EC members (or their representatives) present:
Paul Nikolich – Chair, IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee
Pat Thaler – 1st Vice Chair, IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee
James Gilb – 2nd Vice Chair, IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee,
              Chair, IEEE 802.24 Smart Grid TAG
Jon Rosdahl – Executive Secretary, IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee
John D'Ambrosia – Recording Secretary, IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee
Glenn Parsons – Chair, IEEE 802.1 – HILI Working Group
David Law – Chair, IEEE 802.3 – Ethernet Working Group
Adrian Stephens – Chair, IEEE 802.11 – Wireless LAN Working Group
Bob Heile – Chair, IEEE 802.15 – Wireless PAN Working Group
Roger Marks – Chair, IEEE 802.16 – Broadband Wireless Access Working Group
Mike Lynch – Chair, IEEE 802.18 – Regulatory TAG
Steve Shellhammer – Chair, IEEE 802.19 – Wireless Coexistence Working Group
Subir Das – Chair, IEEE 802.21 – Media Independent Handover Working Group
Apurva Mody – Chair, IEEE 802.22 – Wireless RANs Working Group

Nonvoting EC members present:
Geoff Thompson – Member Emeritus
Canchi Radhakrishna – Chair, IEEE 802.20 – Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Working Group

EC members absent:
Clint Chaplin – Treasurer, IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee

Nonvoting EC members absent:
John Lemon – Chair, IEEE 802.17 – Resilient Packet Ring Working Group

---

v03  DRAFT AGENDA - IEEE 802 LMSC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Friday 1:00PM-6:00PM, 18-Jul-2014

Key:
ME - Motion, External, MI - Motion, Internal, DT - Discussion Topic, II - Information
Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Orders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category (*) = consent agenda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meeting called to order at 1:00pm

Discussion regarding agenda
- Add 7.112 ME - external liaison to 3GPP from IEEE 802
- Add 3.05 MI – Changes to Operations Manual, WG P&P, and Chair's Guidelines
- Add 6.041 II - Local Address Space Usage Plan

* Motion #1  Move to approve modified agenda
  Moved       DAmbrosia
  Second      Law
  Results     13-0-0
  Motion      Passes
Reference    Agenda Item #2.00

Approved Agenda URL: https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/14/ec-14-0034-04-00EC-july-2014-closing-agenda.xlsx
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01:25 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>3.01 MI WG Officer Confirmation - Roger Marks, 802.16 Chair</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:28 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>3.02 MI WG Officer Confirmation, Harry Bims, 802.16 Vice Chair</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:31 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>3.03 MI WG Officer Confirmation, Hyeong Ho Lee, 802.21 Vice Chair</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:34 PM</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3.04 II JTC1 ad-hoc report</td>
<td>Myles</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:39 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>3.041 MI Appointment of head of delegation to SC6</td>
<td>Myles</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:42 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>3.042 MI Empowerment of head of delegation to SC6</td>
<td>Myles</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:45 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>3.05 MI Changes to Operations Manual, WG P&amp;P, and Chair’s Guidelines</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:55 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.00 LMSC Internal business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:55 PM</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>4.01 DT 802 Overview and Architecture report</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:55 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>4.02 MI Future Venue Meeting Report</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:05 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>4.021 MI Motion to Approve Venue for 802 Plenary session Nov 2016</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:08 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>4.022 MI Motion to Approve Venue for 802 Plenary session Nov 2019</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:11 PM</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4.023 II PCO Contract Award (7/15 Exec Session Output)</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:14 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>4.024 MI Network RFP AdHoc Formation</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:19 PM</td>
<td>MI*</td>
<td>4.03 MI* APPROVE Motion: Approve minutes of Jul 15, 2014 Executive Session, Private, 2014_0715_Exec_Minutes_Priv_R0.pdf</td>
<td>D’Ambrosia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:19 PM</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>4.04 DT Effective Use of Tutorial Time</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:24 PM</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>4.041 DT Feedback of July 2014 Tutorials / BoF</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:29 PM</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4.042 II Report of Wireless SDN BoF Meeting</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:29 PM</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>4.05 MI Announcement of Nov 2013 802 EC Workshop</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:34 PM</td>
<td>MI*</td>
<td>4.06 MI* Invited Guest Omission: Andy Berman. Motion: Approve waving of registree fee for Andy Berman</td>
<td>Thaler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02:34 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:34 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00 IEEE Standards Board and Sponsor Ballot Items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:34 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.01 IEEE 802.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:34 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.011 ME IEEE P802.21d (Multicast Group Management) to Sponsor Ballot</td>
<td>Das</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:37 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.02 IEEE 802.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:37 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.021 ME IEEE P802.22.3 Spectrum Characterization Occupancy Sensing PAR to NesCom</td>
<td>Mody</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:40 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.03 IEEE 802.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:40 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.031 ME IEEE P802.1ARce Secure Device Identity - Amendment 1: SHA-384 and P-384 Elliptic Curve PAR to NesCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1AEcg Media Access Control (MAC) Security PAR to NesCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02:43 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1AS Cor2 - Timing and Synchronization for Time-Sensitive Applications in Bridged Local - Corrigendum 2 Maintenance PAR to NesCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02:46 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1AB Station and Media Access Control Connectivity Discovery Maintenance PAR to NesCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1AB/Cor2 - Station and Media Access Control Connectivity Discovery - Corrigendum 2, to Sponsor Ballot</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02:52 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1ABx D1.6 Port-Based Network Access Control: Amendment — MAC Security Key Agreement protocol (MKA) extensions, to RevCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02:55 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1AXrev Link Aggregation, conditional to RevCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02:58 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1Qrev Bridges and Bridged Networks, conditional to RevCom</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:01 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IEEE 802.3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:04 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.3bw, 1 Twisted Pair 100 Mb/s Ethernet PAR, to NesCom</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:04 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet revision Maintenance PAR to NesCom</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:07 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IEEE 802.11</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.11ah (Sub 1 GHz) – PAR extension to NesCom</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.11ai (Fast initial link setup) – PAR extension to NesCom</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:13 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IEEE 802.15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.15.4s Amendment Spectrum Resource Measurement PAR, to NesCom</td>
<td>Heile</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IEEE 802.16</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:19 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE P802.16q (Multi-Tier Networks) to Sponsor Ballot (conditional)</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:19 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.18</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:22 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.19</td>
<td>Shellhammer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:22 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>03:22 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Executive Committee Study Groups, Working Groups, TAGs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.21</td>
<td>Das</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.22 Spectrum Occupancy Sensing Study Group (2nd Extension)</td>
<td>Mody</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.24 Scope Change</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>03:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.24 Smart Grid Task Group Formation</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>03:41 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>IEEE Standard</td>
<td>Topic Description</td>
<td>Presenter</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.041</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Local Address Space Usage Plan</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>03:46 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>IEEE 802.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.051</td>
<td>MI*</td>
<td>IEEE 802.3-1 Twisted Pair 100Mb/s Ethernet Study Group (1st Extension)</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>03:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.052</td>
<td>MI*</td>
<td>IEEE 802.3 Gigabit Ethernet Over Plastic Optical Fiber Study Group (1st Extension)</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>03:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.053</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.3 25 Gb/s operation over a single lane Study Group Formation</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>03:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>IEEE 802.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.061</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.11 Next Generation 60 GHz (NG60) Formation</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>03:51 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>IEEE 802.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.071</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.15 Optical Camera Communications Study Group (3rd Extension)</td>
<td>Heile</td>
<td>03:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.072</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.15 Spectrum Resource Measurement (3rd Extension)</td>
<td>Heile</td>
<td>03:57 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>IEEE 802.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.18</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
<td>04:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802.19</td>
<td>Shellhammer</td>
<td>04:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>IEEE 802 EC Study Group for a recommended practice on privacy</td>
<td>Zuniga</td>
<td>04:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>LMSC Liaisons and External Interface</td>
<td></td>
<td>04:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.21</td>
<td>Das</td>
<td>04:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>04:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.021</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Approval of the Liaison Document to ISO/JTC1 on Responses to the SC6 NB comments under the 60 days ballot of the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011:</td>
<td>Mody</td>
<td>04:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.022</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Approval of the Liaison Document to ISO/JTC1 to allocate IEEE 802.22 maintenance responsibility for the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-22 family of standards</td>
<td>Mody</td>
<td>04:13 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.24</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
<td>04:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>04:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.041</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE Std 802.1Xbx to SC6 for ratification under PSDO, once published</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.042</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE P802.1AXrev to SC6 for information under PSDO</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:19 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.043</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE Std 802.1Qrev to SC6 for ratification under PSDO, once published</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:22 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.044</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE Std 802 to SC6 for ratification under PSDO</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.045</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to ITU-T SG15 regarding LS114 on SDN</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.046</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to ITU-T JCA-SDN regarding SDN</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.047</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to ITU-T SG15 regarding LS116 on MDSP</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>04:28 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.048</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to IETF regarding deterministic networking</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>IEEE 802.3</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.051</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Press Release: IEEE 802.3 25Gb/s Ethernet Study Group Formation</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.052</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>Liaison letter to ITU-T SG15: Ethernet preamble and mapping into GFP-F</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdfv4aY1OFU1d.pdf">http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdfv4aY1OFU1d.pdf</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.053</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>Liaison letter to ITU-T SG15: New work item on Generic Information</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdf_bh1RG9ISt.pdf">http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdf_bh1RG9ISt.pdf</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.054</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Submission of IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013 for adoption by ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>IEEE 802.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.061</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Liaison to ITU/IEC JTC1/SC6</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.062</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Motion to send 802.11ac and 802.11af through the PSDO process</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.15</td>
<td>Heile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.16</td>
<td>Marks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE 802.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.091</td>
<td>ME*</td>
<td>Liaison to ITU-R WP5D Regarding Views on WirelessMan-Advanced out of band emissions.</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/14/18-14-0048-02">https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/14/18-14-0048-02</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.092</td>
<td>ME*</td>
<td>Liaison on OOB information for WirelessMAN Advanced to ITU-R Working Party 5D</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/14/18-14-0046-02">https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/14/18-14-0046-02</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>IEEE 802.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>IEEE 802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.111</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Liaison Response from 1905.1</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.112</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Liaison to 3GPP</td>
<td>Shellhammer</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Information Items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>IEEE 802 / SA Task Force Report</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.011</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Get IEEE802 Report</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.012</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Must IEEE 802 maintain private drafts?</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.013</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Indemnification Inquiry Status Update</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>IEEE SA Staff Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>Standing Committee Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td>05:27 PM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.031</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>JTC1 ad-hoc report</td>
<td>Myles</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.032</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Regulatory report</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.033</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>802 / ITU Standing Committee Report</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.034</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Formation: Wireless Chairs Standing Committee</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.035</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Confirmation: Wireless Chairs Standing Committee Chair</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.036</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>802 / IETF Standing Committee Report</td>
<td>Thaler</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Officers Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.041</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1st Vice Chair Report</td>
<td>Thaler</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.042</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2nd Vice Chair Report</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.043</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Treasurer's Report</td>
<td>Chaplin / Rosdahl</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.044</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Executive secretary report</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.045</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Recording Secretary Report</td>
<td>D'Ambrosia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.046</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>Appeals report -No items to report</td>
<td>D'Ambrosia</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Network Services report</td>
<td>Alfvin</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>Announcement of 802 EC Interim Telecon,Tuesday 7 Oct 2014 1-3pm ET, doc EC-14/044r02</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>Call for Tutorials for Nov 2014 Plenary (Monday, Nov 3, 2014), doc EC-14/044r02</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.00 | ADJOURN SEC MEETING | Nikolich | 06:00 PM |
Chair noted to group that rules regarding taking pictures would be suspended for this agenda item. Dawn Slykhouse was recognized for 30 years of supporting IEEE 802, and was congratulated by all in the room. All were happy but refrained from a chorus line dance, as the rules regarding picture taking had been suspended.

