CI 00 SC P L # 90004

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-228

A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide a list of tables.

DVJ1-xxx

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This style conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments.

CI **00** SC P L # **137**Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Regarding your response to my TR comment #374.

Your response and the data behind it just goes to show that the balloting group is not always right, something well known by your TF Chair's as a result of his experience on REVCOM. I am confident that history will prove me correct in this matter. Therefore my comment stands.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the PAR and the draft so that what is currently designated as P802.3ah can be approved as a separate full/new standard that is approved as and will remain a separate standard from IEEE Std 802.3. This will allow this project and its provider oriented successors/amendments to more freely meet the requirements of this significantly different marketplace and set of customers.

Pursue further steps to approval, both editorially and procedurely as a separate standard.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Previously considered. No further action is required

 C/ 00
 SC
 P
 L
 # 99349

 Thompson, Geoffrey
 Nortel

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status R
 D3.1 #372

There is no provision in the draft to assure that the required disclaimer text (Ref: SB Ops Manual 5.9.3) will be included in the published standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Make provision in the next version of the draft to include the appropriately placed following text:

"At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position, explanation, or interpretation of the IEEE."

Proposed Response Response Status **U** REJECT.

Appropriate text may be added by IEEE-SA staff editor prior to publication

CI **00** SC P L **# 99350**Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.1 #374

I continue to believe that many of the technically sound concepts included in this proposal, while suitable for the access market, are fundamentally at odds with the underlying principals of Ethernet embodied in IEEE Std 802.3 to date. While we have made changes in the past they have been all realativley minor and most of them have worked out. Some, in retrospect, while they seemed like a good idea at the time have set bad precedents for later work. Across it all Std 802.3 has remained conceptually pretty consistent. P802.3ah has several significant departures from that conceptual consistency. I believe that the precedents they set will cause significant confusion over the long term and destroy the conceptual consistency of Ethernet as it is known.

The specific areas that concern me most are:

Loss of the peer relationship to a provider - customer asymmetry

Unidirectional transport

Loopback

New non CSMA/CD mechanisms for shared media access arbitration.

OAM mechanism that are not consistent with the earlier Management

Low speed operation not consistent with prevalent perception of Ethernet.

The requirement for and complexity of ranging & discovery protocols

Requirement for additional levels of station addressing

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the PAR and the draft so that what is currently designated as P802.3ah can be approved as a separate full/new standard that is approved as and will remain a separate standard from IEEE Std 802.3. This will allow this project and its provider oriented successors/amendments to more freely meet the requirements of this significantly different marketplace and set of customers.

Pursue further steps to approval, both editorially and procedurely as a separate standard.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This issue has been discussed several times in the past. The scope and content of the draft is properly aligned with the approved PAR. The content of the draft as it currently stands has been approved by the balloting group. The commenter's suggested remedy is therefore clearly at odds with the concensus opinion of the task force that wrote the draft, the working group that approved the PAR and reviewed the draft, and the ballot group that approved the draft.

Cl **00** SC P L # **99300**Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.0 #795

The entirely new concept to 802.3 of doing shared access via an entirely new access protocol is hidden through lack of use of the proper terminology to describe what is going on. The P2MP portion of the proposal is, in fact, a new shared access protocol of the TDMA variety yet none of the following standard terms appears appear anywhere in the description thereof:

multiple access

access method

time division

TDMA

access domain

MAC protocol

In fact the only mentions of a "shared LAN" is the claim that P2MP is emulating a shared LAN rather than admitting it is one!

SuggestedRemedy

Come clean. P2MP is at its most basic level a master-slave TDMA LAN. Revise text to describe P2MP fully as such using established 802 terminology for multiple access shared LANs.

Proposed Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Master-slave relationship is described in 64.3.1. item h.

Modify item d in 64.3.1 as follows:

Multiple MACs operate on a shared medium by allowing only a single MAC to transmit upstream at any given time across the network using a time-division multiple access (TDMA) method.

C/ 00 SC P L # 90003

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide a list of tables.

DVJ1-xxix

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. This style conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments.

Р C/ 00 SC L # 90002 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-226 Comment Type TR

A list of tables is now allowed for long documents, and is vital for review.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide a list of tables.

DVJ1-viii

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. A list, bookmarks for and description of all the clauses is included and conforms to the style of previous 802.3 amendments.

C/ 00 SC Piii L18 # 138 Thompson, Geoff Nortel Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Regarding your response to my TR comment #372.

Your response was non-responsive. No rationale for rejection was provided. Further, while "Appropriate text may be added by IEEE-SA staff editor prior to publication" there is the strong possibility based on experience that the text will not be added by staff. Since staff has not met the long standing requirement for the "addition" of this text, the appropriate remedy is to add draft front matter (in much the same manner as routinely done by 802.1) to assure that mandated material will appear in the published standard. Given that introductory matter has already been developed for this draft, this does not seem like a significant imposition.

SuggestedRemedy

Add draft front matter that includes the following text:

"At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position, explanation, or interpretation of the IEEE."thus assuring that the requirements of the Op Manual 5.9.3 will be met.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Previously considered. No further action is required

C/ 00 SC 0 P1 L 35 # 99304 James. David JGG Comment Status A D3.0 #726

Excessive capitalization.

This is just one example. Instruct your editors to eliminate capitalization on everything except proper nouns and the first word of headings and sentences.

The profuse use of capitalization, for emphasis, field name delineation, acronyms, etc. is unnecessary and distracting. With so many capitals, its hard to tell when one sentence or field name begins and another one ends.

Start at the front, work through the end, and have a policy in mind. Simply repeating the 802.3 mistakes is not sufficient.

SuggestedRemedy

for network Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM) is included

for network operations, administration and maintenance (OAM) is included

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will try to improve on capitalization

C/ 00 SC 0 P10 L 1 # 99305 James, David JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Unnecessary page, not part of the specification.

D3.0 #730

This is normally provided (or so says Tom Alexander) for the convenience of editors when the document is in FrameMaker source. Its not needed in pdf, and (in fact) could lead to some interesting translation ambiguities.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this and following page.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This has usually been added to 802.3 docs.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 3 of 242

SC 0

Р C/ 00 SC 0 P**2** L 1 # 99306 C/ 01 SC 1.3 L # 90012 JGG David V. James JGG James, David Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #727 Comment Type TR DVJ-236 This trademark usage page is blank, with no notice of any desire to change or method of Excess capitalization. change. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. This comments was not addressed when marked as editorial, in previous working group DVJ1-6 ballots. I hope action is taken this time. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Either: REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. 1) Eliminate the page 2) Put some text describing what and when will happen to this page. C/ 01 SC 1.3 P L # 90019 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-243 TR Excess capitalization. This page is a reminder that text will be added on publication. An editors note can be added to this effect SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. Р L C/ 01 SC 1.3 # 90027 DVJ1-6 David V. James JGG Response Status U Proposed Response Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-251 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Excess capitalization. Ρ C/ 01 SC 1.3 L # 90021 SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG As corrected above. DVJ1-7 Comment Status R DVJ-245 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. C/ 01 SC 1.3 Ρ L # 90022 DVJ1-7 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U Comment Status R DVJ-246 Comment Type TR REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Excess capitalization. Ρ C/ 01 SC 1.3 1 # 90023 SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG As corrected above. DVJ1-7 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-247 Proposed Response Response Status U Excess capitalization. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 4 of 242

C/ 01 SC 1.3

C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90030	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90020
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status A		DVJ-254	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-244
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-8				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7			
Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E. Refer db. Will remove	extra term		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U	
Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90025	C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90026
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-249	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-250
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status on unchanged text.	U	
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90029	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90005
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-253	Comment Type TR Inconsistent notation.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-229
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7 Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status U			SuggestedRemedy Several steps: 1) Provide the precedir 2) Enforce this convent 3) A disclaimer note the document does things	ion on all of your adde at deprecates previous	ed text.	
Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90011	DVJ1-1 Proposed Response	Response Status		
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-235	REJECT. Notation is ex	xplicit in the definition.		
SuggestedRemedy As corrected in nine pre	eceding paragraphs.						

DVJ1-5
Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90024	C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# <u>9</u> 0016
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-248	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-240
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90013	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <mark>90017</mark>
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-237	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-241
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90014	C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>90018</u>
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-238	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-242
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>90015</u>	Cl 01 SC 1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <mark>90028</mark>
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-239	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-252
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-6				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. DVJ1-7			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 6 of 242

C/ 01 SC 1.3

Р Р C/ 01 SC 1.4 L # 90033 C/ 01 SC 1.4 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-257 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DA meaning is unclear: destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, or Acronym used, but not defined. destination address could be implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>FP Delete this terms, as done above. DVJ1-8 DVJ1-8 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. "The abbreviation is used in 67A.3, it is properly introduced there, and it is REJECT. Scope of previous change was to fix capitalization only. not used anywhere else in 802.3." Р L C/ 01 SC 1.4 # 90034 C/ 01 P13 SC 1.4 L 44 David V. James JGG JGG James. David Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-258 Comment Status R Comment Type TR SA meaning is unclear: source address, Source Address, sourceField, or source_address Excessive capitalization: could be implied. 1.4.xxx Aggregation group: ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete this term, as done above. DVJ1-9 1.4.xxx aggregation group: ... Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Scope of previous change was to fix capitalization only. 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). Р C/ 01 L SC 1.4 # 90032 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2. page 68. line 13. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 David V. James JGG Response Status U Proposed Response Comment Status A Comment Type TR DVJ-256 REJECT. Lack of capitalization (Ethernet is used in 802 as a proper noun). SuggestedRemedy This comment is on unchanged text. As corrected above. DVJ1-8

Proposed Response

ACCEPT.

both

Response Status U

Consistent with a comment on an earlier draft. This is the last lower-case ""ethernet"" in 802.3ah apart from one in a comment to an example program in 61A.3, so we should fix

90031

591

unchanged text

DVJ-255

SC 1.4

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P13 L 47 # 593 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P13 L 53 # 595 JGG James, David James. David JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Excessive capitalization: Excessive capitalization: 1.4.xxx Bandplan: ... 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1.4.xxx bandplan: ... 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... As per: As per: 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 648, line 31. 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 98, line 21. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is on unchanged text. This comment is on unchanged text. C/ 01 SC 1.4 P13 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 *L* 1 # 596 L 50 # 594 James, David JGG James, David **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text Excessive capitalization: Excessive capitalization: 1.4.xxx Coupled Power Ratio (CPR): ... 1.4.xxx Grant: ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> 1.4.xxx coupled power ratio (CPR): ... 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... As per: As per: 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 1) IEEE style guidelines (only the first word of a heading is capitalized). 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 15, line 11. 2) IEEE IEEE Draft P802.3ahTM/D3.2, page 48, line 40. 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 2) IEEE Std 802.3(tm)-2002, page 15, 1.4.62 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is on unchanged text. This comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P14 L28 # 603

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive terminology:

1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery: ...

My text editor could find no instance of ""P2MP discovery"" nor ""P2MP discovery"".

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the definition.

Proposed Response Response Status **U** REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P14 L33 # 604
James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive terminology:

1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery window: ...

My text editor could find no instance of ""P2MP Discovery"" nor ""P2MP discovery"".

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the definition.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P14 L36 # 605

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R unchanged text

Excessive terminology:

1.4.xxx P2MP Timestamp: ...

My text editor could find no instance of ""P2MP Timestamp"" nor ""P2MP timestamp"".

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the definition.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P15 L 38 # 99344 1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery: ... James, David **JGG** 1.4.xxx P2MP discovery: ... Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #732 Excessive capitalization. There is no point in capitalizing every defined word (or many of them, with no apparent pattern). This confuses the parsing of sentences, since defined words, registers, fields, etc. are all capitalized. SuggestedRemedy 1.4.xxx P2MP Timestamp: ... 1.4.xxx Aggregation group: ... 1.4.xxx P2MP timestamp: ... 1.4.xxx aggregation group: ... 1.4.xxx Bandplan: ... 1.4.xxx bandplan: ... 1.4.xxx Coupled Power Ratio (CPR): ... 1.4.xxx coupled power ratio (CPR): ... 1.4.xxx Ranging: ... 1.4.xxx Downstream: ... ==> 1.4.xxx ranging: ... 1.4.xxx downstream: ... 1.4.xxx Reflectance: ... 1.4.xxx Grant: Within P2MP protocols, ... ==> 1.4.xxx reflectance: ... 1.4.xxx grant: Within P2MP protocols, ... 1.4.xxx Upstream: ... 1.4.xxx Logical Link Identifier (LLID): ... 1.4.xxx upstream: ... 1.4.xxx logical link identifier (LLID): ... Proposed Response 1.4.xxx MPCP Registration: ... ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1.4.xxx MPCP registration: ... 1.4.xxx OAM Discovery: ... 1.4.xxx OAM discovery: ... 1.4.xxx Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM): ... 1.4.xxx operations, administration and maintenance (OAM): ... 1.4.xxx Optical Line Terminal (OLT): ...

1.4.xxx optical line terminal (OLT): ...

1.4.xxx Optical Network Unit (ONU): ...

=>>

1.4.xxx optical network unit (ONU): ...

1.4.xxx P2MP Discovery window: ... 1.4.xxx P2MP discovery window: ... 1.4.xxx Point to Multi-Point Network (P2MP): ... 1.4.xxx point to multi-point network (P2MP): ... 1.4.xxx Point-to-point emulation (P2PE): ... 1.4.xxx point-to-point emulation (P2PE): ... Response Status U Will capitalize abbreviations in a definition to be consistant with 802.3ae (part of base document), Otherwise they will not be. For definitons they will not be capitalized

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P16 L8 # 99355

Dr. David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R D3.1 #591

has excess capitalization, as can be seen by looking at Definitions are ****>>>NOT<<<<**** capitalized just because they are defined. Even the most recent 802.3 "bible" has finally done this (mostly) right.

SuggestedRemedy

I view the responses to submitted comments arrogant and ill informed. Your should read the IEEE Style manual, which is available on line.

After that, establishing editorial guidelines (which a chief editor should do) or distributing pointers to useful references would be useful, such as http://dviames.com/templates/StdBook.pdf.

A response of 802.3 precedence is irrelevent: your job is to write based on IEEE style guidelines. Besides, the precedence (most recent 802.3) also shows definitions not capitalized unless proper nouns.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The editor-in-chief has worked closely with the IEEE staff editor to ensure that the draft adequately conforms with the IEEE style guide.

Cl **01** SC **1.4** P**17** L**5** # **99345**James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.0 #733

Excessive capitalization. There is no point in capitalizing every acronym (or many of them, with no apparent pattern). This confuses the parsing of sentences, since defined words, registers, fields, etc. are all capitalized.

Also, IEEE Style manual clearly shown acronyms not capitalized unless proper nouns.

Due to the large number of these, and failures in the past when attempting to resolve these earlier, they have been elevated to a TR.

After fixing the unnecessary capitalization, provide a check list to the other clause editors. Its easier for them to search, then for me and/or others to do so on their behalf.

SuggestedRemedy

CO Central Office

==>

CO central office

CPE Customer Premises Equipment

==:

CPE customer premises equipment

CPR Coupled Power Ratio

==>

CPR coupled power ratio

DMT Discrete Multi-Tone

==:

DMT discrete multi-tone

DA Destination Address

==>

DA destination address

EFM Ethernet in the First Mile

==>

EFM Ethernet in the first mile

EFM Cu Ethernet in the First Mile ...

==

EFM Cu Ethernet in the first mile ...

FEC Forward Error Correction

==>

FEC forward error correction

FSW Frame Synchronization Word

==

FSW frame synchronization word<cr

LLID Logical Link identifier

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments ==> LLID logical link identifier PAFH PMI aggregation function header MPCP Multi-Point Control Protocol PAM Pulse Amplitude Modulation MPCP multi-point control protoco PAM pulse amplitude modulation OAM Operations, Administration, and Maintenance PMS-TC Physical Media Specific - Transmission Convergence OAM operations, administration, and maintenance PMS-TC physical media specific - transmission convergence OAMPDU Operations, Administration, and Maintenance Protocol Data Unit **PSD Power Spectral Density** OAMPDU operations, administration, and maintenance protocol data unit PSD power spectral density **ODN Optical Distribution Network** SA Source Address ODN optical distribution network SA source address **OH Overhead** SHDSL Single-pair High-speed Digital Subscriber Line ==> OH overhead SHDSL single-pair high-speed digital subscriber line STU-O SHDSL Transceiver Unit - Central Office **OLT Optical Line Terminal** OLT optical line terminal STU-O SHDSL transceiver unit - central office ONU Optical Network Unit STU-R SHDSL Transceiver Unit - Remote STU-R SHDSL transceiver unit - remote ONU optical network unit ORLT Optical return loss tolerance TCM Trellis Coded Modulation ORLT optical return loss tolerance TCM Trellis coded modulation P2P Point to Point UPBO Upstream power back-off P2P point to point UPBO upstream power back-off Proposed Response Response Status U P2PE Point to Point Emulation ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P2PE point to point emulation Will capitalize abbreviations in a definition to be consistant with 802.3ae (part of base document), Otherwise they will not be. P2MP Point to Multi-Point For definitons they will not be capitalized P2MP point to multi-point

PAFH PMI Aggregation Function Header

PAF PMI Aggregation Function PAF PMI aggregation function

SC 1.4

Р C/ 01 SC 1.4.1 L # 90006

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-230

Inconsistent and highly confusing notation throughout.

SugaestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Use of italics" in you notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-1

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

C/ 01 P SC 1.4.2 1 # 90007 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-231

Inconsistent and highly confusing notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Field conventions" in your notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) Supplement this with text that describes the 802-specific bit notation, since its prelevance will make it difficult to ever change.
- 4) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-2

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.3

Р JGG

DVJ-232

90008

DVJ-233

DVJ-1670

1

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Inconsistent and highly confusing constant notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Field value conventions" in your notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-3

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

P C/ 01 SC 1.5 1 # 90009 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R

Inconsistent and highly confusing constant notation throughout.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Several steps:

- 1) Provide the preceding text on "Byte sequential formats" in your notation clause.
- 2) Enforce this convention on all of your added text.
- 3) A disclaimer note that deprecates previous uses could be included, since the baseline document does things many ways.

DVJ1-3

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This is an amendment to 802.3 and thus cannot enforce a style on the entire document. The style used has stood past reviews and is consistent to previously done work in .3.

C/ 04A SC 4A.1.1 Ρ L # 91446

David V. James **JGG**

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>control

DVJ1-426

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 13 of 242

C/ 04A SC 4A.1.1

Cl 04A SC 4A.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 9 <u>1444</u>	Cl 04A SC 4A.1.2 P L # 91447 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1668	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1671 Excess capitalization.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
As corrected above ==> DVJ1-425	physical layerl			As corrected above ==>physical layer signaling DVJ1-426
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchan	Response Status U			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text
C/ 04A SC 4A.1.1	Р	L	# 91445	CI 04A SC 4A.1.2.1 P L # 91450
David V. James	JGG			David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		DVJ-1669	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1674
Excess capitalization.				Too many name aliases: SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a
SuggestedRemedy	data link lavani			constant.
As corrected above ==> DVJ1-425	чата штк тауетт			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status U			==>sourceAddress DVJ1-426
REJECT. Comment is on unchange	ged text			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.
C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2	Р	L	# 91448	Comment is on unchanged text
David V. James	JGG			CI 04A SC 4A.1.2.1 P L # 91449
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		DVJ-1672	David V. James JGG
Excess capitalization.				Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1673
SuggestedRemedy			This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc	
As corrected above ==> DVJ1-426	physical layer			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status U			==>lengthType. DVJ1-426
Comment is on unchan	ged text			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text

Р Р C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 L # 91453 C/ 04A SC 4A.1.4 L # 91454 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1677 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1678 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-427 DVJ1-428 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Comment is on unchanged text Ρ L SC 4A.2.1 Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 C/ 04A L # 91452 # 91455 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1676 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1679 TR Too many name aliases: Quoted "references" are informative bibliography; useless in a normative environment. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Convert the code to C code, consistent with most other uses. Then, reference ANSI spec. ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-428 DVJ1-427 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The use of Pascal as the descriptive language for the Comment is on unchanged text MAC has been accepted practice in the base standard for over 20 years. There is no need to convert to any Р C/ 04A SC 4A.1.2.2 L # 91451 other descriptive language. The references are useful David V. James JGG in this context. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1675 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2 P L # 91456 Too many name aliases: David V. James **JGG** SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ-1680 constant. This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>sourceAddress DVJ1-427 Change the text, as shown. DVJ1-428 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U Comment is on unchanged text REJECT. The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as

intended.

Comment is on unchanged text

Р Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.1 L # 91457 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 L # 91461 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1681 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1685 This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the text, as shown. As corrected above ==>layer management DVJ1-429 DVJ1-431 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as Comment is on unchanged text intended. C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 Р L # 91460 Comment is on unchanged text David V. James JGG Р 1 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.1 # 91458 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1684 David V. James JGG This "shall" cannot be guaranteed: you can't legislated behaviors, you can only state facts. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1682 SuggestedRemedy This "shall" cannot be tested tested for conformance. Change the text, as shown. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-431 Change the text, as shown. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-429 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as REJECT. intended. The inclusion of this "shall" has no ill effect. The text parallels the base standard, as Comment is on unchanged text intended. P C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.4 1 # 91462 Comment is on unchanged text David V. James JGG C/ 04A SC 4A.2.2.2 Ρ L # 91459 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1686 JGG David V. James Excess capitalization. Comment Status R DVJ-1683 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Why, oh why, on earth are you specifying things in Pasca,? No one knows this. As corrected above ==>physical layer Also, there is no stated reference manual for the language, so this cannot be used. DVJ1-431 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Convert the code to C code, consistent with most other uses. REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text

DV.J1-429

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The use of Pascal as the descriptive language for the MAC has been accepted practice in the base standard for over 20 years. There is no need to convert to any other descriptive language. Comment is on unchanged text

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 16 of 242

SC 4A.2.2.4 C/ 04A

Р Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.3.2.4 L # 91463 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91466 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1687 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1690 Too many name aliases: Excess capitalization; only capitalize the first word of headings DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above ==>destinationAddress ==>Individual addresses DVJ1-432 ==>Group addresses DVJ1-433 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91468 Ρ C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91465 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1692 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1689 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address. Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-433 ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-433 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text Р # 91469 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L C/ 04A Ρ SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91464 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1693 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1688 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address. Too many name aliases: DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-433 ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-432 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text

Р C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.1.1 L # 91467 CI 22 SC 1.4 P 21 L1 # 99309 David V. James JGG James. David JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1691 Comment Type TR Comment Status R D3.0 #734 Excessive capitalization. There is no point in capitalizing every acronym (or many of them, Excess capitalization; capitalizing Broadcast doesn't help specify its meaning. with no apparent pattern). This confuses the parsing of sentences, since defined words, SugaestedRemedy registers, fields, etc. are all capitalized. As corrected above Also, IEEE Style manual clearly shown acronyms not capitalized unless proper nouns. DVJ1-433 Due to the large number of these, and failures in the past when attempting to resolve these Proposed Response Response Status U earlier, they have been elevated to a TR. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text After fixing the unnecessary capitalization, provide a check list to the other clause editors. Its easier for them to search, then for me and/or others to do so on their behalf. SC 4A.2.9 Ρ L C/ 04A # 91470 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James 22. Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Media Independent Interface (MII) Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1694 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. 22. Reconciliation sublayer (RS) and media independent interface (MII) Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-435 Changing the title of an existing clause is outside the scope of P802.3ah. Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 22 Ρ REJECT. SC 22.2.4 L # 90040 Comment is on unchanged text David V. James JGG C/ 04A SC 4A.3.2 Ρ L Comment Status R DVJ-264 # 91471 Comment Type TR JGG David V. James Not properly centered. Comment Status R DVJ-1695 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Too many name aliases: Center the entries in the leftmost and right two columns. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address. DVJ1-11 SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U ==>destinationAddress REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is DVJ1-437 unchanged in this draft. Proposed Response Response Status U CI 22 SC 22.2.4 Ρ # 90039 REJECT. **JGG** David V. James Comment is on unchanged text Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-263 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy ==>Basic/extended DVJ1-11 Proposed Response Response Status U

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 18 of 242

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4

Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4 L # 90038 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-262 Register names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy As above: ==>mmdAccessControl ==>mmdAccessAddressData DVJ1-11 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. Р L CI 22 SC 22.2.4 # 90036 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-260 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy ==>auto-negotiation DVJ1-10 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4 L # 90037 JGG David V. James DVJ-261 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy

==>register DVJ1-10 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90041 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-265

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

As above: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-12

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 Ρ SC 22.2.4.1 1 # 90042 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-266

DV.J-267

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-12

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 1 # 90043 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-12

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90044

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-268

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90045

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-269

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90046

David V. James JGG

avia v. barries

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-270

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90047

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90048

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 P L # 90049

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-13

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

DVJ-271

DVJ-272

DV:1-273

SC 22.2.4.1 Р Р CI 22 L # 90050 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1.12 L # 90053 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-274 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-277 The right column is not centered. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. Center the right column. DVJ1-13 DVJ1-14 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. unchanged in this draft. Ρ Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90051 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90057 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-275 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-281 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-13 DVJ1-14 Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft. unchanged in this draft. Р Р Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.1 L # 90052 Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90060 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-276 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-284 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write aRO is an abbreviation for read only SC is an abbreviation for self clearing LL is an abbreviation for latching low RO is an abbreviation for read only LH is an abbreviation for latching high LL is an abbreviation for latching low DVJ1-14 LH is an abbreviation for latching high Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-13 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Proposed Response Response Status U

unchanged in this draft.

