status R		DVJ-1204
SuggestedRemedy Center these four colu DVJ1-254	ımns			SuggestedRemedy Unstraddle into distinct max/ DVJ1-254	min rows.		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchal	Response Status U nged text.			Proposed Response Re REJECT. Comment is on unchanged t	esponse Status U ext.		
C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90982	CI 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90979
Comment Type TR Don't intermix units with	Comment Status R thin one row		DVJ-1206	Comment Type TR Complied columns have been	omment Status R merged.		DVJ-1203
SuggestedRemedy Include units in the dis DVJ1-254	stinct column			SuggestedRemedy Provide a distinct Type colur DVJ1-254	mn.		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchar	Response Status U nged text.			Proposed Response Re REJECT. Comment is on unchanged t	esponse Status U ext.		

Р # 90987 Р C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 L C/ 60 SC 60.1.2 L # 90989 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1211 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1213 Blanks cells look too much like a missprint or editorial error. Inconsistent usage: IEEE Style guide say don't mix all-caps and lowere case SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Fill all blank cells with an em dash. Eliminate all caps (except for acronyms) names within the figure DVJ1-254 DVJ1-256 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.1.1 Р L Р L C/ 60 # 90978 C/ 60 SC 60.1.2 # 90990 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1202 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1214 The reader should not be forced to interpolate column or row lines Excessive capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either Medium ==> medium 1) Swap the order of rows (as illustrated). DVJ1-256 2) Don't merge any rows or column, if this forces interpolation. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-254 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 Ρ L # 90992 Comment is on unchanged text. JGG David V. James Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.1.2 # 90991 Comment Status R DVJ-1216 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG Excess capitalization DVJ-1215 Comment Type Comment Status R TR SuggestedRemedy Figure interrupts the text flow. Medium Dependent==>medium dependent SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-257 Place figure marker at the end of paragraph, as is normally done. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-256 REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style Proposed Response Response Status U consistent with existing approved style. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р # 91096 Р C/ 60 SC 60.10 L C/ 60 SC 60.10.3 L # 91099 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1320 Comment Type TR DVJ-1323 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. These rows should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it smaller, as suggested above. Center them. DVJ1-283 DVJ1-284 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.10 # 91095 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.1 # 91101 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1319 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1325 The "Clause" and "60" are unnaturally split across lines. These rows should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. Center them. DVJ1-283 DVJ1-285 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.10.1 Ρ L # 91097 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 Ρ L # 91105 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1321 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1329 Comment Type TR Comment Type The text that referred to Clause 59 was out-of-date. The wrapping Status entries are confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use an explicit cross-reference, to ensure accuracy, as was done above. adjust the column to be slightly wider. DVJ1-283 DVJ1-286 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 162 of 225

Р # 91104 Р C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.3 L # 91108 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG DVJ-1332 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1328 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers. Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space after table. Use a nonbreaking space after table. DVJ1-286 DVJ1-287 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 Ρ L # 91103 C/ 60 Р L SC 60.10.4.3 # 91109 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1327 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1333 These rows should definitely be centered. The wrapping Status entries are confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Adjust the column to be slightly wider. DVJ1-286 DVJ1-287 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 Ρ L SC 60.10.4.3 # 91107 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.3 Ρ L # 91110 JGG David V. James David V. James **JGG** DVJ-1331 Comment Type TR Comment Status R TR Comment Status A DVJ-1334 Comment Type These rows should definitely be centered. The D notation is used in the U specification. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Change all circled D==>U. DVJ1-287 DVJ1-287 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. ACCEPT. Comment is on unchanged text. Uppercase D->U in Table 60.10.4.3

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 163 of 225

C/ 60

SC 60.10.4.3

Р # 91112 Р C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.4 L C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5 L # 91116 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1336 Comment Type TR DVJ-1340 These rows should definitely be centered. These rows should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-288 DVJ1-289 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р L C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.4 # 91113 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5 # 91117 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1337 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1341 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers. Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space after table. Use a nonbreaking space after table. DVJ1-288 DVJ1-289 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.4 Ρ L # 91114 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5 Ρ L # 91118 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** TR Comment Status R DVJ-1338 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1342 Comment Type Comment Type The wrapping Status entries are confusing. The wrapping Status entries are confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy adjust the column to be slightly wider. adjust the column to be slightly wider. DVJ1-288 DVJ1-289 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 164 of 225

C/ 60

SC 60.10.4.5

Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.6 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG		L	# 91120	C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90995
Comment Type TR These rows should defir	Comment Status	R		DVJ-1344	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R rd to identify, since multiple co	onflicting conventio	DVJ-1219 ns are used.
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-290					SuggestedRemedy Tx_Enable ==>txEna DVJ1-259	ble, here and throughout		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchang	Response Status ged text.	U			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unch:	Response Status U anged text.		
C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.7 David V. James	P JGG		L	# 91122	C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90993
Comment Type TR These rows should defin	Comment Status nitely be centered.	R		DVJ-1346	Comment Type TR Excessive capitalizat	Comment Status R ion; capitalize only the first we	ord of a heading.	DVJ-1217
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-290					SuggestedRemedy Cord==>cord. DVJ1-259			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status n unchanged text.	U			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unch	Response Status U		
Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.8 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG		L	# 91125	C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90997
Comment Type TR These rows should defin	Comment Status nitely be centered.	R		DVJ-1349	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R rd to identify, since multiple co	onflictina conventio	DVJ-1221
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-291					SuggestedRemedy	gnalDetect, here and through	-	
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchang	Response Status	U			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unch	Response Status U anged text.		

SC 60.2.3

Р # 90999 Р C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 L C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 L # 90996 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1223 Comment Type TR DVJ-1220 The font size within figures should be 8-point Arial, as per IEEE Style Guide. Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Bulkheads==>bulkheads. Change font to 8-point, and also Arial, here and throughout. DVJ1-259 DVJ1-259 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р L C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 # 90994 C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 # 91005 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1218 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1229 The font within figures should be 8-point Arial, not Times (or whatever was used). The blank cell looks wrong. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change font to Arial. Straddle these two cells. DVJ1-259 DVJ1-260 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 Ρ L SC 60.2.4.3 # 91001 C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 Ρ L # 90998 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1225 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1222 Comment Type TR Columns with only numbers or one word should be centered. Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column. Channel==>channel. DVJ1-260 DVJ1-259 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р # 91003 Р C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 L C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 L # 91000 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1227 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1224 Excessive and inconsistent capitalization; table capitalization is correct and different. Excess capitalization SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Signal Detect Threshold==>signal detect threshold. Signal==>signal DVJ1-260 DVJ1-260 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р L C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 # 91004 C/ 60 SC 60.3 # 91006 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1228 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1230 Inconsistent table-row reference. Excessive length heading SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy sensivity==>sensivity OMA. 1000BASE-PX10-D and 1000BASE-PX10-U==>1000BASE-PX10-D/U DVJ1-260 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style Comment is on unchanged text. consistent with existing approved style. Suggested remedy is technically incorrect. C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 Ρ L # 91015 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 # 91002 David V. James **JGG** David V. James JGG DVJ-1239 TR Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1226 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column Signal_Detect ==>signalDetect, here and throughout DVJ1-262 DVJ1-260 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 167 of 225

SC 60.3.1 Р SC 60.3.1 Р C/ 60 L # 91008 C/ 60 L # 91010 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1232 Comment Type TR DVJ-1234 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Add the distinct "type" column. DVJ1-261 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.3.1 C/ 60 Ρ L # 91017 C/ 60 Р L SC 60.3.1 # 91011 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1241 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1235 Blank cells look like misprint or errors. Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Put em dash in all blank cells, here and throughout. Describe this in a footnote! DVJ1-262 DVJ1-261 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L # 91007 David V. James JGG C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91014 Comment Status R DVJ-1231 JGG Comment Type TR David V. James The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed. TR Comment Status R DVJ-1238 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-261 Center this column Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-261 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 1 # 91009 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1233 Common vertical cells should be straddled. SuggestedRemedy Straddle the dBm cells, as illustrated. DVJ1-261

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

Comment is on unchanged text.

