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Introduction

This presentation illustrates some of the limitations of the EPON protocol as it applies to EPOC

- Overview of EPON PHY-layer frame structure
- Comparison of optical and coax time dispersion
- OFDM PHY Example
- Implications to EPOC PHY layer
- Limitations of EPON Protocol for EPOC
- Type of EPON Protocol enhancements for EPOC
- Unified DPoE Management of ONUs and CNU
Ethernet Frame Structure

- Payload sizes vary from 46 to 1500 bytes
- Ethernet Frame (DA, SA, ET, PL and FCS) sizes vary from 64 to 1522 bytes
- Total overhead is 38 bytes (including IFG)
- In EPON the logical link ID (LLID) is encoded in the preamble
Ethernet Frame Transmission

- **Downstream**
  - The OLT sends a sequence of Ethernet Frames
  - Each Ethernet Frame contains an LLID which is used by the ONUs to determine if the Ethernet Frame is for that ONU
  - At the PHY layer each packet contains one payload from the OLT to one ONU

- **Upstream**
  - The OLT schedules transmission times for each ONU
  - During a transmission time the ONU sends one or more Ethernet Frames, in sequence, to the OLT
  - At the PHY layer each packet contains one payload from one ONU to the OLT
Optical Time Dispersion

- In optical fiber pulses tend to widen as they travel along the fiber. This phenomenon is referred to as time dispersion.

- There are a number of types of time dispersion.

- This pulse widening limits transmission speeds.

- The pulse widening should be limited to half a bit period to avoid significant inter-symbol interference [1].
  - At 1 Gb/s this implies that the time dispersion is less than 0.5 ns in 1 Gb/s EPON.
  - It may be even less since there is a 10/8 line-code in EPON.
Coaxial Cable Micro-reflections

- In a coax cable plant there are micro-reflections from elements in the cable plant (e.g. taps)
- These micro-reflections cause time dispersion due to the superposition of the original signal and the delayed micro-reflections
- Like in the optical fiber this time dispersion can lead to inter-symbol interference
- In a coaxial cable plant it is expected that the time dispersion is around 1~4 µs
- This is 2000 to 8000 times longer than the time dispersion in EPON optical fiber
- The EPOC PHY will need to handle this large time dispersion
OFDM – Example

- One method to address the long time dispersion of the channel is to use orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM)
  - In the literature, time dispersion is often referred to as the delay spread of the channel (common wireless terminology)
- We will illustrate how OFDM is designed to handle large delay spreads
- OFDM is not the only possible PHY design, but any PHY that is proposed will have to handle the large delay spread
OFDM – Overview

- OFDM consists of a number of subcarriers (or tones) that are spread across the signal bandwidth.
- If the total bandwidth of the channel is large the frequency response of the channel may vary within the channel bandwidth.
- Each of the subcarriers is modulated, typically using quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM).
- The spacing between the OFDM subcarriers is selected so that each modulated tone passes through a flat channel.
- Hence a simple single tap equalizer can be used on each subcarrier.
OFDM – Overview

- Each subcarrier passes through a flat channel

Frequency Response of the Channel

OFDM Subcarriers
OFDM – Overview

- The OFDM tone spacing is $\Delta f$
- The OFDM symbol duration (not including the cyclic prefix) is the inverse of the tone spacing: $T = 1/\Delta f$
- To avoid inter-symbol interference OFDM adds a cyclic prefix before the OFDM symbol
- The cyclic prefix is a copy of a portion of the OFDM symbol
- The length of the cyclic prefix is a fraction of the OFDM symbol (e.g. $1/4$, $1/8$, $1/16$)
OFDM – Implications

• The micro-reflections of the channel can be modeled as the impulse response of a linear time-invariant system $h(t)$

• To avoid inter-symbol interference, the duration of the cyclic prefix must be longer than the duration of the impulse response of the channel
  
  ◦ Other PHY designs will also have some form of overhead associated with delay spread tolerance

• With a channel impulse response duration of around 1 to 4 μs, the cyclic prefix needs to be at least that long

