

EFM Task Force Meeting Minutes Tuesday, March 12, 2002  
Notes taken by Meir Bartur

{ Start 8:30am }

Opening remarks and Agenda presented by Howard F. and approved with no objection. [Roy Bynum asked for more general presentations from the different tracks.]

Overview, ground rules, IEEE Standards Process etc. presented by Howard F.

Patent policy reviewed.

Infineon letter of assurance regarding US patents US6,088,368 US09/332062 was read by Howard.

Objectives presented and reviewed H.F.

Liaison Letters:

ITU-T Study Group 13, letter read and referred to OAM sub-task Chair Matt Squire  
T1E1.4 Massiano Sorbara chair described new project re high speed symmetric DSL,  
referred to Cu sub-task Chair Hugh Barrass.

TIA TR42 Letter presenting a case for MM fiber PMD inclusion in EFM efforts  
presented by Chris DiMinico. Referred to Optics sub-task editor Wael Diab.

ITU-Q.2/15 WDM over PON and GPON document references. Doc's given to Gerry  
Pesavento. for response.

*Sub Task force reports*

*Gerry P. P2MP presented plan for the meeting.*

*Hugh B. Cu track. Reviewed plan for the meeting, and explained the line code issue (one at the final spec, two alternatives currently explored).*

*Wael D. Optical PMD three target proposals review*

*Matt S. Status - choose one approach out of three, presented plan for the meeting. Straw polls:*

- *My level of understanding on the baseline OAM proposals. ALL [ High – 32; Medium – 38; Low – 43]*
- *Based on what you know now how will you vote. ALL [ Channelized - 1; Preamble - 32; Frames - 40; ] 802.3voters [ Channelized - 1; Preamble - 23; Frames - 30; ]*

*An effort to re partition the voting for copper and optics was stopped due to general confusion.*

-----  
Additional Objective: p2p 100Mb/s on SM fiber

Bruce T. presented a motion:

- To add an objective to the family of physical layer specifications
  - 100Base-X >= 10 km over SM fiber

Moved: Bruce Tolley; 2<sup>nd</sup> Sterling Rooke

Supporting and clarifications comments from numerous individuals

-----  
Amendment to include MM by changing “SM” to “MM or SM”

Moved by Lisa Peng 2<sup>nd</sup> Chris Diminico

Argument for the amendment, against, and confused.

Chair observed that this could be offered as a separate motion.

Amendment withdrawn by mover and seconder.  
-----

Vote on main motion:

ALL – for 105; Against 4; Abstained 22

802.3voters - for 59; Against 3; Abstained 9

Motion passed

Motion to add an objective to the family of physical layer specifications-  
“100BASE-FX Extended Temp Optics”

Moved : Chris DiMinico

Second: Lisa Peng

ALL – for 32; Against 30; Abstained 72

802.3voters - for 16; Against 21; Abstained 30

Motion fails

-----  
*Carlos Rebeiro presentation; EFM Deployment ....*

*Mark Easley presented EFMA - an industry alliance dedicated to promote EFM.*  
-----

*Final remarks future Meetings and plan for the week*

*{Stop 12:35 pm}*

---

EFM Meeting Minutes Thursday, March 14, 2002  
Notes taken by Meir Bartur

{Start 8:40}  
*Opening remarks.*

Motion #1:

Previous meeting minutes adopted with the following changes:

- All instances of copper subtask force on page p2 of the minutes to “802.3ah”.
- On p. 3, last two motions replace previous copper objectives with the exception of the spectral management objectives.
- In the motion two p.3 wire gauge should be deleted

Moved: Hugh Barrass  
Second: Roy Bynum

Passed by acclamation

\*\*\*\*\*

*Brian Ford Bell South presented extended reach demand for PON beyond 10km to 20km.*

Motion #2:

Additional objective:

PHY for PON, >= 20km, 1000Mbps, single SM fiber, >=1:16

Moved: Brian Ford  
Second: Richard Brand

All: For - 117; Against - 1; Abstained - 16;  
802.3 voters: For - 64; Against - 1; Abstained - 5

Motion Passed

\*\*\*\*\*

*Ed Eckert reviewed some of the current copper objective(s) and potential market demand arguing that a single line code can result in the disfunctioning of the copper track therefore he offered:*

Motion #3

1. The copper objective be restated as follows:

“Specify a generic xDSL Adaptation Layer between the IEEE®802.3 Media Interface and PTM (Packet Transfer Mode) specific gamma-interface as defined in ITU-T Recommendation G.993.1 Annex H”

2. The baseline recommendations agreed by the IEEE®802.3ah Copper Sub-Task Force to date be declared null and void by the assembly of IEEE®802.3ah.

3. The next meeting of IEEE@802.3ah Copper Sub-Task Force address presentations towards expediting this new objective.

Moved: Ed Eckert

Second: George Eisner

All: For - 51; Against - 32; Abstained - 68;  
802.3 voters: For - 27 ; Against - 24; Abstained - 33

#### Motion Failed

\*\*\*\*\*

*OAM Sub-Task Force Matt Squire*

*Recap towards a consensus frame work of combined approached fram and pre-amble OAM. Motion #4 presented.*

#### Motion #4

:

Use OAM in frames... and in pre-amble... (excluding copper)..... { from Matt Squire}

◆ Adopt suzuki\_2\_0302.pdf and gentry\_1\_0302.pdf as a framework for EFM OAM, where the functionality is partitioned as:

■ Use OAM in frames for following functions

◆ Performance monitoring

◆ Asynchronous event reporting

◆ Remote Status

◆ Extensible management control channel

■ Use OAM in preamble for

◆ Fault detection (ping)

◆ Fault signaling (remote/local failure bits)

◆ Alarm bit

■ OAM for EFM Cu are not addressed by this motion.

Mover by Matt Squire on behalf of the OAM sub-task force

*During discussion on the motion...*

*Bruce Tolley calls the question*

*All: For - 41; Against - 55;*

*Discussion resumes*

All: For - 69; Against - 22; Abstained - 45;  
802.3 voters: For - 39; Against - 16; Abstained - 26

#### Motion fails

*A note from the chair as to why this motion failed. While it is true that the motion received a just greater than 75% majority amongst all of the members of 802.3ah (all those present in the room and who felt qualified to vote), it did not receive a 75%*

*majority amongst the voting members of the 802.3 WG present in the room. Both votes must receive a greater than or equal to 75% majority in order for the motion to pass. The chair also notes a very high number of abstentions on both votes. Therefore, consensus on the issue has not been reached.*

\*\*\*\*\*

Review ITU liaison letter response ITU-T SG13. and presented for a motion to approve:

Motion #5

Mover: Matt Squire

Second: Hugh Barras

All: For - 98; Against - 0; Abstained - 4;

Motion passed

\*\*\*\*\*

*Final task summary by Matt Squire.*

Motion #6

Confirm Kevin Daines as the editor for the OAM Sub-Task force

Mover: Roy Bynum

Second: Steven Haddock

All: For - 70; Against - 3; Abstained - 18;

802.3 voters: For - ; Against - ; Abstained –

\*\*\*\*\*

*P2MP Sub-Task Force Gerry Pesavento*

*Presented track results for 5 presentations and votes:*

Motion #7

Motion: Adopt the proposals shown as baseline for the EFM P2MP, as detailed in following 5 P2MP task group motion slides.

Mover: Gerry Pesavento on behalf of the P2MP sub-task force.