Marks presented the following slides.

802.16 Project Activity Schedules

17 July 2014
Contents

• P802.16q Schedule
• P802.16r Schedule
• P802.16.3 Schedule

P802.16q Schedule (1/2)

• July 18 – EC Conditional Approval to Sponsor Ballot
• July 30 – Updated draft D2 available
• Aug 1 – 15 day Letter Ballot Recirculation A begins
• Aug 26 – LB Comment Resolution Teleconference
• Sep 5 - 15 day Letter Ballot Recirculation B begins
• Sep 23 – LB Recirc B Comment Res Teleconf
• Sep 26 – 30 day Sponsor Ballot begins
• Nov 3 – IEEE 802.16 WG Session #94
• Nov 7 – EC Conditional Approval (RevCom)
• Nov 14 – Sponsor Ballot Recirculation A
P802.16q Schedule (2/2)

- 2014-11-03 to 2014-11-06: WG Session
- 2014-11-07 EC Conditional Approval (RevCom)
- 2014-11-14 to 2014-11-29: SB Recirc a
- tbd: Sponsor Ballot Resolution Telecon
- 2015-01-16: RevCom Submittal
- 2015-03 RevCom Approval
- 2015-03 IEEE-SA SB Approval
- 2015-04 IEEE-SA Publication

P802.16r Schedule (1/1)

- 2014-07-16: 802.16 WG Chair meets with 802.15 WG to present summary slides and discuss possible transfer of P802.16r to 802.15
- 2014-07-17: IEEE 802.16 Closing Plenary agrees on Call for Contributions regarding PAR, Five Criteria, and Architecture and Requirements
- 2014-08 to 2014-09: teleconferences; possible PAR revision request by 2014-10-04
- 2014-11-03: Session #94
P802.16.3 Schedule (1/4)

- 2014-07-14: IEEE 802.16 Opening Plenary agrees on summary slides
- 2014-07-16: 802.16 WG Chair meets with 802.15 WG to present summary slides and discuss possible transfer of P802.16.3 to 802.15

P802.16.3 Schedule (2/4)

- 2014-07: complete IEEE 802.16.3 Architecture and Requirements for Mobile Broadband Network Performance Measurements
- 2014-07: call for contributions toward P802.16.3 draft
- 2014-08, 2014-09, 2014-10: teleconferences
- [2014-09 opportunity to meet with 802.15?]
- 2014-11-03 to 2014-11-06: WG Session
- 2014-11, 2014-12, 2015-01, 2015-02: teleconferences
- 2015-03: WG Session
P802.16.3 Schedule (3/4)

- 2015-03: WG decision to open WG LB
- 2015-03 to 2015-04: WG LB
- 2015-05: comment resolution
- 2015-06 to 2015-07: WG LB Recirc a
- 2015-07: EC Conditional Approval (SB)
- 2015-07: WG LB Recirc b

P802.16.3 Schedule (4/4)

- 2015-08 to 2015-09: Sponsor Ballot
- 2015-09: comment resolution
- 2015-10 to 2015-11: Sponsor Ballot Recirc a
- 2015-11: EC Conditional Approval (RevCom)

Gilb provided statement of support based on his observations of the group.
3.01 MI WG Officer Confirmation - Roger Marks, 802.16 Chair

Marks gave verbal update. Elections redone at this session. Marks received 6 votes of 6 cast. Recording secretary confirmed receipt of Mark’s paperwork

* Motion #2
Motion to confirm Marks as 802.16 chair
Moved: Gilb
Second: Das
Results: 12-0-1
Motion: Passes
Reference: Agenda Item #3.01

3.02 MI WG Officer Confirmation, Harry Bims, 802.16 Vice Chair

Marks gave verbal update. Bims received 6 of 6 votes cast. Recording secretary confirmed receipt of Bims’ paperwork

* Motion #3
Motion to confirm Bims as 802.16 vice-chair
Moved: Gilb
Second: Marks
Results: 13-0-0
Motion: Passes
Reference: Agenda Item #3.02

3.03 MI WG Officer Confirmation, Hyeong Ho Lee, 802.21 Vice Chair

Das gave verbal report of 802.21 elections. Das reported 7-0-0 for lee as vice-chair. Recording secretary confirmed receipt of Lee’s paperwork

* Motion #4
Motion to confirm Lee as 802.21 vice-chair
Moved: Gilb
Second: Rosdahl
Results: 13-0-0
Motion: Passes
Reference: Agenda Item #3.03

It was requested that the Chair instruct the new 802.21 vice-chair come to next EC meeting to introduce himself.
Myles presented the following slides.

July 2014
doc.: IEEE 802.11-14/0971r3

IEEE 802 JTC1 SC closing report
(July 2014)

Date: 2014-05-16

Authors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Myles</td>
<td>Cisco</td>
<td><a href="mailto:amyles@cisco.com">amyles@cisco.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abstract

Closing report for IEEE 802 JTC1 SC
for July 2014 in San Diego
IEEE 802 has pushed seven standards completely through the PSDO ratification process …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IEEE 802 standard</th>
<th>60 day pre-ballot</th>
<th>5 month FDIS ballot</th>
<th>Comments resolved by IEEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>802.1AB</td>
<td>Passed (May 2013)</td>
<td>Passed (18 Dec 2013)</td>
<td>Liaised in May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.1AR</td>
<td>Passed (May 2013)</td>
<td>Passed (18 Dec 2013)</td>
<td>Liaised in May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.1AS</td>
<td>Passed (May 2013)</td>
<td>Passed (18 Dec 2013)</td>
<td>Liaised in May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.3</td>
<td>Passed (2013)</td>
<td>Passed (16 Feb 2014)</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

… and IEEE 802 has six standards in the pipeline for ratification under the PSDO process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IEEE 802 standard</th>
<th>60 day pre-ballot</th>
<th>5 month FDIS ballot</th>
<th>Comments resolved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>802.11aE</td>
<td>Passed (Feb 2013)</td>
<td>Passed (28 Jan 2014)</td>
<td>FDIS comments will be liaised next week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.11ad</td>
<td>Passed (Feb 2013)</td>
<td>Passed (28 Jan 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.11ae</td>
<td>Passed (Feb 2013)</td>
<td>Passed (28 Jan 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.1AEbw</td>
<td>Passed (Jan 2014)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FDIS ballot will start soon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.1AEbn</td>
<td>Passed (Jan 2014)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FDIS ballot will start soon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802.22</td>
<td>Passed (May 2014)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Waiting for comment resolutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
... with a large number of other standards ready to enter the PSDO pipeline soon

- **Documents at liaison stage**
  - 802.1AXrev draft
  - 802.1ACrev draft, once in SB

- **Documents about to enter the PSDO pipeline**
  - 802
  - 802.1Xbx, once published
  - 802.1Qrev, once published
  - 802.3.1, once published
  - 802.11ac, may be approved today
  - 802.11af, may be approved today
  - 802.22a, once 802.22 is ratified under PSDO (also need to allocate responsibility for maintenance of 802.22 to WG)

---

The SC6 discussed other possible proposals in SC6 in preparation for London meeting

- No news on TePA proposals, including WAPI
- No news on UHT/EUHT proposals
- Discussion in WG7 on “Virtual AP”
  - Allows multiple SPs to share an AP
  - Will consider detailed response in Sept
- Discussion in WG7 on “Optimization technology in WLAN”
  - Defines a sniffer to server interface
  - Will consider detailed response in Sep
- **Empowerment of a HoD for London meeting**

---
The SC will focus in Athens on preparing for the SC6 meeting in London

- Prepare status updates for London
  - 802, 802.1, 802.3, 802.11, 802.15, 802.22, ...
- Deal with “Virtual AP”
- Deal with “Optimization technology in WLAN”
- Respond to any new documents on SC6 server
- Appoint IEEE 802 delegation
- ...

The IEEE 802.11 WG will consider sending 802.11ac/af through PSDO

- Motion
  - The IEEE 802.11 WG recommends to the IEEE 802 EC that IEEE 802.11ac-2013 and IEEE 802.11af-2013 be submitted to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for ratification under the PSDO process
  - Moved:
  - Seconded:
- Notes
  - Approved by IEEE 802 JTC1 SC
  - Will hopefully be considered by IEEE 802 EC today
May 2014
doc.: IEEE 802.11-14/0971r3

The IEEE 802 EC will consider various motions related to JTC1

- IEEE 802.1 WG will provide motions to
  - Liaise 802.1AXrev draft to SC6
  - Forward 802 through PSDO

- IEEE 802.11 WG will provide motions to
  - Approve FDIS comment responses for 802.11aa/ad/ae
  - Forward 802.11ac through PSDO
  - Forward 802.11af through PSDO

May 2014
doc.: IEEE 802.11-14/0971r3

The IEEE 802 EC will consider various motions related to JTC1

- IEEE 802.3 WG will provide motions to
  - Forward 802.3.1 through PSDO

- IEEE 802.22 WG will provide motions to:
  - Forward responses to SC6 on pre-ballot by SC6 on IEEE 802.22
  - Send liaison to SC6 asking that 802.22 WG have ongoing maintenance responsibility for 802-22 series
IEEE 802 EC will consider HoD appointment process

• **Motion**
  - The IEEE 802 EC empowers the IEEE 802 Chair be to appoint the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014
  - Moved
  - Seconded

• **Notes:**
  - Approved by IEEE 802 JTC1 SC by 16/0/2
  - Likely appointee is Bruce Kraemer
  - Hoping to include a HoD-in-training in delegation
Myles presented the following slide. Indicated that it may be Bruce Kraemer, but he may not be able to fulfill the role.

### IEEE 802 EC will consider HoD empowerment

**Motion**
- The IEEE 802 EC authorises the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014 to:
  - Appoint the IEEE 802 delegation
  - Approve any necessary submissions
  - Call any necessary preparation teleconferences
- Moved
- Seconded

**Notes:**
- Approved by IEEE 802 JTC1 SC by 16/0/2
- This is similar to previous motions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Slide 12</th>
<th>Andrew Myles, Cisco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Motion #5  
The IEEE 802 EC empowers the IEEE 802 Chair be to appoint the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014

- Moved  
  - Marks
- Second  
  - Gilb
- Results  
  - 13-0-0
- Motion  
  - passes

**Reference**  
Agenda Item #3.041

Chair asked Myles to provide a recommendation if Kraemer can not do this role.