REJECT.

Response Status U

Page 168 of 225

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L # 91018 C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L # 91016 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1242 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1240 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc vertical spacing and parenthesis technique. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustrated. Center this column DVJ1-262 DVJ1-262 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.3.1 C/ 60 Ρ L # 91019 SC 60.3.1 Р L C/ 60 # 91013 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1243 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1237 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc bracket-sequencing technique. Print the bottom line when table splits across pages. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustrated. This is a two-step process: DVJ1-262 1) Fix the templates, to draw a very-thin line on bottom of the page. Response Status U Proposed Response 2) Manually force a thin line on the last line of the table. DVJ1-261 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L # 91020 REJECT. David V. James JGG Comment is on unchanged text. Comment Status R DVJ-1244 Comment Type TR Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 1 # 91026 Tpm amd Tpff are really the same, but this is not clear. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-1250 Comment Type TR Straddle the rightmost pair of cells, as illustrated.. Don't intermix two table rows: this confuses the reader. DVJ1-262 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Add the distinct "type" column. REJECT. DVJ1-265 Comment is on unchanged text. Response Status U Proposed Response Р L C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 # 91012 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1236 Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines. SuggestedRemedy Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value. DVJ1-261

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Response Status U

Page 169 of 225

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 # 91027 C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91022 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1251 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1246 Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) Small numbers or words should be centered, as per style guidelines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Describe this in a footnote! Center these columns. DVJ1-265 DVJ1-264 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.3.2 C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 Ρ L C/ 60 P L # 91033 # 91028 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1257 Comment Status R DVJ-1252 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value. DVJ1-265 DVJ1-265 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91032 SC 60.3.2 Ρ L # 91036 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Status R DVJ-1260 Comment Type TR DVJ-1256 Comment Type TR Merging the two columns makes the reader interpolate the between-column line. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Unstraddle the two columns, replicate the cell text. DVJ1-265 DVJ1-265 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 1 # 91021 C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 1 # 91034 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1245 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1258 This heading row is redundant, with no meaning. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete this row, include text "(nm)" at end of table title. Center this column DVJ1-264 DVJ1-265 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 170 of 225

Р # 91031 SC 60.3.2 Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 C/ 60 L # 91035 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1255 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1259 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Center this column DVJ1-265 DVJ1-265 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91030 # 91024 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1254 Comment Status R DVJ-1248 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Blank line looks like a mistake. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Either: DVJ1-265 1) Put something in here that helps explain its meaning. 2) Delete this row. Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-264 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91025 David V. James JGG C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91023 Comment Status R DVJ-1249 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG Don't force the reader to interpolate row-dividing lines. TR Comment Status R DVJ-1247 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Bold font seems to imply special meaning, but the meaning is not apparent. Unstaddle these column cells and either: SuggestedRemedy 1) Replicate the cell contents. (preferred) 2) Use a ditto marker after the first instance.(OK also) Use regular text in these columns. DVJ1-264 DVJ1-264 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.3.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91029	Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91040
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R 't make sense, so a values I	neading is needed.	DVJ-1253	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R n't make sense, so a values h	eading is needed.	DVJ-1264
SuggestedRemedy Use a two-rwo headir DVJ1-265	ng, straddled as illustrated.			SuggestedRemedy Use a two-rwo headii DVJ1-266	ng, straddled as illustrated.		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U s on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status U is on unchanged text.		
C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91051	CI 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91039
Comment Type TR This heading row is re	Comment Status R edundant, with no meaning.		DVJ-1275	Comment Type TR Don't force the reade	Comment Status R er to visually interpolate colum	nn lines.	DVJ-1263
SuggestedRemedy Delete this row, included DVJ1-269	de text "(nm)" at end of table	e title.		SuggestedRemedy Separate this straddl DVJ1-266	ed pair of column cells, with i	replicated value.	
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U s on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status U is on unchanged text.		
C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91052	C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91037
Comment Type TR Small numbers or wo	Comment Status R	per style guidelines.	DVJ-1276	Comment Type TR Don't intermix two tal	Comment Status R ble rows; this confuses the re	ader.	DVJ-1261
SuggestedRemedy Center these columns DVJ1-269	s.	, ,		SuggestedRemedy Add the distinct "type DVJ1-266	e" column.		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U s on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status U is on unchanged text.		
C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91041	C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91050
Comment Type TR Min/max values are of	Comment Status R confusingly intermixed.		DVJ-1265	Comment Type TR Tpm amd Tpff are rea	Comment Status R ally the same, but this is not o	clear.	DVJ-1274
SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illust DVJ1-267	trated, so that min and max	are specified separate	ly.	SuggestedRemedy Straddle the rightmos DVJ1-267	st pair of cells, as illustrated		
Proposed Response	Response Status U s on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment i	Response Status U		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 172 of 225

Р SC 60.4.1 Р C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91042 C/ 60 L # 91047 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1266 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1271 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Blank cells look like misprint or errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Put em dash in all blank cells, here and throughout. DVJ1-267 DVJ1-267 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.4.1 SC 60.4.1 C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 P L # 91038 # 91046 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1262 Comment Status R DVJ-1270 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Describe this in a footnote! Center this column DVJ1-266 DVJ1-267 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ # 91054 C/ 60 Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L SC 60.4.1 L # 91045 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1278 Comment Status R DVJ-1269 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Blank line looks like a mistake. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Center this column 1) Put something in here that helps explain its meaning. DVJ1-267 2) Delete this row. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-269 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 1 # 91048 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1272 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc vertical spacing and parenthesis technique. SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustrated. DVJ1-267 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 173 of 225

Р SC 60.4.2 Р C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91053 C/ 60 1 # 91062 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1277 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1286 Bold font seems to imply special meaning, but the meaning is not apparent. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use regular text in these columns. Center this column DVJ1-269 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.4.2 C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 Ρ L C/ 60 P L # 91043 # 91061 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1267 DVJ-1285 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R Common vertical cells should be straddled. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Straddle the dBm cells, as illustrated. Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-267 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91049 C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 Ρ L # 91059 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1273 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR DVJ-1283 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc bracket-sequencing technique. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustrated. Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-267 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 1 # 91044 C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 1 # 91058 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1268 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1282 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-267 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 174 of 225

Р # 91057 SC 60.4.2 Р C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L C/ 60 L # 91065 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1281 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1289 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed. Merging the two columns makes the reader interpolate the between-column line. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Unstraddle the two columns, replicate the cell text. Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated. DVJ1-270 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.4.2 C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 Ρ L C/ 60 P L # 91055 # 91060 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1279 Comment Status R DVJ-1284 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader. Print the bottom line when table splits across pages. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the distinct "type" column. This is a two-step process: DVJ1-270 1) Fix the templates, to draw a very-thin line on bottom of the page. 2) Manually force a thin line on the last line of the table. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-270 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L # 91056 David V. James JGG C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 P L # 91064 Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1280 JGG David V. James Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) Comment Status R DVJ-1288 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Describe this in a footnote! SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-270 Center this column Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-270 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Р REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 1 # 91063 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1287 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy Center this column

