

+-----+  
| 8802-3/802.3 REVISION REQUEST 1205 |  
+-----+

DATE: 15th Jan, 2009  
NAME: Bryan Dietz  
COMPANY/AFFILIATION: Alcatel-Lucent

E-MAIL: Bryan.Dietz@alcatel-lucent.com

REQUESTED REVISION:  
STANDARD: 802.3-2008  
CLAUSE NUMBER: 22.2.1.3.3  
CLAUSE TITLE: When generated

PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:

Check on the original meeting of the change and remove one of the two sentences from the second paragraph. One possible change is:

While the RX\_DV signal is de-asserted, any transition of the CRS signal from de-asserted to asserted must cause a transition of CARRIER\_STATUS from the CARRIER\_OFF to the CARRIER\_ON value, and any transition of the CRS signal from asserted to de-asserted must cause a transition of CARRIER\_STATUS from the CARRIER\_ON to the CARRIER\_OFF value.

RATIONALE FOR REVISION:

Paragraph two contains two sentences that are almost identical and appear to be an editing error. The intended meaning is unclear. See original (from 802.3-2008) below:

While the RX\_DV signal is de-asserted, any transition of the CRS signal from de-asserted to asserted must cause a transition of CARRIER\_STATUS from the CARRIER\_OFF to the CARRIER\_ON value, and any transition of the CRS signal from asserted to de-asserted must cause a transition of CARRIER\_STATUS from the CARRIER\_ON to the CARRIER\_OFF value. Any transition of the CRS signal from de-asserted to asserted must cause a transition of CARRIER\_STATUS from the CARRIER\_OFF to the CARRIER\_ON value, and any transition of the CRS signal from asserted to de-asserted must cause a transition of CARRIER\_STATUS from the CARRIER\_ON to the CARRIER\_OFF value.

IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS:

Probably none ? this text appears to be an editing error in the 802.3ay maintenance change.

+-----+  
| Please attach supporting material, if any |  
| Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3 |  
| E-Mail: David\_Law@ieee.org |  
|  
| and copy:- Wael William Diab, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3 |  
| E-Mail: wdiab@broadcom.com |  
|  
| +----- For official 802.3 use -----+ |  
| REV REQ NUMBER: 1205 |  
| DATE RECEIVED: 15th Jan, 2009 |  
| EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL |  
| ACCEPTED/DENIED |  
| BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO |  
| COMMENTS: XX-Xxx-XX Ver: D1.0 Status: R |  
+-----+  
| For information about this Revision Request see - |  
| [http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision\\_history.html#REQ1205](http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision_history.html#REQ1205) |  
+-----+

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54