**Action Item** – Myles provide recommendation regarding IEEE 802 HoD in case Kraemer cannot fulfill this role.
Myles presented the following slide

**July 2014**

**IEEE 802 EC will consider HoD empowerment**

- **Motion**
  - The IEEE 802 EC authorises the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014 to:
    - Appoint the IEEE 802 delegation
    - Approve any necessary submissions
    - Call any necessary preparation teleconferences
  - Moved
  - Seconded

- **Notes:**
  - Approved by IEEE 802 JTC1 SC by –16/0/2
  - This is similar to previous motions

---

The IEEE 802 EC authorises the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014 to:

- Appoint the IEEE 802 delegation
- Approve any necessary submissions
- Call any necessary preparation teleconferences

Moved  Stephens
Second  Rosdahl
Results  13-0-0
Motion  passes
Reference  Agenda Item #3.042
Gilb jumped across the table “Dukes of Hazard” style to get the cord to the projector and presented the following slides.

Proposed rule changes for July 2014

4.1.5 Revision of OM and WG P&P

- Revisions to these documents shall be submitted by a Sponsor member to the Sponsor no less than 30 day in advance of a Sponsor Vote to approve them. The Sponsor member proposing the revision may modify the proposed revision during the 30 days prior to a Sponsor Vote (in response to comments). Insufficient time to consider complex modifications is a valid reason to vote disapprove. A motion to revise these documents shall require a vote of approve by at least two thirds of all voting members of the Sponsor. Votes to approve revisions shall be taken at a plenary session. If approved, revisions become effective at the end of the plenary session where the votes were taken.
- We have 6 officers, 10 voting WG/TAG chairs (one overlap)
  - 15 voting members, 2/3 approval is 10 affirmative votes
Proposed rule changes for July 2014

Guidelines for IEEE-SA Meetings

- All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.
- Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.
- Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.
  - Relative costs, including licensing costs of essential patent claims, of different technical approaches may be discussed in standards development meetings.
  - Technical considerations remain primary focus
- Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.
- Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.
- Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed... do formally object.

If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA Standards Board Patent Committee Administrator at patcom@ieee.org or visit http://standards.ieee.org/about/standards/index.html

See IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual, clause 5.3.10 and “Promoting Competition and Innovation: What You Need to Know about the IEEE Standards Association’s Antitrust and Competition Policy” for more details.

This slide set is available at https://development.stds.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/mob/slideset.ppt
4.1.5 Revision of OM and WG P&P

- Revisions to these documents shall be submitted by a Sponsor member to the Sponsor no less than 30 day in advance of a Sponsor Vote to approve them. The Sponsor member proposing the revision may modify the proposed revision during the 30 days prior to a Sponsor Vote (in response to comments). Insufficient time to consider complex modifications is a valid reason to vote disapprove. A motion to revise these documents shall require a vote of approve by at least two thirds of all voting members of the Sponsor. Votes to approve revisions shall be taken at a plenary session. If approved, revisions become effective at the end of the plenary session where the votes were taken.
- We have 6 officers, 10 voting WG/TAG chairs (one overlap)
  - 15 voting members, 2/3 approval is 10 affirmative votes

OM proposed changes
4.1.4 Private letter ballot

- AudCom's response regarding private letter ballot is that it is not allowed.
- Therefore, delete subclause 4.1.4.

4.1.2 Clarify voting rules

- Comment received: “The OM voting rules should reference the P&P and not contradict them.”
- I found one overlap in the OM, 4.1.2 Voting Rules
- Delete from the OM, 4.1.2 Voting Rules the following: “The affirmative vote of a majority of all members of the Sponsor with voting rights is required for an electronic ballot to pass except when specified otherwise by the IEEE 802 LMSC P&P or this OM.”
- This is covered by the P&P in 7.1 Approval of an action.
4.1.2 Editorial issue

• In 4.1.2, change “to be reach in less than” to be “to be reached in less than”

5. WG electronic meetings

• Add the following text to Clause 5
  – All active IEEE 802 WGs shall meet face-to-face during each IEEE 802 Plenary session. All WG meetings shall only be face-to-face, electronic meetings are not allowed. Additionally, IEEE 802 TAGs are allowed to have electronic meetings to make decisions between meetings, but such meetings do not count for participation credit.
  – The WG Chair may designate specific individual experts who are allowed to participate in WG discussions via electronic means during a face-to-face meeting for the benefit of the group. These individuals are not considered to be attending the meeting and so they are not required to pay meeting fees and they do not get participation credit. The participation of these individuals should be limited to specific technical topics. Such participation shall be documented in the minutes of the WG meeting.
5.2.3 Missing word.

- Last sentence of 5.2.3, change as shown:
  - “In all other cases where fees were collected for interim sessions, a **WG** shall prepare and submit all financial reports ...”

10.3 Plenary PAR review

- Our current text has a 5 pm deadline for submitting comments and responses
- However, our WGs often have meetings that last until 6 pm, which is now our traditional ending time for the day (other than evening sessions).
- Propose changing deadline to be 6:30 pm.
- Changes to 10.3:
  - “… by e-mail not later than 56:300 p.m. on Tuesday of the plenary session.”
  - “… not later than 56:300 p.m. on Wednesday of the plenary session.”
OM updates

- This motion is brought under the process described in the subclause “Revision of the IEEE 802 LMSC OM and IEEE 802 LMSC WG P&P” of the IEEE 802 LMSC OM.

- Motion: The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slides 5-10, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures
  - Moved: Gilb
  - Second: D'Ambrosia

* Motion #7

| The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slides 5-10, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures |
| Moved   | Gilb |
| Second  | D'Ambrosia |
| Results | 13-0-0 |
| Motion  | Passes |
| Reference | Agenda Item #3.05 |

Gilb took a big gulp of water before proceeding. A cool breeze blew through the room, and an eerie silence set in.
WG P&P proposed changes

7.2.3 Loss of voting rights

- Change the last sentence as shown:
  
  - If membership is lost per this subclause, membership is re-established as if the person were a new candidate member, i.e., all previous participation credit is lost.

- Add the following sentence after the current paragraph
  
  - Persons who do not retain membership, as described in 7.2.2 Retention, lose membership but this does not cause the loss of previous participation credit.
WG P&P updates

- This motion is brought under the process described in the subclause “Revision of the IEEE 802 LMSC OM and IEEE 802 LMSC WG P&P” of the IEEE 802 LMSC OM.

- Motion: The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slide 12, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures
  - Moved: Gilb
  - Second: D’Ambrosia

* Motion #8

- The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slide 12, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures

Moved: Gilb
Second: D’Ambrosia
Results: 13-0-0
Motion: Passes
Reference: Agenda Item #3.05
Changes to Chair's Guidelines

Letter of affiliation

- The requirement for a letter of affiliation is not written down.
- Add new subclause to Clause 4 EC policy decisions
  - 4.5 Letter of affiliation
  - All members of the sponsor that are confirmed or elected, prior to confirmation or election, shall provide a signed letter that states their affiliation. This requirement may also be met by having an explicit statement of affiliation in the endorsement letter described in the “Voting membership” subclause of the IEEE LMSC Policies and Procedures. A statement of affiliation should be in the form of “For the purposes of IEEE 802, [potential Sponsor member’s name]’s affiliation is [affiliation].”
Review of the CSD by the WG

- Add the following as a new subclause to 4.
  - 4.6 WG review of the CSD
  - The requirement for the review of the CSD by the WG may be met by a motion that is passed by the WG that approves the CSD.

CG updates

- This motion is brought under the normal process of approving actions of the Sponsor.
- Motion: The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slides 14-15, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Chair’s Guidelines
  - Moved: Gilb
  - Second: D’Ambrosia
  - Vote:
The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slides 14-15, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Chair's Guidelines

Moved: Gilb  
Second: D'Ambrosia  
Results: 13-0-0  
Motion: Passes  

Reference: Agenda Item #3.05

---

**4.02 Future Venue Meeting Report**

- **Future Venues File posted:**
  - [https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/12/ec-12-0040-07-00EC-802-plenary-future-venue-contract-status.xlsx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/12/ec-12-0040-07-00EC-802-plenary-future-venue-contract-status.xlsx)

- **Future Venues Mtg Report**
  - Meeting on Wednesday 8-10am — Banker Hill  
  - Reviewed EC-12/40r7  
  - Bob H. Reviewed Asia Site Visits
    - Site visit to 7 sites in Asia (2 in Bangkok, 2 in K-L, and 3 in Singapore)  
    - Update on Room Blocks for San Antonio, Atlanta, Waikoloa
Potential Asian Venues for 2018 (and March 2016 back-up)

- Looked at Venues in Bangkok, K-L, Singapore and Shanghai
  - Except Shanghai, locations offer financial incentives

- Venues having the best potential financially:
  - JW Marriott/Ritz Carlton - Kuala Lumpur
  - Suntec Convention Center - Singapore
  - Singex Convention Center - Singapore
Rosdahl presented the following slide:

**November 2013**

doc.: IEEE_Fc-140044r4

**Motion to Approve Venue for 802 Plenary session Nov 2016**

Approve Grand Hyatt San Antonio, San Antonio, TX as site for the IEEE 802 Plenary Session Nov 6-11, 2016.

Moved: Jon Rosdahl  2nd: Bob Heile
Result: 13-0-0 motion passes

---

* Motion #10

Approve Grand Hyatt San Antonio, San Antonio, TX as site for the IEEE 802 Plenary Session Nov 6-11, 2016.

Moved: Rosdahl
Second: Heile
Results: 13-0-0
Motion: Passes
Reference: Agenda Item #4.021
Rosdahl presented the following slide:

![Image of a slide titled "Motion to Approve Venue for 802 Plenary session Nov 2019"]

*Motion #11*

Approve Hilton Waikoloa Village as site for the IEEE 802 Plenary Session Nov 10-15, 2019, and authorize the IEEE 802 Exec Secretary to complete the contract preparation and IEEE contract execution.

Moved: Jon Rosdahl  
2nd: Bob Heile

Results: 13-0-0

Motion: Passes

Reference: Agenda Item #4.022
Rosdahl presented the following slide.

July 2014  

4.023 - II - PCO Contract Award  
(7/15 Exec Session Output)  

Executive Session: Review of Bids and the recommendation from IEEE EC  
RFP AdHoc Committee and Executive Session to make a decision on  
PCO selection: Tuesday Morning 7:30am in Barker Hill  

On Tue, Jul 15, 2014 at 10:56 AM, Jon Rosdahl wrote:  
Greetings and Salutations,  

The IEEE 802 Executive Committee has decided to award the  
Professional Conference Organizer (PCO) contract (Aug 1, 2014  
through Dec 31, 2018) to Face-to-Face Events.  