All: For - 89; Against - 1; Abstained - 21;

802.3 voters: For - 48; Against - 1; Abstained – 9

Motion passed

\*\*\*\*\*

Motion #9

Adopt page 1-12 of Suzuki\_1-0302.pdf as P2MP baseline document for 802.1D compliance and downstream broadcast with the replacement of line 5 (P2P) of page 11 with

Downstream: ONU receive frames with PHY tags = its own

With the replacement of line 10 (Broadcast) page 11 with

Downstream: ONU receive frames with PHY tag != its own

With deletion of pages 4 & 5

Mover: Hiroshi Suzuki

Second: Dolores Sala

All: For - 85; Against - 0; Abstained - 31;

802.3 voters: For - 46; Against - 0 ; Abstained – 16

Motion passed

\*\*\*\*\*

*P2P Optics track – Vipul Bahat*

*Progress Report*

*Group motions reviewed Motion for extended temp, 4 PON PMDs, 100BASE PMD for single and dual, and Liaison letters to the TIA TR-42 and ITU SG15*

Motion #10

802.3ah accept the motions and work of the optics sub task force, including the liaison response to SG-15.

Mover: Vipul Bahat

Second: Wael William Diab

All: For - 105; Against - 0; Abstained - 11;

802.3 voters: For - 61; Against - 0 ; Abstained – 6

Motion passed

\*\*\*\*\*

*Chris DiMinico like the group to consider*

Motion #11

Motion: to create informative annex to address environmental considerations.

Mover: Chris DiMinico

Second: Alan Flatman

All: For - 59; Against - 1; Abstained - 40;

802.3 voters: For - 40; Against - 1 ; Abstained – 17

Motion passed

\*\*\*\*\*

*Copper Sub-Task Force*

*Hugh Barrass Chair*

*Not all presentation reviewed during the meeting. Consensus was not reached except for the liaison letter.*

*Motion #12 draft*

*Adopt presentations fosmark\_1\_0302.pdf, marris\_1\_0302.pdf, simon\_1\_0302, rezvani\_1\_0302.pdf (with addition of comments documents), as the basis of the first draft to meet the following objectives:*

*PHY for single pair non-loaded voice grade copper distance >=750m and speed >=10Mbps full duplex.*

*Motion to divide*  
*Mover: Michael Beck*  
*Second: Massimo Sorbara*  
*All: For - 86; Against - 8; Abstained - ;*  
*Motion to divide passed*

Motion #12 (Half of Copper Baseline)  
Adopt presentations fosmark\_1\_0302.pdf, marris\_1\_0302.pdf, simon\_1\_0302, as the basis of the first draft.

Mover: Hugh Barrass on behalf of the task force  
Second:  
All: For - 94; Against - 0; Abstained - 33;  
802.3 voters: For - 46; Against - 0 ; Abstained – 18

Motion passed

\*\*\*\*\*

*Motion #13 (2<sup>nd</sup> half of Copper baseline) draft 1*  
*Adopt presentation rezvani\_1\_0302.pdf (with addition of comments document,*  
*“notes\_to\_editor\_1\_0302.doc”, with the exception of note 13) as the basis of the first draft.*

*Motion to amend this motion*

*With the addition of the following proposal: the draft of the baseline wil include a specific definition of the MII gamma interface (as defined in ITU G.983.1) separate from the fully specified PHY definition.*

*Mover: Scott Simon*  
*Second: Massimo Sorbara*  
*All: For - 34; Against - 26; Abstained - 58;*  
*802.3 voters: For - 15; Against - 16 ; Abstained – 35*  
*Amendment failed*

\*\*\*\*\*

Motion #13 (2<sup>nd</sup> half of Copper baseline) cont.  
Adopt presentation rezvani\_1\_0302.pdf (with addition of comments document, “notes\_to\_editor\_1\_0302.doc”, with the exception of note 13) as the basis of the first draft.

Mover: Hugh Barrass on behalf of copper sub-task force  
All: For - 43; Against - 37; Abstained - 47;  
802.3 voters: For - 24; Against - 21 ; Abstained – 28

Motion failed

\*\*\*\*\*

*Next Steps:*  
*Edinburgh Meeting detail presented*

*Planning to go: 86*

*{Stop 12:10pm}*

---