

Proposed Procedures for any 802.5t Draft 2.2 Recirculation

- 1) We get a list of all people that have voted on Draft 2 that require paper copies of the draft and assign someone to mail the drafts and ballots to them immediately following its posting.
- 2) We prepare a list of all changes from 2.1 to 2.2 either directly, or with clear change bar notation within the clauses.
- 3) Since we have been unable to clearly indicate changes from 2.0 to 2.1b, and will be unable to do so from 2.1b to 2.2, we need to have at least a 30 day review period for draft 2.2.
- 4) Any comments against changes made since issuing draft 2.0 should be allowable in the upcoming review since some people have not had adequate time to review draft 2.1.
- 5) The editors should make a concerted effort to get feedback from DIS commentors to clear those DIS votes. Specifically, proposed fixes, other than simple - Accept the change - should be followed up with a note to the commentor, indicating the change planned, or asking for specific clarification of the issue, as appropriate. Note, an e-mail saying "reply if you disagree with this proposed fix for your concern" is acceptable.
- 6) If there is another recirculation following 2.2, an explicit list of changes should accompany the document, either via use of change bars, or a separate list.
- 7) Insure through direct contact with the commentor that each DIS is resolved (Direct e-mail is acceptable, 3rd party intermediary is not).
- 8) Circulate with Draft 2.2, all DIS's from Drafts 2.0 and 2.1 not confirmed as resolved to the commentor's satisfaction.