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

DVJ1-270

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Page 175 of 225

Р # 91068 Р C/ 60 SC 60.6 L C/ 60 SC 60.6 L # 91066 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1292 Comment Type TR DVJ-1290 The blank cell under "Units" looks strange. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Downstream ==> downstream. Straddle this cell with the one. DVJ1-272 DVJ1-272 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.6 Ρ L # 91069 C/ 60 Р L SC 60.6 # 91072 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1293 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1296 Avoid forcing the reader to interpolate vertical between-column lines. Excessive capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Type==>type 1) Unstraddle these columns, replicating their contents name. DVJ1-272 2) Move the most-merged rows to the bottom, so that merged cells get wider towards the table bottom. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-272 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Response Status U Proposed Response C/ 60 Ρ L SC 60.6 # 91081 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. JGG David V. James SC 60.6 C/ 60 Ρ L # 91070 Comment Status R DVJ-1305 Comment Type TR JGG David V. James Blank cell looks like an error. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1294 SuggestedRemedy Blank cell looks like an error. Add the word "Parameter" to this blank cell. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-274 Place an em dash in this cell. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-272 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р # 91073 Р C/ 60 SC 60.6 L C/ 60 SC 60.6 L # 91076 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1297 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1300 By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek. The UI term looks like a multiplicative scaler or a function-call argument. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete instances of "(UI)"; no unit spec is really needed (since they cancel). Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterOnUpstreamSignal DVJ1-273 DVJ1-273 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.6 Ρ L # 91075 SC 60.6 Ρ C/ 60 L # 91080 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG DVJ-1299 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1304 By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek. Placing dB in brackets make this look like an array index or function call. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterTransfer 1) Delete the bracket term 2) On line below, add (in dB) DVJ1-273 DVJ1-273 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ L C/ 60 Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.6 SC 60.6 L # 91077 # 91071 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1301 DVJ-1295 Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R TR Use a multiply x symbol, so that 20log is not mistaken as a function or variable name. Table cells should be centered, unless containing a text segment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy $20\log ==> 20 \times \log$ Center these rows DVJ1-273 DVJ1-272 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L C/ 60 SC 60.6 # 91078 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1302 Excess capitalization: slope is a variable name, not a heading. SuggestedRemedy Slope ==> slope

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

DVJ1-273 Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Page 177 of 225

C/ 60 SC 60.6

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.6 L # 91074 C/ 60 SC 60.6 L # 91079 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1298 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1303 By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek. The meaning of "dec" is not specified; could be a miscapitalization of a defunct company. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy dec ==> decade Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterOnDownstreamSignal DVJ1-273 DVJ1-273 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.6 Р L Р # 91067 C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.1.2 L # 91083 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1291 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1307 Excess capitalization. The capitalization in "Trigger" makes it look like a name or heading. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Upstream ==> upstream. Trigger==>trigger DVJ1-272 DVJ1-277 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ L C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.6 SC 60.7.13.1.2 # 91082 # 91086 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1306 Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1310 TR All non-textual cell entries should be centered. Wrong font for illustrations. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the terms within these columns Change the illustration font to Arial, consistent with IEEE Style guideline and other figures. DVJ1-274 DVJ1-277 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 Ρ L SC 60.7.13.1.2 # 91084 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1308 A uniform signaling naming convention would help differentiate one from another. SuggestedRemedy Tx Enable ==> txEnable DVJ1-277 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 178 of 225

C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.1.2

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91085	Cl 60 SC 60.7.13. David V. James	2.2 <i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91088
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1309	Comment Type TR A uniform signaling na	Comment Status aming convention woul		DVJ-131. ate one from another.
SuggestedRemedy Channel ==> channel DVJ1-277				SuggestedRemedy Tx_Enable ==> txEna DVJ1-279	ble		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status in unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U	
C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.2. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91089	C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# <u>91094</u>
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1313	Comment Type TR Wrong font for illustrate	Comment Status tions.	R	DVJ-1318
SuggestedRemedy Channel ==> channel DVJ1-279				SuggestedRemedy Change the illustration DVJ1-281	n font to Arial, consiste	ent with IEEE Sty	yle guideline and other figures.
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status in unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U	
C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.2. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91090	C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>91091</u>
Comment Type TR Wrong font for illustration	Comment Status ns.	R	DVJ-1314	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-131
SuggestedRemedy Change the illustration f DVJ1-279	ont to Arial, consiste	ent with IEEE Style (guideline and other figures.	SuggestedRemedy Cable ==> cable DVJ1-281			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status in unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U	
Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91087	C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91092
Comment Type TR The capitalization in "Tr	Comment Status gger" makes it look		<i>DVJ-1311</i> ling.	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-131
				SuggestedRemedy Channel ==> channel			
SuggestedRemedy Trigger==>trigger DVJ1-279				DVJ1-281			

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 179 of 225

Р C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 # 91093 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1317 Hyphenation makes for hard reading. SugaestedRemedy Expand the box width, so that that "Unterminated" is not hyphenated. DVJ1-281 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 61 SC 61. Ρ L # 91128 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1352 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy Physical Coding Sublayer==>Physical coding sublayer, if nothing else is changed. DVJ1-292 Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 61 SC 61. P L # 91130

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Shorter, as shown above.

DVJ1-292

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

The Clause title is explicit about the content of the Clause, which is beneficial to the reader. As it still fits on two lines (as opposed to the titles of e.g. clauses 58, 59, 60 and may other clauses in the base standard), the Task Force doesn't believe there is a problem here.

 C/ 61
 SC 61.
 P
 L
 # 91129

 David V. James
 JGG

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status R
 DVJ-1353

Excess capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

Transmission Convergence==>transmission convergence, if nothing else is changed. DVJ1-292

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the FEM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1355

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>central office

DVJ1-292

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

CI 61 SC 61.1 P L # 91132

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1356

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>customer primises equipment

DVJ1-292

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

DVJ-1354

Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.1.1 # 91133 C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1 1 # 91136 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1357 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1360 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>physical coding sublayer As corrected above. ==>client DVJ1-293 DVJ1-294 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.1.2 L C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.1 L # 91134 # 91137 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1358 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1361 Comment Type TR Comment Type Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>rate matching As corrected above, ==>medium independent interface DVJ1-293 DVJ1-295 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L C/ 61 P L C/ 61 SC 61.1.3 # 91135 SC 61.1.4.1.2 # 91138 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1359 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1362 The IEEE Style manual frowns on intermixed ALLCAPS and Some caps callouts. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Eliminate the ALLCAPS, here and elsewhere. Then, everything is consistent. As corrected above, ==>aggregation function DVJ1-293 DVJ1-295 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.)

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 181 of 225

C/ 61

SC 61.1.4.1.2

Р C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.3 # 91139 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1363

SugaestedRemedy

Excess capitalization.

As corrected above, ==>rate matching

DVJ1-296

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.10 L # 91153 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-1377 Comment Type TR

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See also comment #91130.

The Clause title is explicit about the content of the Clause, which is beneficial to the reader. As it still fits on two lines (as opposed to the titles of e.g. clauses 58, 59, 60 and may other clauses in the base standard), the Task Force doesn't believe there is a problem here.

C/ 61 Ρ L SC 61.10 # 91152 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ-1376

The "Clause" and "61" are unnaturally split across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a nonbreaking space.

DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT.

(Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.10.3 1 # 91155 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R DVJ-1379

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 61 P SC 61.10.4.1 L # 91158 David V. James

JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1382

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.1 1 # 91156

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Bad line break.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>rate matching

DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

DVJ-1380

C/ 61

Р C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.2 # 91160 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1384 Comment Type TR

David V. James

Comment Status R The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

Р

JGG

1

91165

DVJ-1389

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Р # 91162 C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 L JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1386

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-328

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Р C/ 61 1 SC 61.10.4.3 # 91163

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-1387 Comment Type TR The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily)

imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-328

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

SuggestedRemedy Center them.