Kind Regards,  
Jon  
IEEE 802 Executive Secretary  

Output of July 15 EC Executive Session is presented in presented slide above.
Rosdahl presented following slide

July 2014
doc.: IEEE FC-140044r2

4.024 - 2015 Network RFP Plan

Request for Proposal (RFP) to begin in November
AdHoc RFP Committee to be formed
Schedule of Events:

1. 18 July 2014 Form RFP Committee
2. 28 Nov 2014 RFP distributed to suppliers
3. 12 Dec 2014 Supplier intention to bid or not bid due
4. 30 Jan 2015 RFP due to IEEE Executive Secretary
5. 13 March 2015 Supplier notification of contract award
6. 31 March 2015 Final Contract executed

July 2014
doc.: IEEE FC-140044r2

4.024 - 2015 Network RFP AdHoc

EC Members that are members of the AdHoc

Motion to approve the formation of the Network RFP AdHoc with the following EC Members:

1. Jon Rosdahl (AdHoc Chair)
2. 

Moved: Jon Rosdahl
2nd:
Result:
Motion to approve the formation of the Network RFP AdHoc with the following EC Members:

1. Jon Rosdahl (AdHoc Chair)
2. Heile
3. Gilb
4. Nikolich
5. Chaplin

Moved Rosdahl
Second Shellhammer
Results 13-0-0
Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #4.024

Time: 1:58pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.04</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>Effective Use of Tutorial Time</th>
<th>Rosdahl</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>02:19 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Discussion about use of time in relation to tutorials. Should the time period exclusivity of no WG meetings be re-examined.

Action Item: Rosdahl to lead further discussion on reflector about use of time in relation to tutorials.

Action Item: Nikolich schedule EC meeting for next plenary venue space allocation planning 7 to 8 am.
Roslund presented the following slide:

July 2014  

**4.041 - DT - Feedback of July 2014 Tutorials / BoF**

Feedback from 802.11 on Tutorial:
Number Attending the BOF: 34
Suggestion to add energy to the presentation (small breakouts, and then review what the groups identified)
Number Normally Attends Social: 41
Not attending the Social: 29
OK if Tutorial/WG Mtgs in parallel – 12 Y 58 N
OK if BOF/WG Mtg parallel – 14 Y 38 N
Prefer BOF vs Social – 5 BOF 43 Social
Tutorial BOF like on Monday – 57 Y 1 N

EX Sec Report  Slide 20  Jon Rosland, CSR

Marks gave verbal update of BoF Meeting.

https://mentor.ieee.org/802.16/dcn/14/16-14-0066-00
July 2014
doc.: IEEE_EC-14-0044r2

4.05MI Announcement of
Nov 2014 802 EC Workshop

EC Workshop: Nov 8, 2014
Length: Dinner on Friday Night, work Saturday 8am-5pm
Remember to register for hotel through the end of
workshop, then adjustment for workshop nights will be
made for Friday and Saturday night stays as required.

Workshop Leader: Apurva/Subir
Action Tracker: Apurva/Subir

Agenda to be announced on Interim Call
Expectation is no treasury impact for workshop

Workshop Leadership History

2009 - 1st Workshop: Geoff and James
2011 - 2nd Workshop: Bruce and Jon
2012 - 3rd Workshop: Roger and Steve
2013 - 4th Workshop: Adrian and Adrian
2014 - 5th Workshop: _____ & _____
2014 Candidates - Subir, Apurva,
Future Candidates:
    Mike, Bob, Glenn, David,
    Pat, John D., Clint
### 2014 EC Workshop Draft Agenda

**Tentatively:**
- Geoff: definition of market relevance in context of Broad Market Potential
- Geoff/James: address confidential communications policy/process
- EC members: supply additional suggestions to Chair and Rec Sec
- EC Members: BOF and Tutorial definition and effectiveness
- EC Business Roundtable – James/Subir

| *Motion #13* | Move to approve the 2014 Workshop on Nov 8th, 2014 to be held in San Antonio, TX. Apurva and Sabir to be the Workshop leaders. |
| Moved | D’Ambrosia |
| Second | Gilb |
| Results | 11-1-0 |
| Motion | carries |

**Reference**
Agenda Item #4.05

| 4.06 | MI* | Invited Guest Omission: Andy Berman. Motion: Approve waving of registree fee for Andy Berman |
| Thaler | 0 | 02:34 PM |

Approved with approval of agenda
Time 2:30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.00</th>
<th>IEEE Standards Board and Sponsor Ballot Items</th>
<th>02:34 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>IEEE 802.21</td>
<td>02:34 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.011</td>
<td>ME: IEEE P802.21d (Multicast Group Management) to Sponsor Ballot</td>
<td>Das 3 02:34 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Das presented the following slides

---

**Topic**

Request for EC Approval to forward the IEEE P802.21d for Sponsor Ballot

---

**IEEE P802.21d WG Ballot Result- Final Round**

- Date the last ballot closed: **July 5th, 2014**
- Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes:
  - Ballot Pool = 21, Ballot Return = 19, Return ratio = **90.00%**
  - Number of Approves = 17
  - Number of Disapproves = 0
  - Number of Abstains = 02
  - Approval Ratio = **100%**
- Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and Working Group responses – **N/A**
# IEEE P802.21d Draft History and Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IEEE WG Letter Ballot</th>
<th>Launch Date</th>
<th># of Comments Received</th>
<th>Comment Resolution Status</th>
<th>Return Ratio</th>
<th>Approval Ratio</th>
<th>Draft Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WGLB #7</td>
<td>May 31, 2013</td>
<td>255 (113T/142E)</td>
<td>Comments were addressed and Resolved</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>&lt;75%</td>
<td>P802.21d Draft v2.0 Prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGLB #7a</td>
<td>October 01, 2013</td>
<td>179 (108T/71E)</td>
<td>Comments were addressed and Resolved</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>&lt;75%</td>
<td>P802.21d Draft v3.0 Prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGLB #7b</td>
<td>February 14, 2014</td>
<td>100 (69T/31E)</td>
<td>Comments were addressed and Resolved</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>&lt;75%</td>
<td>P802.21d Draft v4.0 Prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGLB #7c</td>
<td>April 25, 2014</td>
<td>127 (68T/59E)</td>
<td>Comments were addressed and Resolved</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>83.33%</td>
<td>P802.21d Draft v5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGLB #7d</td>
<td>June 20, 2014</td>
<td>06 (00T/6E)</td>
<td>No &quot;must be satisfied&quot; Comments</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>P802.21d Draft v5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEC Review available at: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/14/21-14-0130-00-0000-mec-review-comments.docx

---

### Links to WG Letter Ballot Results Summary

- **WG LB #7:**
  - [http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html](http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html)
- **WG LB #7a:**
  - [http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html](http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html)
- **WG LB #7b:**
  - [http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html](http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html)
- **WG LB #7c:**
  - [http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html](http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html)
- **WG LB #7d:**
  - [http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html](http://www.ieee802.org/21/ballot_7.html)
Links to WG Letter Ballot Comments & Resolutions

- WG LB #7:
  - https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/13/21-13-0113-17-MuGM-lb7-commentary-file.xlsx
- WG LB #7a:
  - https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/13/21-13-0113-17-MuGM-lb7-commentary-file.xlsx
- WG LB #7b:
  - https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/14/21-14-0033-07-MuGM-lb7b-commentary-file.xlsx
- WG LB #7c:
  - https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/14/21-14-0083-09-MuGM-lb7c-commentary-file.xlsx
- WG LB #7d:
  - https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/14/21-14-0110-00-MuGM-lb7d-commentary-file.xlsx

Tentative Time line for P802.21d Sponsor Ballot

- The Sponsor Ballot will start on
- August 17, 2014 – Sponsor Ballot #1 Starts
- September 15, 2014 – Sponsor Ballot #1 Ends
- September 16, 2014 onwards – Address and Resolve Comments
- October 2014 – Sponsor Ballot recirculation
- November 2014 – Sponsor Ballot recirculation
P802.21 WG Motion

Move to authorize the P802.21 WG Chair to make a motion to the IEEE 802 Executive Committee for approval to forward the IEEE 802.21d Draft for Sponsor Ballot and approve the CSD (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/12/21-12-0017-01-0000-group-management-5c.pdf)

Move: Yoshihiro Ohba
Second: Lily Chen

For: 08
Against: 00
Abstain: 00

Motion Passes

July 2011

Motion

Motion: To forward IEEE P802.21d for Sponsor Ballot

Move: Subir Das
Second: James P.K. Glib

For:
Against:
Abstain:

Motion
* Motion #14  
Approve the CSD (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/12/21-12-0017-01-0000-group-management-5c.pdf) and forward the IEEE P802.21d for Sponsor Ballot

Moved  
Das

Second  
Gilb

Results  
12-0-0

Motion  
Passes

Reference  
Agenda Item #5.011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.02</th>
<th>IEEE 802.22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.021</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mody presented the following slides

Motion to Approve P802.22.3 PAR on Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing (SCOS)
Comments received on P802.22.3
BEFORE Tuesday 5 pm

Comments from the 802.11 Working Group

2.1 Title – Consider that “SOS” is an internationally recognized acronym for emergency requests. Consider changing or eliminating the acronym...
Response: Accept: Eliminated the acronym

5.2 The Scope should not talk about the “project”, but rather describe the document that will be created. Please remove project specific definitions and instead describe what the standard will be defining.
Response: Accept, the word Project has been changed to ‘Standard’

5.2 “initially” defines? The Standard will have a set definition that as far as this revision is concerned is the only one that will exist. Do not describe or promise future enhancements.
Response: Accept. The word “initially” has been removed
Comments from the 802.11 Working Group

8.1 is for extra explanation for identified clauses. The clause id is not included.
   Generally a history is not included in 8.1
Response: Accept. Some of the contents from Section 8.1 has been moved back to
   Section 5.5 Need for the Standard and the new Section 8.1 only contains a
   reference
CSD: 1.2.2 – Cite standards correctly.
Response: Accept
CSD: Seems the History is repeated for several responses.
Response: Accept. Redundant information has been removed
CSD: Seems overly verbose
Response: Reduced the verbosity by eliminating repetitions

Comments from the 802.19 Working Group

- Your title is too long. Please shorten the title to something more concise
Response: Accept. The new title has been considerably shortened to ‘Standard for
   Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’
- Since the scope of the project will become the scope of the actual standard,
   you should delete comments about the “SOS Project” since when the standard
   is published, there will no longer be an SOS Project, just and SOS standard.
Response: Accept, the word Project has been changed to ‘Standard’
- The standard should not specify “measurement devices” it should specify
   “measurement parameters and device behaviors”
Response: Accept.
Comments from the 802.19 Working Group

- The phrase “means that enable coalescing the results from multiple such devices” is quite wordy and does not seem to convey a clear message. Is this intended to say “the standard includes protocols for exchanging measurement information?”

Response: Accept in Principal: Comment has been accepted with a few modifications. The Spectrum Occupancy and Characterization Sensing (SOCS) Standard creates a system specifying measurement parameters and device behaviors. It includes protocols for reporting measurement information that enable coalescing the results from multiple such devices.

- Since when the standard is published, the project scope will be the standard scope, you should delete the word “initially” from the scope, so that the scope specifies what is in the standard. In an amendment this could be expanded.

Response: Accept. The word ‘initially’ has been removed.

