TDD and FDD

Path forward

Contributors and Supporters
(alphabetic order)

- Ed Mallette
- John Dickinson
- Jorge Salinger
- Kevin Noll
- Marek Hajduczenia

Summary

- Plenty of discussion on TDD and FDD so far
- Contributions so far are:
  - Subjective in presenting strengths and weaknesses of both solutions
  - Use different architecture assumptions (apples and oranges comparison)
- This prevents the group from taking an educated decision on how to proceed with the selection of the operating mode (and if at all)

Proposal

- Establish a network scenario for comparison purposes to gauge technical proposal against

- Current contributors bring their contributions for the next meeting with:

  - performance figures against this scenario (minimum, average and maximum packet delay; jitter; packet loss; excess capacity, etc.)

  - list of assumptions made to arrive at the performance figures

Target network scenario (I)

- 40 CNUs in the network connected to a CLT operating at the data rate of up 1 to Gbps symmetric

- No ONUs in the network (to avoid unnecessary complexity and discussion on OCU is and what it does), no OCU, no OLT – consider a coax-only distribution network scenario

- Each CNU runs a CBR 25 Mbps service

- Queues on each CNU are always loaded at the rate 25 Mbps

Target network scenario (II)

- 300 MHz of spectrum available (contiguous block) between 1 GHz and 1.3 GHz

- Node+0 scenario (passive plant)

- 1000 feet maximum reach (max distance to CNU)

- For simplicity, assume this spectrum is completely free and available for EPoC use