DVJ1-328

Proposed Response Response Status U

SC 61.10.4.4

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 61 P SC 61.2.2.10 L # 91141 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1365

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>rate matching

DVJ1-306

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.6.2 P L # 91140

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1364

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>aggregation-receive

DVJ1-302

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.3.2.3 # 91143 C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.5.1 1 # 91145 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1367 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1369 Excess capitalization. Wrong count: spec says 3 conditions, only 2 are listed. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>indicator bits Change ==>two DVJ1-311 DVJ1-316 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in C/ 61 P L SC 61.3.3.7.1 # 91146 Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Р C/ 61 SC 61.3.2.3 L # 91142 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1370 David V. James JGG Unknown term; loop was not declared above. Comment Status R DVJ-1366 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization. Either: SuggestedRemedy 1) Define loop. As corrected above, ==>operation channel 2) Use a defined variable, corresponding to what is actually used. DVJ1-311 DVJ1-318 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by The definition of loop is in 61.3.3.7.1, between k and TC link state. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 1 # 91147 Ρ L C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.1 # 91144 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1371 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1368 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd. DVJ1-318 As corrected above, ==>encapsulation and coding DVJ1-312 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The variable expectedSync is properly defined in 61.3.3.7.2. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 # 91148 C/ 61 SC 61.7 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1372 Comment Type TR Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd's. As corrected above, ==>rate matching DVJ1-319 DVJ1-326 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT. The variable expectedSync is properly defined in 61.3.3.7.2. (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р 1 C/ 61 SC 61.5 # 91149 C/ 61A SC 61A.2 JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-1373 TR Comment Type Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>performance guidelines DVJ1-325 DVJ1-449 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.6 1 # 91150 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-1374

Excess capitalization.

As corrected above, ==>electrical characteristics

DVJ1-325

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р 1 # 91151 JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-1375

Response Status U

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

Р L # 91479

JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-1703

Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point.

==>8 point Arial, no need to use bold or wider line widths.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 61A P L SC 61A.2 # 91483 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ-1707

Unclear usage. I could not find the PME Discovery Register here or in 802.3-2002.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>bit error ratio test

DVJ1-449

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

However, the BRC believes the following technical flaw needs to be corrected:

Replace "PME Discovery Register" with "Remote discovery register" throughout this subclause.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Р C/ 61A SC 61A.2 # 91480 C/ 62 SC 62.1.1 1 # 91170 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1704 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1394 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be larger. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point Arial, no need to use bold or wider line widths. As corrected above, ==>physical medium dependent DVJ1-449 DVJ1-329 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р CI 62 SC 62. L CI 62 SC 62.1.1 L # 91168 # 91169 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1392 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1393 Comment Type Comment Type Excess capitalization Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Physical Medium Dependent ==>physical medium dependent, as shown. As corrected above, ==>physical medium attachment DVJ1-329 DVJ1-329 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L CI 62 Ρ L C/ 62 SC 62. # 91167 SC 62.1.4.2.2 # 91171 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1391 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1395 Excess capitalization Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Physical Medium Attachment ==>Physical medium attachment, as shown. As corrected above, ==>synchronized flow DVJ1-329 DVJ1-330 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.)

Р P C/ 62 SC 62.2.4.2 # 91172 C/ 62 SC 62.4 1 # 91177 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1396 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1401 Funny scoping on the quotes. The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>include the clause number Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-331 DVJ1-340 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р CI 62 SC 62.3.1 L CI 62 SC 62.4 # 91173 # 91176 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1397 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1400 Comment Type TR Comment Type Funny scoping on the guotes. The "Clause" and "62" are unnaturally split across lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. As corrected above==>overview DVJ1-332 DVJ1-340 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L CI 62 P L C/ 62 SC 62.3.4 # 91175 SC 62.4.3 # 91179 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1399 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1403 Bad line break. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above, ==>inhibit line breaks, via distinct character formats. DVJ1-333 DVJ1-340 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.1 P L # 91181

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1405

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-340

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P L # 91183

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1407

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-340

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

CI 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P L # 91184

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1408

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
- 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-341

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.1 P L # 91488

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1712

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>clause

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

CI 62A SC 62A.3.2 P L # 91489

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1713

Font is too small.

SuggestedRemedy

Restructure the table, so 9-point font can be used.

I would split each row into two, so that high and low frequencies are separate rows, and thus narrower.

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

CI 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91490

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1714

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>reference

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 62A SC 62A.3.5 # 91491 C/ 62A David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1715 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>profiles DVJ1-458 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in C/ 62A Santa Clara, CA.) Р C/ 62A SC 62A.3.5 L # 91492 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1716 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>profiles DVJ1-459 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by C/ 62A the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 # 91497 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1721 Improper column alignment. (Circle B) SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Center the column DVJ1-459

Response Status U

of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Р SC 62A.3.7 1 # 91498 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1722 Don't make the reader interpolate row lines. (Circle C) SuggestedRemedy Move the # column to the right, replace # with a "Row" heading DVJ1-459 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. P SC 62A.3.7 L # 91499 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1723 Don't make the reader interpolate row lines. SuggestedRemedy Move the # column to the right. DVJ1-459 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. SC 62A.3.7 1 # 91494 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1718 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>band notch DVJ1-459

Proposed Response

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

Response Status U

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р Р C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 1 # 91495 C/ 62A SC 62A.4 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1719 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. pseudo-C has a pseudo definition and therefore cannot be used for any normative material. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy UPBO reference PSD profiles ... Both: DVJ1-459 1) Upgrade the code to a real C-code snippet (or perhaps it is already?) 2) Change the text from a C procedure to a C-code snippet. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-461 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. REJECT. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by Santa Clara, CA.) the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in P C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 L # 91493 Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG P C/ 62A SC 62A.5 1 Comment Status R DVJ-1717 Comment Type TR JGG David V. James Excess capitalization. Comment Type Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. As corrected above ==>Band notch profiles SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-459 Make it smaller, as suggested above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-462 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. REJECT. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by Santa Clara, CA.) the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Ρ L C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 # 91496 Santa Clara, CA.) JGG David V. James C/ 62A SC 62A.5 P L Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1720 David V. James **JGG** Use real heading text. Comment Status R Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The "Clause" and number are unnaturally split across lines. Replace # with a "Row" heading SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-459 Use a nonbreaking space. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-462 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by

REJECT. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

Santa Clara, CA.)

91500

91502

91501

DVJ-1726

DV.J-1725

DV.J-1724

Р # 91504 C/ 62A SC 62A.5.3 L

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1728

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-462

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Ρ C/ 62A SC 62A.5.4 L # 91507 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1731

The line thickness is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly. DVJ1-462

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Р C/ 62A 1 SC 62A.5.4 # 91506 David V. James JGG

DVJ-1730 Comment Type Comment Status R TR

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-462

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Р C/ 62A SC 62A.5.4 L # 91508 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1732

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-462

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

P C/ 62B SC 62B.3 1 # 91510 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1734

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>bit error ratio (BER)

DVJ1-463

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Р C/ 62B SC 62B.5 # 91512 C/ 62B SC 62B.5.3 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1736 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it smaller, as suggested above. Center them. DVJ1-465 DVJ1-465 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in CI 62B P SC 62B.5.4 L Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Р CI 62B SC 62B.5 L # 91511 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Status R DVJ-1735 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The "Clause" and number are unnaturally split across lines. As corrected above ==>performance SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-465 Use a nonbreaking space. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-465 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by REJECT. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. Santa Clara, CA.) (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in CI 62B SC 62B.5.4 P L Santa Clara, CA.)

Ρ L C/ 62B SC 62B.5.3 # 91515 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ-1739

This subclause is empty.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Provide the appropriate table.
- 2) Provide a short sentence, noting that no table is provided (or exists elswehere, or whatever).