Comments from the 802.19 Working Group

- The sentence “This standard may specify interfaces and primitives to provide value added sensing information to various spectrum sharing database services” seems to describe some commercial benefits that might fit better into the Need section rather than the Scope section of the PAR.

Response: Accept in Principal — We removed ‘may’ but kept this in the Scope section.

- In the Need section of the PAR change “low cost sensors” to “low-cost spectrum occupancy sensors”.

Response: Accept in Principal — But reconciled this with 802.18 TAG comments.

- The Explanatory Notes Section is used to provide additional information about specific sections in the PAR above. Instead in this PAR, it seems to provide a lot of other information but does not explain a specific section above.

Response: Accept. Some of the contents from Section 8.1 has been moved back to Section 6.5 Need for the Standard and the new Section 8.1 only contains a reference.

Submission Slide 11
Comments from the 802.18 Working Group

For the 802.22.3 PAR:

For the PAR: We suggest to delete the phrase “creation of low cost sensors for”, in the following line. Low cost would be vendor sensitive.

From: “5.5 Need for the Project: This project will enable creation of low cost sensors for improved spectrum utilization and other shared spectrum applications.”

To: “5.5 Need for the Project: This project will enable improved spectrum utilization and other shared spectrum applications.”

Response: Accept in Principal — Changed to This project will enable improved spectrum utilization and support for other shared spectrum applications. This will benefit the primary users as well as the opportunistic users of the spectrum.

Comments from the 802.18 Working Group

For the CSD we have a couple of suggestions:

In 1.2.3 a)
b. IEEE P1900.6a, should not have the ‘P’, should say “b. IEEE 1900.6a…

Also further down in paragraph 3, the same.

“… emerging P1900.6a Standard.”
should read: “… emerging 1900.6a Standard.”

Response: Accept in Principal. Reference changed to the published IEEE Std. 1900.6a-2014
Comments from the 802.18 Working Group

In 1.2.4 a) we suggest the term “Companies” be changed to “Organizations” when referring to NICT, ETRI, etc.

Response: Accept.

Also in 1.2.4 a) the paragraph starting with: “Systems similar to the proposed SOS has been...” should read: “Systems similar to the proposed SOS have been...”

Response: Accept.

In 1.2.5 a), the first line should remove ‘low cost’ to be consistent with comment above.

From: “This standard aims at creating economies of scale through uniform and consistent operation of low cost spectrum sensors.”
To: “This standard aims at creating economies of scale through uniform and consistent operation of spectrum sensors.”

Response: Accept.

Comments from David Law

802.22.3: This PAR has a very long 8.1 that does not point to any PAR item (as indicated in the parenthetical instruction). I guess it could point to 5.5 Need.

Response: Accept. Some of the contents from Section 8.1 has been moved back to Section 5.5 Need for the Standard and the new Section 8.1 only contains a reference.
Comments received on P802.22.3
AFTER Wednesday 5 pm

Comments from 802.11 WG

Comment from the 802.11 Working Group

2.1 Suggest title be “Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing (SCOS)” then update throughout the PAR.

Response: 802.22 WG accepted that suggestion during the WG closing plenary. The 802.22 WG will request PAR and CSD with that change in the Name from ‘Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’ to ‘Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing’ to be submitted to the IEEE SA NESCOM.
802.22 WG Motions to Approve the P802.22.3 PAR and CSD, Wednesday, July 16, 2014

More to approve the contents of the document: 22-14-0075Rev4 https://mentor.ieee.org/802.22/dcn/14/22-14-0075-04-0003-spectrum-occupancy-sensing-par-form.doc as the contents of the P802.22.3 Spectrum Occupancy Sensing PAR, and the contents of the document: 22-14-0061Rev5 https://mentor.ieee.org/802.22/dcn/14/22-14-0061-06-0003-802-22-spectrum-occupancy-sensing-criteria-for-standards-development.doc as the contents of the P802.22.3 Criteria for Standard Development (CSD), to be forwarded to the IEEE 802 EC. To allow the Chair to make a motion during the Closing EC Meeting seeking approval to submit the PAR form to IEEE SA NESC. To allow the Chair to make the necessary changes to the PAR form based on the comments from IEEE 802 EC or the IEEE SA NESC members and submit the revised PAR to the NTSCOM.

Move: Chang-woo Pyo
Second: Jerry Kalke

For: 8
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes.

802.22 WG Motions to Approve the P802.22.3 PAR and CSD, Thursday, July 17th, 2014

The Working Group accepts the suggestion from the 802.11 WG to change the name from ‘Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’ to ‘Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing (SCOS).’ The 802.22 WG further approves the updated PAR and CSD documents with this editorial change as can be found in documents 22-14-0075Rev5 and 22-14-0061Rev7, (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.22/dcn/14/22-14-0075-05-0003-spectrum-characterization-and-occupancy-sensing-par-form.doc), (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.22/dcn/14/22-14-0061-07-0003-802-22-spectrum-characterization-and-occupancy-sensing-csd.doc). The 802.22 WG requests the Chair to make a motion during the Closing EC Meeting seeking approval to submit the PAR form to IEEE SA NESC. To allow the Chair to make the necessary changes to the PAR form based on any other comments from IEEE 802 EC or the IEEE SA NESC members and submit the revised PAR to the NTSCOM.

Move: Chang-woo Pyo
Second: Jerry Kalke

For: 7
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes.
EC Approves submission of the P802.22.3 PAR as contained in Document 22-14-0075 Rev4 with editorial change in the name from ‘Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’ to ‘Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing’ as shown in Document 22-14-0075 Rev5

Move: Apurva N. Mody
Second: Steve Shellhammer
For: 12
Against: 0
Abstain: 0

Motion Passes
Motion

- 802.1 confirms the CSD for P802.1ARce

- Proposed: Seaman
- Second: Gray
- For ___ Against ___ Abstain ___

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward the proposed PAR for P802.1ARce – Secure Device Identity – Amendment 1: SHA-384 and P-384 Elliptic Curve to NesCom.
- Proposed: Seaman Second: Randall
- For ___ Against ___ Abstain ___

- EC proposed: Parsons Second: Thaler
- For ___ Against ___ Abstain ___
* Motion #16

802.1 requests EC approval to forward the proposed PAR for P802.1ARce – Secure Device Identity – Amendment 1: SHA-384 and P-384 Elliptic Curve to NesCom.

Moved Parsons
Second Thaler
Results 12-0-0
Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #5.031

Time 2:41

| 5.032 | ME | IEEE P802.1AEcg Media Access Control (MAC) Security PAR to NesCom | Parsons | 3 | 02:43 PM |

Parsons showed the following slides

---

**Motion**

- 802.1 confirms the CSD for P802.1AEcg

- Proposed: Seaman
- Second: Gray
- For __35__ Against __0__ Abstain __1__
MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward the proposed PAR for P802.1AEcg – MAC Security – Amendment: Ethernet Data Encryption devices to NesCom.
  - Proposed: Seaman Second: Randall
  - For_34___Against_0___Abstain_3___

- EC proposed: Parsons Second: Thaler
  - For____Against____Abstain____

AEcg PAR – supporting info

- PAR pre-circulated to EC as per P&P
  - Also pre-submitted to NesCom for August
- Comments from 802.11 & 802.15 were received and answered
- Updated PAR was posted
  - In 802.1 docs2014 and myProject
**Motion #17**

802.1 requests EC approval to forward the proposed PAR for P802.1AEcg – MAC Security – Amendment: Ethernet Data Encryption devices to NesCom.

**Moved**
Parsons

**Second**
Thaler

**Results**
13-0-0

**Motion**
Passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item #5.032

---

**Motion #18**


**Moved**
Parsons

**Second**
Gilb

**Results**
12-0-0

**Motion**
Passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item #5.032

---

It was realized that the CSD was not confirmed for P802.3ARce. There were no objections to hearing the motion at this time.

**Motion #19**


**Moved**
Parsons

**Second**
Thaler

**Results**
12-0-1

**Motion**
Passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item #5.031
Parsons showed the following slide

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AS-Cor2 PAR to NesCom.
  - Proposed: Messenger Second: Gray
  - For_30___Against_0__Abstain_0__
  - EC proposed: Parsons Second:
  - For____Against____Abstain____

Note there is no CSD as this is a corrigendum

AS/Cor2 PAR – supporting info

- This is a maintenance PAR
- PAR circulated to EC as per P&P
Motion #20
802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AS-Cor2 PAR to NesCom.
Moved
Parsons
Second
Thaler
Results
12-0-1
Motion
Passes

Reference
Agenda Item #5.033

**MOTION**

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AB-Rev PAR to NesCom.

- Proposed: Messenger Second: Gray
- For___Against___Abstain___

- EC proposed: Parsons Second:
- For___Against___Abstain___

Note there is no CSD as this is only a rollup of existing corrigenda
ABrev PAR – supporting info

- This is a maintenance PAR
  - Rollup of corrigenda only
- PAR circulated to EC as per P&P

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>* Motion #21</th>
<th>802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AB-Rev PAR to NesCom.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Thaler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parsons presented the following slides

**MOTION**

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AB-Cor2 D0.0 to Sponsor Ballot.

- Proposed: Jeffree Second: Gray
- For 25 Against 0 Abstain 0

- EC proposed: Parsons Second: Thaler
- For Against Abstain

Note there is no CSD as this is a corrigendum

---

* Motion #22 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AB-Cor2 D0.0 to Sponsor Ballot.
  Moved Parsons
  Second Thaler
  Results 13-0-0
  Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #5.035
Parsons presented the following slides

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to submit P802.1Xbx D1.6 to RevCom for approval as an IEEE Standard.
- Proposed: Seaman Second: Randall
- For_29__Against_0__Abstain_3__

- EC proposed: Parsons Second: Thaler
- For____Against____Abstain____

[P802.1Xbx D1.6 Port-Based Network Access Control: Amendment—MAC Security Key Agreement protocol (MKA) extensions, passed Sponsor ballot recirculation with no outstanding Disapproves and no comments received on the recirculation ballot. 62 voters, 53 responding (85% response), 46 approve (100%), 0 disapprove (no outstanding comments), 7 abstentions (13%).]
Motion #23

802.1 requests EC approval to submit P802.1Xbx D1.6 to RevCom for approval as an IEEE Standard.

Moved Parsons
Second Thaler
Results 13-0-0
Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #5.036
Motion

- 802.1 confirms the 5C

- Proposed: Haddock
- Second: Saltsidis
- For ___34___ Against ___0___  Abstain ___0___

- EC proposed:     Second:
- For_____Against___Abstain_____
P802.1AXrev - Last ballot statistics

- Ballot Open Date: 19-Jun-2014
- Ballot Close Date: 04-Jul-2014
- Draft #: P802.1AX-REV/D4.1
  - Ballots Received: 3  Vote Changes: 1  Comments: 11  Must Be Satisfied Comments: 1
- RESPONSE RATE
  - This ballot has met the 75% returned ballot requirement.
  - 67 eligible people in this ballot group.
- 67 affirmative votes
  - 1 total negative votes with comments
  - 1 negative votes with new comments
  - 0 negative votes without comments
  - 2 abstention votes: (Lack of expertise: 1, Lack of time: 1)
- 70 votes received = 80% returned
  - 2% abstention
- APPROVAL RATE
  - The 75% affirmation requirement is being met.
  - 67 affirmative votes
  - 1 negative votes with comments
  - 68 votes = 98% affirmative

- The comments are:
P802.1AXrev - unsatisfied

- The 1 outstanding "No" was associated with one must be satisfied comment from Steve Haddock
- This (and all other comments on the ballot) has been addressed and the commenter has indicated that he is satisfied with the resolution.
P802.1AX ballot schedule

- Next recirculation, starting in August 2014, 15 day ballot
- September 802.1 interim meeting to resolve comments
- Submit either for December RevCom (Oct 20 deadline) or intermediate meeting.