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

unchanged in this draft.

Р Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90059 CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-283 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Excess capitalization. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. As corrected above. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-14 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-14 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Proposed Response Response Status U unchanged in this draft. REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Ρ CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L unchanged in this draft. David V. James JGG CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2 Ρ L # 90056 Comment Type Comment Status R JGG David V. James Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as DVJ-280 Comment Type TR Comment Status R variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>Include a distinct value column. ==>reset DVJ1-14 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-14 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Proposed Response Response Status U unchanged in this draft. REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Ρ Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.2 L # 90058 unchanged in this draft. David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-282 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-14

Proposed Response

unchanged in this draft.

Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is

90054

90055

DVJ-278

DV:1-279

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.2.8 P 25 L9 # 99311 Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D3.0 #793

Proposed text goes well beyond the allowed scope of the project. As worded it would appear to allow "unidirectional ability" on legacy PHY types. This change could cause great confusion and interoperability problems with conformat legacy networks.

SuggestedRemedy

Limit the scope of this change to the PHY types being added by this clause that support unidirectional ability. Require that the value of bit 1.7 will be zero for all other current PHY types.

Any WG action to add unidirectional ability to legacy PHY types should be done through maintenance or a new project with the appropriate scope.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"Bit 1.7 shall be set to 0 for all PHYs except the following: 100BASE-X using the PCS specified in 66.1 and 1000BASE-X using the PCS specified in 66.2."

Use the major capability from comment #748 in the PICS entry.

Ρ Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 # 90062 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-286

DVJ-291

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-15

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L CI 22 # 90067

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>register.

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-290

90066

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

1

Р

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnote to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90061

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-285

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-15

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 L # 90064

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-288

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90063

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-287

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-15

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.11 P L # 90065

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-289

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-16

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90070
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-294

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90071

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-295

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90072

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-296

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Cl 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 P L # 90069

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-293

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-17

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

Р Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 L # 90068 CI 22 SC 22.7.2.4 L # 90075 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-292 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-299 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The Subclause, Status, Support columns are not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-17 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is (etc.) DVJ1-16 unchanged in this draft. Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ C/ 22D SC 22D.1 L # 91475 REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is David V. James JGG unchanged in this draft. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1699 Р CI 22 SC 22.2.4.3.12 L # 90073 Excess capitalization. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-297 Comment Type TR As corrected above ==>register List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment DVJ1-441 is intended or implied. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Change initial footnotes to be: unchanged in this draft. aRW is an abbreviation for read/write CI 22D SC 22D.4 Ρ L DVJ1-17 # 91477 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is Comment Status R DVJ-1701 Comment Type TR unchanged in this draft. Excess capitalization. Р CI 22 SC 22.7.2.3 L # 90074 SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG As corrected above ==>bit error ratio test DVJ1-445 DVJ-298 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Proposed Response Response Status U The Subclause, Status, Support columns are not centered. REJECT. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged DVJ1-17 in this draft. Proposed Response Response Status U

Comment is actually on Annex 58A, not Annex 22D.

REJECT. This affects an existing table and changing the format of this table is beyond the

scope of P802.3ah

Р C/ 30 SC 30.1 L # 90078 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-302 Excess capitalization.

SugaestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>link aggregation.

DVJ1-18

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

SC 30.1 Р 1 C/ 30 # 90077 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-301

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>control.

DVJ1-18

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

C/ 30 SC 30.11 Ρ L # 90239 JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-463

SuggestedRemedy

Excess capitalization.

As corrected above ==>physical medium entity

DVJ1-66

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.11 L # 90238 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess capitalization.

SugaestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>Layer management

DVJ1-66

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.10 L # 90247 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ-471

Don't hide the basic properties.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Range[]

DVJ1-70

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.2 L # 90240

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-464 Comment Type TR

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>UNKNOWN...

DVJ1-67

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.3 L # 90241 C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.5 L # 90244 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-465 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Generic terms when a constant value is implied. Generic terms when a constant value is implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, As corrected above, ==>UNKNOWN... ==>ENABLED... DVJ1-67 DVJ1-68 Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Ρ C/ 30 P C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.4 L # 90242 SC 30.11.1.1.6 L # 90245 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-466 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Don't hide the basic properties. Generic terms when a constant value is implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, As corrected above, ==>Range[]. ==>TRUF... DVJ1-69 DVJ1-68 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.11.1.1.9 L # 90246 C/ 30 Ρ L SC 30.11.1.1.5 # 90243 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Status R DVJ-467 Comment Type TR Generic terms when a constant value is implied. Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, Complete the tbd's. ==>UNKNOWN... DVJ1-68 DVJ1-70

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

DVJ-468

DVJ-469

DVJ-474

Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 L # 90250

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>ENABLED... DVJ1-71

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 # 90249

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-473

Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the tbd's.

DVJ1-71

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.2 # 90248

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-472

Missing line.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>ATTRIBUTE

DVJ1-71

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.3 1 # 90251

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-475

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SugaestedRemedy

As corrected above.

==>PS DOWN NOT READY...

DV.J1-72

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 P 1 SC 30.11.2.1.5 # 90252

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-476

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

-->

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-73

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.11.2.1.7 L # 90253

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>NO LINK...

DVJ1-73

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

DV.J-477

Р C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.8 # 90254

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

David V. James JGG

SC 30.2.2.1

DVJ-478 Comment Type

TR Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

C/ 30

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-74

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.11.2.1.9 L # 90255 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-479

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DV.J1-74

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 L # 90087 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

As corrected above, ==>standard.

DVJ1-21

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>standard.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

C/ 30 Ρ 1 SC 30.2.2.1 # 90082 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-306

Р

Comment Status R

1

90083

DVJ-307

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>standard.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90084

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-308 Comment Type TR

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>standard.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90085

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-309

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>midspan.

DVJ1-20

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90079

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-303

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>systems.

DVJ1-19

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

 CI 30
 SC 30.2.2.1
 P
 L
 # 90080

 David V. James
 JGG

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 DVJ-304

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>aggregation port.

DVJ1-19

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90086

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-310

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>multi-point.

DVJ1-21

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P L # 90081

David V. James JGG

avid v. Jairies JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-305

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>link aggregation.

DVJ1-19

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.3 P L # 90088

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-312

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>midspan

DVJ1-22

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments) and is only included to provide context to the change, marked in underscore or strikeout, required for IEEE P802.3ah. In addition this text is unchanged from D3.1.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.3 P L # 90089
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-313

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>system.

DVJ1-22

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P L # 90090
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-314

Excess capitalization; only the first word of a heading is capitalized

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>PHY error monitor capability (optional). DVJ1-22

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90092

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Routines are always differentiated by their parenthesis.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>LayerMgmtTransmitCounters()

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90091

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90094

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-318

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>rate matching.

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.20 P L # 90093

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Routines should be differentiated by italics.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>LayerMgmtTransmitCounters()

DVJ1-23

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-316

DVJ-317

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.35 L # 90095 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-319 TR Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy ==> TRUE-... FALSE-... DVJ1-23 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Ρ C/ 30 L SC 30.3.1.1.35 # 90096 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-320 Generic terms when a constant value is implied. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>TRUE ==>FALSE DVJ1-23 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 Р L SC 30.3.1.1.36 # 90097 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-321 Comment Type TR Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy As corrected above,

==>UNKNOWN... DVJ1-24

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 L # 90098

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-322 Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>OTHER... DVJ1-24

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 P SC 30.3.2.1.2 L # 90099 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess and possibly inaccurate wording.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>standard.

DVJ1-24

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 L # 90100

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>auto-negotiation.

DVJ1-25

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-323

DVJ-325

Cl 30 SC 30.3.3.2 P L # 90101

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control.

DVJ1-25

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.10 P L # 90118

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-342

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-29

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.11 P L # 90119

David V. James JGG

avia v. vainos

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-343

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-29

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.12 P L # 90120

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-344

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.13 P L # 90121

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-345

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 P L # 90124

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-348

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-347

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 1 # 90123 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

David V. James JGG

SC 30.3.5.1.15

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

90122 C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.14 Р L David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-346

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-30

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

90126 C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 L David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-350

Too many name aliases:

DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-351

90127

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Р

1

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.15 L # 90125 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-349

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-31

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 L # 90129

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-353

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

DVJ-354

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 L # 90130

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-31

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.16 # 90128

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-352 TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-31

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 Ρ L # 90132 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-356

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.17 L # 90133

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-357

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.5.1.17 L # 90131

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-355

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-32

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 Ρ L # 90136

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 # 90135 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-359 TR Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address. SugaestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-32 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style. C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.18 Р # 90134 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-358 Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy ==>

Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-32

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

90102 C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 L JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the tbd's.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 1

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above,

==>ENABLED...

DVJ1-26

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 P SC 30.3.5.1.2 L # 90104

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-328

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control sublayer.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.22 L # 90137

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ-326

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-33

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

90103

DVJ-327

DVJ-362

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.23 L # 90138

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

SC 30.3.5.1.3

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-33

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.5.1.24 C/ 30 Ρ L # 90139 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-363

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-34

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 L # 90107 JGG David V. James

DVJ-331 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>multi-point.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

Ρ

JGG

L

DVJ-329

90105

Enumerated values are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

David V. James

Fill in the tbd's.

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.5.1.3 C/ 30 L # 90106

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ-330

No need for quotes around defined constants.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Delete the quotes (as illustrated above) or

2) Use non-conflicting longer nonconflicting enumeration names, such as MP_OLT...

DVJ1-26

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.4 1 # 90108

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-332

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>logical link

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-333

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 L # 90109 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.5 # 90110 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-334

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.6 L # 90112 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-336

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

==>multi-point

DVJ1-28

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.6 L # 90111

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-335

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.

==>UNREGISTERED...

DVJ1-27

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 P SC 30.3.5.1.7 L # 90114

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-338

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control.

DVJ1-28

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.7 L # 90113

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-28

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.8 # 90115 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-339 TR Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy ==> Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-28 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.8 Ρ L # 90116 JGG David V. James DVJ-340 Comment Type TR Comment Status R This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-28 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30	SC 30.3.5.1.9	Р	L	# 90117
David V. Jan	nes	JGG		
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status	R	DVJ-341
Uniform notation for clarity.				

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum rate: ...

DVJ1-29

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.2.1 L # 90141

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-365

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above. ==>UNREGISTERED...

DVJ1-34

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 P SC 30.3.5.2.1 L # 90140 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-364

Enumerated values are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

Fill in the tbd's.

DVJ1-34

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.10 L # 90156

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-380

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-39

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-381

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 L # 90157 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-39

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 # 90158

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-382 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.11 Ρ L # 90159 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-383

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.13 L # 90160

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-384 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.13 1 # 90161

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-385

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-40

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.15 P 1 # 90163

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-41

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-386

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.15 L # 90162

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-41

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.16 L # 90164 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-388

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DV.J1-41

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.6.1.16 C/ 30 L # 90165

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-389

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-41

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.17

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.17 L # 90166

L

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-390

DVJ-392

90167

DVJ-391

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.18 P 1 # 90168

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-395

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 L # 90171

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 30.3.6.1.2 David V. James JGG TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 L # 90170

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-394 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.19 Ρ L # 90169 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-393 TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-42

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type

Comment Status R

Ρ

L

DVJ-367

90143

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above,

==>ENABLED...

DVJ1-35

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 P SC 30.3.6.1.2 L # 90142

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-366

Enumerated values are not described.

SuggestedRemedy

Fill in the tbd's.

DVJ1-35

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.20 L # 90172

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-43

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 L # 90174 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 L # 90178 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-398 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-402 TR Too many name aliases: This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-44 DVJ1-43 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 L # 90177 Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 L # 90175 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-401 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-399 Too many name aliases: This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-43 DVJ1-44 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.21 L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.22 P 1 # 90173 # 90176 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-397 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-400 Uniform notation for clarity. Uniform notation for clarity. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> Maximum increment rate: ... Maximum increment rate: ... DVJ1-43 DVJ1-43

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-405

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 L # 90181

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-44

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 # 90180

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-404 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-44

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.23 L # 90179 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-403

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-44

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 30.3.6.1.24

DVJ-408

90184

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Ρ

L

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 L # 90182

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-406

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-45

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.24 Ρ L # 90183

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-407

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 L # 90186

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-410 TR

C/ 30

JGG

90188

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 Р

90187

David V. James Comment Type JGG

DVJ-411

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response Response Status U

TR

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.25 Р

L

L

90185

David V. James

JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-409

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-45

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

David V. James Comment Type

Comment Status R

DVJ-412

Uniform notation for clarity.

SC 30.3.6.1.26

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

Ρ

L

L

L

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-46

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27

P JGG # 90189

David V. James

Comment Type

TR

DVJ-413

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-46

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Comment Status R

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 David V. James

JGG

Comment Status R

90190

Comment Type TR

Too many name aliases:

DVJ-414

DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-46

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.27 L # 90191

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-46

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.28 L # 90192

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-416

DVJ-415

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-46

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.29 Ρ L # 90195 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-419

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-47

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30

SC 30.3.6.1.29

Ρ L

90193

David V. James

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-417

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-47

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

SC 30.3.6.1.29 C/ 30 P L # 90194

David V. James JGG

TR

Comment Status R DVJ-418

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-47

Comment Type

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ # 90144 SC 30.3.6.1.3 L

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-368 TR

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above.

==>PASSIVE...

DVJ1-35

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-420

DVJ-422

DVJ-421

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.30 L # 90196 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-47

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 Р L # 90198 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 Ρ L # 90197 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.31 L # 90199

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-423 TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destination Field, destination address.

SugaestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.33 1 # 90200

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-424

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-48

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.6.1.33 L # 90202

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-49

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-425

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.33 P L # 90201

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-49

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.35 P L # 90203

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-427

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-49

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.37 P L # 90204

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-428

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-50

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.39

JGG

Ρ

L

90205

DVJ-429

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-51

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.4 P L # 90146

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-370

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>LINK FAULT...

DVJ1-36

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.6.1.4 P L # 90147

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Enumerated values are listed, but not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the tbd's.

DVJ1-36

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.41 L # 90206 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-430

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list DVJ1-52

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 # 90209 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-433

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-53

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 L # 90207 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-431

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-52

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.42 L # 90208

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-432

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-52

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 L # 90211

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-435

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-53

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 L # 90212

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-436 Comment Type TR

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-53

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.43 L # 90210 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 L # 90213 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-434 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-437 The verbose sentence is hard to follow. The verbose sentence is hard to follow. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with a compact list Replace with a compact list DVJ1-53 DVJ1-54 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. L C/ 30 Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 # 90215 SC 30.3.6.1.45 L # 90218 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-439 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-442 Too many name aliases: Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-54 DVJ1-55 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.44 L # 90214 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 1 # 90216 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-438 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-440 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. The verbose sentence is hard to follow. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. Replace with a compact list DVJ1-54 DVJ1-54 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

DVJ-441

C/ 30

Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.45 L # 90217 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-55

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.46 # 90219

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-443

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-55

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.5 Ρ L # 90148 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-372

Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-36

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 30.3.6.1.5

DVJ-373

DVJ-374

90149

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

Ρ

JGG

L

SugaestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-36

David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 Ρ L SC 30.3.6.1.6 # 90150

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

The verbose sentence is hard to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a compact list

DVJ1-37

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 L # 90153

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-377 Comment Type TR

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType. DVJ1-38

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 L # 90151 C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.9 L # 90154 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-375 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-378 The verbose sentence is hard to follow. Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with a compact list ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-37 DVJ1-38 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р L C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.7 # 90152 C/ 30 Ρ SC 30.3.7.1.2 1 # 90222 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-376 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-446 Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress As corrected above, ==>point to point emulation. DVJ1-38 DVJ1-56 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group. Р Р C/ 30 SC 30.3.6.1.9 L C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 1 # 90155 # 90221 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-379 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-445 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. As corrected above, ==>reconviliation sublayer DVJ1-38 DVJ1-56

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Draft 3.2 stands approved by the ballot group.

Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on text that was unchanged from Draft 3.1 to 3.2. The format in

Proposed Response

DVJ-444

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 P L # 90220

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>UNKNOWN...
DVJ1-56

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.3 P L # 90223

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-447

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-56

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.4 P L # 90224

David V. James JGG

Javiu v. Jaines Joo

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-448

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.5 P L # 90225

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-449

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.6 P L # 90226

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-450

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.7 P L # 90227

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-57

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.7.1.8 P L # 90228

David V. James JGG

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-452

DVJ-459

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-58

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.12 P L # 90234

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-458

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.14 P L # 90235

David V. James JGG

avia v. cambo

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>UNKNOWN...

DVJ1-65

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.15 P L # 90236

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

ent Status R DVJ-460

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-65

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.5.1.16 P L # 90237

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-461

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-66

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.2 P L # 90229

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>MT_GLOBAL...
DVJ1-60

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.4 P L # 90231

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-455

Bad spacing.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Mb/s

DVJ1-61

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This formatting issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.4 P L # 90230

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-454

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above,

==>OTHER... DVJ1-61

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.6 P L # 90233

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-457

Uniform notation for clarity.

Use a distinct line for parameter specifications, TRUE/FALSE, range, default, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

==>

Maximum increment rate: ...

DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.5.1.6 P L # 90232

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-456

Generic terms when a constant value is implied.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>OTHER...

DVJ1-64

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and suggests a departure from the style that is in use in the amended document (802.3-2002 and its amendments). To change here would make this text inconsistent with the existing IEEE 802.3 style.

Cl 31A SC 31A. P L # 90701
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-925

Excessive capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Correct, as shown above.

DVJ1-147

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text

Cl 43B SC 43B.1 P L # 90702

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-926

This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc..

SuggestedRemedy

==>lengthType.

DVJ1-148

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, Length/Type is consistently used in the text of Clause 43, of which this is an annex.

Р C/ 43B SC 43B.1 L # 90703 C/ 45 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-927 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>client DVJ1-148 DVJ1-76 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, MAC Client is REJECT. consistently used in the text of Clause 43, of which this is an annex. Р Cl 45 SC 45. # 90257 kept when appropriate. JGG David V. James Cl 45 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-481 David V. James Excessive capitalization. Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Correct, as shown above. DVJ1-76 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or DVJ1-77 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been Proposed Response kept when appropriate. Ρ # 90259 Cl 45 SC 45.1.1 L by the ballot group David V. James JGG Cl 45 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-483 TR David V. James Excess capitalization. Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>physical laver

DVJ1-76

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

Ρ SC 45.1.1 L # 90258

JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-482

Excess capitalization.

As corrected above, ==>station management.

Response Status U

The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been

Ρ L SC 45.2.1 # 90264 JGG

Comment Status R

DV.J-488

DV.J-487

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

Response Status U

REJECT. The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved

Ρ SC 45.2.1 1 # 90263

JGG

Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-77

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L # 90260 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1 L # 90267 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-484 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-491 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add the table continuation variable. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-78 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. (etc.) DVJ1-77 This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 L # 90265 REJECT. David V. James JGG The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-489 ballot group Excess capitalization. P Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L # 90262 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James As corrected above, ==>register DV.I-486 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ1-77 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Proposed Response Response Status U can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has included in C code. been approved by the ballot group SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 P L # 90266 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-77 JGG David V. James Response Status U Proposed Response TR Comment Status R DVJ-490 Comment Type REJECT. The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot ballot group be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 1 # 90261 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James Do the following: 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment Status R DVJ-485 Comment Type TR 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. DVJ1-78 Proposed Response Response Status U SugaestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column.

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

DVJ1-77

REJECT.

Proposed Response

ballot group

Response Status U

The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the

DVJ-515

DV:J-514

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 L # 90291 David V. James JGG

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG

SC 45.2.1.11

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-511

90287

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

1

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.11 L # 90289

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-513

DVJ-510

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.11 P L # 90286

JGG David V. James

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

is intended or implied.

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.1.11 # 90288 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-512

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 Р L SC 45.2.1.11 # 90290 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-81

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-519

DVJ-516

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 L # 90295 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 L # 90293 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-517 TR

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 Ρ L # 90292 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-83

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 1 # 90294

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P L # 90296 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-520

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change initial footnotes to be:

TR

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-83

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90297

David V. James JGG

DVJ-521 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-522

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90298

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90299

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:1-523

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-84

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90300 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-524

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13

JGG

Ρ

DVJ-525

90301

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with

1

enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-84

David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

TR

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90307

David V. James JGG

DVJ-531

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-85

Comment Type

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 P SC 45.2.1.13 L # 90302 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-526 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

n/a not applicable

DVJ1-84

Proposed Response Response Status U

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90306

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-530

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90305

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-529

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.13** P L **# 90303**David V. James JGG

aria v. damos

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-527

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-532

90308

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

1

Ρ

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

SC is an abbreviation for self clearing

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13 P L # 90304

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-528

DVJ-534

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-85

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 P L # 90310

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 L # 90309 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R

SC 45.2.1.14.1

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-86

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 1 # 90314 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-538

DVJ-533

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only LL is an abbreviation for latching low

DVJ1-86

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 L # 90313 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-537

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading. R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TR The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-86

David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

JGG

1

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14.1 L # 90311

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-535

DVJ-541

DVJ-536

90312

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-86

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 L # 90317

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DV.J1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 L # 90319 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-543 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-87 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.1.15 # 90315 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-539 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) DVJ1-87 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 L # 90318 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-542 Comment Type TR

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.15 P L # 90316

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-540

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

David V. Jairies 300

DVJ-546

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-87

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 P L # 90324

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-548

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Status U

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L # 90321 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.17 1 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-545 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-87 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Proposed Response Response Status U RO is an abbreviation for read only REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has **DVJ1-88** been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 L REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has # 90320 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 L Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-544 SC 45.2.1.18 David V. James JGG Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. ==>reset SuggestedRemedy ==>autoNegotiateEnable Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: (etc.) DVJ1-87 ==>autoNegotiateEnable Proposed Response Response Status U (etc.) REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has **DVJ1-88** been approved by the ballot group Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.17 1 # 90323 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-547 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 Ρ L TR JGG The right column is not centered. David V. James Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Center the right column. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment DVJ1-88 is intended or implied. Response Status U SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response

> Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

90325

90326

90330

DV.J-549

DVJ-550

SC 45.2.1.2.1

TR

SC 45.2.1.2.1

TR

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 1 # 90329 C/ 45 David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-553 Comment Type The right column is not centered. Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with SuggestedRemedy enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-88 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-79 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.18 L # 90328 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Cl 45 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-552 David V. James Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Comment Type can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as included in C code. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-88 Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset Response Status U Proposed Response ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-78 Proposed Response Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.18 L # 90327 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-551 Cl 45 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

DVJ1-88

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group Р SC 45.2.1.2.1 L # 90273 David V. James JGG

Ρ

JGG

Comment Status R

Response Status U

Comment Status R

Response Status U

P

JGG

1

L

90272

90268

DV.J-496

DVJ-492

DVJ-497

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SC is an abbreviation for self clearing DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 L # 90271 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-495 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-79 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 L # 90269 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-493 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-78 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.2.1 Ρ L # 90270 David V. James JGG DVJ-494 Comment Type

Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-78

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90334

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-558

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90331

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-555

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90332

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-556

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-89

Response Status U Proposed Response

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L # 90336

David V. James JGG

TR Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SC 45.2.1.20 Cl 45 Ρ L # 90333 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-557

DVJ-560

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ # 90335 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.20 L JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-559

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SugaestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-89

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.22 David V. James

JGG

DVJ-564

90340

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-90

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

1

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90341 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-565

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-90

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90339

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-563

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-90

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 L # 90338 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.26 L # 90343 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-562 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-567 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-90 DVJ1-91 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ L Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22 # 90337 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.26 L # 90344 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-561 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-568 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-91 (etc.) Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-90 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 L # 90345 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 1 # 90346 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-569 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-570 SuggestedRemedy List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Center the right column. is intended or implied. DVJ1-91 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Change initial footnotes to be: REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has aRO is an abbreviation for read only

been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ1-91

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.26 L # 90342 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 45.2.1.28 David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

DVJ1-91

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 1 # 90352 David V. James **JGG**

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-576

DVJ-566

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 L # 90351 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-575

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

JGG

L

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 L # 90349

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-573

DVJ-574

90350

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-92

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 Ρ L # 90348

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-572

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-92

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 L # 90347 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90353 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-571 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-577 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) (etc.) DVJ1-92 DVJ1-92 Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р C/ 45 Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90364 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90359 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG DVJ-583 Comment Status R DVJ-588 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as is intended or implied. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write ==>reset DVJ1-93 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-93 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 L # 90354 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 1 # 90360 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-578 David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Comment Status R DVJ-584 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. ==>Include a distinct value column. SugaestedRemedy DVJ1-93 ==>Include a distinct value column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-93 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 # 90361 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 1 # 90357 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-585 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-581 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with included in C code. enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-93 DVJ1-93 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90362 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90356 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-586 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-580 The right column is not centered. The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Center the right column. DVJ1-93 DVJ1-93 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 Ρ L # 90363 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 Ρ L # 90355 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-587 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-579 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-93 DVJ1-93 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-582

DVJ-590

DVJ-593

Cl 45

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.30 P L # 90358

David V. James JGG

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

David V. James JGG

SC 45.2.1.31

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-589

1

90365

DVJ-591

DVJ-594

Ρ

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

is intended or implied.