DVJ1-465

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Keep the first table on page 666 together with heading 62B.5.3.

(Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

Comment Type

Proposed Response Response Status U

TR

REJECT.

This is comment is blank (probably an artefact of the document conversion process). (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

JGG

Comment Status R

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 192 of 225

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.4

91514

91516

91520

DVJ-1738

DVJ-1740

DVJ-1744

SC 62B.5.4 Р C/ 62B # 91518 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1742 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-465 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. Р C/ 62C SC 62C.2 L # 91522 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1746 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point Arial font. DVJ1-468 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) C/ 62C SC 62C.2 Ρ L # 91521 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1745 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>Example PSD masks for MCM 10PASS-TS

DVJ1-468

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 1 # 91526 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1750

Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.

SuggestedRemedy

==>8 point Arial font.

DVJ1-469

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р CI 62C SC 62C.3.2 L # 91527 David V. James JGG

TR Comment Status R DVJ-1751 Comment Type

Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 2 DVJ1-469

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 P L # 91528 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ-1752

Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.

SuggestedRemedy

==>8 point Arial font.

DVJ1-469

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р Ρ C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 1 # 91529 C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 1 # 91530 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1753 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1754 Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 3 ==>8 point Arial font. DVJ1-469 DVJ1-469 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) P Р CI 62C SC 62C.3.2 L CI 62C SC 62C.3.2 1 # 91524 # 91525 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1748 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1749 Comment Type TR Comment Type Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point Arial font. As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 1 DVJ1-469 DVJ1-469 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) SC 62C.3.2 Ρ L C/ 63 Ρ L C/ 62C # 91523 SC 63. # 91187 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1747 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1411 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>Example PSD masks for MCM 10PASS-TS Physical Medium Dependent ==>physical medium dependent, as shown. DVJ1-469 DVJ1-342 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.)

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 194 of 225

C/ 63 SC 63.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ C/ 63 SC 63. # 91186 C/ 63 SC 63.3.2.5.1 1 # 91190 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1410 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1414 Excess capitalization Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Physical Medium Attachment ==>Physical medium attachment, as shown. As corrected above==>General changes DVJ1-342 DVJ1-348 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р CI 63 SC 63.3.2.2 L CI 63 SC 63.4 L # 91189 # 91192 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1413 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1416 Comment Type TR Comment Type The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the table continuation variable. Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-347 DVJ1-349 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in CI 63 SC 63.3.2.2 Р # 91188 Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG C/ 63 P L SC 63.4 # 91191 Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1412 David V. James JGG The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1415 imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix The "Clause" and "65" are unnaturally split across lines. them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. Do the following: DVJ1-349

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
- 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-347

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Response Status U

the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

Proposed Response

Santa Clara, CA.)

REJECT.

SC 63.4

Р C/ 63 SC 63.4.3 L # 91194 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1418

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-349

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Ρ C/ 63 SC 63.4.4.1 L # 91196 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-349

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Р C/ 63 SC 63.4.4.2 1 # 91198 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-349

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3

Ρ JGG

L

L

91532

91534

David V. James TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-1756

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Center them. DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

David V. James

DVJ-1420

DVJ-1422

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 63A P SC 63A.5.3

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1758

JGG

The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

P C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3 1 # 91533

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1757 TR

The line thickness is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly. DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р # 91535 Ρ C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 C/ 63B SC 63B.4 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1759 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The line thickness is wrong Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly. As corrected above ==>guidelines DVJ1-474 DVJ1-472 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Р C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 L # 91536 Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Р C/ 63B SC 63B.5.3 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1760 David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. TR Comment Status R Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. As corrected above ==>performance SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-472 Enlarge this column width. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-474 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. REJECT. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting Santa Clara, CA.) of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 Ρ L C/ 63B SC 63B.5.3 P L # 91538 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1762 Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them.

DVJ1-474

Proposed Response

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Response Status U

DVJ1-472 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 197 of 225

C/ 63B SC 63B.5.3

91539

91542

91541

DVJ-1763

DVJ-1766

DVJ-1765

Р # 91545 SC 64.1 Ρ C/ 63B SC 63B.5.4 L CI 64 L # 91203 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1769 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1427 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above==>control DVJ1-474 DVJ1-351 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. group. CI 64 SC 64 Ρ CI 64 SC 64.1 P L L # 91200 # 91202 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1424 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1426 **Excess capitalization** Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Control ==>control, as shown. As corrected above==>multi-point DVJ1-350" DVJ1-351 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.1 L # 91201 SC 64.1.1 1 # 91204 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ-1425 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1428 TR Wrong fonts used within the figure (above). Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use only 8-point Arial, as per IEEE Style Guide, And, no need to use bold. As corrected above==>point-to-point emulation DVJ1-350" DVJ1-351 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group.

Р # 91205 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.1.1 L CI 64 SC 64.1.2 L # 91208 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1429 Comment Type TR DVJ-1432 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>clients As corrected above==>point-to-point DVJ1-351 DVJ1-352 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.1.1 SC 64.1.3 Ρ L CI 64 P L C/ 64 # 91206 # 91209 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1430 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1433 Excess capitalization. Excessive capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>control "Change, as shown above==>multi-point MAC control DVJ1-351 DVJ1-352" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ L C/ 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.1.2 # 91207 SC 64.2 1 # 91210 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1431 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1434 TR Excessive capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change, as shown above==>multi-point MAC control As corrected above==>instance DVJ1-352" DVJ1-353 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.1 L # 91211 CI 64 SC 64.2.1.1 L # 91215 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1435 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1439 Intermixing of someCaps and ALLCAPS callouts is disallowed by IEEE Style guidelines. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Don't use ALLCAPS subscripts. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-354 DVJ1-355 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The variable name is consistent with name used in Clause 4 and Annex 4A group. SC 64.2.1 CI 64 Ρ CI 64 SC 64.2.1.1 P L L # 91212 # 91213 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1436 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1437 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>client As corrected above==>timing process DVJ1-355 DVJ1-355 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.2.1.1 L # 91214 SC 64.2.2 1 # 91217 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1438 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1441 TR Wrong font size in figure. Wrong font size in figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. Use only 8-point Arial font. DVJ1-355 DVJ1-356 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

SC 64.2.2

Р SC 64.2.2 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 L # 91219 CI 64 L # 91222 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1443 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1446 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change, as above==>OLT control multiplexer serviceinterfaces As corrected above==>multi-point transmission controll DVJ1-356 DVJ1-356" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.2.2 Ρ L CI 64 SC 64.2.2 P L C/ 64 # 91216 # 91224 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1440 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1448 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change, as above==>Multi-point transmission control service interfaces "Change, as above==>ONU control multiplexer service interfaces DVJ1-356" DVJ1-357" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 L # 91218 CI 64 SC 64.2.2 1 # 91220 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1442 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1444 TR Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>instance "Change, as above==>Control parser service interfaces DVJ1-356 DVJ1-356" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 L # 91221 CI 64 SC 64.2.2.1 L # 91226 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1445 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1450 Wrong font size in figure. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-356 DVJ1-357 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. SC 64.2.2 Ρ CI 64 SC 64.2.2.1 P L C/ 64 L # 91223 # 91227 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1447 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1451 Wrong font size in figure. Confusing! How can the contents of a variable field be defined to be a constant? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. Clarify. DVJ1-356 DVJ1-357 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is This element represents a constant value identifying MAC Control frames. The constant technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." value is 88-08. Ρ P C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 1 CI 64 SC 64.2.2.2 1 # 91225 # 91228 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1449 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1452 Wrong font size in figure. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. As corrected above ==>layer management DVJ1-357 DVJ1-358 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Page 202 of 225