* Motion #24


Moved: Parsons
Second: Thaler
Results: 13-0-0
Motion: Passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.037
Parsons presented the following slide:

**Motion**

- 802.1 requests EC conditional approval to submit P802.1Q-REV to RevCom.
- Proposed: Haddock
- Second: Jeffree
- For __31__ Against __0__ Abstain __1__

- EC proposed: Second:
- For ____ Against ____ Abstain ____

Note: CSD were not required at PAR approval, so there are none

* Motion #25  EC grants conditional approval to submit P802.1Q-REV to RevCom.
* Moved       Parsons
* Second      Thaler
* Results     13-0-0
* Motion      Passes
* Reference   Agenda Item #5.038
After making himself a proper cup of tea, Law presented the following slides:

**5.041 ME: New PAR: IEEE P802.3bw 1 Twisted Pair 100 Mb/s Ethernet**
IEEE P802.3bw 1 Twisted Pair 100 Mb/s Ethernet PAR and CSD responses

Title
Standard for Ethernet Amendment Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 100 Mb/s Operation over a Single Balanced Twisted Pair Cable (100BASE-T1)

Scope of project
The scope of this project is to specify additions to and appropriate modifications of IEEE Std 802.3 Standard for Ethernet to add a point-to-point full duplex 100 Mb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for operation over single twisted pair balanced cabling.

Need
Adoption of Ethernet into the new market area of automotive has generated a need for a 100 Mb/s solution that will operate over single balanced twisted pair cabling on a lower-performance channel as well as other applications, such as carbon footprint sensitive applications, that will benefit by a reduction in the number of wire pairs and magnetics. IEEE Std 802.3 does not currently support 100 Mb/s operation over single balanced twisted pair cabling.

Draft PAR
http://ieee802.org/3/1TPCESG/public/P802_3bw_PAR_220514.pdf

Draft CSD responses
Motion
The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee approves the IEEE P802.3bw CSD responses and forwards the IEEE P802.3bw PAR to NesCom

M: Law  S: D'Ambrosia
Y: 66, N: 0, A: 0

Results: 12-0-1

Motion passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.041
As his tea needed to cool, Law presented the following slides.

5.042 ME: Revision PAR: IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet
IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet revision PAR responses

Title
Standard for Ethernet

Scope
This standard defines Ethernet local area, access and metropolitan area networks. Ethernet is specified at selected speeds of operation; and uses a common media access control (MAC) specification and management information base (MIB). The Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) MAC protocol specifies shared medium (half duplex) operation, as well as full duplex operation. Speed specific Media Independent Interfaces (MII) provide an architectural and optional implementation interface to selected Physical Layer entities (PHY). The Physical Layer encodes frames for transmission and decodes received frames with the modulation specified for the speed of operation, transmission medium and supported link length. Other specified capabilities include control and management protocols, and the provision of power over selected twisted pair PHY types.

Need
IEEE Std 802.3-2012 will need to have a revision initiated by 2015 to allow consideration of future amendments per standards board policies. This Revision will include the merge of IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013, IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014 and accumulated maintenance changes. It is also expected to include IEEE Std 802.3bm-201x. Because there are multiple other amendments in the "pipeline", it will be desirable to complete this revision by 2015 to provide a solid base for sponsor balloting of other approved amendment projects. These schedule considerations require that new capabilities or functional enhancement will have to be deferred to a future amendment project to avoid delaying approved and current proposed new projects.

Draft PAR
http://iee802.org/3/maint/public/P802_3_PAR_Draft_1_0714.pdf
**IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet revision PAR responses**

**Motion**

The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee forwards the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet revision PAR to NesCom

M: Law  S: D’Ambrosia  
Y: ??, N: ??, A: ??

**Working Group vote**

Y: 89, N: 0, A: 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>* Motion #27</th>
<th>The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee forwards the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet revision PAR to NesCom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>D’Ambrosia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stephens presented the following slides:

May 2014  doc.: IEEE 802.11-14/0849r0

5.051 - P802.11ah PAR Extension Motion

- Approve sending the PAR extension request in the PAR document cited below for P802.11ah to NesCom
  - PAR document: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0590-01-00ah-tgah-par-extension.docx
  - CSD document: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0591-00-00ah-tgah-revised-csd.docx
  - In the WG PAR motion 48.0.1, CSD motion 46.0.1
- Moved: Adrian Stephens
- Seconded: Jon Rosdahl
Stephens presented the following slide:

**May 2014 doc.: IEEE 802.11-14/0849r4**

### 5.052 - P802.11ai PAR Extension Motion

- **Approve** sending the PAR extension request in the PAR document cited below for P802.11ai to NesCom
- **And confirm** the PAR and 5C cited below
  - PAR document: [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0653-02-00ai-tgai-par-extension.docx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0653-02-00ai-tgai-par-extension.docx)
  - 5C document: [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/10/11-10-1153-00-0fia-fast-initial-link-set-up-5c.doc](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/10/11-10-1153-00-0fia-fast-initial-link-set-up-5c.doc)
  - In the WG: PAR approval motion: 46,0,0; 5C approval motion: 46,0,0

- **Moved**: Adrian Stephens
- **Seconded**: Jon Rosdahl

| *Motion #29* | Approve sending the PAR extension request in the PAR document cited below for P802.11ai to NesCom  
And confirm the PAR and 5C cited below:  
PAR document: [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0653-02-00ai-tgai-par-extension.docx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0653-02-00ai-tgai-par-extension.docx)  
5C document: [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/10/11-10-1153-00-0fia-fast-initial-link-set-up-5c.doc](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/10/11-10-1153-00-0fia-fast-initial-link-set-up-5c.doc) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heile presented the following slides

**802.15.4s PAR to NesCom**

**Comments and Resolutions**

**Comments Received:**
- 2 Comments from 802.19
- 1 Comment from 802.11
- 2 Comments from 802.3
Comment #1 from 802.19WG

Comment: In Section 5.2.b two examples of spectrum resource measurements are given: PER and delay. These seem to be more network performance metrics. Please explain the difference between spectrum resource measurements and network performance metrics.

Response and Action:
In an effort to provide a bit more clarity in the description, we propose that Section 5.2 in the PAR be modified as follows (action to be done by NesCom admin):

This amendment to IEEE Std 802.15.4 defines MAC related functions to enable spectrum resource management.

It specifies
- spectrum resource measurements and network performance metrics, such as packet error rate, delay, etc,
- information elements and data structures to capture these measurements,
- procedures for collecting and exchanging spectrum resource measurement information with higher layers or other devices.

Comment #2 from 802.19WG

Comment: Will these spectrum resource measurements provide input to the 802.19.1 coexistence model?

Response: These spectrum resource measurements may be used by the 802.19.1.

Action: No change needed to the PAR or CSD
Comment from 802.11

Comment: 1.2.4 b) need a response:
b) Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.

Response and Action:
The following has been added to 1.2.4 b) in the CSD:
Same as 1.2.4 a). Similar capabilities have already been deployed and demonstrated in many proprietary implementations.

Comment #1 from 802.3

Comment: The CSD does not respond to the question 'Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects'.

Response and Action:
The below has been added to the CSD

Intended plan for developing a definition of managed objects:
- Understand the common capabilities associated with spectrum resource and network performance metrics of all the PHYs currently defined in the IEEE 802.15.4-2011 plus completed amendments. Also identify and record specific unique capabilities as needed.
- Where necessary, understand how to express those capabilities in a common manner, for example, if latency is such a capability then determine if it is used in a consistent manner from PHY to PHY. If not, define a mechanism to normalize.
- Define a transport mechanism, like an IE.
- Reduce the above to a set defined managed objects.
Comment #2 from 802.3

Comment: for Economic Feasibility the CSD simply states that existing 15.4 model is still valid, a pointer to that model as a minimum should be provided.

Response: Reference to a 15.4 model has been removed. CSD has been modified as follows:

Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications. Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

- Balanced costs (infrastructure versus attached stations).
- Since there is no added hardware costs, the existing balance remains unchanged.
- Known cost factors
- Firmware change - no added hardware costs
- Consideration of installation costs.
- No change to installation costs.
- Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).
- No change to operational costs.
- Other areas, as appropriate.

None.

---

Motion to Approve 15.4s PAR and CSD

Move that 802EC approve the PAR (15-13-0615-08-0srustechnical-draft-par) as modified by the Comment Resolutions (15-14-0462-00-0srurequestss-to-802-15-4spar-csd-comments) and CSD (15-14-0175-05-0srustandardization-of-sg-sru-csd) and authorize the 802.15 Working Group to forward the PAR to NesCom for approval.

(WG vote: 41-0-0)
Moved: Bob Heile
Second: James Gilb
Motion #30

Move that 802EC approve the PAR (15-13-0615-08-0sru-sru-working-draft-par) as modified by the Comment Resolutions (15-14-0462-00-0sru-reponsess-to-802-15-4s-par-csd-comments) and CSD (15-14-0175-05-0sru-working-draft-of-sg-sru-csd) and authorize the 802.15 Working Group to forward the PAR to NesCom for approval.

Moved: Heile
Second: Gilb
Results: 12-0-0
Motion: passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.061

Time 3:19pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.07</th>
<th>IEEE 802.16</th>
<th>03:19 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.071</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>IEEE P802.16q (Multi-Tier Networks) to Sponsor Ballot (conditional)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Marks presented the following slides:

* **P802.16q to Sponsor Ballot: Conditional Approval Request**
  
  18 July 2014*
PAR and 5C

PAR:
https://mentor.ieee.org/802.16/dcn/16-12-0526-00

5C:
https://mentor.ieee.org/802.16/dcn/16-12-0394-06

PAR Title:
IEEE Standard for Air Interface for Broadband
Wireless Access Systems – Amendment for Multi-tier
Networks

PAR Approval Date:
2012-08-30

General Conditions: OM (v14) Clause 12

Conditional approval is only appropriate when ballot
resolution efforts have been substantially completed
and the approval ratio is sufficient.