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-93

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90366

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90369

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.31 P L # 90370
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-94

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.31 L # 90368 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.32 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-592 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The right column is not centered. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Center the right column. DVJ1-94 DVJ1-94 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ L Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 # 90374 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.32 L JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-598 Comment Type Comment Status R The right column is not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-95 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-94 Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 Ρ L # 90373 JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Status R DVJ-597 Comment Type TR Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 L Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that David V. James JGG can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-94

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

90372

DVJ-596

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

90371

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

90376

Comment Status R

DV.J-600

DV.J-595

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change initial footnotes to be:

TR

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 73 of 242

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.32

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.32 L # 90375 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James

SC 45.2.1.33

90378

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-95

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.33 Ρ L # 90382 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-606

DVJ-599

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ # 90381 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.33 L JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-605

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SugaestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-602

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

Р

JGG

L

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.33 L # 90380

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-604

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P L # 90377

JGG David V. James

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ-601

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-95

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.33 L # 90379 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.34 1 # 90386 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-603 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-610 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that The right column is not centered. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when SuggestedRemedy included in C code. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-96 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-95 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.34 L been approved by the ballot group # 90387 David V. James JGG C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.34 Ρ L # 90383 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-611 JGG David V. James Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-607 when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-96 ==>reset Response Status U Proposed Response ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-96 Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 Ρ L # 90388 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-612 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 L # 90385 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment David V. James JGG is intended or implied. Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy TR DVJ-609 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-96

Comment Type

can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.34 L # 90384 JGG

David V. James

DVJ-608

DVJ-617

Comment Status R Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

TR

DVJ1-96

Comment Type

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 L # 90389

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-613

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-96

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 1 # 90393 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-97

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.35

JGG

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R

Р

L

L

L

DVJ-614

90390

The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the table continuation variable.

DVJ1-96

David V. James

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff

Editor

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.35

Ρ JGG

90392

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-616

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-97

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved

by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 P

90395

David V. James Comment Type

Comment Status R TR

DVJ-619

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-97

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 76 of 242

Cl 45

SC 45.2.1.35

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 L # 90396 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90399 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-620 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-623 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when is intended or implied. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-97 DVJ1-98 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.35 Ρ L # 90391 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 P L # 90397 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-615 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-621 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) (etc.) DVJ1-98 DVJ1-97 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group by the ballot group Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.35 L Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90394 # 90398 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-618 Comment Type TR DVJ-622 The right column is not centered. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-97 DVJ1-98 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved been approved by the ballot group by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90400 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-624 Comment Type TR The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90401 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-625

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-98

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р CI 45 SC 45.2.1.36 L # 90402 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-626

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SC is an abbreviation for self clearing DVJ1-98

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р 1 # 90405

JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-629

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-100

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 P L # 90404 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-628

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DV.J1-100

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 Ρ L # 90403

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-99

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-627

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.37 L # 90408 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 1 # 90414 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-632 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-638 TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Change initial footnotes to be: a RO is an abbreviation for read only aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-100 DVJ1-101 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group SC 45.2.1.37 C/ 45 Ρ L # 90407 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 P L # 90411 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-631 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-635 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-100 DVJ1-101 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 P C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.37 L # 90406 SC 45.2.1.38 L # 90409 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-630 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-633 The right column is not centered. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-100 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-101

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 1 # 90412

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 L # 90410 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-634

DVJ-636

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.38 Ρ L # 90413 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-637

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-101

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.39

Ρ David V. James JGG

1

90419

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status R

DVJ-643

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-103

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 P L # 90417

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-641

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DV.J1-102

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 P SC 45.2.1.39 L # 90420 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-644

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-103

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 L # 90418 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-642 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-103 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 L # 90416 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-640 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-102 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.39 Ρ L # 90415 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-639 Comment Type TR

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-102

==>reset

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90275

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-499

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90278 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-502

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-79

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90277

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-501

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-79

Proposed Response Response Status U

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 L # 90274 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 1 # 90284 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-498 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-508 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-80 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-79 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90283 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4 1 # 90276 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-507 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Status R DVJ-500 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Center the right column. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when DVJ1-80 included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-79 Proposed Response Response Status U C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 Ρ L # 90279 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-503 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L # 90282 Unclear notation distinction. Sometimes 1.4.1 means a bit number, othertimes it might David V. James JGG mean a subclause number. The distinction is subtle in many cases, and can often be confusing. Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-506 SuggestedRemedy Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Two things: can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. 1) When used to prepresent a bit ==> (1.4.1) 2) When used to represent a subclause==> (see 1.4.1) SuggestedRemedy Here and througout. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-80 DVJ1-80 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L # 90285 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.40 1 # 90424 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-509 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-648 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment The right column is not centered. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-104 aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-80 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.40 L been approved by the ballot group # 90425 David V. James JGG Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 P L # 90280 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-649 David V. James JGG Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-504 when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-104 ==>reset Response Status U Proposed Response ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-80 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 L # 90422 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-646 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.2 L # 90281 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-505 ==>Include a distinct value column. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. DV.J1-104 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has ==>Include a distinct value column.

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ1-80
Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 L # 90421 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 1 # 90432 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-645 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-656 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-105 (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-104 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 P L # 90431 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 1 # 90423 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-655 David V. James JGG Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Comment Status R DVJ-647 Comment Type TR when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when SuggestedRemedy included in C code. Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-105 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-104 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has P C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.41 L # 90430 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.40 # 90426 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-654 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-650 SuggestedRemedy List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Center the right column. is intended or implied. DVJ1-105 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Change initial footnotes to be: REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has aRW is an abbreviation for read/write been approved by the ballot group RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-104 Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 L # 90427 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG

SC 45.2.1.42

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-662

1

90438

DVJ-661

Ρ

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-104

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 L # 90428 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-652

DVJ-651

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.41 1 # 90429 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-653

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-105

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 L SC 45.2.1.42 # 90437 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading. R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ L SC 45.2.1.42 # 90436 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DV.J-660

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-106

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 L # 90435 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43 1 # 90443 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-659 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-667 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-105 DVJ1-107 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 Ρ L # 90433 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.43 P L # 90441 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-657 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-665 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) (etc.) DVJ1-105 DVJ1-107 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.42 L CI 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90434 # 90444 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-658 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-668 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Center the right column. DVJ1-105 DVJ1-107 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90440 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90442 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-664 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-666 The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Add the table continuation variable. DVJ1-107 DVJ1-107 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Editor SC 45.2.1.43 Р L Ρ Cl 45 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90446 # 90439 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-670 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-663 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot is intended or implied. be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Do the following: RO is an abbreviation for read only 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. DVJ1-107 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-107 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.1.43 L # 90445 C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.44 1 # 90450 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-669 TR Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-674 TR Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous The right column is not centered.

when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-107

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 L # 90451 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-675 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-108 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.44 Ρ L # 90449 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-673 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90448

David V. James JGG

avia v. dairies

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.44 P L # 90447

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-671

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-108

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is introduced as implied.

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 P L # 90453

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-677

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-109

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-672

90456

DV.J-680

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 L # 90454 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.48 1 # 90458 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-678 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-682 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when SuggestedRemedy included in C code. ==>Include a distinct value column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-110 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-109 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L been approved by the ballot group # 90461 David V. James JGG C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.46 Ρ L # 90455 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-685 JGG David V. James List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-679 is intended or implied. The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Center the right column. aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-109 DVJ1-110 Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.46 L # 90452 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L # 90457 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-676 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-681 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) (etc.) **DVJ1-110** DVJ1-109 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.48 L # 90459 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.50 L # 90462 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-683 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-686 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset DVJ1-110 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-110 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.48 Ρ L # 90460 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James C/ 45 Ρ 1 SC 45.2.1.50 # 90465 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-684 David V. James JGG The right column is not centered. Comment Status R DVJ-689 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The right column is not centered. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-110 Center the right column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-111 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 Ρ L # 90466 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.50 L # 90464 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-690 David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-688 TR is intended or implied. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Change initial footnotes to be: included in C code. aRO is an abbreviation for read only SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-111 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-110 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.50 L # 90463 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-687 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-110 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 L # 90470 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-694 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-111 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 Ρ L # 90471 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-695 Comment Type TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SugaestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.52 L # 90469 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-693

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 P L # 90467 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-691

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.52 L # 90468

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-692

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-111

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90474 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-698 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-112 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 Ρ L # 90476 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-700 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-112 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90475 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-699 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 1 # 90473

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-697

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90477 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

DV.J-701

DV.J-696

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

LH is an abbreviation for latching high

DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.54 L # 90472

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-112

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 L # 90479 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-703 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 L # 90480 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-704

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-113

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.1.56 L # 90481 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-705

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.56

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-706

90482

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

1

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.56 P L # 90483

Comment Status R

David V. James JGG

TR

DVJ-707

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 Ρ L SC 45.2.1.56 # 90478

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DV.J-702

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-113

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L # 90485 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-709

The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the table continuation variable.

DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff

Editor

SC 45.2.1.57 Ρ L Cl 45 # 90488

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-712

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-114

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L # 90487 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-711

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.57

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-708

90484

The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

1

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff

Editor

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.1.57 1 # 90490

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-714

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L # 90491

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-715

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-114

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 L # 90486 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 L # 90493 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-710 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-717 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The table is continued, but there is no continuation note. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the table continuation variable. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-116 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff (etc.) DVJ1-114 Editor Response Status U Proposed Response SC 45.2.3.1 Ρ Cl 45 L # 90497 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-721 Р 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.57 # 90489 The right column is not centered. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R DVJ-713 Comment Type TR Center the right column. The right column is not centered. DVJ1-116 Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Center the right column. DVJ1-114 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P L # 90498 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has JGG David V. James been approved by the ballot group Comment Status R DVJ-722 Comment Type TR Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 1 # 90492 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous David V. James JGG when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-716 SuggestedRemedy The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot DVJ1-116 be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Proposed Response

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 L # 90495 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-719 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-116 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 L # 90499 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:1-723 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

SuggestedRemedy

is intended or implied.

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SC is an abbreviation for self clearing DVJ1-116

TR

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.3.1 L # 90494 JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-718

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences,

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-116

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 1 # 90496 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when

included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-116

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P L # 90508 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 Ρ L # 90510

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read onlyh

DV.J1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-720

DVJ-732

DVJ-734

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L # 90506 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-730

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L # 90509

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-733

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-118

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L # 90507 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-731

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17 L # 90505

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-729

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Ρ 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 # 90514

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-738

L

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3.18

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-737 TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

90513

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90511

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-735

Field names are not names, but sentence fragments that cause confusion when used as

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-119

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90512

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-736

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.18** P L **90516**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DV.J-740

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write RO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-119

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.18 P L # 90515

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-739

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-119

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90520

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-744

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 P L # 90521

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-745

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 L # 90522 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-746 TR List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be:

aRW is an abbreviation for read/write RO is an abbreviation for read only

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.3.19 # 90517 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-741

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-120

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 Ρ L # 90518 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-742

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19 1 # 90519

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-743

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-120

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 P L # 90525 David V. James JGG

TR

DVJ-749

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DV.J1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

P C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L # 90523 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR

Comment Status R DVJ-747

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-748

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L # 90524 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 45.2.3.20 David V. James

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L # 90527

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-751

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-121

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20 L # 90528 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-752

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write

DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Ρ

JGG

L

DVJ-750

90526

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-121

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90529

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-753

DV.J-755

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90531 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90533 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.22 1 # 90534 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-757 Comment Type TR Comment Status R List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>reset NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over ==>autoNegotiateEnable DVJ1-122 (etc.) DVJ1-122 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.3.21 # 90532 C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3.22 1 # 90536 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-756 Comment Status R Comment Type TR The right column is not centered. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Center the right column. included in C code. DVJ1-122 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DVJ1-122 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has CI 45 SC 45.2.3.21 L # 90530 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Р DVJ-754 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 L Comment Status R Comment Type TR # 90537 David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R ==>Include a distinct value column. The right column is not centered. DVJ1-122 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Center the right column. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DVJ1-122 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-758

DVJ-760

DVJ-761

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.22 L # 90538 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-762

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-122

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.3.22 # 90535 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-759

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-122

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.3.23 L # 90543 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 1 # 90541 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 P L # 90542 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-766

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 Ρ L # 90539

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-767

DVJ-763

DVJ-765

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.23 L # 90540 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-764

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 L # 90546 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-770

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-124

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.24 L # 90545 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-769

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.24

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-768

90544

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

1

SugaestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-123

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3.24 1 # 90548

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DV.J-772

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DV.J1-124

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.24 Ρ L # 90547

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-771 Comment Type TR

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 L # 90551 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-775 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-124 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90550 JGG David V. James DVJ-774 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-124 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 Ρ L # 90552 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-776 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90553

David V. James JGG

avia v. camos

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-777

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only

NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.25 P L # 90549

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-773

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-124

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 P L # 90555

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-779

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-782

DVJ-780

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 L # 90558

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.3.26 # 90554

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-778

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26 L # 90556

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.26

David V. James JGG

TR Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ

1

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90564

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-788

DVJ-781

90557

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be:

aRO is an abbreviation for read only

NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90562

JGG David V. James

TR Comment Status R DVJ-786 Comment Type

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

DVJ-784

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90560 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90561 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-785

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-125

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.27 L # 90559 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-783

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-125

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.27

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-787

90563

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

Ρ

1

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.28 P L # 90566

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-790

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 Ρ L # 90565

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as

variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-789

DVJ-793

Cl 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.28 L # 90569 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG

SC 45.2.3.4

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.3.28 # 90568 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-792

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column. DVJ1-126

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.3.28 L # 90567 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-791

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-126

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-728

90504

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

1

Ρ

SugaestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.4 P L # 90501 David V. James JGG

DVJ-725 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DV.J1-118

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 Ρ L # 90500 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-724

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.) DVJ1-117

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 L # 90503 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-727 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-118 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4 L # 90502 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-726 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-118 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 L # 90573 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-797 The right column is not centered.

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ1-127

Proposed Response

Center the right column.

been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SugaestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) DVJ1-127 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.6.1 David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-127 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1

L # 90572 David V. James JGG

L

1

90570

90571

DV:J-794

DVJ-795

Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-796 TR Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that

can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-127

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status U

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.1 # 90574 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.10 1 # 90614 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-798 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-838 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-127 DVJ1-135 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.1 Ρ L # 90575 CI 45 SC 45.2.6.10 P L # 90617 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-799 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-841 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write aRW is an abbreviation for read/write SC is an abbreviation for self clearing DVJ1-135 DVJ1-127 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 P SC 45.2.6.10 L # 90616 C/ 45 Ρ L SC 45.2.6.1.1 # 90576 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-840 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-800 TR Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous An s in isolation is confusing, particularly given the use of s for other purposes, as in when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with book(s) or s in equations (see 63B.3.1). There is no need to abbreviate here, as there are enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. no table width constraints or figure boxes that mandate conservation of horizontal space. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. As corrected above. ==>seconds DVJ1-135 DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response

old style has been kept when appropriate.

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document

(802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 L # 90612 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90621 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-836 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-845 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as The right column is not centered. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-136 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-135 Response Status U Proposed Response Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90618 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-842 Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 L # 90613 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as David V. James JGG variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Comment Status R DVJ-837 SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. ==>reset SuggestedRemedy ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>Include a distinct value column. (etc.) DVJ1-135 DVJ1-135 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.10 1 # 90615 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90620 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:1-839 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-844 TR The right column is not centered. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when SuggestedRemedy included in C code. Center the right column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-135 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-135 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.11 L # 90622 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 1 # 90626 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-846 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-850 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment The right column is not centered. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-136 aRO is an abbreviation for read only Proposed Response Response Status U NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has DVJ1-136 been approved by the ballot group Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.12 L # 90627 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.6.11 # 90619 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-851 JGG David V. James List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-843 SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Change initial footnotes to be: SuggestedRemedy aRO is an abbreviation for read only ==>Include a distinct value column. MW is an abbreviation for multi-word DVJ1-135 DVJ1-136 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.6.12 L # 90623 SC 45.2.6.12 L # 90624 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-847 Comment Status R DVJ-848 TR Comment Type TR Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences, SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-136 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.)

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ1-136

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.12 L # 90625 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.13 L David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-849 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. included in C code. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. ==>reset DVJ1-136 ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-136 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.13 Ρ L # 90629 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James C/ 45 Ρ 1 SC 45.2.6.13 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-853 David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The right column is not centered. ==>Include a distinct value column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-137 Center the right column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-137 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13 Ρ L # 90632 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.6.13 L Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-856 David V. James JGG List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment Comment Type Comment Status R TR is intended or implied. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that SuggestedRemedy can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Change initial footnotes to be: included in C code. aRO is an abbreviation for read only SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-137 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-137

> Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Page 112 of 242

90628

90631

90630

DV.J-852

DVJ-855

DV.J-854

Cl 45

SC 45.2.6.13

Cl **45** SC **45.2.6.2** P L # **90578**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-802

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Res

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl **45** SC **45.2.6.2** P L # **90579**David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DV.J-803

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.2 P L # 90577

David V. James JGG

avia v. barries

TR

DVJ-801

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

Comment Type

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Comment Status R

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper. DVJ1-128

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

avid v. James JGC

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-804

Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following:

==>reset

==>autoNegotiateEnable

(etc.)

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-808

C/ 45

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 L # 90584 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG

SC 45.2.6.3

List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied.

SuggestedRemedy

Change initial footnotes to be: aRO is an abbreviation for read only DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 Ρ L # 90581 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-805

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.3 Ρ L # 90583 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-807

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-806

90582

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

L

Р

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

CI 45 SC 45.2.6.5 P L # 90585 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

DVJ-809

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-129

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.5 L # 90586 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-810 Comment Type TR

David V. James

C/ 45

Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries.

Р

JGG

1

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ1-130

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

P CI 45 SC 45.2.6.5 L # 90587 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R DV:J-811

DVJ-814

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate. 22.2.4.3.1 has the exact format

P C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.6 1 # 90590 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code.

SuggestedRemedy

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Comment Status R

SC 45.2.6.6

DVJ-813

DVJ-815

DVJ-816

90589

SuggestedRemedy

==>Include a distinct value column.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.6 L # 90591

David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

The right column is not centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 P L # 90592

David V. James JGG

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with

enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC.

SuggestedRemedy

Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

DVJ1-130

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.6 L # 90593 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.7 1 # 90597 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-817 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-821 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment The right column is not centered. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-132 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-130 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.7 L # 90595 been approved by the ballot group David V. James JGG SC 45.2.6.6 Cl 45 Ρ L # 90588 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-819 David V. James JGG Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-812 SuggestedRemedy Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-131 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has ==>autoNegotiateEnable been approved by the ballot group (etc.) Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 P L DVJ1-130 # 90599 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has TR Comment Status R DVJ-823 Comment Type been approved by the ballot group List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 L # 90598 SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG Change initial footnotes to be: Comment Status R DVJ-822 Comment Type TR aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous RO is an abbreviation for read only when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with LH is an abbreviation for latching high enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. DVJ1-132 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ1-132

Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.7 L # 90596 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90601 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-820 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-825 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when SuggestedRemedy included in C code. ==>Include a distinct value column. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-133 Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-131 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L been approved by the ballot group # 90603 JGG David V. James C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.7 Ρ L # 90594 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-827 JGG David V. James The right column is not centered. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-818 SuggestedRemedy Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Center the right column. DVJ1-133 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has ==>autoNegotiateEnable been approved by the ballot group (etc.) Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 P L # 90604 DVJ1-131 JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Comment Status R DVJ-828 Comment Type TR been approved by the ballot group Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90602 enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-826 Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that DVJ1-133 can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when Proposed Response Response Status U included in C code. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has SuggestedRemedy been approved by the ballot group Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

DVJ1-133 Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.8 L # 90605 C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.9 1 # 90610 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-829 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-834 Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with is intended or implied. enumeration value notation (ALL_CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-133 DVJ1-134 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group SC 45.2.6.8 Cl 45 Ρ L # 90600 Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 P L # 90606 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-824 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-830 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>reset ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) (etc.) DVJ1-133 DVJ1-133 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90609 # 90607 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-831 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-833 Comment Type TR The right column is not centered. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-134 DVJ1-133 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9 L # 90608 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90635 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-832 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-859 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. included in C code. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-133 DVJ1-137 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group C/ 45 SC 45.2.6.9 Ρ L # 90611 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P L # 90637 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-835 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-861 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: Change initial footnotes to be: a RO is an abbreviation for read only aRW is an abbreviation for read/write DVJ1-134 DVJ1-138 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ # 90636 Cl 45 P C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.2 L SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90633 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-860 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-857 The right column is not centered. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences, SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-138 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-137

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 L # 90634 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90640 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-858 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-864 Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-137 DVJ1-138 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ L Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2.1 # 90638 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90644 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-862 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-868 Excess capitalization. List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>forward error correction Change initial footnotes to be: DVJ1-138 aRW is an abbreviation for read/write Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-138 ACCEPT. Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 Ρ L SC 45.2.7.3 # 90639 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90643 DVJ-863 Comment Type Comment Status R JGG David V. James Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-867 SuggestedRemedy Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. ==>reset ==>autoNegotiateEnable SuggestedRemedy (etc.) Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW. DVJ1-138 DVJ1-138 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 L # 90642 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.4 L David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-866 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The right column is not centered. Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center the right column. Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: DVJ1-138 ==>reset Proposed Response Response Status U ==>autoNegotiateEnable REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has (etc.) been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-139 Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ L Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3 # 90641 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has David V. James JGG been approved by the ballot group Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-865 C/ 45 Ρ 1 SC 45.2.7.4 Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when David V. James JGG included in C code. Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-138 ==>Include a distinct value column. Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-139 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.3.1 L # 90645 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James C/ 45 Ρ SC 45.2.7.4 L Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-869 David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Type Comment Status R TR SuggestedRemedy Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that As corrected above, ==>forward error correction can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when DVJ1-138 included in C code. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above.

been approved by the ballot group

DVJ1-139 Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

ACCEPT.

Page 121 of 242

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4

90646

90647

90648

DVJ-870

DVJ-871

DV.J-872

DVJ1-140

Proposed Response

SC 45.2.7.6

Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.4 L # 90649 C/ 45 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-873 The right column is not centered. SuggestedRemedy Center the right column. DVJ1-139 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.4 L # 90650 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-874 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-139 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ CI 45 SC 45.2.7.6 L # 90654 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-878 Comment Type TR The right column is not centered.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Values are hidden in verbose text, which acts as a pseudo-column of entries. SuggestedRemedy ==>Include a distinct value column. DVJ1-139 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.6 L David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R Names for enumerated values are text strings, not recognizable enumeration names that can be differentiated from English words (when used in text), nor parsed legally when included in C code. SuggestedRemedy Use terms, like DISABLED, as shown above. DVJ1-140 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 L JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R Using the same name for the column heading and entries is confusing and ambiguous when properties are listed elsewhere. Also, the initials RW are more consistent with enumeration value notation (ALL CAPS), as is done for SC. SuggestedRemedy Except for the column heading, R/W ==> RW.

SuggestedRemedy

Center the right column.

DVJ1-140

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Response Status U

Р

JGG

1

90652

90653

90655

DVJ-876

DV.J-877

DVJ-879

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 122 of 242

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6

Р C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.6 L # 90656 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-880 List the notation in separate lines, for clarity. And, avoid the use of =, since on assignment is intended or implied. SuggestedRemedy Change initial footnotes to be: aRW is an abbreviation for read/write NR is an abbreviation for non roll-over DVJ1-140 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Р C/ 45 L SC 45.2.7.6 # 90651 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-875 Field names are not names, but sentence fragements that cause confusion when used as variables within code, equations, pseudo code, or compound English sentences. SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case run-together italics words for all new definitions, like the following: ==>autoNegotiateEnable (etc.) DVJ1-139 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Cl 45 SC 45.5 Ρ L # 90657 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-881 Comment Type TR The split between Clause and 45 makes this confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Put a nonbreaking space in here.

DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff **Editor**

Р C/ 45 SC 45.5 1 # 90658

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-882

The title is too long and will (most likely) overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The text in its present format is inherited from the amended document (802.3ae-2002 or 802.3ak-2004). To maintain harmony with the amended document, the old style has been kept when appropriate.

C/ 45 P SC 45.5.4.3 L # 90661 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-885

These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column.