• Since the cyclic prefix contributes to the PHY-layer overhead, the OFDM symbol should be much larger than the cyclic prefix duration
OFDM – Implications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyclic Prefix Duration</th>
<th>CP Fraction of Symbol</th>
<th>OFDM Symbol Duration</th>
<th>CP Plus OFDM Symbol Duration</th>
<th>Information bytes in an OFDM symbol @ 1Gb/s</th>
<th>Bytes in an OFDM Symbol @ 1Gb/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 µs</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>8 µs</td>
<td>10 µs</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 µs</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>32 µs</td>
<td>34 µs</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>4250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 µs</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>64 µs</td>
<td>68 µs</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>8500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 µs</td>
<td>1/32</td>
<td>128 µs</td>
<td>132 µs</td>
<td>132,000</td>
<td>16,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The combination of the coax cable channel (micro-reflections) and the need to have low PHY-layer overhead result in a PHY that transports large payloads
- Since RF spectrum is scarce the CP overhead in EPOC should be low
Robustness of Long Packets

- In addition to delay spread tolerance, longer PHY packets have additional benefits.
- Cable channels often have burst noise.
- A short RF packet can be corrupted by the burst noise due to the limitations of the forward error correction (FEC) block size.
  - An interleaver, though useful for dealing with burst noise, is limited by the RF packet duration.
- A longer RF packet can utilize a longer FEC block which can correct for longer burst errors.
  - The number of correctable burst errors grows linearly with the FEC block length.
- Robustness is critical in EPOC where very low BER is required.
EPON Protocol Limitations for EPOC

- The current EPON protocol sends packets carrying individual Ethernet Frames of 64-1522 bytes.
- The EPOC PHY is likely to have a minimum payload size that is much larger than that.
- Without any changes to the EPON Protocol this will lead to low efficiency:
  - High PHY rate but low MAC throughput.
- Hence, we believe the Task Force should not rule out enhancements to the EPON Protocol for EPOC.
Type of EPON Protocol Extensions

- This presentation does not propose specific EPON Protocol extensions for EPOC
- It does provide a description of the type of the changes that we believe should be considered
- Any extensions to EPON protocol would be limited to the EPOC amendment and would not affect the current EPON standard
Scope of EPON Protocol Extensions for EPOC
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Type of EPON Protocol Extensions for EPOC

- **Current EPOC Packet Structure**

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 octets</td>
<td>6 octets</td>
<td>6 octets</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
<td>N octets</td>
<td>4 octets</td>
<td>12 octets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preamble</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Ethertype/Length</td>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>FCS</td>
<td>IFG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Type of Downstream Packet Enhancements**
  - Let us label the set of fields \{DA, SA, ET, Payload, FCS\} the “MAC Protocol Data Unit (MPDU)”
  - Design the EPOC PHY protocol data unit (PPDU) so it can carry multiple LLIDs and multiple MPDUs for each of the LLIDs
Type of EPON Protocol Extensions for EPOC

- Type of the Upstream Packet Enhancements
  - Design the EPOC PPDU so that it can carry multiple MPDUs
    - Option 1
      - The PPDU encapsulates MPDUs all from the same CNU
      - Only one CNU transmits during a given transmission window
    - Option 2
      - The PPDU encapsulates MPDUs from different CNUs
      - Multiple CNUs transmit during the same transmission window (both time and frequency multiplexing)
Two Upstream Options

• Option 1
  ◦ This does not require simultaneous upstream CNU transmission so the current Gate message is sufficient for upstream scheduling
  ◦ With multiple CNUs the total dynamic bandwidth allocation (DBA) cycle could be long since only one CNU may transmit at a time. This would lead to longer network latency

• Option 2
  ◦ A new MPCP message (extension of current Gate message) would be required to schedule simultaneous upstream transmissions
  ◦ Lower DBA cycles and hence lower network latency

• Option 2 is preferred in our opinion
Type of EPON Protocol Extensions for EPOC

- Type of MPCP extensions
  - No MPCP changes required to support EPOC
  - PPDU encapsulating multiple LLIDs/MPDUs
  - If simultaneous upstream CNU transmission is to be supported then a new MPCP message (extension of the current Gate message) would be needed in order to schedule simultaneous upstream transmissions
• For each registered ONU or CNU, a corresponding vCM entity will be instantiated on the OLT (or DPoE System).
• The vCMs will interact with back-office OSS Servers on behalf of the ONUs and CNUs.
• The vCM will get CM configuration from the TFTP server; decode configuration file content; configure ONU or CNU through DPoE OAM (or eOAM, extended OAM)
Conclusions

- We believe the EPOC project should include extensions to MPCP and OAM to support the new RF PHY
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