"Substantially complete" is when there is a very low
likelihood of receiving valid new Disapprove
comment(s)/vote(s) upon the next recirculation
ballot.
Rules: OM (v14) Clause 12

motions requesting conditional approval to forward when the prior ballot has closed shall be accompanied by:

- Date the ballot closed
- Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes
- Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and WG responses
- Schedule for recirculation ballot and resolution meeting

Date the ballot closed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>Close</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WG Letter Ballot #39</td>
<td>2 Apr</td>
<td>9 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes

7 Approve (100%)
• 0 Disapprove
• 0 Abstain
• Return ratio requirement met (78%)

Comment resolution

Disapprove comment status
Working Group Letter Ballot #39
• all 66 comments addressed, 14-17 July 2014
• sole Disapprove voter flipped vote to approve as a result

Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and WG responses
• none
Schedule for recirculation ballot and resolution meeting

- Sponsor Ballot Group formation is completed – 69 members
- July 30 – Updated draft D2 available
- Aug 1 – 15 day WGLB Recirculation a
- Aug 26 – Comment Resolution Teleconference
- Sep 5 - 15 day WGLB Recirculation b
- Sep 23 – Comment Resolution Teleconference
- Sep 26 to Oct 26 – 30 day Sponsor Ballot
- Nov 3 – IEEE 802.16 WG Session #94

802.16 WG Motion

802.16 Closing Plenary: 2014-07-17

Motion: “To request Conditional Approval from the EC to forward the P802.16q draft to Sponsor Ballot”

- Approved 5-0-0
**LMSC Motion**

- To grant conditional approval, per Clause 12 of the IEEE 802 Operations Manual, to forward P802.16q (under 5C IEEE 802.16-12-0394-06) for Sponsor Ballot
- Moved: Marks
- Seconded: Das
- Approve: 13
- Disapprove: 0
- Abstain: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>* Motion #31</th>
<th>To grant conditional approval, per Clause 12 of the IEEE 802 Operations Manual, to forward P802.16q (under 5C IEEE 802.16-12-0394-06) for Sponsor Ballot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Das</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lynch indicated that there was nothing to report.

Shellhammer indicated that there was nothing to report.

Break 3:26pm
Reconvened at 3:35pm

No activity.

Chair asked if any objections were made to hearing 6.031 first – none were heard by the chair.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.03</th>
<th>IEEE 802.24</th>
<th>03:31 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.031</td>
<td>IEEE 802.24 Scope Change</td>
<td>Gilb 10 03:31 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gilb presented the following slides

IEEE 802.24 Smart Grid TAG
Procedure for starting a new TG
Proposed new 802.24 scope

- IEEE 802.24 Vertical Applications TAG focuses on application categories that use IEEE 802 technology and are of interest to multiple IEEE 802 WGs and have been assigned to IEEE 802.24 by the IEEE Executive Committee.
- For those application categories, IEEE 802.24:
  - Acts as a liaison and point of contact with industry organizations, other SDOs, government agencies, IEEE societies, etc., for questions regarding the use of 802 standards in those vertical applications.
  - Develops white papers, presentations and other documents that do not require a PAR that describe the application of 802 standards to those vertical applications.
  - Acts as a resource for understanding 802 standards for regulatory agencies and for certification efforts by industry bodies, that require more than one IEEE 802 WG’s input.
- NOTE: The IEEE 802 technologies are applied to support the vertical applications. IEEE 802.24 determines what makes the horizontal technologies supportive of the applications. IEEE 802.24 also determines what enhancements to the horizontal technologies would improve their ability to support the applications.
- Examples of potential vertical application categories are: Smart Grid, Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Smart Homes, Smart Cities, eHealth

802.24 Smart Grid TAG

James Gilb (Tensorcom)
New TG process (1)

- An individual(s) requests time for a presentation (the best is a tutorial slot) that describes the new application category. The presentation should be:
  - Application focused
  - Supported by multiple members and multiple companies
- If the response from the presentation is favorable, the individual(s) requests that the IEEE 802.24 TAG form a subgroup to write a proposed TG scope document for that application category (the current form is 24-14-0014-01). Approval for forming a subgroup is a majority vote. The IEEE 802.24 TAG Chair will inform the Sponsor of the formation of the group.
- When the subgroup has achieved consensus, the Chair of the subgroup will make a motion to approve the proposed TG scope document in the IEEE 802.24 TAG. Approval requires a 75% majority vote according to the IEEE 802 LMSC Policies and Procedures.
- The IEEE 802.24 TAG Chair submits the proposed TG scope document to all IEEE 802 WGs for review following the review and comment process in the “Plenary review” subclause of IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual. Note that a PAR is not being created.

802.24 Smart Grid TAG

James Gilb (Tensorcom)
New TG process (2)

- The 802.24 subgroup gets a motion in a minimum of 2 IEEE 802 WGs (not TAGs) that expresses support for adding this application category. The WGs that approve the motion may identify specific topics of that application category that are relevant to that WG.
- The 802.24 chair brings a motion to the EC to approve adding the area to IEEE 802.24.
- If the EC approves the formation of the TG, the TG may begin operation immediately.
- The IEEE 802.24 TAG may request that the EC rescind the scope of a TG, thereby dissolving the TG.

802.24 Smart Grid TAG

James Gilb (Tensorcom)
Sample Motion in WG

- Moved: IEEE 802.yy WG supports the formation of <Name of 802.24 Task Group> with the scope defined in <DCN>. IEEE 802.yy WG has identified the following topics that are of interest to the WG.
  - Topic 1
  - Topic 2

- Moved:
- Seconded:
- Vote: y/n/a

802.24 Smart Grid TAG

James Gilb (Tensorcom)
IEEE 802.24 Smart Grid TAG

Motions for closing plenary

802.24 Smart Grid TAG

James Gilb (Tensorcom)
Current 802.24 scope

The 802 Smart Grid TAG

- Acts as a liaison and point of contact with regulatory agencies, industry organizations, other SDOs, government agencies, IEEE societies, etc., for questions regarding the use of 802 standards in Smart Grid applications.
- Facilitate coordination and collaboration among 802 groups.
- Provides speakers as needed and available to present on 802 standards in Smart Grid applications.
- Develops white papers, guidelines, presentations and other documents that do not require a PAR that describe the application of 802 standards to Smart Grid applications.
- Acts as a resource for understanding 802 standards for certification efforts by industry bodies.
802.24 Scope change

- Motion: That the IEEE 802 EC approves changing the scope of IEEE 802.24 TAG to the scope in document 24-14-0016-04 and the process for forming new task groups within IEEE 802.24 TAG as described in document 24-14-0016-04
- Moved: Gilb
- Second: D'Ambrosia

Reference: Agenda Item #6.031
Gilb presented the following slides:

802.11 Motion

- The IEEE 802.11 WG supports the formation of the Smart Grid Task Group with the scope defined in 
  24.14.0015.03.0000_smart_grid_tg_scope. The IEEE 802.11 WG has identified the following topics that are of interest:
  - Home Area Networks
  - Metering Networks
  - Sensor Connectivity

- Moved: Tim Godfrey
- Second:
- Vote: 48/2/4
802.16 motion

- The IEEE 802.16 WG supports the formation of the Smart Grid Task Group with the scope defined in 24-14-0015-02-0000-smart-grid-tg-scope. IEEE 802.16 WG has identified the following topics that are of interest to the WG:
  - Utility Backhaul Networks
  - High Reliability Field Area Networks and M2M
  - Multi-Tier Networks

- Moved: Tim Godfrey
- Second:
- Vote: 5/0/0

Submission
802.15 support for Smart Grid TG

- Moved: IEEE 802.15 WG supports the formation of IEEE 802.24 Smart Grid Task Group with the proposed TG scope defined in 24-14-0015-03-0000 or current version. IEEE 802.15 WG has identified the following topics that are of interest to the WG.
  - Advanced metering infrastructure (AMI)
  - Distribution automation (DA)
- Moved: Gilb
- Seconded: Godfrey
- Vote: 30/1/1 y/n/a
802.22 motion

• Moved: The IEEE 802.22 WG supports the formation of the Smart Grid Task Group with the scope defined in 24-14-0015-03-0000-smart-grid-tg-scope. IEEE 802.22 WG has identified the following topics that are of interest to the WG:
  – Regional Area Networks for utility network backhaul and distribution

• Moved: Reede
• Seconded: Sasaki
• Vote: 7/0/0

802.24 Smart Grid TAG

James Gilb (Tensorcom)
Smart Grid Task Group

- Moved: The IEEE 802 EC approves the formation of the Smart Grid Task Group in IEEE 802.24 with the scope given in document 24-14-0015-04.

- Moved: Gilb
- Seconded: Heile

---

Motion #33

The IEEE 802 EC approves the formation of the Smart Grid Task Group in IEEE 802.24 with the scope given in document 24-14-0015-04.

Moved: Gilb
Second: Heile
Results: 12-0-1
Motion: passes

Reference: Agenda Item #6.032
It was noted that the CSD for the P802.22.3 PAR had not been confirmed. There were no objections to hearing this motion at this time. Mody showed the following slide:

---

**EC Motion to Confirm the P802.22.3 CSD**

EC confirms Document 22-14-0061 Rev6 as the CSD for the P802.22.3 PAR on Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing with editorial change in the name from ‘Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’ to ‘Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing’ as shown in Document 22-14-0061 Rev7

Move: Apurva N. Mody
Second: Steve Shellhammer
For: 13
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes

---

* Motion #34  
EC confirms Document 22-14-0061 Rev6 as the CSD for the P802.22.3 PAR on Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing with editorial change in the name from ‘Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’ to ‘Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing’ as shown in Document 22-14-0061 Rev7

Moved Moody
Second Shellhammer
Results 13-0-0
Motion passes

Reference Agenda Item #6.021

Mody showed the following slides
Second Extension for the Spectrum Occupancy Sensing (SOS) Study Group under the 802.22 WG

Timelines of the Study Group

- **2013 Nov**: Study Group Formed
- **2014 March**: Outreach to Other Similar Activities
- **2014 July**: PAR and CSD Formulation Completed, IEEE 802.22 Working Group Sponsors a Tutorial on Spectrum Occupancy Sensing
- **SOS Activity Begins**
802.22 WG Motion for the Second Extension for the SOS Study Group

The Working Group requests the Chair to seek an approval for the second extension for the Spectrum Occupancy Sensing Study Group from the EC.

Move: Chang-woo Pyo
Second: Jerry Kalke
For: 7
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes.

EC Motion for the Second Extension for the SOS Study Group

IEEE 802 EC approves Second Extension for the Spectrum Occupancy Sensing (SOS) Standardization Study Group under the IEEE 802.22 working group
Move: Apurva N. Mody
Second: Steve Shellhammer
For: 13
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes
| * Motion #35 | IEEE 802 EC approves Second Extension for the Spectrum Occupancy Sensing (SOS) Standardization Study Group under the IEEE 802.22 working group |
| Moved       | Moody |
| Second      | Shellhammer |
| Results     | 13-0-0 |
| Motion      | passes |
| Reference   | Agenda Item #6.021 |
II: Local Address Space usage plan
Motion

- Authorize the September 802.1 interim to draft a PAR and CSD for precirculation to the EC, for an IEEE 802 amendment on Local Address space usage plan and Company ID based local MAC addresses.