DV.J1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.4.3 L # 90662

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Value/Comment field is blank and useless.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Place appropriate content within this cell.

2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".

DVJ1-141

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

DVJ-886

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.5.4.3 L # 90660 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.15 L # 90688 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-884 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-912 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. Center them. DVJ1-141 DVJ1-143 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Р Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.4.3 L # 90663 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.15 L # 90689 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-887 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-913 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot SuggestedRemedy be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Enlarge this column width. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-141 Do the following: Proposed Response Response Status U 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. been approved by the ballot group DVJ1-143 Proposed Response Response Status U Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.15 Ρ L # 90687 REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff JGG David V. James Editor DVJ-911 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.15 L # 90685 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-909 Either: The Subclause. Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-143 Center them. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-143 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response

been approved by the ballot group

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Р Р C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.15 L # 90686 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.16 L # 90691 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-910 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-915 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Center them. DVJ1-143 DVJ1-143 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.16 L # 90693 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.16 L # 90694 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-917 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-918 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Enlarge this column width. DVJ1-143 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-143 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 Ρ L # 90699 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.16 L # 90692 Comment Status R DVJ-923 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. DVJ-916 Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. Enlarge this column width. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-144 Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-143 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.17 L # 90698 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.2 David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-922 Comment Type TR Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. DVJ1-141 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". Proposed Response DVJ1-144 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has C/ 45 been approved by the ballot group David V. James Ρ 1 Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.17 # 90696 Comment Type JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-920 SuggestedRemedy The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Center them. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-141 Center them. Proposed Response DVJ1-144 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Cl 45 been approved by the ballot group David V. James Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.17 L # 90697 Comment Type TR David V. James JGG The Value/Comment field is blank and useless. DVJ-921 Comment Status R Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. SuggestedRemedy Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. DVJ1-144 DVJ1-141 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has

been approved by the ballot group

Comment Status R DVJ-890 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group Ρ SC 45.5.5.2 L # 90665 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-889 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group SC 45.5.5.2 P L # 90667 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-891

Р

JGG

L

90666

- 1) Place appropriate content within this cell.
- 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle".

Response Status U

REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 L # 90673 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.3 L # 90671 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-897 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-895 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot SuggestedRemedy be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Fither: SuggestedRemedy 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. Do the following: 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. DVJ1-142 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-142 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 L # 90672 Editor David V. James JGG Р Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 1 # 90669 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-896 David V. James JGG The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. Comment Status R DVJ-893 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Enlarge this column width. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-142 Center them. Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-142 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 1 been approved by the ballot group # 90675 David V. James JGG Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.3 L # 90670 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-899 TR David V. James JGG The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-894 SuggestedRemedy These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. Center them. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-142 Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-142 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Response Status U Proposed Response been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group

Р Р Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 L # 90676 C/ 45 SC 45.5.5.6 L # 90681 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-900 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-905 These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. Fither: DVJ1-142 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-142 REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Proposed Response Response Status U been approved by the ballot group REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.5 L # 90677 been approved by the ballot group JGG David V. James Cl 45 Ρ SC 45.5.5.6 L # 90680 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-901 David V. James JGG Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-904 SuggestedRemedy These PICS are arbitrarily different from the remainder, for no apparent reason. Either: SuggestedRemedy 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". Move the Value/Comment column to the right of the Subclause column. DVJ1-142 DVJ1-142 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group Ρ Ρ Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 L Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 1 # 90682 # 90679 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG DVJ-903 Comment Status R DVJ-906 Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR TR The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. Center them. DVJ1-142 DVJ1-142 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has REJECT. REJECT - The suggested change is editorial and refers to the style that has been approved by the ballot group been approved by the ballot group

Р SC 56.1 Р Cl 45 SC 45.5.5.6 L # 90683 C/ 56 L # 90708 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-907 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-932 The dark line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply Excess capitalization. that the table has completed. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot SugaestedRemedy be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. As corrected above, ==>physical medium dependent SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-149 Do the following: Proposed Response Response Status U 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-143 Cl 56 SC 56.1 P L # 90709 Proposed Response Response Status U JGG David V. James REJECT. REJECT - This formating issue is expected to be addressed by the IEEE Staff Editor Comment Status R DVJ-933 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Р 1 C/ 56 SC 56.1 # 90706 David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>passive optical networks Comment Status R DVJ-930 Comment Type TR DV.J1-149 Excess capitalization. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. As corrected above. ==>control DVJ1-149 Ρ Cl 56 SC 56.1 L # 90710 JGG Proposed Response Response Status U David V. James REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment Status R DVJ-934 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. C/ 56 SC 56.1 Ρ L # 90707 David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>reconciliation DVJ-931 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ1-149 Excess capitalization. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. As corrected above, ==>physical layers DVJ1-149 Ρ Cl 56 SC 56.1 1 # 90712 JGG Proposed Response Response Status U David V. James REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-936 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>P2P topologies DVJ1-149 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 129 of 242

C/ 56 SC 56.1

Cl 56 SC 56.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90705	Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90714
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-929	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-938
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-149	=>media access control.			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-150	->optical network units		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
CI 56 SC 56.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90713	C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90716
Comment Type TR Figure text should be A	Comment Status R Arial 8-point font; some of this	appears to be 1	<i>DVJ-937</i> 0 or 12 point.	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-940
SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point DVJ1-149				SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-150	:>multi-point		
Proposed Response REJECT. This is consist	Response Status U stant with other similar diagram	ns.		Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
Cl 56 SC 56.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90711	Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# <mark>9</mark> 0717
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-935	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-941
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-149	=>operations, administration, a	and maintenand	ce	SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-150	:>control protocol		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90715	C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90718
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-939	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-942
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-150	=>physical medium attachmer	t		SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, == DVJ1-150	>optical line terminall		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 130 of 242

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1

CI 56 SC 56.1.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <mark>90719</mark>	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90725
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-943	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-949
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>I DVJ1-151	reconciliation sublayer			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-151	passive optical network d	ownstream	
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on	Response Status U unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is or	Response Status U n unchanged text.		
CI 56 SC 56.1.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90720	CI 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90722
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-944	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-946
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>0 DVJ1-151	clientl			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-151	bidirectional		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on	Response Status U unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is or	Response Status U n unchanged text.		
CI 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90723	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90724
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-947	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-948
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>I DVJ1-151	upstreaml			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-151	downstreaml		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on	Response Status U unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is or	Response Status U n unchanged text.		
Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90721	CI 56 SC 56.1.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90726
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-945	Comment Type TR The Rate, Nominal, and	Comment Status R Clause columns should be	e centered.	DVJ-950
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==> DVJ1-151	physical layer			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-152			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on	Response Status U unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is or	Response Status U n unchanged text.		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 131 of 242

C/ 56 SC 56.1.3

Р C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 L # 90727 CI 57 SC 57.1.1 JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-951 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> Nominal reach. DVJ1-152 DVJ1-154 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. of the subclause. C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 Ρ L # 90728 CI 57 SC 57.1.4 JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-952 TR Comment Type Comment Status R Blank cells cannot be easily differentiated from TBDs, which they represent elsewhere in Excess capitalization. this draft (subclause numbers that have not yet been specified, for example). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>link aggregation ==> Place an em dash in these cells. DVJ1-155 DVJ1-152 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Consistent with previous tables. Ρ CI 57 SC 57. L # 90730 CI 57 SC 57.1.4 JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-954 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Excessive capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct, as shown above. As corrected above, ==>control DVJ1-154

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name

Proposed Response

of the subclause.

Р L # 90731 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-955

As corrected above, ==>operations, administration, and maintenance

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name

Ρ L # 90732 JGG DVJ-956

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 43.

L # 90733 JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-957

DVJ1-155

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 31.

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.1.5.2 L # 90734 CI 57 SC 57.2.11.4 L # 90744 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-958 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-968 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>discoveryl As corrected above, ==>discoveryl DVJ1-155 DVJ1-167 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this usage is consistent REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-958. in 1.4 and the balance of 57. Cl 57 SC 57.2.12 P L # 90745 Р L CI 57 SC 57.1.5.3 # 90735 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-969 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-959 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>unidirectional As corrected above, ==>flow control DV.J1-168 DVJ1-156 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this is the proper name of the subclause as defined in Clause 31. Ρ CI 57 SC 57.2.2 L # 90737 JGG David V. James CI 57 SC 57.2.1 L # 90736 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-961 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-960 The intermixture of lower-case and ALLCAPS callouts is discouraged by IEEE Style SuggestedRemedy manual. As corrected above, ==>link aggregationI DVJ1-156 SuggestedRemedy Eliminate the ALLCAPS callouts, here and throughout. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-156 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-956. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ CI 57 SC 57.2.2 1 # 90738 REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this style is widely used JGG David V. James by 802.3. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-962 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>control DVJ1-156 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-959.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 133 of 242

CI 57 SC 57.2.2

Р CI 57 SC 57.2.4 L # 90739 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-963 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>discovery DVJ1-157 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-958. CI 57 SC 57.2.5.1.4 Ρ L # 90740 JGG David V. James DVJ-964 Comment Type TR Comment Status R This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. DVJ1-158 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. Ρ CI 57 SC 57.2.6 L # 90741 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-965 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>control DVJ1-161

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, it is the proper name of the function.

Response Status U

Proposed Response

Р CI 57 SC 57.2.8.2.2 L # 90743 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-967 Inconsistent name with respect to the definition.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Slow_Protocols_Multicast DVJ1-163

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - despite some inconsistency, no ambiguity exists as to the value of the address

CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.2.8.2.2 # 90742 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-163

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. In addition, this parameter is patterned after those in Clause 2.

P CI 57 SC 57.3.1.1 1 # 90746

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-970 Bad reference pointer; I can't find the OAMPDU subtype in 802.3-2002 Table 43B-3...

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Point to appropriate revision (perhaps this one?). DVJ1-168

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Annex 43B will be changed concurrently with the publication of 57 thereby avoiding reference issues.

DVJ-966

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.3.1.1 L # 90747 CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 L # 90750 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-971 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-974 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. Eliminate here, expand where used. DVJ1-168 DVJ1-172 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974. REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. CI 57 SC 57.3.1.2 Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 P L # 90748 # 90751 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-972 TR Comment Status R DVJ-975 Comment Type TR Comment Type This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. Eliminate here, expand where used. DVJ1-169 DV.J1-172 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974. Ρ Ρ CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 L # 90749 CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 L # 90752 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-973 Comment Status R DVJ-976 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR What kind of arithmetic is being used? This doesn't appear to be C code, with the What kind of code is that? This doesn't appear to be C code. illegetimate use of '/' and '=' assignments, and the syntax is unknown to me. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Either: 1) Define the formal coding style. 1) Define the syntax. 2) Delete these lines 2) Delete these lines DVJ1-172 DVJ1-172 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT. Reject - the comment is not on changed text. An alias is used to beautify otherwise cluttered state diagrams. Furthermore, the notation used is consistent with IEEE 802.3-2002/Table 21-2.

Р CI 57 SC 57.3.1.3 L # 90753 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-977

DVJ-980

This appears to be some abstract define statement, not a message.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate here, expand where used.

DVJ1-172

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-974.

CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.3.1.5 # 90754

JGG David V. James

DVJ-978 Comment Type TR Comment Status R

An s in isolation is confusing, particularly given the use of s for other purposes, as in book(s) or s in equations (see 63B.3.1). There is no need to abbreviate here, as there are no table width constraints or figure boxes that mandate conservation of horizontal space.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>seconds

DVJ1-173

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, timers in 802.3 always use an abbreviation for the interval (e.g., s. ms. us. ns. etc.)

CI 57 SC 57.4.1 Ρ L # 90756 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Too many name aliases:

DA. destination address. Destination Address. destinationField. destination address.

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-179

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. DA is a well-known abbreviation and is found in D3.2/1.5.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.1 L # 90758 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-982

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-179

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 L SC 57.4.1 # 90755 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-979 Comment Type TR

No need to mention the SA.

SuggestedRemedy

==>deleted

DVJ1-178

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, while mention is unnecessary, it is also doesn't hurt either.

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.4.1 L # 90757 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90767 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-981 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-991 TR This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy. Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, DestinationField, etc. Also, not easy to SuggestedRemedy parse and DA looks like a constant. Fither: SuggestedRemedy 1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context ==>destinationAddress, here and througout. dependent identifier. DVJ1-181 Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any Proposed Response Response Status U number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB. Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 Ρ L # 90760 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper. **JGG** David V. James DVJ1-179 DVJ-984 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Proposed Response Response Status U Too large font. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. SuggestedRemedy Р CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90761 Use 8-point font for all text, including "Common..., as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. JGG David V. James DVJ1-180 Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-985 Proposed Response Response Status U Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. SuggestedRemedy SC 57.4.2 Ρ CI 57 L # 90769 Center the fields, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-180 David V. James **JGG** Proposed Response Response Status U DV.I-993 Comment Type TR Comment Status R REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. SuggestedRemedy Р Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 1 # 90759 ==>lengthType. JGG David V. James DVJ1-181 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV:J-983 Proposed Response Response Status U Excess capitalization. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. SuggestedRemedy Ocetes==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

DVJ1-180 Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90765 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-989 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Nonstandard font size (I think). Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use #8 point Arial, as done in changed illustration. Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-180 DVJ1-180 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 Ρ L # 90773 Cl 57 Ρ SC 57.4.2 1 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James DVJ-997 Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R The FCS all caps is confusing, since this has also been listed as an acronym. All caps Vague cross-reference: Slow_Protocols_Type is a constant, not a field value. normally implies a constant value also. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout. More accurate reference, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-181 DVJ1-181 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90772 Cl 57 SC 57.4.2 Ρ L JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-996 Comment Type TR There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired. '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-181 The value for the field should be placed on the right, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

90764

90770

90762

DV.J-988

DV.J-994

DVJ-986

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90768 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L # 90771 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-992 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-995 TR There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all Too many name aliases: SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)... constant. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-181 ==>sourceAddress, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. DVJ1-181 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.3 1 # 90774 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 Ρ L # 90766 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DV.J-998 Comment Type TR DVJ-990 Comment Type TR Comment Status R This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. Unclear text; the field name should be on the left, not an unnecessary a-z) listing. Also, the SuggestedRemedy field values cannot be easily cross-referenced later. ==>lengthType. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-183 Use a definition-like style, as done in changed illustration. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-181 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 Ρ L # 90781 JGG David V. James CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.4.2 # 90763 Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1005 David V. James JGG There is no need to capitalize "Information Type" field names, since the capitalization Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-987 doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a SuggestedRemedy range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

DVJ1-180

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. In addition, canonical format is widely used within 802.3.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

DVJ1-184

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 139 of 242

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90776 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90775 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1000 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-999 Too large font. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Fither: 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 2) Use this new illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-184 DVJ1-184 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. CI 57 Р Ρ SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90786 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90789 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1010 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1013 There is no need to capitalize "OAM Configuration" field names, since the capitalization There is no need to capitalize "Vendor Specific Info" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-185 DVJ1-185 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Р CI 57 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L SC 57.4.3.1 1 # 90782 # 90779 JGG David V. James David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1006 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1003 There is no need to capitalize "Information Length" field names, since the capitalization Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Either: DVJ1-184 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. Response Status U Proposed Response DVJ1-184 REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Р Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L # 90791 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1015 Comment Type TR Comment Status R There is no need to capitalize "OAMPDU Configuration" field names, since the This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric

number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 # 90777 David V. James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status R

DVJ-1001

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 # 90785

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1009

There is no need to capitalize "State" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

DVJ-1011

capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 1 # 90790

David V. James **JGG**

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 Ρ L SC 57.4.3.1 # 90788

David V. James **JGG**

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1012

90787

DVJ-1014

There is no need to capitalize "OUI" field names, since this really refers to something else (a specific value assigned by the IEEE, not this specific field).

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90783

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1007

DV.J-1002

There is no need to capitalize "OAM Version" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-185

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

C/ 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90780

David V. James JGG

David v. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1004

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

C/ 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P L # 90778

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-184

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P192 L01 # 99319

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.0 #735

The need for uniqueness of an OUI based identifier is best met by utilizing the EUI-48 or EUI-64 definitions, so that each organization doesn't have to understand the context when assigning such numbers to the requesting division.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the OUI and Vendor Specific Information field to be either 48-bit or 64-bit fields, defined to be an EUI-48 or EUI-64.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

During the November meeting of the RAC (see notes below) the following decisions were established

_ _ .

INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERS REGISTRATION AUTHORITY COMMITTEE (RAC)

INTERIM MEETING MINUTES

From: 13 November 2003

Location: Hyatt Regency Albuquerque

Boardroom North 330 Tijeras

Albuquerque, New Mexico

Decision 111303 RAC-04: EUI-48 and 64-bit identifiers are appropriate for instance identification.

Decision 111303 RAC-05: Protocol identifiers in addition to 48 and 64 bits are acceptable to use an OUI followed by N Octet, subject to the constraint for the expected consumption rate, the number space can never be consumed.

- - -

The combination of the OUI and Vendor Specific Information fields does not constitute a unique 56-bit identifier.

The purpose of the Vendor Specific Information field is not instance identification, but rather class identification.

The meaning of the bits in the Vendor Specific Information field is out of scope.

The Vendor Specific Information field _may_ be used to differentiate amongst a vendor's product models and versions. It is not a serial number or anything like unto a serial number.

See also response to comment #737.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P192 L 01 # 99318 James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.0 #736

In many cases (often 802 related), the ordering of bits in the OUI is rather ambiguous. As such, the IEEE/RAC requires that standards clearly define the mappings of an example hex field, as is done in the online tutorials.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a clear example of how the OUI is mapped, using an hex example.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a bullet to 57.4.1 to read:

"The bit/octet ordering of any OUI field within an OAMPDU is identical to the bit/octet ordering of the OUI portion of the DA/SA. Additional detail defining the format of OUIs can be found in IEEE Std 802-2001 Clause 9."

Modify Figure 57-14 by removing the bit ordering example.

Modify Table 57-10 by removing the second sentence.

Modify other references as appropriate.

Remove other references to 802-2001 Clause 9.

P196 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 / 16 # 99320

James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D3.0 #737 The need for uniqueness of an OUI based identifier is best met by utilizing the EUI-48 or EUI-64 definitions, so that each organization doesn't have to understand the context when

assigning such numbers to the requesting division.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the OUI and following data, so that this starts with an EUI-48 or EUI-64 value. Otherwise, multi-division organizations will have to define their own subparsing conventions, which is prone to error (some have already happened with Japanese vendors and parts of 1394/AVC that do this type of thing).

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Governance of the internal behavior of multi-division organizations is entirely out of scope of the IEEE standards activities.

See also response to comment #735.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P196

L 24

99321

James. David Comment Type

JGG

D3.0 #738

The IEEE/RAC defines OUIs as HEX values. Given the confusion between leftmost being first, or the first transmitted bit being first, any descriptions in terms of bits and/or bit ordering should be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the binary text: the hex values are sufficient.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Comment Status A

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TR

See comment #736, which removes the bit ordering example.

CI 57 P 197 SC 57.4.3.1 L 40 # 99322

James. David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R D3.0 #739

D3.0 #740

Given the inconsistencies/ambiguities of the OUI definitions within 802.3. any definition should be self-contained, not cross referencing something else.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the OUI cross reference to:

found in IEEE Std 802-2001 Clause 9.

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #736, which moves the reference to 802-2001 Clause 9 to 57.4.1.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P199 L 23 # 99323

JGG James, David

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In many cases (often 802 related), the ordering of bits in the OUI is rather ambiguous. As such, the IEEE/RAC requires that standards clearly define the mappings of an example hex

field, as is done in the online tutorials.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a figure with the classical HEX-value example.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove second sentence. Also, see #736.

CI 57

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.1 P 200 L 09 # 99324 James, David JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A David V. James JGG

SC 57.4.3.2

TR

D3.0 #741

In many cases (often 802 related), the ordering of bits in the OUI is rather ambiguous. As such, the IEEE/RAC requires that standards clearly define the mappings of an example hex field, as is done in the online tutorials.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a figure with the classical HEX-value example.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #736, which removes bit ordering examples of OUIs.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 # 90805 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1029

DV.J-1019

There is no need to capitalize "Event Running Total" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SugaestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-187 Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90795 JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

of another field name.

DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

Comment Type

1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

Comment Status R

Ρ

1

90792

DVJ-1016

2) Use this new illustration.

Excess capitalization.

DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90794

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1018

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90796

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

DVJ-1020

CI 57

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L # 90797 David V. James JGG

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

SC 57.4.3.2

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1024

1

There is no need to capitalize "Event Time Stamp" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

Р

JGG

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-186

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 Р 1 # 90798 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1022

DVJ-1021

There is no need to capitalize "Event Type" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-186

Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

P CI 57 1 SC 57.4.3.2 # 90799

David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R

TR

DV.J-1023

There is no need to capitalize "Event Length" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SugaestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-187

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. SugaestedRemedy

David V. James

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-187

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90801

JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1025

DVJ-1026

90800

There is no need to capitalize "Window" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-187

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 Ρ L # 90802

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no need to capitalize "Threshold" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help

in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-187

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L # 90803 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 L # 90807 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1027 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1031 There is no need to capitalize "Errors" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in Too large font. all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Fither: Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. DVJ1-187 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-188 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57. Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 1 # 90793 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 L JGG # 90813 David V. James JGG David V. James DVJ-1017 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1037 Too large font. There is no need to capitalize "Variable Leaf" field names, since the capitalization doesn't SuggestedRemedy help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Either: SugaestedRemedy 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 2) Use this new illustration. Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-186 DVJ1-188 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Р CI 57 Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.2 L SC 57.4.3.3 1 # 90804 # 90806 JGG David V. James David V. James **JGG** DV.J-1028 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1030 There is no need to capitalize "Error Running Total" field names, since the capitalization Excess capitalization. doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. DVJ1-187 2) Use this new illustration. DV.J1-188 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

REJECT. Reject - Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 L # 90812 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1036

DV:J-1034

There is no need to capitalize "Variable Branch" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SugaestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-188

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 # 90811 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1035

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 L # 90810 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SugaestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.3 1 # 90808

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1032

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 Ρ L SC 57.4.3.3 # 90809 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type

DV.J-1033

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-188

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 L # 90817 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1041

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

P SC 57.4.3.4 L Cl 57 # 90814 JGG

David V. James

Comment Status R DVJ-1038 Comment Type TR

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 L # 90815 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1039

Too large font.

SuggestedRemedy Fither:

- 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 1 # 90816

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Ρ L Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.4 # 90823 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1047

DVJ-1040

There is no need to capitalize "Value" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-190

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 1 # 90818

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1042

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DV.J1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

DVJ-1043

DV.J-1044

DV.J-1046

90822

CI 57

David V. James

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 L # 90819 David V. James JGG

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a

range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no need to capitalize "Leaf" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

1

90821

DVJ-1045

DV.J-1051

Р

JGG

SugaestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-190

Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

SC 57.4.3.4

Ρ Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 # 90826

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1050

Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Ρ CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 # 90827

JGG David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-191

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-189

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 Ρ 1 # 90820 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

There is no need to capitalize "Branch" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

SuggestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-190

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

P CI 57 SC 57.4.3.4 JGG

David V. James

TR

Comment Status R

Comment Type There is no need to capitalize "Width" field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in

all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).

1

SugaestedRemedy

Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-190

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment not on changed text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 149 of 242

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.5

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 # 90825 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 1 # 90824 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1049 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1048 Too large font. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Fither: 1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout. 2) Use this new illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-191 DVJ1-191 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57. OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57. Р 1 CI 57 Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 SC 57.4.3.5 # 90828 # 90829 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1053 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1052 TR Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Fither: 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-191 DVJ1-191 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987 REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987 Ρ Р L SC 57.4.3.6 L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.5 # 90830 CI 57 # 90833 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1054 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1057 There is no need to capitalize "OAM Remote Loopback command" field names, since the Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures. capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. 1) Center the fields, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-191 2) Use this new illustration. DVJ1-193 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Field centering is consistent with other

OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 L # 90832 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1056 Too large font. SuggestedRemedy

Fither:

1) Use 8-point font for all text, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response

Response Status U

Comment Status R

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Font size is consistent with other OAMPDU figures throughout Clause 57.

Р L CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 # 90840 David V. James JGG

TR

DV.J-1064

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-194

Response Status U Proposed Response

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 L # 90837

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-1061

There is no need to capitalize "OUI" field names, since the capitalization has specific other meanings, defined by the IEEE/RAC.

SuggestedRemedy

Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 L # 90834 David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part

of another field name.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) The value for the field should be placed in a note, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - Format of name/value of fields is consistent with other OAMPDU figures

throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P # 90836

JGG David V. James

Comment Status R Comment Type TR

DVJ-1060

DVJ-1058

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example, its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

Ρ 1 CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 # 90831 JGG David V. James

Comment Status R TR

Comment Type DVJ-1055

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Octets==>octets, as done in changed illustration, here and throughout.

2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Octets is consistent with other uses throughout Clause 57.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90839

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1063

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-194

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90835

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1059

Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

- 1) Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration.
- 2) Use this new illustration.