CI 64

SC 64.2.2.2

Р # 91231 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.4 L CI 64 SC 64.2.2.4 L # 91232 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1455 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1456 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-360 DVJ1-360 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.2.2.4 Ρ L CI 64 P C/ 64 # 91230 SC 64.2.2.4 L # 91233 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1454 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1457 "Too many name aliases: "Too many name aliases: DA. destination address. Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." DA. destination address. Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-360 DVJ1-361 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.4 L # 91229 SC 64.2.2.7 L # 91234 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1453 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1458 Comment Type Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above==>control As corrected above==>diagrams DVJ1-360 DVJ1-361 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р # 91235 SC 64.3.1 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.7 L CI 64 L # 91252 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1459 Comment Type TR DVJ-1476 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>client As corrected above==>multi-pointl DVJ1-361 DVJ1-363 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.3 SC 64.3.1 Ρ L CI 64 P L C/ 64 # 91249 # 91251 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1473 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1475 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>Multi-point control protocol As corrected above==>control DVJ1-362 DVJ1-363 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.3.1 L # 91250 SC 64.3.3 1 # 91255 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1474 Comment Type TR DVJ-1479 TR Excess capitalization. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>multi-point control protocol ==>8 point DVJ1-363 DVJ1-365 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is group. technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.3 L # 91259 CI 64 SC 64.3.3 L # 91257 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1483 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1481 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point ==>8 point DVJ1-366 DVJ1-365 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." Ρ CI 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.3.3 L # 91253 SC 64.3.3 L # 91258 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1477 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1482 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>register "As corrected above, ==>Discovery processing service interfaces (ONU) DVJ1-365 DVJ1-366" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ # 91254 P C/ 64 SC 64.3.3 L CI 64 SC 64.3.3 1 # 91256 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1478 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1480 TR TR Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "As corrected above. ==> "As corrected above. ==> Discovery processing service interfaces (OLT, broadcasting instance) Discovery processing service Interfaces (OLT, unicasting instance) DVJ1-365" DVJ1-365' Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot aroup. group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.3.2 L # 91260 CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 L # 91264 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1484 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1488 The definition of opcode_rx was repeated twice. "Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: ==>destinationAddress 1) Delete the redundant text. DVJ1-367 2) Correct the redundant text. DVJ1-366 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. group. The second instance shoule be "opcode tx" CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P L # 91261 CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 Ρ L # 91262 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1485 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1486 Comment Type "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." "Too many name aliases: SuggestedRemedy DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." ==>lengthType. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-367 ==>destinationAddress Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-367 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot REJECT. group. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot C/ 64 Ρ SC 64.3.4 L # 91281 group. JGG David V. James CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 Р L # 91263 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1505 JGG David V. James Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. DVJ-1487 Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." ==>8 point SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-372 ==>lengthType. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-367 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is REJECT. technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.4 # 91280 CI 64 SC 64.3.4.3 L # 91283 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1504 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1507 Excess capitalization. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "As corrected above, ==>Report processing service interfaces ==>lengthType. DVJ1-372" DVJ1-373 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.3.4.2 Ρ L CI 64 P L C/ 64 # 91282 SC 64.3.4.5 # 91285 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1506 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1509 "Unclear cross reference: Annex 31B only seems to define a code used with PAUSE, The definition of opcode_rx was repeated twice. which is the value of 01-80-C2-00-00-01." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: "Either: 1) Delete the redundant text. 1) Clarify that, although originally designed for PAUSE, this code may be used for any 2) Correct the redundant text. control function, including this one. DVJ1-373 2) Provide other clarification text. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-374" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The second instance shoule be "opcode tx" The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot CI 64 Ρ L SC 64.3.4.3 # 91284 group. David V. James JGG Ρ Cl 64 SC 64.3.5 1 # 91289 Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1508 David V. James JGG "Too many name aliases: Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1513 DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address." Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-373 "As corrected above. ==>Gate processing service interface DVJ1-376" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

C/ 64 Р Ρ SC 64.3.5 L # 91290 CI 64 SC 64.3.5.3 L # 91293 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1514 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1517 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>8 point DVJ1-376 DVJ1-378 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Ρ CI 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.3.5.3 L # 91291 SC 64.3.5.3 L # 91294 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1515 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1518 "Too many name aliases: "Too many name aliases: DA. destination address. Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." DA. destination address. Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-378 DVJ1-379 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.5.3 L # 91292 SC 64.3.6 L # 91306 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1516 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1530 TR "Unclear text; the field name should be on the left, not an unnecessary a-z) listing. Also, Vague definition. the field values cannot be easily cross-referenced later." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy State whether this range is inclusive or exclusive. "Use a definition-like style, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-378 DVJ1-382" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Both inclusive and exclusive implementations are possible. The text in the draft is technically The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 1 # 91309 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1533 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-382 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. SC 64.3.6 Ρ L C/ 64 # 91310 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1534 Vague cross-reference: MAC_Control_Type could not be found in 802.3-2002. SuggestedRemedy "More accurate reference, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-382" Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91299 David V. James JGG DVJ-1523 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Callout text of ALLCAPS is not be to intermixed with someCaps text. SuggestedRemedy Delete the octet transmission order text. DVJ1-381

Response Status U

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been

The text format is consistent with Figure 3-1.

approved by the ballot group.

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Ρ CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91301 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1525

"Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name."

SuggestedRemedy

"The value for the field should be placed on the right, as done in changed illustration." DVJ1-381"

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT.

The figure is consistent with existing 802.3 clauses and was approved by the ballot group. This is also unchanged text from D3.1.

Cl 64 P SC 64.3.6 # 91300 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-1524

DVJ-1522

"Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures."

SuggestedRemedy

"Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.

DVJ1-381"

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Ρ CI 64 SC 64.3.6 1 # 91298 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

"The octet transmission order need not be shown in every figure, describe in the notation clause once, and then don't repeat ad nausium. Its also inconsistent, when compared to 54.2.4."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the octet transmission order text and arrow.

DVJ1-381

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91304 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1528 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Nonstandard font size. occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).." SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy "Use #8 point Arial, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-381" througout. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-382" REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is REJECT. technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91308 group. David V. James JGG Ρ CI 64 L SC 64.3.6 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1532 David V. James JGG "Too many name aliases: Comment Type TR Comment Status R SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a Nonstandard figure (compared to 54.2.4). constant." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "==>sourceAddress, here and througout. Use the change illustration above. DVJ1-382" DVJ1-381 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 1 # 91297 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1521 Comment Type Comment Status R TR Showing the LSB on the left is confusing. "In other portions of the specification, the octet count is shown on the left." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Delete the LSB... MSB and text below, as done in the changed illustration. "Place the octet count on the left, as done in the changed illustration. DVJ1-381" DVJ1-381"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This representation is consistent with Figure 3-1.

91311 DVJ-1535

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all

"Use lower-case, but an italics font for this and all field names, as done above, here and

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

91295

DVJ-1519

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

91296

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Figure is technically correct and and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

This is unchanged text from D3.1.

DVJ-1520

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 1 # 91307 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91302 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1531 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1526 TR "Too many name aliases: "Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a DA, destination address, Destination Address, DestinationField, etc. Also, not easy to range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation." parse and DA looks like a constant." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-381" "==>destinationAddress, here and througout. DVJ1-382" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.3.6 CI 64 P L # 91312 Р CI 64 L SC 64.3.6 # 91313 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1536 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1537 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).' occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for SuggestedRemedy Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired." "Use lower-case, but an italics font for this and all field names, as done above, here and SuggestedRemedy througout. "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-382" DVJ1-382" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. C/ 64 Ρ L SC 64.3.6 # 91303 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 Р # 91314 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1527 TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1538 "Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a "The FCS all caps is confusing, since this has also been listed as an acronym. All caps range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation." normally implies a constant value also." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. "Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-381" DVJ1-383" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

group.

