```
2
                     REVISION REQUEST
3
    +-----
4
   DATE: 26th July 2018
5
   NAME: Arthur Marris
6
   COMPANY/AFFILIATION: Cadence Design Systems
7
   E-MAIL: arthurm@cadence.com
8
   REQUESTED REVISION:
9
10
    STANDARD: 802.3br-2016
11
    CLAUSE NUMBER: 99.4.3
12
    CLAUSE TITLE: Verifying preemption operation
13
14
   PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:
15
16
   In the sixth paragraph of 99.4.3 delete the text:
17
    Verification disable does not affect the transmission of respond
18
   mPackets.
19
20
   RATIONALE FOR REVISION:
21
22
   The text
23
   "Verification disable does not affect the transmission of respond
24
   mPackets."
25
    contradicts the Verify/Respond state diagrams defined in Figure 99-8.
26
27
   If disable Verify is true then the Verify state will always be
28
   INIT VERIFICATION and rcv v will be set to false. Therefore the Respond
29
    state will always be RESPOND IDLE and respond mPackets cannot be
30
    transmitted.
31
32
33
   IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS:
34
35
   Assuming that implementors will have implemented to the definition in the
    state diagram there should be no impact. This is mandated in "99.4.7.1
36
    State diagram conventions".
37
38
39
40
   +-----+
41
   |Please attach supporting material, if any
42
   |Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3
    | and copy:- Adam Healey, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3
43
44
45
   |At:- E-Mail: stds-802-3-maint-req@ieee.org
46
47
               +----- For official use -----+
48
               | REV REQ NUMBER: 1314
49
               | DATE RECEIVED: 26 July 2018
               | EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL
| ACCEPTED/DENIED
50
51
52
   - 1
               | BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO
53
               | COMMENTS:
54
55
   | For information about this Revision Request see -
56
   |http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision history.html#REQ1314 |
57
```