DVJ1-193

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Reject - see comment DVJ-987

CI 57 SC 57.4.3.6 P L # 90838

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1062

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-194

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90841

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1065

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90842

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1066

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P L # 90843

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1067

The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value.

SuggestedRemedy

Use something else to represent a null value.

DVJ1-195

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not defined as a null value.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90844

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1068

This description runs counter to the IEEE/RAC policy.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

1) Use all 24 bits of the OUI, plus a minimum of one additional byte, for a context dependent identifier.

Also, provide a well defined definition of "context" throughout this draft, so that its meaning is clear to any

number assignment service, operating within a company, the LMSC, or the MSC.

- 2) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which is easier to maintain context (there is none) and can be derived from an IAB.
- 3) Use an EUI-48 identifier, which can be purchased from independent suppliers, several magnitudes cheaper.

DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Cl 57 SC 57.5.2.4 P L # 90845
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1069

This description is too vague. The ambiguity of 802 definitions has recently general several maintenance requests. Folks don't even use these things, or hope to get a symmetric number, since they are so poorly defined.

SuggestedRemedy

An accurate definition, with specific bit mappings is required by the IEEE/RAC. You can look for specific illustrations from their on-line tutorials (ok, but somewhat underdone), or check out the latest P802.17 draft (preferred), to see what level of graphic detail is desired.

DVJ1-196

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text.

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.5.2.4 L # 90846 CI 57 SC 57.7 L # 90849 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1070 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1073 The value of zero is owned by Xerox and cannot be used to represent a null value. The split between Clause and 45 makes this confusing. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use something else to represent a null value. Put a nonbreaking space in here. DVJ1-196 DVJ1-206 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. Furthermore, the value zero is not REJECT. Reject - the draft does not have a split between clause and 57. defined as a null value. CI 57 SC 57.7.2.3 P L # 90853 Р L CI 57 SC 57.5.3.7 # 90847 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1077 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1071 Many of the Value/Comment cells are blank and useless. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: As corrected above, ==>media access control 1) Place appropriate content within this cell. DVJ1-201 2) Add a note: "TBD: Will be defined in the followon maintenance cycle". DVJ1-206 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format Ρ L CI 57 SC 57.6.4 # 90848 CI 57 SC 57.7.2.3 P L JGG # 90852 David V. James David V. James JGG DVJ-1072 Comment Type Comment Status R TR Comment Status R DVJ-1076 Comment Type TR The shorthand notation in the title is confusing. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clarify by listing each variable distinctively, as shown above. DVJ1-205 Center them. DVJ1-206 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - comment is not on changed text. REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format P L CI 57 SC 57.7 # 90850 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1074 The title is too long and will (most likely) overflow automatic TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal title for the PICS section

DVJ1-206

Proposed Response

Р # 90854 Р CI 57 SC 57.7.3 L CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 L # 90855 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1078 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1079 Excess capitalization Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct as shown above. Correct as shown above. DVJ1-206 DVJ1-206 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT. Accept REJECT. Reject - this is the name of the subclause and follows 802.3 practice. SC 57.7.3.2 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 Ρ L CI 57 P L # 90857 # 90860 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1081 Comment Status R DVJ-1084 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-207 DVJ1-207 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS table format REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format Ρ Ρ CI 57 SC 57.7.3.1 L # 90858 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.2 L # 90861 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status A Comment Status R DVJ-1085 Comment Type TR DVJ-1082 Comment Type TR The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix Enlarge this column width. them now, since its easy to do. DVJ1-207 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-207

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. AIP - IEEE staff editor will fix pagination, orphan rows of PICS tables

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 # 90866 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 1 # 90863 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1090 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1087 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix Center them. them now, since its easy to do. DVJ1-207 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-207 CI 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P L # 90869 David V. James JGG Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, AIP - IEEE staff editor will fix pagination, orphan rows of PICS Comment Status R DVJ-1093 Comment Type TR tables The LIT8 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates... SC 57.7.3.3 Ρ Cl 57 # 90865 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James Make these have two-line entries, like below: X.X.X Comment Status R DVJ-1089 Comment Type TR (Table 57-8) The PDU8 & PDU9 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates... That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. DVJ1-208 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Make these have two-line entries, like below: X.X.X REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, (Table 57-6) tables and figures. That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. Cl 57 SC 57.7.3.4 P DVJ1-207 L # 90868 David V. James JGG Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1092 tables and figures. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Ρ CI 57 SC 57.7.3.3 L # 90864 SuggestedRemedy David V. James JGG Center them. DVJ1-207 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1088 Proposed Response Response Status U The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format SuggestedRemedy

Response Status U

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-207 Proposed Response

Р Р CI 57 SC 57.7.3.5 L # 90871 CI 57 SC 57.7.4 L # 90877 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1095 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1101 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The ET7 subclause cell is not a subclause, as the header indicates.. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Make these have two-line entries. like below: DVJ1-208 X.X.X (Table 57-12) Proposed Response Response Status U That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format DVJ1-208 Proposed Response Response Status U CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.7.3.7 # 90873 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, JGG David V. James tables and figures. Comment Status R DVJ-1097 Comment Type TR Р CI 57 SC 57.7.5 L # 90881 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy TR Comment Status R DVJ-1105 Comment Type Center them. The VAR7 subclause cell is not a subclause, as the header indicates... DVJ1-208 Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format Make these have two-line entries, like below: X.X.X Ρ (Table 57-16) CI 57 SC 57.7.4 L # 90875 That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. JGG David V. James DVJ1-208 Comment Status R DVJ-1099 Comment Type TR Proposed Response Response Status U The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format. Cross-references include subclauses, tables and figures. SuggestedRemedy Center them. CI 57 Ρ L SC 57.7.5 # 90879 DVJ1-208 David V. James JGG Proposed Response Response Status U Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1103 REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Р CI 57 SC 57.7.4 1 # 90876 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James Center them. DVJ1-208 Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1100 TR The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. DVJ1-208

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Page 157 of 242

CI 57 SC 57.7.5

DVJ-1104

Cl 57 SC 57.7.5 P L # 90880
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge this column width.

DVJ1-208

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Reject - this is the normal PICS format

Cl 58 SC 58. P L # 90883

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1107

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-210

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 58 SC 58.1 P218 L9 # 99331

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A BB D3.0 #780

Sentence is very disjointed and needs better clarification.

SuggestedRemedy

Change second sentence of paragraph to read:

A 100BASE-LX10 and 100BASE-BX10 PHY (physical layer) device is a combination of a 100BASE-X PCS and PMA with the respective PMD. If the optional OAM is being used, the 100BASE-X PCS and PMA in Clause 66 shall be integrated; otherwise, the Clause 24 100BASE-X PCS and PMA shall be integrated. The management functions may be accessible through the optional Management Interface.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context.

The second sentence will be changed to:

A PMD is connected to the 100BASE-X PMA of Clause 24 or the 100BASE-X PMA of 66.1, and to the medium through the MDI. A PMD is optionally combined with the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 22 or by other means.

Cl 58 SC 58.1 P252 L8 # <u>815</u>

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

I agree with unsatisfied D3.1 comment #558.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement a complete specification of the components of a port, if not in the location recommend by #558, in some other clause.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment supports an unresolved negative comment from a previous ballot. The concensus of the ballot group is to leave the text unchanged. No further action is required.

C/ 58 SC 58.1 P252 L8 # 99354

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.1 #558 Three clauses

The response for D3.0 comments #780, 786 and 787 cause me some concern. The response states that "As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context." Considering all other 100BASE-X and 1000BASE-X PMDs use shalls in this context, the response is very misleading. In looking through D3.1, I have found no compliance statement related to the port types associated with the PMD. There is nothing within this draft that mandates which PCS/PMA shall be used by the Clause 58, 59 and 60 PMDs to create a compliant port type.

SuggestedRemedy

Reconsider the responses to comments #780, 786 and 787 in D3.0.

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Each one of the clauses 58, 59, and 60, defines only the PMD not a complete port and cannot make requirements outside the PMD.

Will refer to PMA in 66, where option to be identical to clause 24, and connection to PCS, will be found.

Clauses 56 and 66 make it very clear what is needed to build a port.

Change "A PMD is connected to the 100BASE-X PMA of Clause 24 or the 100BASE-X PMA of 66.1," to "A PMD is connected to the 100BASE-X PMA of 66.1,".

Similarly in 59 and 60. Remove 59.10.3 and 60.10.3 PICS "PCS". In 60.1, change "appropriate 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 66" to "appropriate 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 65".

CI 58 S David V. Jame	SC 58.10	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90903	Cl 58 SC 58 David V. James	8.10.2	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90908
Comment Type		Comment Status R		DVJ-1127	_	TR (Comment Status R		DVJ-113
,,		will overflow automatic TOC	generation.	DV0-1121			d Support columns shou	ıld definitely be	
SuggestedRen Make it sm DVJ1-233	nedy naller, as sugg	jested above.			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-233				
Proposed Resp REJECT.		Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comr		Response Status U inchanged text.		
CI 58 S David V. Jame	SC 58.10	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90902	C/ 58 SC 58	8.10.2.1	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>90910</u>
Comment Type The "Claus		Comment Status R re unnaturally split across li	nes.	DVJ-1126	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Comment Status R d Support columns shou	ıld definitely be	DVJ-113 centered.
SuggestedRen Use a non DVJ1-233	<i>nedy</i> breaking spac	ce.			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-234				
Proposed Resp REJECT. (Response Status U n unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comr		Response Status U inchanged text.		
C/ 58 S David V. Jame	SC 58.10.1	P JGG	L	# 90904	CI 58 SC 58	8.10.2.2	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90912
Comment Type Excess ca	e TR pitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1128	,,		Comment Status R d Support columns shou	ıld definitely be	DVJ-113 centered.
SuggestedRen	nedy				SuggestedRemedy				
As correcte DVJ1-233		medium dependent			Center them. DVJ1-234				
Proposed Resp REJECT. (Response Status U n unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comr		Response Status U unchanged text.		
Cl 58 S David V. Jame	SC 58.10.1.3	P JGG	L	# 90906	CI 58 SC 58	8.10.2.3	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90914
Comment Type The Subcla		Comment Status R and Support columns shoul	d definitely be ce	DVJ-1130 entered.	,,		Comment Status R d Support columns shou	ıld definitely be	DVJ-113 centered.
SuggestedRen Center the DVJ1-233	nedy				SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-234				
Proposed Resp		Response Status U n unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comr		Response Status U		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 159 of 242

Cl 58 SC 58.10.2.3

Р Р C/ 58 SC 58.10.2.4 L # 90916 C/ 58 SC 58.10.2.7 L # 90923 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1140 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1147 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-234 DVJ1-235 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 58 SC 58.10.2.5 Ρ L # 90918 SC 58.10.2.7 Р CI 58 L # 90924 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1142 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1148 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Enlarge this column width. DVJ1-234 DVJ1-235 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 58 SC 58.10.2.5 Ρ L # 90919 David V. James JGG CI 58 SC 58.10.2.7 P L # 90925 Comment Status R JGG Comment Type TR DVJ-1143 David V. James The comma's are unnecessary in the Subclause column, since they are vertically listed.. Comment Status R DVJ-1149 Comment Type TR The PDU8 & PDU9 entries are not subclauses, as the header indicates.. SuggestedRemedy Center them. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-234 Make these have two-line entries, like below: Proposed Response Response Status U X.X.X (Table 57-6) REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. That is more convenient for the reader, and the column is thus properly labeled. DVJ1-235 SC 58.10.2.6 Р C/ 58 1 # 90921 Proposed Response JGG Response Status U David V. James REJECT. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1145 Comment is actually against Clause 57. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-234

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Response Status U

Page 160 of 242

Cl 58

SC 58.10.2.7

Р Р C/ 58 SC 58.2.3 L # 90884 C/ 58 SC 58.4 L # 90887 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1108 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1111 Excess space. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, delete the space after the em dash. As corrected above, ==>control DVJ1-213 DVJ1-214 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. The comment is on non-existent text. Р L Ρ L C/ 58 SC 58.3.1 # 90885 CI 58 SC 58.4.1 # 90891 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1109 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1115 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. =>split these rows. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Cl 58 Ρ L SC 58.4.1 # 90888 DVJ1-214 **JGG** David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1112 REJECT. Don't intermix two table rows, this confuses the reader Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy CI 58 SC 58.3.2 Ρ L # 90886 =>add this distinct column JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ-1110 Comment Type TR Comment Status R REJECT. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Comment is on unchanged text. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix CI 58 SC 58.4.1 Ρ 1 # 90895 them now, since its easy to do. David V. James JGG SuggestedRemedy TR Comment Status R DVJ-1119 Comment Type Do the following: This title line seems strange 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-214 =>retitle this as "parameter". Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 161 of 242

Cl 58 SC 58.4.1

Р Р C/ 58 SC 58.4.1 L # 90894 C/ 58 SC 58.4.1 L # 90889 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1118 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1113 This title line seems strange. Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy =>retitle this as "parameter". =>split these rows. Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L # 90893 Cl 58 Р L C/ 58 SC 58.4.1 SC 58.4.1 # 90890 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1117 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1114 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Use distinct rows when necessary; don't hide this from the reader imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. =>split these rows. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Р CI 58 SC 58.4.2 L # 90896 DVJ1-215 David V. James JGG Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ-1120 REJECT. Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment is on unchanged text. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other CI 58 SC 58.4.1 Ρ L # 90892 published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix JGG David V. James them now, since its easy to do. Comment Status R DVJ-1116 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Mbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps mega-baud?) Do the following: 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. SuggestedRemedy 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. describe this in a footnote! DVJ1-216 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 58 SC 58.7.1.1 L # 90897 C/ 58 SC 58.7.11.2 L # 90900 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1121 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1124 This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.. Should be using alphabetic characters when making lists, not kludge with 2nd level indent. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>a), b), ...I ==>lengthType. DVJ1-218 DVJ1-229 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Ρ L C/ 58 SC 58.7.1.1 CI 58 SC 58.9.4 # 90898 # 90901 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1122 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1125 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Excess capitalization. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. As corrected above, ==>Medium dependent interface DVJ1-232 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. Comment is on unchanged text. DVJ1-218 Cl 59 SC 59. P L # 90927 Proposed Response Response Status U JGG David V. James REJECT. Comment Status R DVJ-1151 Comment Type TR Comment is on unchanged text. Excessive capitalization. CI 58 Ρ L SC 58.7.1.1 # 90899 SuggestedRemedy JGG David V. James Correct, as shown above. DVJ-1123 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ1-236 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Proposed Response Response Status U imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other REJECT. published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. Comment is on unchanged text.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-219

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 59 SC 59.1 P256 L7 # 99335

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A BB D3.0 #786

Second sentence of second paragraph is very disjointed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change second sentence of paragraph to read:

A 1000BASE-LX10 and 1000BASE-BX10 PHY (physical layer) device is a combination of a 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA with the respective PMD. If the optional OAM is being used, the 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA in Clause 66 shall be integrated; otherwise, the Clause 36 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA shall be integrated. The management functions may be accessible through the optional Management Interface.

Proposed Response Status **U**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context.

The second sentence will be changed to:

A PMD is connected to the 1000BĀSE-X PMA of Clause 36, and to the medium through the MDI. A PMD is optionally combined with the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 22 or by other means.

 CI 59
 SC 59.1.4
 P
 L
 # 90928

 David V. James
 JGG

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 DVJ-1152

Excess capitalization.

As corrected above, ==>medium dependent

DVJ1-237

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 59 SC 59.10 P L # 90950
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1174

The "Clause" and "58" are unnaturally split across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a nonbreaking space.

DVJ1-250

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10 P L # 90951

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1175

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-250

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.1 P L # 90952

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The text that referred to Clause 59 was out-of-date.

SuggestedRemedy

Use an explicit cross-reference, to ensure accuracy, as was done above.

(This looks funny now, due to the marked changes, but these will disappear when changes are finalized.)

DVJ1-250

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.10 P L # 90971

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-251

. _

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

DVJ-1176

DVJ-1195

Р Р C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.11 L # 90973 C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.5 L # 90959 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1197 Comment Type TR DVJ-1183 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Top-line delimiter is too thick. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Top of LX4 row ==> very thin. DVJ1-252 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Ρ L C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.3 # 90954 C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.5 # 90958 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1178 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1182 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-250 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.4 Ρ L CI 59 SC 59.10.2.6 Ρ L # 90956 # 90962 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1180 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1186 Comment Type TR Comment Type The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Top-line delimiter is too thick. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Top of BXD3 row ==> very thin. DVJ1-250 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.6 L # 90961 C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.8 L # 90967 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1185 Comment Type TR DVJ-1191 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-251 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Ρ L C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.7 # 90964 C/ 59 SC 59.10.2.9 # 90969 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1188 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1193 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-251 DVJ1-251 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Cl 59 SC 59.10.2.7 Ρ L CI 59 SC 59.3.1 Ρ L # 90965 # 90929 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1189 TR Comment Status A DVJ-1153 Comment Type TR Comment Type Top-line delimiter is too thick. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Top of BXU3 row ==> very thin. ==>8 point DVJ1-251 DVJ1-240 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment is on unchanged text. change figure font size to be consistent with Figure 60-

C/ 59 Р Р SC 59.4 1 # 90930 C/ 59 SC 59.4.2 1 # 90931 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1154 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1155 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Bad line break. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SugaestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix As corrected above, ==>use nonbreaking hyphen them now, since its easy to do. DVJ1-240 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: REJECT. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. Comment is on unchanged text. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-240 Р L C/ 59 SC 59.4.2 # 90932 Proposed Response Response Status U David V. James JGG REJECT. Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1156 Comment is on unchanged text. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Cl 59 P L SC 59.7.1 # 90934 imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other JGG published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix David V. James them now, since its easy to do. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1158 SuggestedRemedy Vague references to apparent clause numbers. Do the following: SuggestedRemedy 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. As corrected above. DVJ1-241 DVJ1-242 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 59 Р L C/ 59 P L SC 59.4.2 # 90933 SC 59.7.12 # 90947 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1157 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1171 The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) Excess capitalization. imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other SuggestedRemedy published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do. As corrected above, ==>bit error ratio tester DVJ1-245 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Do the following: 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

Proposed Response Status **U**

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

REJECT.

DVJ1-241

Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 167 of 242

C/ 59

SC 59.7.12

Р Р C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 L # 90946 C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 L # 90937 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1170 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1161 The right three columns should be centered. Excessive capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Binary ==> binary DVJ1-243 DVJ1-242 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L SC 59.7.2 Р L C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 # 90935 C/ 59 # 90938 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1159 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1162 The 1st column looks wrong: Excessive capitalization. number of octlets is the label but action statements are the entries. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Number of Octlets==> Number of octlets Clarify. DVJ1-242 DVJ1-242 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. There also appears to be a typo in the suggested remedy, as "Octlets" does not appear in the referenced text. Р C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 1 # 90936 Ρ L C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 # 90939 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1160 Comment Status R DVJ-1163 Comment Type TR The right three columns should be centered. The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) SuggestedRemedy imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other Center them. published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix DVJ1-242 them now, since its easy to do. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Do the following: Comment is on unchanged text. 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows. 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis. DVJ1-243 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 168 of 242

C/ 59

SC 59.7.2

				•					
C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90942	C/ 59 SC 59.7.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90941		
Comment Type TR Excessive capitalizat	Comment Status R		DVJ-1166	Comment Type TR Excessive capitalization	Comment Status R		DVJ-1165		
SuggestedRemedy Binary ==> binary DVJ1-243				SuggestedRemedy Disparity ==> disparity DVJ1-243	,				
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unch	Response Status U anged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on uncha	Response Status U nged text.				
CI 59 SC 59.7.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90945	Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90944		
Comment Type TR Excessive capitalizat	Comment Status R		DVJ-1169	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1168 The 1st column looks wrong: number of octlets is the label but action statements are the entries.					
SuggestedRemedy Number of Octlets== DVJ1-243				SuggestedRemedy Clarify. DVJ1-243					
	o be a typo in the suggested re			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on uncha	Response Status U nged text.				
C/ 59 SC 59.7.2	appear in the referenced text.	L	# 90940	Cl 59 SC 59.7.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 90943		
David V. James Comment Type TR Wrong spelling, base	JGG Comment Status A on IEEE Dictionary and prece	eding table	DVJ-1164	Comment Type TR Excessive capitalization SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R		DVJ-1167		
SuggestedRemedy Hexa-decimal==> He DVJ1-243	exadecimal			, Repeat ==> , repeat DVJ1-243					
Proposed Response ACCEPT. Hexa-decimal -> hex	Response Status U			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on uncha	Response Status U				

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 169 of 242

C/ 59

SC 59.7.2

Р Р C/ 59 SC 59.9.1 L # 90948 C/ 60 SC 60. L # 90976 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1172 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1200 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be Times. Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==> PMD sublaver and medium for long wavelength passive optical networks. ==>Arial DVJ1-247 DVJ1-253 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style Comment is on unchanged text. consistent with existing approved style. Ρ L Ρ C/ 59 SC 59.9.4 # 90949 C/ 60 SC 60.1 L # 90977 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1173 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1201 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>dependent interface Forward Error Correction==>forward error correction DVJ1-248 DVJ1-253 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60. Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 286 L 9 # 90975 # 99339 JGG David V. James Booth, Brad Intel Comment Status R DVJ-1199 Comment Status A BB D3.0 #787 Comment Type Comment Type TR Excess capitalization Last sentence of first paragraph seems disjointed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Medium Dependent==>medium dependent, if nothing else is changed. Change second sentence of paragraph to read: DVJ1-253 A 1000BASE-PX10-D and 1000BASE-PX10-U PHY (physical layer) device is a combination of a 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA with the respective PMD. If the optional Proposed Response Response Status U OAM is being used, the 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA in Clause 66 shall be integrated; REJECT. otherwise, the Clause 36 1000BASE-X PCS and PMA as modified by 65.3 shall be Comment is on unchanged text. integrated. The management functions may be accessible through the optional Management Interface. Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. As this is a PMD clause, a shall is not appropriate in this context. The second sentence will be changed to:

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause Page 170 of 242 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 60.1

A 1000BASE-PX-U PMD or a 1000BASE-PX-D PMD is connected to the appropriate 1000BASE-X PMA of Clause 66, and to the medium through the MDI. A PMD is optionally

combined with the management functions that may be accessible through the

management interface defined in Clause 22 or by other means.