CI 64

Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91305 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

David V. James DVJ-1529

91318

Vague cross-reference to the MAC control multicast address.

SuggestedRemedy

"Provide a specific standard number (and date), specific name, and specific subclause. DVJ1-381"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.1 L # 91320 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1544

"A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took me quite some time to figure out what was intended."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-384"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.1 L # 91322 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DV.J-1546

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD. Data/Pad. Data/Reserved/Pad. etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-384"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 64.3.6.1

DVJ-1542

"A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended."

L

Ρ

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-384"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Ρ CI 64 SC 64.3.6.1 L # 91316

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1540

"There is no need to capitalize the Flags field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-384"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 64 Ρ L SC 64.3.6.1 # 91317

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DV.J-1541

"A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. DVJ1-384"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.1 1 # 91321 CI 64 SC 64.3.6.2 1 # 91330 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1545 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1554 "There is no need to capitalize the Sync Time field names, since the capitalization doesn't "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)." occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD. Data/Pad. Data/Reserved/Pad. etc. is desired." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-384" "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-387" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.1 Ρ L # 91319 Ρ CI 64 L SC 64.3.6.2 # 91326 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1543 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1550 "A very confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some time to figure out what was intended." "There is no need to capitalize the Number of Queue Sets field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or SuggestedRemedy sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. special kind of 'Number" of "Queue Sets"." DVJ1-384" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. REJECT. DVJ1-386" Proposed Response Response Status U The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot C/ 64 Р L SC 64.3.6.1 # 91315 JGG David V. James Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 Ρ L # 91328 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1539 JGG David V. James Excess capitalization. Comment Status R DVJ-1552 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy "A very confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we guite some As corrected above==>generic time to figure out what was intended." DVJ1-383 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. REJECT. DVJ1-387" The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot Proposed Response Response Status U group. REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 213 of 225

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been

approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.2

Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.2 # 91327 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1551 "There is no need to capitalize the Report bitmap field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Report" and a common use of "bitmap"." SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-387" Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.2 1 # 91329 David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1553 Comment Type TR "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took me guite some time to figure out what was intended." SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and througout, DVJ1-387" Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. CI 64 SC 64.3.6.2 Ρ L # 91325 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1549 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>generic DVJ1-386 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

group.

C/ 64	SC 64.3.6.3	P	L	# 91334
David V	lames	JGG		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-388"

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64	SC 64.3.6.3	Р	L	# 91333
David V.	James	JGG		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1557

DVJ-1564

DVJ-1558

"There is no need to capitalize the Pending grants field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Pending' and a common use of "grants"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-388"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64	SC 64.3.6.4	Р	L	# 91340
David V. Ja	mes	JGG		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed pending grants field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed" and a common use of "pending grants", or perhaps even "Echoed" as applied to "Pending grants"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-390"

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.4 # 91338 CI 64 SC 64.3.6.4 1 # 91339 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1562 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1563 "There is no need to capitalize the Assigned Port field names, since the capitalization "There is no need to capitalize the Sync Timefield names, since the capitalization doesn't doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of "Sync" field 'Assigned" field name, that applies to a special kind of "Port"." name, that applies to a special kind of "Time"." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-389" DVJ1-390" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. aroup. Р C/ 64 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.4 1 # 91341 SC 64.3.6.5 1 # 91349 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1565 Comment Status R DVJ-1573 Comment Type Comment Type TR "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD. Data/Pad. Data/Reserved/Pad. etc. is desired." Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-390" DVJ1-392" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot aroup. aroup. Р CI 64 SC 64.3.6.4 L # 91337 Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 Ρ L # 91348 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1561 Comment Status R DVJ-1572 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR "Too many name aliases: "There is no need to capitalize the Echoed Sync Time field names, since the capitalization DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address." doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of SuggestedRemedy 'Echoed" and a common use of "sync time", or perhaps even "Echoed" as applied to "Sync" ==>destinationAddress time.." DVJ1-389 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. REJECT. DVJ1-391" The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot Proposed Response Response Status U group. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 215 of 225

C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.5

SC 64.3.6.5 Р Ρ C/ 64 # 91347 CI 64 SC 64.4.1 1 # 91352 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1571 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1576 "There is no need to capitalize the Echoed assigned port field names, since the Excess capitalization. capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or SugaestedRemedy sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a As corrected above==>control special kind of 'Echoed" and a common use of "assigned port", or perhaps even "Echoed" DVJ1-393 as applied to "Assigned ports"." Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot DVJ1-391" group. Proposed Response Response Status U CI 64 SC 64.4.2.3 P L REJECT. # 91355 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot David V. James JGG group. Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1579 P SC 64.4 L Cl 64 # 91351 The Subclause columnis blank. JGG David V. James SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-1575 Comment Type TR Either: The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. 1) Insert the proper subclause number. 2) Provide a footnote or other explaination of why no subclause reference is provided. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-393 "Make it smaller, as suggested above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-393" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Refer to subclause 64.1 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot Cl 64 SC 64.4.2.3 P L group. # 91354 David V. James JGG Р C/ 64 SC 64.4 # 91350 Comment Status R DVJ-1578 Comment Type David V. James JGG "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1574 SuggestedRemedy The "Clause" and "66" are unnaturally split across lines. Center them. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-393 Use a nonbreaking space. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-393 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Based on resolution for comment 661 The text is not split in the officially released draft. Issues of pagination and formating, if

any, are to be resolved by the publication editor."

group.

Р # 91358 C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.4 L David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1582 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. SugaestedRemedy "Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-393" Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. SC 64.4.2.4 Ρ L C/ 64 # 91356 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1580 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy Correct as shown. DVJ1-393 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.4 L # 91360 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1584 Comment Type TR "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Based on resolution for comment 661

Ρ CI 64 SC 64.4.2.4 L # 91357 JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1581 The "Clause" and "62" are unnaturally split across lines. SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. DVJ1-393 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text is not split in the officially released draft. Issues of pagination and formating, if any, are to be resolved by the publication editor." C/ 64 Ρ SC 64.4.2.5 L # 91362 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1586 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Based on resolution for comment 661 Ρ CI 64 # 91363 SC 64.4.2.6 L David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1587 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>control DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

Р # 91365 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.6 CI 64 SC 64.4.2.8 L # 91370 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1589 Comment Type TR DVJ-1594 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-394 DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661 Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661 CI 64 SC 64.4.2.7 Ρ CI 65 SC 65. P L L # 91368 # 91372 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1592 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1596 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Shorter, as shown above. DVJ1-394 DVJ1-395 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 65 SC 65. Ρ 1 # 91373 Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661 David V. James JGG Р CI 64 SC 64.4.2.7 L # 91366 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1597 David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1590 SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization. As corrected above==>point to multi-point DVJ1-395 SuggestedRemedy Response Status U Proposed Response As corrected above==>machines DVJ1-394 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 65 SC 65 . David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91374	Cl 65 SC 65.1.2 P David V. James JGG	L	# 91379
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1598	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.		DVJ-1603
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==: DVJ1-395	>control			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>optical network unit DVJ1-396		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.		
C/ 65 SC 65.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91375	CI 65 SC 65.1.2 P David V. James JGG	L	# <u>9</u> 1378
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1599	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.		DVJ-1602
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==: DVJ1-395	>reconciliation sublayer			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>optical line terminal DVJ1-396		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.		
C/ 65 SC 65.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91376	Cl 65 SC 65.1.3.2.3 P David V. James JGG	L	# 91380
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1600	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.		DVJ-1604
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==: DVJ1-395	>point to point emulation			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>cyclic redundancy check DVJ1-397		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.		
CI 65 SC 65.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91377	Cl 65 SC 65.2.1 P David V. James JGG	L	# 91382
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1601	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization.		DVJ-1606
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above == DVJ1-395	-> reconciliatioin sublayer			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>Auto-negotiation DVJ1-399		
Proposed Response REJECT.Comment is of The Suggested Remed	· ·			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 219 of 225

