



Errata

802.5w/d1: Full Comment Report

Comment NAJ-01

Section .3 **Line** 142 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☒ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: Not enough detail. See section 4/line 281 for the Amd. 1 equivalent.

Solution: New text:

None. Corrects the detection of a condition requiring the priority STACK to be optionally cleared in the ISO/IEC 8802-5:1198/Amd. 1:1998 standard (all known implementations handle this condition correctly).

Response: Accept in principle. However section 3 deals with changes to 802.5:1998 so I have removed the reference to Amd.1 (and added a few centuries).

New text:

None. Corrects the detection of a condition requiring the priority STACK to be optionally cleared in the ISO/IEC 8802-5:1998 standard (all known implementations handle this condition correctly).

Rebuttal:

Comment KR-01

Section 0.0 **Line** 76 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: If the blank page between the table of contents and the Overview is in fact a blank page and not a formatting snafu then it needs to be identified as such.

Solution: If a blank page, add "This page intentionally left blank."
If a formatting problem, please correct it.

Response: This will be addressed when the document is migrated to Framemaker prior to publication.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-01

Section 4.0 **Line** 382 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: The change to REF 3206, adds the text 'FSMR<2' to the Event column, without the change indication.

Solution: Remove 'FSMR<2'

Response: Good catch. Remove "FSMR<2 & ".

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-02

Section 4.0 **Line** 398 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☒ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: References 1203 and 1210 have had the 'S/T' field captalized (can't have a York meeting without a long discussion on captialization).

Solution: Leave them as they were, ie 'TEDa' and 'TEDb' or change them all. (1208 , 1204, 1205, and new TEDH on 1209) and all the TDEx). If changed ensure changed is underlined.

Response: Background: Other clauses seem to use all caps for alternatives. Figure M.3 in W/d1 has been changed to use all caps where before it said TEDa. 802.5w seems to have been based on changes from 802.5t in practice. This has caused difficulties such as the one outlined in this comment. The committee feels that the document should be based on changes from 802.5 and Amd.1 instead.

The irrelevant editorial changes will be removed.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-03

Section 4.0 **Line** 398 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☒ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: 'S/T' 'TEDH' added to ref 1209, without underline

Solution: Indicate 'S/T' has been changed.

Response: Avoid the change. See MJH-02.

Rebuttal:

Comment EDTR-17

Section 4.0 **Line** 398 **Severity** DIS **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: Transition 1209 is missing a S/T column entry. Ref 1205 has the wrong S/T entry.

Solution: Suggest: Add next free "TED?" reference as the S/T column entry for ref 1209. Change 1205's S/T entry back to "TEDe".

Editor to sort out the details. Try to avoid clashes with 802.5t.

Response:

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-04

Section 4.0 **Line** 398 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: 'S/T' for 1205 has been changed from 'TEDe' to 'TEDH'

Solution: Indicate 'S/T' has been changed.

Response: See MJH-02.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-06

Section 4.0 **Line** 398 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: Comment on ref 1209 has been changed without being underlined.

Solution: Indicate comment changed

Response: See MJH-02.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-05

Section 4.0 **Line** 398 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: Comment on action for ref 1210 has been changed, without being underlined.

Solution: Indicate comment changed

Response: See MJH-02.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-07

Section 4.0 **Line** 400 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: The event for ref 1606 has had a non-indicated change of CSLE<255.

Solution: Change CSLE to CPLE and do not indicate a change.

Response: Correct.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-08

Section 4.0 **Line** 424 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: 'S/T''s TDEb, TDEa, TEDa, TEDb, TEDc, TEDd have been capitalized without a change indication. TEDE has been deleted without a change indication. TEDH has been added without a change indication.

Solution: Make diagram consistent with actual table and indicate ALL changes.

Response: Accept, in that the irrelevant changes will be undone.

Rebuttal:

Comment NAJ-02

Section A.7 **Line** 165 **Severity** DIS **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: Table A.7.3.4

<<TBD>> reference should be fixed.

Solution: Should be 8.3

Response: Done.

Rebuttal:

Comment NAJ-03

Section A..7 **Line** 167 **Severity** DIS **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: Table A.7.3.5

<<TBD>> reference should be fixed.

Solution: Should be 8.3.1

Response: Done.

Rebuttal:

Comment NAJ-04

Section A..7 **Line** 169 **Severity** DIS **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: Table A.7.3.6

<<TBD>> reference should be fixed.

Solution: Should be 13.7.2.2

Response: Done.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-09

Section A.5 **Line** 106 **Severity** Q **Type** TECH **Status** ANSWERED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: Was the 'Status' for *DTRS and *DTRP in the ISO 8802-5 Amd 1 document, incorrect?

Solution:

Response: Yes. The entries you refer to allowed you state that an implementation was both a station and a C-Port. This is not possible within the standard. However if you are a C-Port, you can be in station emulation mode. But that's not the same as being a station.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-11

Section A.6 **Line** 112 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee ☒ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: This 'Item' has been renamed 'TRM'. I believe Txxx convention was used for Timers and TRxx for Transmitter Specs, since this is neither of thos types what is TRM meant to convey?

Solution: Rename it back to DSM.

Response: There is no such stated convention regarding the naming of PICS entries. As long as there's no conflict with any other name the labels are arbitrary. Further, the new name relates to the title of the PICS entry "Transitions Relating to MAC Frames" whereas the committee was unable to see the connection between "DSM" and the PICS entry.

Rebuttal:

Comment MJH-10

Section A.6 **Line** 112 **Severity** Q **Type** TECH **Status** ANSWERED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☐

Concern: Reference 3.3 has been deleted from this table. Is this correct?

Solution:

Response: This was done because the transitions are in 4 and 9, and the formats in 3 and 10. There are entries refering to 3 and 10 elsewhere.

Rebuttal:

Comment SJH-01

Section P.0 **Line** 0 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee ☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☒ **Editing Complete** ☒

Concern: Annex P in ISO/IEC 8802-5 : 1998 has an incorrect header at the top of the odd pages ... see page 243. The date is incorrect.

Solution: Correct to 1998.

Response: Good spot. However this document is not dealing with purely editorial issues.

Rebuttal:



Errata

802.5w/d1: Comment Index

EDTR-17	2
KR-01	1
MJH-01	1
MJH-02	2
MJH-03	2
MJH-04	2
MJH-05	3
MJH-06	3
MJH-07	3
MJH-08	3
MJH-09	4
MJH-10	5
MJH-11	4
NAJ-01	1
NAJ-02	3
NAJ-03	4
NAJ-04	4
SJH-01	5



Errata

802.5w/d1: Comment Summary

	ED	TECH
A/C	12	0
DIS	4	0
Q	0	2

Total A/C Comments: 12
Total DIS Comments: 4
Total Q Comments: 2
Total Comments: 18

	Total	To Be Closed
OPEN	0	0
ACCEPTED	7	0
MODIFIED	7	6
REJECTED	2	1
ANSWERED	2	2
WITHDRAWN	0	0

Comment IDs by Type. Bold IDs require closure.

A/C Comment IDs: NAJ-01 KR-01 MJH-07 MJH-08 SJH-01
MJH-01 MJH-02 MJH-03 MJH-04 MJH-06 MJH-05 MJH-11

DIS Comment IDs: EDTR-17 NAJ-02 NAJ-03 NAJ-04

Q Comment IDs: MJH-09 MJH-10