Cl 60 SC 60.1.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 90981	CI 60 SC 60.1.1 P David V. James JGG	L # 90978
Comment Type TR Merge columns for clar	Comment Status R		DVJ-1205	Comment Type TR Comment Status R The reader should not be forced to interpolate column or re-	DVJ-1202 ow lines
SuggestedRemedy Unstraddle into distinct DVJ1-254 Proposed Response REJECT.	t max/min rows **Response Status U			SuggestedRemedy Either 1) Swap the order of rows (as illustrated). 2) Don't merge any rows or column, if this forces interpola DVJ1-254	tion.
Comment is on unchar	nged text.			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.	
C/ 60 SC 60.1.1	Р	L	# 90988	Comment is on unchanged text.	
David V. James	JGG			C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 P	L # 90980
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		DVJ-1212	David V. James JGG	
Excessive capitalizatio	on			Comment Type TR Comment Status R	DVJ-1204
SuggestedRemedy Downstream=>downst	ream			Merge columns for clarity	
DVJ1-254	ieam			SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response	Response Status U			Unstraddle into distinct max/min rows. DVJ1-254	
REJECT. Comment is on unchar	nged text.			Proposed Response Response Status U	
C/ 60 SC 60.1.1	Р	L	# 90987	REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.	
David V. James	JGG			C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 P	L # 90982
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		DVJ-1211	David V. James JGG	- " 30302
Blanks cells look too m	nuch like a missprint or editor	ial error.		Comment Type TR Comment Status R	DVJ-1206
SuggestedRemedy				Don't intermix units within one row	
Fill all blank cells with a DVJ1-254	an em dash.			SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status U			Include units in the distinct column DVJ1-254	
Comment is on unchar	nged text.			Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 171 of 242

C/ 60 SC 60.1.1

Р # 90983 Р C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 L C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 L # 90984 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1207 Comment Type TR DVJ-1208 Excessive capitalization Table rows with one-word values should be centered SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy This is a generic word in this context==>include units in the distinct column Center these four columns DVJ1-254 DVJ1-254 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #228. If added, style REJECT. consistent with existing approved style. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ L Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 # 90985 C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 # 90979 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1209 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1203 Table rows with one-word values should be centered Implied columns have been merged. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this columns Provide a distinct Type column. DVJ1-254 DVJ1-254 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.1.1 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.1.2 Ρ L # 90986 # 90991 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1210 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1215 Excessive capitalization Figure interrupts the text flow. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Upstream=>upstream Place figure marker at the end of paragraph, as is normally done. DVJ1-254 DVJ1-256 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.1.2 L # 90989 C/ 60 SC 60.10 L # 91096 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1213 Comment Type TR DVJ-1320 Inconsistent usage: IEEE Style guide say don't mix all-caps and lowere case The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Eliminate all caps (except for acronyms) names within the figure Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-256 DVJ1-283 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.1.2 Р L Р L C/ 60 # 90990 C/ 60 SC 60.10 # 91095 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1214 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1319 Excessive capitalization The "Clause" and "60" are unnaturally split across lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Medium ==> medium Use a nonbreaking space. DVJ1-256 DVJ1-283 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.10.1 Ρ L # 90992 # 91097 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1216 Comment Status R DVJ-1321 Comment Type Comment Type TR The text that referred to Clause 59 was out-of-date. Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Medium Dependent==>medium dependent Use an explicit cross-reference, to ensure accuracy, as was done above. DVJ1-257 DVJ1-283 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style REJECT. consistent with existing approved style. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 60 SC 60.10.3 David V. James	P JGG	L	# <mark>91099</mark>	CI 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P L # 91105 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR These rows should defi	Comment Status nitely be centered.	R	DVJ-1323	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1329 The wrapping Status entries are confusing.
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-284				SuggestedRemedy adjust the column to be slightly wider. DVJ1-286
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchan	Response Status ged text.	U		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.
C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# <u>91101</u>	C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 P L # 91104 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR These rows should defi	Comment Status nitely be centered.	R	DVJ-1325	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1328 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers.
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-285				SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space after table. DVJ1-286
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchan	Response Status	U		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.
C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91103	CI 60 SC 60.10.4.3 P L # 91107 David V. James JGG
Comment Type TR	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1327	Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1331 These rows should definitely be centered.
These rows should defi SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-286	nitely be centered.			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-287
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchan	Response Status	U		Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.3 L # 91108 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.4 L # 91114 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1332 Comment Type TR DVJ-1338 Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers. The wrapping Status entries are confusing. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space after table. adjust the column to be slightly wider. DVJ1-287 DVJ1-288 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.3 # 91109 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.4 # 91112 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1333 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1336 The wrapping Status entries are confusing. These rows should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Adjust the column to be slightly wider. Center them. DVJ1-287 DVJ1-288 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.3 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.4 Ρ L # 91110 # 91113 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** TR Comment Status A DVJ-1334 Comment Status R DVJ-1337 Comment Type Comment Type The D notation is used in the U specification. Use a nonbreaking space to avoid confusion between table names and subclause numbers. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change all circled D==>U. Use a nonbreaking space after table. DVJ1-287 DVJ1-288 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT. REJECT. Uppercase D->U in Table 60.10.4.3 Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 60 SC 60.10.4.5 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L # <u>91111</u>	C/ 60 David V. Ja	SC 60.10.4.6 mes	<i>P</i> JGG	L #	91120
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status R are confusing.	E	OVJ-1342 Comment 7 These r	ype TR Comment ows should definitely be cen	t Status R tered.		DVJ-1344
SuggestedRemedy adjust the column to be slight DVJ1-289	ly wider.		Suggestedf Center DVJ1-2	them.			
Proposed Response Res REJECT. Comment is on unchanged to	sponse Status U		<i>Proposed R</i> REJEC Comme	'	Status U		
Cl 60 SC 60.10.4.5 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L # <u>91111</u>	6 C/ 60 David V. Ja	SC 60.10.4.7 mes	<i>P</i> JGG	L #	91122
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status R be centered.	Ĺ	OVJ-1340 Comment 7 These i	ype TR Comment ows should definitely be cen	t Status R tered.		DVJ-1346
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-289			Suggestedf Center DVJ1-2	them.			
Proposed Response Res REJECT. Comment is on unchanged to	ext.		Proposed R REJEC	response Response T. Comment is on unchange	Status U d text.		
CI 60 SC 60.10.4.5 David V. James	P JGG	L # 91111	David V. Ja		<i>P</i> JGG	L #	91125
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status R avoid confusion between to	_	umbers.	ows should definitely be cen	t Status R itered.		DVJ-1349
SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space aftor DVJ1-289	er table.		Suggestedf Center DVJ1-2	them.			
	sponse Status U		Proposed R REJEC Comme		Status U		

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 L # 90994 C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 L # 90999 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1218 Comment Type TR DVJ-1223 Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading. The font within figures should be 8-point Arial, not Times (or whatever was used). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change font to Arial. Bulkheads==>bulkheads. DVJ1-259 DVJ1-259 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L Р L C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 # 90997 C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 # 90998 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1221 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1222 Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used. Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Signal Detect ==>signalDetect, here and throughout Channel==>channel. DVJ1-259 DVJ1-259 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 Ρ L # 90993 # 90995 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Status R DVJ-1217 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1219 Comment Type TR Comment Type Excessive capitalization; capitalize only the first word of a heading. Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Cord==>cord. Tx Enable ==>txEnable, here and throughout DVJ1-259 DVJ1-259 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.2.3 L # 90996 C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 L # 91005 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1220 Comment Type TR DVJ-1229 The font size within figures should be 8-point Arial, as per IEEE Style Guide. The blank cell looks wrong. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change font to 8-point, and also Arial, here and throughout. Straddle these two cells. DVJ1-259 DVJ1-260 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 P L # 91001 Р L C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 # 91003 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1225 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1227 Columns with only numbers or one word should be centered. Excessive and inconsistent capitalization; table capitalization is correct and different. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column. Signal Detect Threshold==>signal detect threshold. DVJ1-260 DVJ1-260 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ # 91004 C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 L JGG David V. James C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 Ρ L # 91000 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1228 Comment Type TR Inconsistent table-row reference. Comment Status R DVJ-1224 Comment Type Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy sensivity==>sensivity OMA. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-260 Signal==>signal DVJ1-260 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Suggested remedy is technically incorrect. Comment is on unchanged text.

REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.2.4.3 L # 91002 C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1226 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Signal names are hard to identify, since multiple conflicting conventions are used. Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Signal_Detect ==>signalDetect, here and throughout Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value. DVJ1-260 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 P L SC 60.3 Р L C/ 60 # 91006 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1230 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc vertical spacing and parenthesis technique. Excessive length heading SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustrated. 1000BASE-PX10-D and 1000BASE-PX10-U==>1000BASE-PX10-D/U DV.J1-262 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. This text does not exist. For adding please refer to #226. If added, style consistent with existing approved style. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L JGG David V. James C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L # 91017 JGG Comment Status R David V. James Comment Type TR Print the bottom line when table splits across pages. Comment Status R DVJ-1241 Comment Type TR Blank cells look like misprint or errors. SuggestedRemedy This is a two-step process: SuggestedRemedy 1) Fix the templates, to draw a very-thin line on bottom of the page. Put em dash in all blank cells, here and throughout. 2) Manually force a thin line on the last line of the table. DVJ1-262 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

91012

91018

91013

DVJ-1236

DVJ-1242

DVJ-1237

SC 60.3.1 Р SC 60.3.1 Р C/ 60 L # 91015 C/ 60 L # 91007 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1239 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1231 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center this column Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated. DVJ1-262 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 P L # 91014 SC 60.3.1 Р L C/ 60 # 91011 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG TR Comment Status R DVJ-1238 Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1235 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column Describe this in a footnote! DVJ1-261 DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 Ρ L # 91008 JGG David V. James C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 Ρ L # 91010 JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1232 David V. James Comment Type TR Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Comment Status R DVJ-1234 Comment Type TR Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader. SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-261 Add the distinct "type" column. DVJ1-261 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 1 # 91016 Comment is on unchanged text. David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1240 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy Center this column DVJ1-262 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT.

Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 180 of 242

C/ 60 SC 60.3.1

Р SC 60.3.2 Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 L # 91020 C/ 60 L # 91022 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1244 Comment Type TR DVJ-1246 Tpm amd Tpff are really the same, but this is not clear. Small numbers or words should be centered, as per style guidelines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Straddle the rightmost pair of cells, as illustrated.. Center these columns. DVJ1-262 DVJ1-264 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91027 Р L C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 # 91009 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG TR Comment Status R DVJ-1251 Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1233 Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) Common vertical cells should be straddled. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Describe this in a footnote! Straddle the dBm cells, as illustrated. DVJ1-265 DVJ1-261 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 60 Ρ SC 60.3.2 L # 91033 JGG David V. James C/ 60 SC 60.3.1 Ρ L # 91019 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1257 Comment Type TR Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Comment Status R DVJ-1243 Comment Type TR Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc bracket-sequencing technique. SuggestedRemedy Center this column SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-265 Use two rows, as illustrated. DVJ1-262 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 1 # 91021 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1245 This heading row is redundant, with no meaning. SuggestedRemedy Delete this row, include text "(nm)" at end of table title. DVJ1-264 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 181 of 242

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91023 C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91032 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1247 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1256 Bold font seems to imply special meaning, but the meaning is not apparent. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use regular text in these columns. Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-264 DVJ1-265 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.3.2 C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91028 # 91034 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1252 Comment Status R DVJ-1258 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value. Center this column DVJ1-265 DVJ1-265 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91026 C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 Ρ L # 91024 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1250 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR DVJ-1248 Blank line looks like a mistake. Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the distinct "type" column. DVJ1-265 1) Put something in here that helps explain its meaning. 2) Delete this row. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-264 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Р REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 1 # 91031 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1255 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-265

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Response Status U

Page 182 of 242

Р SC 60.3.2 Р C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 L # 91030 C/ 60 L # 91036 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1254 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1260 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Merging the two columns makes the reader interpolate the between-column line. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Unstraddle the two columns, replicate the cell text. Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-265 DVJ1-265 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.3.2 C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 P L # 91025 # 91029 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1249 Comment Status R DVJ-1253 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Don't force the reader to interpolate row-dividing lines. The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Unstaddle these column cells and either: Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated. 1) Replicate the cell contents, (preferred) DVJ1-265 2) Use a ditto marker after the first instance.(OK also) Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-264 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 Ρ L # 91051 JGG David V. James C/ 60 SC 60.3.2 Ρ L # 91035 Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1275 David V. James JGG This heading row is redundant, with no meaning. Comment Status R DVJ-1259 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Delete this row, include text "(nm)" at end of table title. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-269 Center this column Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-265 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 1 # 91044 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1268 Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy Center this column DVJ1-267 Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 183 of 242

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91037 C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91041 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1261 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1265 Don't intermix two table rows; this confuses the reader. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the distinct "type" column. Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-266 DVJ1-267 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.4.1 SC 60.4.1 C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 P L # 91038 # 91052 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1262 Comment Status R DVJ-1276 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) Small numbers or words should be centered, as per style guidelines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Describe this in a footnote! Center these columns. DVJ1-266 DVJ1-269 Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91039 C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 Ρ L # 91054 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1263 Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR DVJ-1278 Don't force the reader to visually interpolate column lines. Blank line looks like a mistake. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Separate this straddled pair of column cells, with replicated value. DVJ1-266 1) Put something in here that helps explain its meaning. 2) Delete this row. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-269 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Р REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 1 # 91040 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1264 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed. SuggestedRemedy Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated. DVJ1-266

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Response Status U

Page 184 of 242

Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91050	Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 9 <u>1045</u>
Comment Type TR Tpm amd Tpff are really	Comment Status R y the same, but this is not cle	ear.	DVJ-1274	Comment Type TR Columns without text s	Comment Status Fishould be centered, for o		DVJ-1269 Style guide.
SuggestedRemedy Straddle the rightmost DVJ1-267	pair of cells, as illustrated			SuggestedRemedy Center this column DVJ1-267			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.	J	
CI 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91049	C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91053
Comment Type TR Don't use pseudo rows	Comment Status R, with adhoc bracket-sequence	cing technique.	DVJ-1273	Comment Type TR Bold font seems to imp	Comment Status Folly special meaning, but		DVJ-1277 parent.
SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustr DVJ1-267	ated.			SuggestedRemedy Use regular text in the DVJ1-269	se columns.		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status Un unchanged text.	J	
CI 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91047	Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91043
Comment Type TR Blank cells look like mis	Comment Status R sprint or errors.		DVJ-1271	Comment Type TR Common vertical cells	Comment Status F should be straddled.	₹	DVJ-1267
SuggestedRemedy Put em dash in all blani DVJ1-267	k cells, here and throughout.			SuggestedRemedy Straddle the dBm cells DVJ1-267	s, as illustrated.		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status L on unchanged text.	J	
CI 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91046	Cl 60 SC 60.4.1 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91042
Comment Type TR Columns without text sl	Comment Status R hould be centered, for consis	tency and IEEE \$	<i>DVJ-1270</i> Style guide.	Comment Type TR Min/max values are co	Comment Status Fonfusingly intermixed.	₹	DVJ-1266
SuggestedRemedy Center this column DVJ1-267				SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustr DVJ1-267	rated, so that min and m	ax are specified separa	itely.
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.	J	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 185 of 242

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.4.1 L # 91048 C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L # 91055 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1272 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1279 Don't use pseudo rows, with adhoc vertical spacing and parenthesis technique. Don't intermix two table rows: this confuses the reader. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use two rows, as illustrated. Add the distinct "type" column. DVJ1-267 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SC 60.4.2 SC 60.4.2 C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 P L # 91058 # 91056 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1282 Comment Status R DVJ-1280 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Gbd is not a well known standard term (perhaps giga-baud?) Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Describe this in a footnote! DVJ1-270 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L # 91060 SC 60.4.2 Ρ L # 91062 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1284 Comment Status R DVJ-1286 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Print the bottom line when table splits across pages. Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy This is a two-step process: Center this column 1) Fix the templates, to draw a very-thin line on bottom of the page. DVJ1-270 2) Manually force a thin line on the last line of the table. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-270 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 1 # 91057 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1281 The old heading didn't make sense, so a values heading is needed. SuggestedRemedy Use a two-rwo heading, straddled as illustrated. DVJ1-270

Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Response Status U

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 186 of 242

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L # 91061 C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L # 91059 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1285 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1283 Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. Min/max values are confusingly intermixed. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. Split this row, as illustrated, so that min and max are specified separately. DVJ1-270 DVJ1-270 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.6 P # 91063 L # 91071 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1287 DVJ-1295 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. Table cells should be centered, unless containing a text segment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column Center these rows DVJ1-270 DV.J1-272 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 L # 91064 C/ 60 SC 60.6 Ρ L # 91073 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Status R DVJ-1297 Comment Type TR DVJ-1288 Comment Type TR Columns without text should be centered, for consistency and IEEE Style guide. By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center this column Jitter on upstream signal ==> jitterOnUpstreamSignal DVJ1-270 DVJ1-273 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.4.2 1 C/ 60 SC 60.6 1 # 91065 # 91075 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1289 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1299 Merging the two columns makes the reader interpolate the between-column line. By convention, math symbols have no spaces, and are typically italics or Greek. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Unstraddle the two columns, replicate the cell text. Jitter on upstream signal ==> iitterTransfer DVJ1-270 DVJ1-273 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Page 187 of 242

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ 60 SC 60.6

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.6 L # 91077 C/ 60 SC 60.6 L # 91082 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1301 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1306 Use a multiply x symbol, so that 20log is not mistaken as a function or variable name. All non-textual cell entries should be centered. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 20log ==> 20 ¥ log Center the terms within these columns DVJ1-273 DVJ1-274 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. C/ 60 SC 60.6 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.6 P L # 91076 # 91079 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1300 Comment Status R DVJ-1303 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR The UI term looks like a multiplicative scaler or a function-call argument. The meaning of "dec" is not specified; could be a miscapitalization of a defunct company. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete instances of "(UI)"; no unit spec is really needed (since they cancel). dec ==> decade DVJ1-273 DVJ1-273 Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ L Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.6 # 91070 C/ 60 SC 60.6 # 91078 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James DVJ-1294 DVJ-1302 Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R TR Blank cell looks like an error. Excess capitalization: slope is a variable name, not a heading. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Place an em dash in this cell. Slope ==> slope DVJ1-272 DVJ1-273 Response Status U Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р L C/ 60 Ρ L C/ 60 SC 60.6 # 91080 SC 60.6 # 91068 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1304 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1292 Placing dB in brackets make this look like an array index or function call. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1) Delete the bracket term 2) On line below, add (in dB) Downstream ==> downstream. DVJ1-273 DVJ1-272 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 188 of 242

Cl 60 SC 60.6

Cl 60 SC 60.6 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91067	Cl 60 SC 60.6 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91074
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1291	Comment Type TR By convention, math s	Comment Status R ymbols have no spaces, and	are typically ital	DVJ-1298 ics or Greek.
SuggestedRemedy Upstream ==> upstream DVJ1-272	1.			SuggestedRemedy Jitter on upstream sigr DVJ1-273	nal ==> jitterOnDownstreamS	Signal	
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U n unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchar	Response Status U		
Cl 60 SC 60.6 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91066	CI 60 SC 60.6 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91069
Comment Type TR The blank cell under "Ur	Comment Status R nits" looks strange.		DVJ-1290	Comment Type TR Avoid forcing the read	Comment Status R er to interpolate vertical betw	een-column line	<i>DVJ-1293</i> s.
SuggestedRemedy Straddle this cell with the DVJ1-272 Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status U			SuggestedRemedy Either: 1) Unstraddle these co	olumns, replicating their conte ged rows to the bottom, so th	ents name.	
Comment is on unchanged SC 60.6 David V. James	ged text. P JGG	L	# <u>91081</u>	DVJ1-272 Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
Comment Type TR Blank cell looks like an e	Comment Status R error.		DVJ-1305	CI 60 SC 60.6 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91072
SuggestedRemedy Add the word "Paramete	er" to this blank cell.			Comment Type TR Excessive capitalization	Comment Status R		DVJ-1296
DVJ1-274 Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchan	Response Status U			SuggestedRemedy Type==>type DVJ1-272			
·				Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		

Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91086	C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91088
Comment Type TR Wrong font for illustration	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1310	Comment Type TR Comment Type A uniform signaling naming con	ment Status R vention would help	differentiate one f	DVJ-1312 rom another.
SuggestedRemedy Change the illustration f DVJ1-277	ont to Arial, consister	nt with IEEE Style gu	uideline and other figures.	SuggestedRemedy Tx_Enable ==> txEnable DVJ1-279			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response Response REJECT. Comment is on uncha	onse Status U anged text.		
C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.1. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91083	Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# <u>9</u> 1087
Comment Type TR The capitalization in "Tr	Comment Status igger" makes it look l		<i>DVJ-1307</i> ng.	Comment Type TR Communication The capitalization in "Trigger" m	ment Status R akes it look like a n	ame or heading.	DVJ-1311
SuggestedRemedy Trigger==>trigger DVJ1-277				SuggestedRemedy Trigger==>trigger DVJ1-279			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response Response REJECT. Comment is on uncha	onse Status U anged text.		
Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.1. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91084	Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91089
Comment Type TR A uniform signaling name	Comment Status ning convention would		DVJ-1308 ne from another.	Comment Type TR Comment Excess capitalization.	ment Status R		DVJ-1313
SuggestedRemedy Tx_Enable ==> txEnabl DVJ1-277	е			SuggestedRemedy Channel ==> channel DVJ1-279			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status on unchanged text.	U		Proposed Response Respo	onse Status U anged text.		
C/ 60 SC 60.7.13.1. David V. James	2 P JGG	L	# 91085	Cl 60 SC 60.7.13.2.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91090
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status	R	DVJ-1309	Comment Type TR Communication Tr Communi	ment Status R		DVJ-1314
SuggestedRemedy Channel ==> channel DVJ1-277				SuggestedRemedy Change the illustration font to A DVJ1-279	rial, consistent with	IEEE Style guide	line and other figures.
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status	U		Proposed Response Response REJECT. Comment is on uncha	onse Status U		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 190 of 242

C/ 60

SC 60.7.13.2.2

Р Р C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 L # 91094 C/ 61 SC 61. L # 91130 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1318 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1354 Wrong font for illustrations. Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the illustration font to Arial, consistent with IEEE Style guideline and other figures. Shorter, as shown above. DVJ1-281 DVJ1-292 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. The Clause title is explicit about the content of the Clause, which is beneficial to the reader. C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 Ρ L As it still fits on two lines (as opposed to the titles of e.g. clauses 58, 59, 60 and may other # 91093 clauses in the base standard), the Task Force doesn't believe there is a problem here. JGG David V. James SC 61. Ρ C/ 61 1 DVJ-1317 # 91128 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG Hyphenation makes for hard reading. Comment Status R Comment Type TR DV.J-1352 SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization Expand the box width, so that that "Unterminated" is not hyphenated. DVJ1-281 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Physical Coding Sublayer==>Physical coding sublayer, if nothing else is changed. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U Ρ C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 L # 91091 REJECT. JGG David V. James This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. Comment Status R DVJ-1315 Comment Type TR (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Excess capitalization. Santa Clara, CA.) SuggestedRemedy Р C/ 61 SC 61 1 # 91129 Cable ==> cable JGG David V. James DVJ1-281 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1353 Proposed Response Response Status U Excess capitalization REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. SuggestedRemedy Р C/ 60 SC 60.9.1 1 # 91092 Transmission Convergence==>transmission convergence, if nothing else is changed. JGG David V. James DVJ1-292 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1316 Proposed Response Response Status U Excess capitalization. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by SuggestedRemedy the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. Channel ==> channel (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in DVJ1-281 Santa Clara, CA.) Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 191 of 242

Cl 61 SC 61.

Р # 91131 Р C/ 61 SC 61.1 C/ 61 SC 61.1.2 1 # 91134 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1355 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1358 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above. ==>central office As corrected above, ==>rate matching DVJ1-292 DVJ1-293 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.1 L C/ 61 SC 61.1.3 L # 91132 # 91135 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1356 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1359 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. The IEEE Style manual frowns on intermixed ALLCAPS and Some caps callouts. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>customer primises equipment ==>Eliminate the ALLCAPS, here and elsewhere. Then, everything is consistent. DVJ1-292 DVJ1-293 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L C/ 61 Ρ L C/ 61 SC 61.1.1 # 91133 SC 61.1.4.1 # 91136 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1357 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1360 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>physical coding sublayer As corrected above, ==>client DVJ1-293 DVJ1-294 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.1 # 91137 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1361 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>medium independent interface DVJ1-295

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.2 L # 91138 David V. James JGG

Comment Status R DVJ-1362 Comment Type TR

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>aggregation function DVJ1-295

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Ρ L C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1.3 # 91139 JGG David V. James

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1363

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>rate matching

DVJ1-296

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 61 SC 61.10 1 # 91152

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The "Clause" and "61" are unnaturally split across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a nonbreaking space.

DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

ACCEPT.

(Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 61 P L SC 61.10 # 91153

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1377

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See also comment #91130.

The Clause title is explicit about the content of the Clause, which is beneficial to the reader. As it still fits on two lines (as opposed to the titles of e.g. clauses 58, 59, 60 and may other clauses in the base standard), the Task Force doesn't believe there is a problem here.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.3 P L # 91155

David V. James JGG

Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Center them. DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

DVJ-1376

DVJ-1379

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.1 P L # 91156

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1380

Bad line break.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>rate matching

DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.1 P L # 91158

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1382

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 61 SC 61.10.4.2 P L # 91160
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1384

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-327

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P L # 91163

David V. James JGG

TR

DVJ-1387

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

Comment Status R

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-328

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.3 P L # 91162

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1386

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-328

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 61 SC 61.10.4.4 P L # 91165

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-328

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

DVJ-1389

Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.10 # 91141 C/ 61 SC 61.3.2.3 1 # 91143 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1365 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1367 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>rate matching As corrected above, ==>indicator bits DVJ1-306 DVJ1-311 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.6.2 L C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.1 L # 91140 # 91144 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1364 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1368 Comment Type TR Comment Type Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>encapsulation and coding As corrected above, ==>aggregation-receive DVJ1-302 DVJ1-312 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L # 91142 C/ 61 Ρ L C/ 61 SC 61.3.2.3 SC 61.3.3.5.1 # 91145 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1366 Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ-1369 Excess capitalization. Wrong count: spec says 3 conditions, only 2 are listed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change ==>two As corrected above, ==>operation channel DVJ1-311 DVJ1-316 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. ACCEPT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р Р C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.7.1 # 91146 C/ 61 SC 61.5 1 # 91149 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1370 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1373 Unknown term; loop was not declared above. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: As corrected above, ==>performance guidelines 1) Define loop. DVJ1-325 2) Use a defined variable, corresponding to what is actually used. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-318 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by REJECT. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. The definition of loop is in 61.3.3.7.1, between k and TC link state. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of Santa Clara, CA.) April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Р C/ 61 SC 61.6 L # 91150 C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 Р L # 91148 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James TR Comment Status R DVJ-1374 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1372 Comment Type Excess capitalization. Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>electrical characteristics DVJ1-325 Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-319 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by The variable expectedSvnc is properly defined in 61.3.3.7.2. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L # 91147 C/ 61 Ρ L C/ 61 SC 61.3.3.7.2 SC 61.7 # 91151 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1371 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1375 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd. As corrected above, ==>rate matching DVJ1-318 DVJ1-326 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The variable expectedSync is properly defined in 61.3.3.7.2. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р SC 62. Р C/ 61A SC 61A.2 # 91479 C/ 62 1 # 91167 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1703 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1391 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Physical Medium Attachment ==>Physical medium attachment, as shown. ==>8 point Arial, no need to use bold or wider line widths. DVJ1-449 DVJ1-329 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) P Р C/ 61A SC 61A.2 L CI 62 SC 62. L # 91483 # 91168 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status A DVJ-1707 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1392 Comment Type TR Comment Type Unclear usage. I could not find the PME Discovery Register here or in 802.3-2002. Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Physical Medium Dependent ==>physical medium dependent, as shown. As corrected above ==>bit error ratio test DVJ1-449 DVJ1-329 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. However, the BRC believes the following technical flaw needs to be corrected: (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Replace "PME Discovery Register" with "Remote discovery register" throughout this Santa Clara, CA.) subclause. CI 62 Ρ L SC 62.1.1 # 91169 P C/ 61A SC 61A.2 # 91480 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1393 DVJ-1704 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be larger. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>physical medium attachment ==>8 point Arial, no need to use bold or wider line widths. DVJ1-329 DVJ1-449 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Santa Clara, CA.)