C/ 65 SC 65.2.1

C/ 65 David V. J	SC 65.2.1	P JGG	L	# 91381	Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 David V. James	4 <i>P</i> JGG	L	# 9 <u>1390</u>
Comment		Comment Status	R	DVJ-1605	Comment Type TR	Comment Status I	-	DVJ-1614
Suggested As con DVJ1-	rrected above ==:	>forward error correcti	ion		SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-407			
Proposed REJE	•	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	J	
Cl 65 David V. J	SC 65.2.3 ames	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91383	C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 David V. James	4 P JGG	L	# 91389
Comment Exces	Type TR s capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1607	Comment Type TR No need to define value	Comment Status I es for zero and one.	₹	DVJ-1613
Suggested As con DVJ1-	rrected above ==:	>forward error correcti	ion		SuggestedRemedy Change as noted. DVJ1-406			
•	Response CT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.	J	
CI 65 David V. J	SC 65.2.3.4.3 ames	B P JGG	L	# 91384	Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 David V. James	4 P JGG	L	# 91388
Comment Give r		Comment Status		DVJ-1608 ns are not assumed.	Comment Type TR Enumerated values are	Comment Status I	-	DVJ-1612
Suggested	dRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Use C DVJ1-		I function, since that a	Iready dominates.		Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-406			
•	Response CT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status I on unchanged text.	J	
CI 65 David V. J	SC 65.2.3.4. 4	P JGG	L	# <u>91387</u>	Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.4.5 David V. James	5 P JGG	L	# 91393
Comment No ne		Comment Status es for zero and one.	R	DVJ-1611	Comment Type TR Inconsistent: a function	Comment Status In cannot be set.	₹	DVJ-1617
Suggested Chang DVJ1-	ge as noted.				SuggestedRemedy I suspect this should be DVJ1-407	e a variable, and the "th	nis function" needs t	o be more specific.
	Response	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U	J	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 220 of 225

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.5

Cl 65 David V. J	SC 65.2.3.4. 5 James	P JGG	L	# 91394	Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 David V. James	3 P JGG	L	# 91399
Comment		Comment Status this".	R	DVJ-1618	Comment Type TR	Comment Status atus, and Support columns		DVJ-1623 e centered.
Suggestee Use the DVJ1	ne specific name,	rather than introduce	the possibility for error		SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-412			
	Response CT. Comment is o	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Commen	Response Status t is on unchanged text.	U	
CI 65 David V. J	SC 65.3.1.1 lames	P JGG	L	# 91395	C/ 65 SC 65.4.3 David V. James	3.2 <i>P</i> JGG	L	# <mark>91400</mark>
Comment Exces	Type TR ss capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1619	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status on.	R	DVJ-1624
Suggestee As co DVJ1	rrected above ==>	Physical medium att	achment		SuggestedRemedy As corrected above DVJ1-412	==>reconciliation sublaye	er	
•	Response CT. Comment is o	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Commen	Response Status t is on unchanged text.	U	
CI 65 David V. J	SC 65.4 James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91396	Cl 65 SC 65.4.3 David V. James	3.2 <i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91401
Comment The "C		Comment Status are unnaturally split a		DVJ-1620	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1625
Suggestee Use a DVJ1-	nonbreaking spa	ce.			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above DVJ1-412	==>multi-point links		
•	Response CT. Comment is o	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response REJECT. Commen	Response Status t is on unchanged text.	U	
CI 65 David V. J	SC 65.4 James	P JGG	L	# 91397	C/ 65 SC 65.4.3 David V. James	3.2 <i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>91402</u>
Comment The ti		Comment Status will overflow automa		DVJ-1621	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization	Comment Status on.	R	DVJ-1626
Suggestee Make DVJ1	it smaller, as sug	gested above.			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above DVJ1-412	e ==>forward error correcti	on	
	Response	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response	Response Status	U	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 221 of 225

C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.2

Р Ρ C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.2.1 L # 91404 C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.5 L # 91412 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1628 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1636 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-412 DVJ1-413 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2.2 Ρ L # 91406 C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.6 P L # 91414 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1630 Comment Status R DVJ-1638 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-413 DVJ1-413 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ CI 65 C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.3 L # 91408 SC 65.4.3.7 Ρ L # 91416 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1632 Comment Status R DVJ-1640 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-413 DVJ1-413 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р Ρ C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.4 1 Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.8 1 # 91418 # 91410 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1634 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1642 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-413 DVJ1-414 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 222 of 225

C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.8

P802.3ah Draft 3.2 Comments Р Ρ C/ 66 SC 66. L # 91420 C/ 66 SC 66.4 L # 91423 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1644 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1647 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Shorter, as shown above. Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-415 DVJ1-420 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This draft has been reviewed by the IEEE editors and they have no comments REJECT. This draft has been reviewed by the IEEE editors and they have no comments against the length of the clause title against the length of the clause title Ρ L Ρ C/ 66 SC 66.3.2.3 # 91421 C/ 66 SC 66.4.3 L # 91425 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1645 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1649 Excess capitalization. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>diagram Center them. DVJ1-418 DVJ1-420 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this unchanged in this draft. and the base document CI 66 SC 66.4 Ρ L # 91422 CI 66 SC 66.4.4 Ρ L # 91426 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status A DVJ-1646 Comment Status R DVJ-1650 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR The "Clause" and "68" are unnaturally split across lines. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. As corrected above ==>reconciliation sublayer DVJ1-420 DVJ1-420 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "Clause 66" in this subclause title should be a cross-REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

unchanged in this draft.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

reference

Page 223 of 225

Cl 66 SC 66.4.4

Р # 91428 Ρ C/ 66 SC 66.4.4.1 L C/ 66 SC 66.4.4.3 L # 91433 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1652 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1657 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-420 DVJ1-421 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document and the base document SC 66.4.4.1 Р L C/ 66 Ρ L C/ 66 # 91429 SC 66.4.4.4 # 91435 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1653 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1659 The Subclause, columns is mislabeled. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Subclause==>Clause. Center them. DVJ1-421 DVJ1-421 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document and the base document CI 66 SC 66.4.4.2 Ρ L # 91431 CI 67 SC 67.3 P L # 91437 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1655 Comment Status R DVJ-1661 Comment Type Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above ==>Hybrid media topologies DVJ1-421 DVJ1-423 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. and the base document

SC 67.3

Р # 91438 Ρ CI 67 SC 67.6 CI 67 SC 67.6.3 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R TR Comment Status R DVJ-1662 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>administration, and meintenance As corrected above ==>multi-point DVJ1-423 DVJ1-424 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Style is consistent with previously accepted style in the document. CI 67 SC 67.6.1 Ρ L # 91439 C/ 67A SC 67A.3 Ρ L JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1663 TR Comment Type Comment Status R Excess capitalization. Acronym used, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>client ==>FP, include in the initial abbreviations DVJ1-423 DVJ1-479 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Abbreviation is expanded in context. Ρ CI 67 SC 67.6.2 L # 91440 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1664 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>passive DVJ1-424 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р 1 C/ 67 SC 67.6.3 # 91442 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1666 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>control

DVJ1-424

Proposed Response

document.

posed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Style is consistent with previously accepted style in the

91441

91546

DVJ-1665

DVJ-1770