Page 197 of 242

Cl 62 SC 62.1.1

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р C/ 62 SC 62.1.1 # 91170 C/ 62 SC 62.3.1 1 # 91173 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1394 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1397 Excess capitalization. Funny scoping on the quotes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>overview As corrected above, ==>physical medium dependent DVJ1-329 DVJ1-332 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р CI 62 SC 62.1.4.2.2 L CI 62 SC 62.3.4 L # 91171 # 91175 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1395 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1399 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. Bad line break. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above, ==>synchronized flow As corrected above, ==>inhibit line breaks, via distinct character formats. DVJ1-330 DVJ1-333 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) SC 62.2.4.2 Ρ L CI 62 P L C/ 62 # 91172 SC 62.4 # 91176 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1396 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1400 The "Clause" and "62" are unnaturally split across lines. Funny scoping on the guotes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. As corrected above==>include the clause number DVJ1-331 DVJ1-340 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62 SC 62.4 P L # 91177

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1401

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-340

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62 SC 62.4.3 P L # 91179
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1403

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-340

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.1 P L # 91181
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1405

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-340

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P L # 91183

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1407

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-340

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

CI 62 SC 62.4.4.2 P L # 91184

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix

DVJ-1408

them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-341

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.1 P L # 91488

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1712

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>clause

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

 CI 62A
 SC 62A.3.2
 P
 L
 # 91489

 David V. James
 JGG

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 DVJ-1713

Font is too small.

SuggestedRemedy

Restructure the table, so 9-point font can be used.

I would split each row into two, so that high and low frequencies are separate rows, and thus narrower.

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91490
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1714

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>reference

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91491
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1715

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>profiles

DVJ1-458

Proposed Response Response Status **U**

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Cl 62A SC 62A.3.5 P L # 91492

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1716

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>profiles

DVJ1-459

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91498

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Don't make the reader interpolate row lines. (Circle C)

SuggestedRemedy

Move the # column to the right, replace # with a "Row" heading

DVJ1-459

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

CI 62A SC 62A.3.7 P L # 91497

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1721

Improper column alignment. (Circle B)

SuggestedRemedy

Center the column

DVJ1-459

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

DVJ-1722

Р Р C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 # 91493 C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 1 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1717 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. Don't make the reader interpolate row lines SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the # column to the right. As corrected above ==>Band notch profiles DVJ1-459 DVJ1-459 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in C/ 62A P SC 62A.3.7 L Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Р C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 L # 91495 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG Use real heading text. Comment Status R DVJ-1719 Comment Type TR SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization. Replace # with a "Row" heading SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-459 UPBO reference PSD profiles ... Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-459 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by REJECT. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. Santa Clara, CA.) (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in C/ 62A SC 62A.4 P L Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Ρ L C/ 62A SC 62A.3.7 # 91494 Comment Status R Comment Type JGG David V. James pseudo-C has a pseudo definition and therefore cannot be used for any normative material. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1718 SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy 1) Upgrade the code to a real C-code snippet (or perhaps it is already?) 2) Change the text from a C procedure to a C-code snippet. As corrected above ==>band notch DVJ1-459 DVJ1-461 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Santa Clara, CA.)

Santa Clara, CA.)

Page 201 of 242

CI 62A SC 62A.4

91499

91496

91500

DVJ-1723

DVJ-1720

DVJ-1724

C/ 62A

David V. James

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

DVJ1-462

REJECT.

Proposed Response

SC 62A.5.4

TR

The line thickness is wrong.

SC 62A.5.4

TR

Р C/ 62A SC 62A.5 L # 91501 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1725 The "Clause" and number are unnaturally split across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a nonbreaking space.

DVJ1-462

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р C/ 62A SC 62A.5 L # 91502

Comment Status R Comment Type

DVJ-1726

Comment Type

David V. James

C/ 62A

Comment Status R

DVJ-1730

91506

91507

DVJ-1731

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

Р

Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting

P

JGG

JGG

Comment Status R

Response Status U

of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

1

L

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DV.J1-462

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 62A SC 62A.5.4 1 # 91508 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R TR

DVJ-1732

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

- 1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.
- 2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-462

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

David V. James JGG

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-462

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Ρ L C/ 62A SC 62A.5.3 # 91504 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-462

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

DVJ-1728

Page 202 of 242

C/ 62A SC 62A.5.4

Р SC 62B.5.3 Р C/ 62B SC 62B.3 1 # 91510 C/ 62B 1 # 91514 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1734 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1738 Excess capitalization. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>bit error ratio (BER) Center them. DVJ1-463 DVJ1-465 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in CI 62B P SC 62B.5.3 L # 91515 Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Р CI 62B SC 62B.5 L # 91512 Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1739 David V. James JGG This subclause is empty. Comment Status R DVJ-1736 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. Either: SuggestedRemedy 1) Provide the appropriate table. 2) Provide a short sentence, noting that no table is provided (or exists elswehere, or Make it smaller, as suggested above. DVJ1-465 whatever). DVJ1-465 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. Keep the first table on page 666 together with heading 62B.5.3. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of Santa Clara, CA.) April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Ρ L C/ 62B Ρ L C/ 62B SC 62B.5 # 91511 SC 62B.5.4 # 91520 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1735 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1744 The "Clause" and number are unnaturally split across lines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. DVJ1-465 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This is comment is blank (probably an artefact of the document conversion process). the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Comment resolved by the Editor per Motion #2 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of

April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

Santa Clara, CA.)

CI 62B SC 62B.5.4 P L # 91516

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1740

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>performance

DVJ1-465

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62B SC 62B.5.4 P L # 91518
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1742

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-465

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

CI 62C SC 62C.2 P L # 91521

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1745

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above, ==>Example PSD masks for MCM 10PASS-TS

DVJ1-468

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

CI 62C SC 62C.2 P L # 91522

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1746

Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font.

SuggestedRemedy

==>8 point Arial font.

DVJ1-468

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 P L # 91523

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1747

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>Example PSD masks for MCM 10PASS-TS

DVJ1-469

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 P L # 91525

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 1 DVJ1-469

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

DVJ-1749

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 L # 91528 C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 1 # 91527 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1752 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1751 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 2 ==>8 point Arial font. DVJ1-469 DVJ1-469 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) Р Р CI 62C SC 62C.3.2 L CI 62C SC 62C.3.2 L # 91526 # 91529 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG TR Comment Status R DVJ-1750 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1753 Comment Type Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. Excess figure title length; this is a title, not a short story or normative text expose. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point Arial font. As corrected above ==>VDSL system performance, ITU-T bandplan A, scenario 3 DVJ1-469 DVJ1-469 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.) Santa Clara, CA.) SC 62C.3.2 Ρ L C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2 P L C/ 62C # 91524 # 91530 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1748 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1754 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; this appears to be using the Times font. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point Arial font. ==>8 point Arial font. DVJ1-469 DVJ1-469 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in

Santa Clara, CA.)

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Santa Clara, CA.)

Page 205 of 242

C/ 62C SC 62C.3.2

 CI 63
 SC 63.
 P
 L
 # 91186

 David V. James
 JGG

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 DVJ-1410

Excess capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

Physical Medium Attachment ==>Physical medium attachment, as shown. DVJ1-342

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 63 SC 63. P L # 91187

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1411

Excess capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

Physical Medium Dependent ==>physical medium dependent, as shown. DVJ1-342

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

avia v. camoo

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1413

The table is continued, but there is no continuation note.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the table continuation variable.

DVJ1-347

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63 SC 63.3.2.2 P L # 91188

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1412

The missing line on the bottom is confusing to the reader, since it could (all too easily) imply that the rows are straddled and split on the bottom of the page. Based on other published documents, the IEEE staff cannot be relied upon to manually fix all of these. Fix them now, since its easy to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Do the following:

1) Modify the table style, so a very thin line is enabled on cross-page rows.

2) Manually force the bottom line to be thin, on a per table basis.

DVJ1-347

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 63 SC 63.3.2.5.1 P L # 91190

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1414

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above==>General changes

DVJ1-348

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р Р C/ 63 SC 63.4 L # 91191 C/ 63 SC 63.4.4.1 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1415 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The "Clause" and "65" are unnaturally split across lines. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. Center them. DVJ1-349 DVJ1-349 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in CI 63 P SC 63.4.4.2 Santa Clara, CA.) David V. James JGG Р CI 63 SC 63.4 L # 91192 Comment Type TR Comment Status R David V. James JGG The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Comment Status R DVJ-1416 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. Center them. SuggestedRemedy DV.J1-349 Make it smaller, as suggested above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-349 REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. REJECT. This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3 the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot. (Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in David V. James JGG Santa Clara, CA.) Comment Type Comment Status R TR Ρ L C/ 63 SC 63.4.3 # 91194 The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. JGG David V. James SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1418 Enlarge this column width. DVJ1-472 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Proposed Response Response Status U SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Center them. DVJ1-349

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

1

L

1

91196

91198

91534

DVJ-1420

DVJ-1422

DVJ-1758

Proposed Response

REJECT.

Response Status U

of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting

C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3 P L # 91532

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1756

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 63A SC 63A.5.3 P L # 91533

David V. James JGG

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1757

The line thickness is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly. DV.I1-472

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 P L # 91538

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1762

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Cl 63A SC 63A.5.4 P L # 91536

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1760

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>performance

DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

C/ 63A SC 63A.5.4 P L # 91535

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1759

The line thickness is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Table should be very-thin in the center, thin on the edge. Set FrameMaker accordingly.

DVJ1-472

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

C/ 63B SC 63B.4 P L # 91539

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1763

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>guidelines

DVJ1-474

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

This comment is made against text that is unchanged from D3.1, and that was approved by the Sponsor Ballot Group. It is therefore outside the scope of this ballot.

(Resolution adopted per Motion #1 of the EFM Task Force Interim Meeting of April 12-13 in Santa Clara, CA.)

Р SC 64 Р C/ 63B SC 63B.5.3 L # 91541 CI 64 L # 91200 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1765 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1424 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Excess capitalization SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Center them. "Control ==>control, as shown. DVJ1-474 DVJ1-350" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. group. SC 63B.5.3 Ρ CI 64 SC 64.1 P L C/ 63B L # 91542 # 91202 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1766 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1426 Excess capitalization. The Status column is too narrow, which forces unnecessary wrapping. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Enlarge this column width. As corrected above==>multi-point DV.J1-474 DVJ1-351 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot of tables: these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor. group. Р CI 64 P C/ 63B SC 63B.5.4 1 SC 64.1 1 # 91545 # 91201 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1769 Comment Type TR DVJ-1425 TR The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Wrong fonts used within the figure (above). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. "Use only 8-point Arial, as per IEEE Style Guide, And, no need to use bold, DVJ1-474 DVJ1-350" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. See comment #661. No action will be taken on comments that address only the formatting

The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group."

of tables; these issues will be taken up the IEEE publications editor.

Р # 91203 Р C/ 64 SC 64.1 L CI 64 SC 64.1.1 L # 91206 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1427 Comment Type TR DVJ-1430 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>control As corrected above==>control DVJ1-351 DVJ1-351 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.1.1 SC 64.1.2 Ρ L CI 64 P L C/ 64 # 91205 # 91207 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1429 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1431 Excess capitalization. Excessive capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>clients "Change, as shown above==>multi-point MAC control DVJ1-351 DVJ1-352" Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ L C/ 64 P C/ 64 SC 64.1.1 # 91204 SC 64.1.2 1 # 91208 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1428 Comment Type TR DVJ-1432 TR Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>point-to-point emulation As corrected above==>point-to-point DVJ1-351 DVJ1-352 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р # 91209 Р C/ 64 SC 64.1.3 L CI 64 SC 64.2.1 L # 91212 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1433 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1436 Excessive capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change, as shown above==>multi-point MAC control As corrected above==>client DVJ1-352" DVJ1-355 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.2 Ρ L CI 64 SC 64.2.1.1 P L C/ 64 # 91210 # 91215 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1434 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1439 Excess capitalization. Intermixing of someCaps and ALLCAPS callouts is disallowed by IEEE Style guidelines. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>instance Don't use ALLCAPS subscripts. DVJ1-353 DVJ1-355 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ C/ 64 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.1 L # 91211 SC 64.2.1.1 1 # 91213 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type DVJ-1435 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1437 TR "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>timing process ==>lengthType. DVJ1-354 DVJ1-355 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The variable name is consistent with name used in Clause 4 and Annex 4A group.

Р Р C/ 64 SC 64.2.1.1 L # 91214 CI 64 SC 64.2.2 L # 91220 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1438 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1444 Wrong font size in figure. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. "Change, as above==>Control parser service interfaces DVJ1-355 DVJ1-356" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. SC 64.2.2 Ρ CI 64 SC 64.2.2 P L C/ 64 L # 91225 # 91221 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1449 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1445 Wrong font size in figure. Wrong font size in figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. Use only 8-point Arial font. DVJ1-357 DVJ1-356 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." Ρ Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 1 CI 64 SC 64.2.2 1 # 91223 # 91216 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1447 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1440 Wrong font size in figure. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use only 8-point Arial font. "Change, as above==>Multi-point transmission control service interfaces DVJ1-356 DVJ1-356" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group.

Р # 91219 Р C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 L CI 64 SC 64.2.2 L # 91224 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1443 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1448 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy "Change, as above==>ONU control multiplexer service interfaces As corrected above==>multi-point transmission controll DVJ1-356 DVJ1-357" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.2.2 Ρ L CI 64 SC 64.2.2 P L C/ 64 # 91218 # 91217 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1442 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1441 Excess capitalization. Wrong font size in figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>instance Use only 8-point Arial font. DVJ1-356 DVJ1-356 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Ρ Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 L CI 64 SC 64.2.2.1 1 # 91222 # 91227 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1446 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1451 TR Excess capitalization. Confusing! How can the contents of a variable field be defined to be a constant? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change, as above==>OLT control multiplexer serviceinterfaces Clarify. DVJ1-356" DVJ1-357 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot This element represents a constant value identifying MAC Control frames. The constant group. value is 88-08.

Р # 91226 Р C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.1 L CI 64 SC 64.2.2.4 L # 91231 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1450 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1455 "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-357 DVJ1-360 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.2.2.2 Ρ L CI 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P L C/ 64 # 91228 # 91232 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1452 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1456 Excess capitalization. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>layer management ==>lengthType. DVJ1-358 DVJ1-360 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.4 L # 91229 CI 64 SC 64.2.2.4 1 # 91233 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1453 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1457 TR TR Excess capitalization. "Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above==>control ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-360 DVJ1-361 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р SC 64.3 Р C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.4 L # 91230 CI 64 L # 91249 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1454 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1473 "Too many name aliases: Excess capitalization. DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above==>Multi-point control protocol ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-362 DVJ1-360 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.3.1 CI 64 P L # 91251 CI 64 SC 64.2.2.7 Ρ L # 91235 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1475 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1459 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>control As corrected above==>multi-pointl DVJ1-363 DVJ1-361 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 SC 64.3.1 1 # 91250 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.7 L # 91234 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1474 DVJ-1458 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As corrected above==>multi-point control protocol As corrected above==>diagrams DVJ1-363 DVJ1-361 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

IEEE Draft P802.3ah/D3.2 and prior unresolved negative comments Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.1 L # 91252 C/ 64 SC 64.3.2.3 P469 L 15 # 99348 David V. James JGG Choi, Su-il **ETRI** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1476 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Not Member Of Ballot Group This caluse describes OLT may support multicast by using additional multicast MACs. Excess capitalization. Additional multicast MACs require additional LLIDs and filtering rules. However, multicast SuggestedRemedy channel configuration as well as filtering and marking of frames for multicast isn't defined in As corrected above==>client Clause 65.1.3.3.2 DVJ1-363 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status U Suggest a solution for multicast channel configuration as well as filtering and marking of REJECT. frames for multicast. Attached file "choi_p2mp_1_0304.pdf" suggests a new variable The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot "LGID(logical group identifier)" for grouping of some logical ports (LLIDs). Attached file group. "choi p2mp 2 0304.pdf" shows the changes of the draft based on the suggested multicast solution. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Editor suggests this comment to be rejected as it constitutes a new feature. Y: 5 N: 1 A: 2 Remove words "(multicast MACs)". Remove the words "Multicast and" from the section header Y:1 N:1 A:5 Accept solution proposed in the comment Y:1 N:2 A:5 Motion to accept STF resolution (reject the comment) IEEE 802.3ah: Y:17

> N:1 A:4

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 216 of 242

Р # 91256 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.3 L CI 64 SC 64.3.3 L # 91254 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1480 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1478 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "As corrected above. ==> "As corrected above. ==> Discovery processing service Interfaces (OLT, unicasting instance) Discovery processing service interfaces (OLT, broadcasting instance) DVJ1-365" DVJ1-365" Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. C/ 64 Р 1 C/ 64 Ρ L SC 64.3.3 SC 64.3.3 # 91259 # 91253 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1483 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DV.J-1477 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point As corrected above==>register DVJ1-366 DVJ1-365 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Р Р CI 64 SC 64.3.3 # 91257 CI 64 SC 64.3.3 # 91258 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1482 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1481 Excess capitalization. Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "As corrected above, ==>Discovery processing service interfaces (ONU) ==>8 point DVJ1-366" DVJ1-365 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." aroup.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.3 L # 91255 CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 L # 91262 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1479 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1486 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. "Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ==>8 point ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-365 DVJ1-367 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.3.2 L # 91260 CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P L # 91264 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1484 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1488 The definition of opcode_rx was repeated twice. "Too many name aliases: SuggestedRemedy DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address." Either: SuggestedRemedy 1) Delete the redundant text. ==>destinationAddress 2) Correct the redundant text. DVJ1-367 DVJ1-366 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. The second instance shoule be "opcode tx" CI 64 P # 91263 SC 64.3.3.3 L CI 64 Ρ L SC 64.3.3.3 # 91261 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1487 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1485 Comment Type TR "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. ==>lengthType. DVJ1-367 DVJ1-367 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Proposed Response

REJECT.

group.

Response Status U

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

C/ 64 Р Ρ SC 64.3.4 L # 91280 CI 64 SC 64.3.4.3 L David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1504 Comment Type Comment Status R TR Excess capitalization. "Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy "As corrected above, ==>Report processing service interfaces ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-372" DVJ1-373 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.3.4 Ρ L C/ 64 # 91281 CI 64 SC 64.3.4.3 P L David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1505 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point ==>lengthType. DVJ1-372 DVJ1-373 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Ρ C/ 64 1 SC 64.3.4.2 # 91282 CI 64 Ρ SC 64.3.4.5 L David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ-1506 TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R The definition of opcode_rx was repeated twice. "Unclear cross reference: Annex 31B only seems to define a code used with PAUSE, SuggestedRemedy which is the value of 01-80-C2-00-00-01." Fither: SuggestedRemedy 1) Delete the redundant text. 2) Correct the redundant text. 1) Clarify that, although originally designed for PAUSE, this code may be used for any DVJ1-373 control function, including this one. Proposed Response Response Status U 2) Provide other clarification text. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. DVJ1-374"

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

The second instance shoule be "opcode_tx"

Page 219 of 242

Cl 64

SC 64.3.4.5

91284

91283

91285

DVJ-1508

DVJ-1507

DVJ-1509

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.5 L # 91290 CI 64 SC 64.3.5.3 L # 91293 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1514 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1517 Figure text should be Arial 8-point font; some of this appears to be 10 or 12 point. "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>8 point ==>lengthType. DVJ1-376 DVJ1-378 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Ρ CI 64 P L C/ 64 SC 64.3.5 L # 91289 SC 64.3.5.3 # 91292 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1513 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1516 Excess capitalization. Vague definition. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "As corrected above, ==>Gate processing service interface State whether this range is inclusive or exclusive. DVJ1-376" DVJ1-378 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot Both inclusive and exclusive implementations are possible. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ # 91291 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.5.3 L C/ 64 1 SC 64.3.5.3 # 91294 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1515 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1518 TR TR "Too many name aliases: "Too many name aliases: DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination address." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>destinationAddress ==>destinationAddress DVJ1-378 DVJ1-379 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91301 David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1525 "Field values are hard to differentiate from numerical values, since its not clear where the field name stops and the number starts. This is particularly true, since special symbols like '=' are not necessarily a demarcation spot, given that the device symbol '/' is actually part of another field name." SuggestedRemedy "The value for the field should be placed on the right, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-381" Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The figure is consistent with existing 802.3 clauses and was approved by the ballot group. This is also unchanged text from D3.1. Ρ L Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 # 91300 JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1524 Comment Type TR "Field values should be centered, as should also be done within figures." SuggestedRemedy "Center the fields, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-381" Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. Р C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91298 David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1522 TR

"The octet transmission order need not be shown in every figure, describe in the notation clause once, and then don't repeat ad nausium. Its also inconsistent, when compared to 54.2.4."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the octet transmission order text and arrow.

DVJ1-381

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Ρ CI 64 SC 64.3.6 1 # 91297

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Showing the LSB on the left is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

"Delete the LSB... MSB and text below, as done in the changed illustration. DVJ1-381"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This representation is consistent with Figure 3-1.

Ρ L CI 64 SC 64.3.6 # 91313 JGG

David V. James

Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1537

DVJ-1536

DVJ-1521

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD. Data/Pad. Data/Reserved/Pad. etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-382"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64 Ρ SC 64.3.6 L # 91312

JGG David V. James

TR

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all

Comment Status R

occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)."

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

"Use lower-case, but an italics font for this and all field names, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-382"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91311 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91307 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1535 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1531 "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all "Too many name aliases: occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence).." DA, destination address, Destination Address, DestinationField, etc. Also, not easy to parse and DA looks like a constant." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case, but an italics font for this and all field names, as done above, here and "==>destinationAddress, here and througout. througout. DVJ1-382" DVJ1-382" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Р Ρ CI 64 L CI 64 L SC 64.3.6 # 91304 SC 64.3.6 # 91295 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1528 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1519 Nonstandard font size. Nonstandard figure (compared to 54.2.4). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use #8 point Arial, as done in changed illustration. Use the change illustration above. DVJ1-381" DVJ1-381 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The style guide preferes 8-point font, but does not mandate it. The text in the draft is The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group." group. Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 # 91299 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91296 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1523 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1520 TR Callout text of ALLCAPS is not be to intermixed with someCaps text. "In other portions of the specification, the octet count is shown on the left," SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the octet transmission order text. "Place the octet count on the left, as done in the changed illustration. DVJ1-381 DVJ1-381" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Figure is technically correct and and the format has been approved by the ballot group. This is unchanged text from D3.1. The text format is consistent with Figure 3-1. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been

approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64 Р Ρ SC 64.3.6 L # 91306 CI 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91305 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1530 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1529 "Unclear text; the field name should be on the left, not an unnecessary a-z) listing. Also, Vague cross-reference to the MAC control multicast address. the field values cannot be easily cross-referenced later." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy "Provide a specific standard number (and date), specific name, and specific subclause. "Use a definition-like style, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-381" DVJ1-382" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. CI 64 P SC 64.3.6 L # 91314 SC 64.3.6 C/ 64 Ρ L # 91309 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1538 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1533 "The FCS all caps is confusing, since this has also been listed as an acronym. All caps "This term is defined in too many ways: lengthOrType, Length/Type, type, etc.." normally implies a constant value also." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ==>lengthType. "Use lower-case and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-382 DVJ1-383" Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Ρ CI 64 Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91303 SC 64.3.6 L # 91310 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1527 TR Comment Status R DVJ-1534 TR Comment Type "Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a Vague cross-reference: MAC_Control_Type could not be found in 802.3-2002. range of values, for example, its non-standard and not described in the notation." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "More accurate reference, as done above, here and througout. "Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. DVJ1-382" DVJ1-381" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6 L # 91302 CI 64 SC 64.3.6.1 L # 91316 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1526 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1540 "There is no need to capitalize the Flags field names, since the capitalization doesn't help "Using a hyphen when describing a hex value is confusing. It could be interpreted as a range of values, for example. Its non-standard and not described in the notation." in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)." SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy "Use a normal base-16 representation, as done in changed illustration. "Use lower-case, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-381" DVJ1-384" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 Ρ L # 91308 CI 64 SC 64.3.6.1 P L # 91315 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1532 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1539 "Too many name aliases: Excess capitalization. SA, source address, Source Address, etc. Also, not easy to parse and SA looks like a SuggestedRemedy constant." As corrected above==>generic SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-383 "==>sourceAddress, here and througout. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-382" REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot REJECT. group. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot C/ 64 Ρ SC 64.3.6.1 L # 91317 group. JGG David V. James C/ 64 Р L SC 64.3.6.1 # 91321 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1541 JGG David V. James "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some Comment Type Comment Status R DV.J-1545 TR time to figure out what was intended." "There is no need to capitalize the Sync Time field names, since the capitalization doesn't SuggestedRemedy help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence)." "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-384" "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-384" REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot approved by the ballot group. group.

SC 64.3.6.1 Р Ρ C/ 64 # 91320 CI 64 SC 64.3.6.1 1 # 91322 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1544 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1546 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took me quite some "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all time to figure out what was intended." occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD. Data/Pad. Data/Reserved/Pad. etc. is desired." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-384" "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-384" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot approved by the ballot group. aroup. Р Р L CI 64 L C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.1 # 91319 SC 64.3.6.2 # 91329 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1543 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1553 "A very confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some "A very confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took me quite some time to figure out what was intended." time to figure out what was intended." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. "Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and througout. DV.J1-384" DVJ1-387" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. approved by the ballot group. CI 64 Ρ L SC 64.3.6.2 # 91326 Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.1 Ρ L # 91318 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1550 TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1542 "There is no need to capitalize the Number of Queue Sets field names, since the "A very confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or time to figure out what was intended." sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Number" of "Queue Sets"." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case and italics, to delineate the name, as done above, here and througout, DVJ1-384" "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-386" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot approved by the ballot group. group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.2 L # 91328 CI 64 SC 64.3.6.2 1 # 91330 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1552 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1554 "A _very_ confusing notation, with a special character # used within. It took we quite some "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all time to figure out what was intended." occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use a standard array notation, rather than this rather unique conconction of characters. DVJ1-387" "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-387" Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot approved by the ballot group. aroup. Р Р L CI 64 L C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.2 # 91327 SC 64.3.6.3 # 91333 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1551 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1557 "There is no need to capitalize the Report bitmap field names, since the capitalization "There is no need to capitalize the Pending grants field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Report" and a common use of "bitmap"." 'Pending" and a common use of "grants"." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. "Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-387" DVJ1-388" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot aroup. aroup. Р Ρ Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.2 L # 91325 Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.3 L # 91334 JGG JGG David V. James David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1549 Comment Status R DVJ-1558 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. "There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for SuggestedRemedy Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired." As corrected above==>generic SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-386 "Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-388" REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status U The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot REJECT. group. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

 CI 64
 SC 64.3.6.4
 P
 L
 # 91337
 CI 64

 David V. James
 JGG
 David

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status
 R
 DVJ-1561
 Comment Type

"Too many name aliases:

DA, destination address, Destination Address, destinationField, destination_address."

SuggestedRemedy

==>destinationAddress

DVJ1-389

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91340

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1564

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed pending grants field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed" and a common use of "pending grants", or perhaps even "Echoed" as applied to "Pending grants"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-390"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91341

David V. James JGG

David V. James

Comment Type

Comment Status R

DVJ-1565

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD, Data/Pad, Data/Reserved/Pad, etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout.

DVJ1-390"

Proposed Response Response Status U

TR

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91339

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1563

"There is no need to capitalize the Sync Timefield names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of "Sync" field name, that applies to a special kind of "Time"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DV.I1-390"

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

CI 64 SC 64.3.6.4 P L # 91338

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1562

"There is no need to capitalize the Assigned Port field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Assigned' field name, that applies to a special kind of "Port"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-389"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P L # 91347

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1571

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed assigned port field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed" and a common use of "assigned port", or perhaps even "Echoed" as applied to "Assigned ports"."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-391"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P L # 91348

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1572

"There is no need to capitalize the Echoed Sync Time field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, its sometimes unclear if this really represents an English fragement, with a special kind of 'Echoed" and a common use of "sync time", or perhaps even "Echoed" as applied to "Sync" time.."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use lower-case, runTogether, italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-391"

Proposed Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6.5 P L # 91349

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1573

"There is no need to capitalize field names, since the capitalization doesn't help in all occassions (such as the first word of a header or sentence). Also, a consistent name for Data/Reserved/PAD. Data/Pad. Data/Reserved/Pad. etc. is desired."

SuggestedRemedy

"Use run-together words and italics, as done above, here and througout. DVJ1-392"

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

C/ 64 SC 64.4 P L # 91350

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1574

The "Clause" and "66" are unnaturally split across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Use a nonbreaking space.

DVJ1-393

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text is not split in the officially released draft. Issues of pagination and formating, if any, are to be resolved by the publication editor."

C/ 64 SC 64.4 P L # 91351

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1575

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

"Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-393"

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

SC 64.4

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.4.1 L # 91352 CI 64 SC 64.4.2.4 L # 91357 JGG David V. James David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1576 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1581 The "Clause" and "62" are unnaturally split across lines. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above==>control Use a nonbreaking space. DVJ1-393 DVJ1-393 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. The text is not split in the officially released draft. Issues of pagination and formating, if any, are to be resolved by the publication editor." SC 64.4.2.3 Ρ L C/ 64 # 91354 Cl 64 Ρ SC 64.4.2.4 L # 91360 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1578 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1584 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-393 Center them. DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Based on resolution for comment 661 Based on resolution for comment 661 Ρ L # 91355 C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.3 Ρ CI 64 # 91358 SC 64.4.2.4 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status A DVJ-1579 TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1582 The Subclause columnis blank. The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fither: 1) Insert the proper subclause number. "Make it smaller, as suggested above. 2) Provide a footnote or other explaination of why no subclause reference is provided. DVJ1-393" DVJ1-393 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot

group.

Refer to subclause 64.1

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.4 L # 91356 CI 64 SC 64.4.2.6 L # 91363 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1580 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1587 Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct as shown. As corrected above==>control DVJ1-393 DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group. group. SC 64.4.2.5 Ρ L CI 64 SC 64.4.2.7 P L C/ 64 # 91362 # 91368 David V. James JGG David V. James **JGG** Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1586 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1592 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-394 DVJ1-394 Response Status U Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Based on resolution for comment 661 Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661 Ρ L # 91365 C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.6 C/ 64 Ρ # 91366 SC 64.4.2.7 L David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1589 Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1590 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-394 As corrected above==>machines DVJ1-394 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661 The text in the draft is technically correct and the format has been approved by the ballot group.

Р Ρ C/ 64 SC 64.4.2.8 L # 91370 C/ 65 SC 65. L # 91374 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Status R TR DVJ-1594 Comment Type TR DVJ-1598 "The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered." Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above==>control DVJ1-394 DVJ1-395 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Based on motion adopted in resolution for comment 661 C/ 65 SC 65.1 P L # 91376 JGG David V. James CI 65 SC 65. Ρ L # 91373 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1600 David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1597 SuggestedRemedy Excess capitalization. As corrected above==>point to point emulation SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-395 As corrected above==>point to multi-point Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-395 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 65 SC 65.1 L # 91375 David V. James JGG C/ 65 SC 65. Р L # 91372 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1599 TR David V. James JGG Excess capitalization. Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1596 SuggestedRemedy Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation. As corrected above==>reconciliation sublayer SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-395 Shorter, as shown above. Proposed Response Response Status U DVJ1-395 REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Ρ C/ 65 SC 65.1 P 506 L12 # 99307 C/ 65 SC 65.1.2 L # 91379 David V. James Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R D3.0 #794 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1603 The entire concept of this extension to emulate point-to-point operation seems to be a Excess capitalization. violation of the following text extracted from the Overview and Architecture, IEEE Std 802 SuggestedRemedy clause 6.2.1 Service access points (SAPs) As corrected above ==>optical network unit "The MAC sublayer provides a single MAC service access point (MSAP) as an interface DVJ1-396 port to the LLC sublayer in an end station." AND Proposed Response Response Status U "The Physical layer provides an interface port to a single MAC station,..." REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. This also seems to be a violation of the 5 Criteria commitment in Compatibility paragraph 1. SuggestedRemedy C/ 65 SC 65.1.2 P L # 91378 Alter draft to remain within original commitment. JGG David V. James Proposed Response Response Status U TR Comment Status R DVJ-1602 Comment Type REJECT. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy The statements "The MAC sublayer provides a single MAC service access point (MSAP) as an interface port to the LLC sublaver in an end station." AND "The Physical laver As corrected above ==>optical line terminal provides an interface port to a single MAC station.... " do not have a 'shall' and therefore DVJ1-396 are not a requirement for 802 networks. Proposed Response Response Status U P2P emulation concept is required for interworking with 802 Networks, and is consistant REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. with compatibility requirements undertaken by the 802.3ah project. C/ 65 SC 65.1.3.2.3 Ρ L # 91380 CI 65 Ρ SC 65.1.1 L # 91377 David V. James JGG JGG David V. James Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1604 DVJ-1601 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>cyclic redundancy check As corrected above ==> reconciliation sublayer DVJ1-397

DVJ1-395

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.Comment is on unchanged text.

The Suggested Remedy contains a typo.

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Response Status U

Proposed Response

Cl 65 SC 65.1.3.3.2 P514 L11 # 99347
Choi, Su-il ETRI

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Not Member Of Ballot Group

In subclause 64.3.2.3, additional multicast MACs are described roughly. This means that multicast MACs require multicast_llid individually. However, each ONU checks only the match of SCB_LLID(0x7FFF).

SuggestedRemedy

Add additional comparison as "..., or the received logical_link_id matches 0x7FFF or one of the multicast_llids, then ..."

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

Proposed new feature is past deadline for new feature addition.

See comment #125 for clause 64.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.1 P L # 91382

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1606

Excess capitalization.

Excess capitalization

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>Auto-negotiation DVJ1-399

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 65 SC 65.2.1 P L # 91381

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1605

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>forward error correction DVJ1-399

Proposed Response Response Status **U**REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3 P L # 91383

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1607

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>forward error correction DVJ1-401

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

CI 65 SC 65.2.3 P538 L48 # 112

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The specification for FEC is incomplete. It lacks precise specification about how parity bits are generated and in which block and bit order parity bits are transmitted.

In addition, no specification is given to parity buffer. Variable parity_buffer_empty is used without ever being initialized and set. No procedure for removing parity data from the buffer is shown.

Also missing is the state digram for Selector state machine which will forward received code-groups to either packet buffer or parity buffer (refer to Figure 65-10). No synchronization mechanisms are shown which would prevent data to leave the receive buffer before the entire frame is received and corrected.

It seems that there is an assumption that every implementation in some magical way will implement FEC in the same fashion and will become interoperable.

SuggestedRemedy

In its current form, FEC specification is absolutely incomplete. To fix the situation, several new state machines should be developed, at the price of delaying the standard. Therefore, the commenter suggests to completely remove FEC section from the current draft with the understanding that a new project can be initiated to specify FEC. The new specification can be made generic to benefit different configurations, not only P2MP.

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT.

- > It lacks precise specification about how parity bits are enerated
- > and in which block and bit order parity bits are transmitted.
- > Section 65.2.3.1 (especially p.540 line 5-13) and 65.2.3.2.1 define
- > the parity bytes generation method and the block and bit order
- > of the data. In addition, no specification is given to parity buffer.

Generally speaking the state machine only describe the data streaming process - transmit (and receive and sync) path. Not the encoding and decoding of the data.

The encoding process is not described in the transmit state diagram, instead the RS_Encode function is described in p.547 l17-21 "

RS Encode(Data)

This function is used to encode the Reed Solomon (255,239,8) code. The encoder encodes the 239 octets data frame and generates 16 parity octets for each data frame. Before being passed to the Reed Solomon encoder, this function passes the data through DECODE([/x/]). "

The parity data from this function is defined in: P.545 line 29 " parity<D7:D0> An 8-bit array that contains the current parity

bits to be encoded in the FEC Transmit Process. The elements within the array are updated with the next 8-bits to be encoded upon each entry into the XMIT PARITY state.)

- > Variable parity buffer empty is used without ever being
- > initialized and set.

The variable usage is defined in figure 65-11 transmit state diagram. In the state:"XMIT_PARITY" In this state the initial setting of the variable is FALSE. And when the transmission of the parity is ended then the setting is set to TRUE. This definition is complete.

- > No procedure for removing parity data from the buffer is shown. The RS_Decode function is specified, this is not in the states diagram. The encoder is filling and emptying the buffer.
- > Also missing is the state diagram for Selector state machine which
- > will forward received code-groups to either packet buffer or parity
- > buffer (refer to Figure 65-10). No synchronization mechanisms are
- > shown which would prevent data to leave the receive buffer before the
- > entire frame is received and corrected.

The behavior of the data streaming is described in the state machines - figure 65-13 and figure 65-14. The behavior of the state machine in this scenario is fully described in all cases. The state machine is waiting for S_FEC. If it is not found the buffer is filled with the incoming code groups, and the code group is forwarded to the PCS. The buffer emptying defines the replacement of the parity bytes. The alignment of the data is defined by the buffer in the sense that is keeps the streaming of the data whether it is FEC_decoded or not. In that sense the FEC decoding process is done in parallel to the buffer filling and emptying and its delay should be matched.

CI 65 SC 65.2.3.4.3 P L # 91384

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

DVJ-1608

DVJ-1611

Give me a break! Use normal conventions, so that divide operations are not assumed.

SuggestedRemedy

Use C-like notation and function, since that already dominates.

DVJ1-405

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 P L # 91387
David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

No need to define values for zero and one.

SuggestedRemedy

Change as noted.

DVJ1-405

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 234 of 242

CI 65

SC 65.2.3.4.4

Р L C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 # 91388 David V. James JGG Comment Status R Comment Type TR DVJ-1612 Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-406 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. CI 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 Ρ L # 91390 JGG David V. James TR Comment Status R DVJ-1614 Comment Type Enumerated values are listed, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy Complete the tbd's. DVJ1-407 Response Status U Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Ρ C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.4 L # 91389 JGG David V. James Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1613 TR No need to define values for zero and one. SuggestedRemedy Change as noted. DVJ1-406 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Р 1 C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.5 # 91393 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1617 Inconsistent: a function cannot be set.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.4.5 P L # 91394

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1618

Inclear association for "this".

SuggestedRemedy

Use the specific name, rather than introduce the possibility for error.

DVJ1-407

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

SuggestedRemedy

I suspect this should be a variable, and the "this function" needs to be more specific.

DVJ1-407

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

C/ 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 P551 L11 # 117

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

FEC receive process is broken.

The FEC syncronization state machine generates sync_status variable synchronously with data arriving to the receive buffer. This variable is used to reset 2 state machines (Fig 65-13 and Fig 65-14). But these two state machines operate with at least 12 us (max packet size) delay and cannot use the same sync_status variable.

Otherwise, a lost sync may affect a previously received good frame which is still partially in FEC receive buffer.

SuggestedRemedy

In its current form, FEC specification is absolutely incomplete. To fix the situation, several new state machines should be developed, at the price of delaying the standard. Therefore, the commenter suggests to completely remove FEC section from the current

draft with the understanding that a new project can be initiated to specify FEC. The new specification can be made generic to benefit different configurations, not only P2MP.

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT.

- > The FEC synchronization state machine generates sync_status
- > variable synchronously with data arriving to the receive buffer.
- > This variable is used to reset 2 state machines (Fig 65-13 and
- > Fig 65-14). But these two state machines operate with at least 12
- > us (max packet size) delay and cannot use the same
- > sync status variable. Otherwise, a lost sync may affect a
- > previously received good frame which is still partially in FEC
- > receive buffer.

A lose if sync state may cause the FEC decoder to lose a frame.

Synchronizing will occur in the next comma detect which is before the start of the next frame. Fig 65-13 and Fig 65-14 defines the buffer fill and buffer empty state diagrams. In that sense they are

dealing in a frame bounded case. The sync_status defines a reset to the operation of the 2 state machines. If the state machine is not

synchronized then the buffer is not filling and returning to its initial

state, and an emptying case (in the middle of any parity replacement in idles) should also return to its initial state.

It is understood that the specifications in Clause 65 will permit implementations to discard multiple frames in the event the FEC receive process loses synchronization.

Cl 65 SC 65.2.3.5.3 P551 L28 # 115

Kramer, Glen Teknovus

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Figure 65-13 generates incorrect idles. If disparity is positive, /l1/ should be generated, otherwise /l2/.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix states FILL_TFEC_E_4 and FILL_TFEC_O_5:

tx_disparity=POSITIVE should be tx_disparity=NEGATIVE

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The comment and suggested remedy are incorrect, and no change is necessary.

C/ 65 SC 65.3.1.1 P L # 91395

David V. James JGG

ana maanoo

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1619

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>Physical medium attachment

DVJ1-410

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4 P L # 91397

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it smaller, as suggested above.

DVJ1-412

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 236 of 242

CI 65

SC 65.4

DVJ-1621

Cl 65 SC 65.4 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91396	Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91402
Comment Type TR The "Clause" and "64" a	Comment Status R re unnaturally split acro	ss lines.	DVJ-1620	Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1626
SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space DVJ1-412	ce.			SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==: DVJ1-412	>forward error correction		
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
CI 65 SC 65.4.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91399	CI 65 SC 65.4.3.2.1 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91404
Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status,	Comment Status R and Support columns s	hould definitely be o	DVJ-1623 rentered.	Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status	Comment Status R and Support columns sho	uld definitely be o	DVJ-1628 centered.
SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-412				SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-412			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91401	CI 65 SC 65.4.3.2.2 David V. James	P JGG	L	# 91406
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1625	Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status	Comment Status R and Support columns sho	uld definitely be o	DVJ-1630 centered.
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==> DVJ1-412	multi-point links			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-413			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is o	Response Status U in unchanged text.			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U on unchanged text.		
CI 65 SC 65.4.3.2 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91400	CI 65 SC 65.4.3.3 David V. James	<i>P</i> JGG	L	# 91408
Comment Type TR Excess capitalization.	Comment Status R		DVJ-1624	Comment Type TR The Subclause, Status	Comment Status R and Support columns sho	uld definitely be o	DVJ-1632 centered.
SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==> DVJ1-412	reconciliation sublayer			SuggestedRemedy Center them. DVJ1-413			
Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is of	Response Status U			Proposed Response REJECT. Comment is	Response Status U		

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 237 of 242

C/ 65 SC 65.4.3.3

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.4 P L # 91410

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1634

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-413

Proposed Response Status **U**

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.5 P L # 91412

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1636

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-413

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.6 P L # 91414

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1638

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-413

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.7 P L # 91416

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1640

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-413

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 65 SC 65.4.3.8 P L # 91418

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1642

The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered.

SuggestedRemedy

Center them. DVJ1-414

Proposed Response Status U

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

Cl 66 SC P L # 99351
Thompson, Geoffrey Nortel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D3.1 #375

Changes have been made for 100 Mb/s that violate the compatibility promises committed to in the 5 Criteria presentation that added 100 M to the project:

Compatibility

100BASE-X PCS & PMA assumed, and the 802.3 MAC

- No changes whatsoever to the MAC
- PHY identical to current 100Mbps Std except for a new PMD
- No change to Clause 24
- Retain all state machines, 4B/5B coding etc. of 100BASE-X
- o Only need to extend Clause 26, 100BASE-FX PMD, to include SMF
- o Physical medium compatibility through SMF
- Compatible with existing 1000BASE-LX
- Provides upgrade paths to higher speeds and multiple wavelengths, with fiber plant untouched

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all changes to 100BASE-X other than PMD optical changes to bring the proposal back into line with the 5 Criteria Compatibility promises made when 100 M was added to the project.

Proposed Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See the presentation dawe_2_0304 that serves to make unidirectional operation dependent upon the ability of the PHY and the existence of the OAM Remote Fault option.

Promises made by a presenter back in St. Louis are in no way binding on the group. The text referenced is from a presentation by Ulf Jonsson, made at a Call For Interest, archived in the file:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/smfx_study/public/jonsson_1_0302.pdf

It was never adopted by the task force, and is not binding on the task force.

The baseline presentation on the subject is archived in the file:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/efm/baseline/ionsson 1 0502.pdf

This presentation also assumes that the 100BASE-X PCS is retained unchanged, but decisions to modify the PCS have been made since the baseline was adopted, and these are reflected in the approved text of the draft.

The PAR and 5 Criteria for EFM never claimed that the 100BASE-X PCS would be retained unchanged. The changes that we have made to the 100BASE-X PCS for the sake of unidirectional OAM PDU transmission were approved by the WG in the course of the WG ballot. This change was approved in Italy in September of 2003 in the following

presentation:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/efm/public/sep03/frazier_1_0903.pdf

CI 66 SC 66. P L # 91420

David V. James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Excessive length title: this will cause major problems with auto TOC generation.

SuggestedRemedy

Shorter, as shown above.

DVJ1-415

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. This draft has been reviewed by the IEEE editors and they have no comments against the length of the clause title

Cl 66 SC 66.3.2.2 P540 L41 # 99313

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The true value needs to be better tied to the register bits that define unidirectional being

enabled.
SuggestedRemedy

TRUE; Unidirectional capability enabled (register bits 0.1 = 1 and 1.7 = 1, see Clause 22)

Proposed Response Response Status U

This is the RS. Clause 22 registers have never been used to represent variables or

anything else in an RS. While the RS is part of the physical layer, it is not part of the PHY.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1645

Excess capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

As corrected above ==>diagram

DVJ1-418

Proposed Response Response Status U

REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is unchanged in this draft.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 239 of 242

C/ 66

SC 66.3.2.3

DVJ-1644

D3.0 #552

Р Ρ C/ 66 SC 66.4 L # 91422 C/ 66 SC 66.4.4 L # 91426 David V. James David V. James JGG JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status A DVJ-1646 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1650 The "Clause" and "68" are unnaturally split across lines. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a nonbreaking space. As corrected above ==>reconciliation sublayer DVJ1-420 DVJ1-420 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "Clause 66" in this subclause title should be a cross-REJECT. The comment requests modification of text that was previously approved and is reference unchanged in this draft. SC 66.4 Р L Ρ C/ 66 # 91423 CI 66 SC 66.4.4.1 L # 91429 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1647 Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1653 The title is too long and will overflow automatic TOC generation. The Subclause, columns is mislabeled. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it smaller, as suggested above. Change Subclause==>Clause. DVJ1-420 DVJ1-421 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. This draft has been reviewed by the IEEE editors and they have no comments REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this against the length of the clause title and the base document CI 66 SC 66.4.3 Ρ L CI 66 SC 66.4.4.1 P L # 91425 # 91428 JGG David V. James **JGG** David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1649 Comment Status R DVJ-1652 Comment Type TR Comment Type The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. Center them. DVJ1-420 DVJ1-420 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document and the base document

Р Ρ C/ 66 SC 66.4.4.2 L # 91431 CI 67 SC 67.3 L # 91437 David V. James JGG David V. James JGG Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1655 Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1661 The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Center them. As corrected above ==>Hybrid media topologies DVJ1-421 DVJ1-423 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document CI 67 SC 67.6 P L # 91438 Р L C/ 66 SC 66.4.4.3 # 91433 JGG David V. James JGG David V. James Comment Status R DVJ-1662 Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R DVJ-1657 Excess capitalization. The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>administration, and meintenance Center them. DVJ1-423 DVJ1-421 Proposed Response Response Status U Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this and the base document Ρ CI 67 SC 67.6.1 L # 91439 JGG David V. James CI 66 SC 66.4.4.4 Ρ L # 91435 JGG Comment Status R David V. James Comment Type TR DVJ-1663 Excess capitalization. Comment Status R DVJ-1659 Comment Type The Subclause, Status, and Support columns should definitely be centered. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>client SuggestedRemedy DVJ1-423 Center them. DVJ1-421 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. The format of this table matches those of nearly all PICS tables throughout this Ρ CI 67 SC 67.6.2 1 # 91440 and the base document JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1664 Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>passive DVJ1-424 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Page, Line, Subclause RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 241 of 242

Cl 67 SC 67.6.2

Р L CI 67 SC 67.6.3 # 91441 David V. James JGG Comment Status R DVJ-1665 Comment Type TR Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>multi-point DVJ1-424 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Style is consistent with previously accepted style in the document. C/ 67 SC 67.6.3 Р L # 91442 David V. James JGG DVJ-1666 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Excess capitalization. SuggestedRemedy As corrected above ==>control DVJ1-424 Proposed Response Response Status U REJECT. Style is consistent with previously accepted style in the document. CI 67A SC 67A.3 Ρ L # 91546 JGG David V. James Comment Type TR Comment Status R DVJ-1770 Acronym used, but not defined. SuggestedRemedy ==>FP, include in the initial abbreviations DVJ1-479

Response Status U

Proposed Response

REJECT. Comment is on unchanged text. Abbreviation is expanded in context.