- Proposed: Haddock
- Second: Jeffree

For 31 Against 1 Abstain 2

Approved with approval of agenda.
Law presented the following slides

6.053 MI: IEEE 802.3 25 Gb/s operation over a single lane for server interconnects Study Group
IEEE 802.3 25 Gb/s operation over a single lane Study Group

Motion
The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee grants approval for the formation of the IEEE 802.3 25 Gigabit/s Ethernet over a single lane for server interconnects Study Group within IEEE 802.3

M: Law, S: D’Ambrosia
Y: ??, N: ??, A: ??

148 CFI attendees, 59 interested in participating

Working Group vote:
Y: 61, N: 0, A: 5
Stephens presented the following slides.

**June 2014**

*Motion #37*

Approve the formation of the 802.11 Study Group to investigate the feasibility of substantially increasing the data rates of 802.11 in the 60 GHz frequency band [as described in the document cited below] with the intent of creating a PAR and CSD (including five criteria).

- See: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0606-00-0wng-next-generation-802-11ad.pptx
- WG Result: 127,0,3 — passes
- Pro tem study group chair: Carlos Cordeiro

- **Moved:** Adrian Stephens
- **Seconded:** Jon Rosdahl

Reference: Agenda Item #6.061
Heile showed the following slide.


Renewal of OCC Study Group

Due to circumstances beyond its control, this Study Group was unable to meet at the May Interim which set it back by one cycle. Draft PAR and CSD are now complete and will be brought to the Nov 2014 Plenary

Motion: Request the 802EC approve the renewal of the Optical Camera Communications Study Group in 802.15 for another cycle.
(WG vote: 40-0-0)
Moved: Bob Heile
Second: James Gilb

Reference Agenda Item #6.071
Heile showed the following slide.

July 2014  
doc.: IEEE 15-14-0483-00

Renewal of SRU Study Group

This is a contingency renewal to address any issues should the PAR not be approved

Motion: Request the 802EC approve the renewal of the Spectrum Resource Utilization Study Group in 802.15 for another cycle.

(WG vote: 41-0-0)  
Moved: Bob Heile  
Second: James Gilb

| * Motion #39 | Request the 802EC approve the renewal of the Spectrum Resource Utilization Study Group in 802.15 for another cycle. |
| Moved        | Heile                                                     |
| Second       | Gilb                                                      |
| Results      | 13-0-0                                                    |
| Motion       | passes                                                   |
| Reference    | Agenda Item #6.072                                        |
Proposal for an
IEEE 802 EC Privacy Recommendation
Study Group

18th of July 2014
July 14th Internet Privacy Tutorial

- Title: Pervasive Surveillance of the Internet – Designing Privacy into Internet Protocols
  - Ted Hardie (IETF IAB)
  - Alissa Cooper (Cisco)
  - Lily Chen (NIST)
  - Piers O’Hanlon (Oxford Internet Institute)
  - Juan Carlos Zuniga (InterDigital)

- Audience: IEEE 802 Plenary, San Diego
  - About 150 people

- Summary:
  - The Tutorial provided an update on the recent concerns about Internet privacy, the actions that IETF is taking, and the guidelines that are being followed when developing new specifications.
  - Several Privacy concerns apply specifically to Link Layer technologies, and IEEE 802 can help addressing them.
  - The idea of developing an IEEE 802 recommended practices document, similar to the one produced by IETF (RFC 6973) was suggested and supported by several IEEE 802 members from different WGs (about 10-15 people)
IEEE 802 EC Privacy Recommendation Study Group

• From IEEE 802 LMSC P&P:
  – “The Study Group shall have a defined task with specific output and a specific time frame established within which it is allowed to study the subject. It is expected that the work effort to develop a PAR will originate in an ECSG or WSG. A Study Group shall report its recommendations, shall have a limited lifetime, and is chartered plenary session-to-plenary session. A study group is expected to submit a PAR to the EC for consideration by the 2nd plenary session after its initiation. After the Study Group recommendations have been accepted by the parent body, the Study Group will be disbanded no later than the end of the next plenary session. A Study Group is disbanded upon approval of the PAR by the IEEE-SA Standards Board.”
Privacy SG Schedule Expectations

- 2014-07-18: approval of EC SG
  - Issue SG announcement and call for contributions
- 2014-07-18 through 2014-11-02
  - 2 Teleconferences, announced on the 802 EC mailing list
  - PAR drafting and contributions discussion
- 2014-11-02 through 2014-11-07 (first EC SG meeting)
  - PAR drafting and contributions discussion
  - Expectation for SG renewal request
  - Presentation to IETF groups at meeting the following week
- 2014-11-07 through 2015-03-08
  - 2 (or more) Teleconferences, announced on the 802 EC mailing list
  - PAR drafting
- 2015-03-08 through 2015-03-13 (second EC SG meeting)
  - PAR drafting and preparation for submission to EC
  - Expectation of EC approval of draft PAR
Call for Contributions Content

- On 18 July 2014, the IEEE 802 Executive Committee chartered the Privacy Recommendation Executive Committee Study Group (SG) to study the development of a recommended practice document on privacy guidelines for IEEE 802 protocols, similar to IETF RFC 6973. The SG is chartered through 7 November 2014, with an expectation of renewal through 13 March 2015. The SG will meet the week of 2 November 2014 in San Antonio, TX, and the week of 8 March 2015 in Berlin, Germany.
- The SG will hold two teleconferences on <TBD> and <TBD>
- Further details are available in documentation at the SG web site <link>.
- The SG hereby solicits input documentation to progress the development of the work. The Study Group particularly seeks inputs on the following topics:
  (1) Privacy Issues at Link Layer.
  (2) Proposals regarding measuring Privacy on Internet protocols.
  (3) Proposals regarding functionalities in IEEE 802 protocols to improve Privacy.
- Instructions for document submission
IEEE 802 EC Motion

To initiate an IEEE 802 EC Privacy Recommendation Study Group, with Juan Carlos Zuniga as Chair

Motion:
Second:

* Motion #40
  To initiate an IEEE 802 EC Privacy Recommendation Study Group, with Juan Carlos Zuniga as Chair
  Moved: D'ambrosia
  Second: Rosdahl
  Results: 7-5-1
  Motion: Passes

Reference: Agenda Item #6.11

Roger Marks will mentor Juan Carlos Zuniga in the conduct of a study group.

Stephens requested that Zuniga provide a tutorial to 802.11. Parsons requested the same for 802.1. There was a request to review rules regarding teleconferences.

Action Item: Gilb / Thaler
  Review rules regarding teleconferences for EC Study Groups

Action Item: Zuniga
  Develop introductory slides regarding the Study Group activity to be forwarded to EC reflector prior to its 1st meeting.
Mody presented the following slides

**Telecommunications and Information Exchange Between Systems ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6**

Document Number: 6N15954
Date: 2014-07-18
Replaces:
Document Type: Liaison Organization Contribution
Document Title: IEEE 802 Response to FDIS 60 days ballot Comments on IEEE Std. 802.22-2011
Document Source: IEEE 802
Project Number:
Document Status: For Consideration at the SC 6/WG 1 meeting
Action ID: FYI
Due Date:  
No. of Pages: 5

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 Secretariat Ms. Jooran Lee, KSA (on behalf of KATS)
Korea Technology Center #701-7 Yeoksam-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-513, Republic of Korea ;
Telephone: +82 2 6009 4808 ; Facsimile: +82 2 6009 4819 ; Email : jooran@kis.or.kr
IEEE 802 Response to FDIS 60 days ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011

Date: 2014-07-17

Authors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliations</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| IEEE 802.22|              |         |       | Apurva Mody
<apurva.mody@ieee.org>

Abstract: This document provides responses to FDIS 60 days ballot comments on IEEE Std. 802.22-2011
IEEE 802 Response to FDIS 60 days ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011

Response (part 1/3)

- IEEE 802 thanks the China NB for its comment during the 60 day ballot on IEEE 802.22 as part of its consideration according to the PSDO agreement.

- In the comment, the China NB objected to IEEE 802.22 using a security mechanism based on the use of ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1X because the China NB believes its comments in the previous FDIS ballot on ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1X have not been satisfactorily resolved.

- It is up to the China NB to determine whether their comments on previous FDIS ballots have been satisfactorily resolved or not.

- However, we note IEEE 802 has responded fully to every comment received from all ISO/IEC SC6 and JTC1 NBs during all 60 day and FDIS ballots undertaken as part of the PSDO defined process.
Response (part 2/3)

- IEEE 802 does not know of any outstanding issues related to ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1X, and notes that this standard is being successfully implemented globally today in billions of devices.

- IEEE 802 encourages any users of the standard, including China NB security experts, to provide further details of any issues related to ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1X.

- This can be done by e-mail or at a face to face meeting of the IEEE 802.1 Working Group.

- The IEEE 802.1 Working Group meeting schedule is available from the IEEE 802.1 Working Group Chair (Glenn Parsons, glenn.parsons@ericsson.com)
IEEE 802 Response to FDIS 60 days ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011

Response (part 3/3)

• In the comment, the China NB also asserted that the quality and reputation of ISO/IEC standards have been diminished by the use of PSDO defined approval processes.

• No evidence has been provided to justify this assertion, so it is difficult for IEEE 802 to respond in any meaningful way.

• However, IEEE 802 notes the PSDO agreement between IEEE and ISO has given ISO/IEC JTC1 NBs, as important stakeholders of the widely implemented and used ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802 series of standards, an effective mechanism for both review and approval.

• IEEE 802 believes the PSDO process has been very effective and encourages all NBs to make greater use of the opportunity to participate in the development and approval of the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802 series of standards.
Motion to Approve IEEE 802 Response to FDIS 60 days ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011

IEEE 802.22 WG Motion to Approve Response to FDIS 60 days ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011

The IEEE 802.22 Working Group approves the document number ec-14-0052-INTL to be liaised back to ISO JTC1 in response to the SC6NB comments under the 60 days ballot of the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011. The IEEE 802.22 Working Group further requests the 802.22 Working Group chair to seek an approval from the 802 EC to liaise this document to ISO JTC1 in response to the SC6NB comments under the 60 days ballot of the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011. The 802.22 Working Group gives editorial privileges to 802.22 WG chair to put the document in the correct format or to make modifications and corrections based on any comments received from the EC or other members of the community.

Move: Jerry Kalk
Second: Chang-Woo Pyo
For: 9
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes.
EC Motion to Approve Response to FDIS 60 days ballot
Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011

EC Approves Document ec-14-0052-INTL Rev1 as a
Liaison Response to ISO JTC1 SC6 for the FDIS 60 Days
Ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011.

Move: Apurva N. Mody
Second: Steve Shellhammer
For: 13
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes
Mody presented the following slides:

Motion to Approve Liaison Request to Allocate the Revision Responsibility of the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-22 Standards to the IEEE 802.22 WG

The IEEE 802.22 Working Group approves the document number ae-14-0653-INTL to be liaised back to ISO/TC1 to allocate responsibility for the revision process of the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-22 series of standards to the IEEE 802.22 WG. The IEEE 802.22 Working Group further requests the 802.22 Working Group chair to seek an approval from the 802 EC to liaise this document to ISO/TC1. The 802.22 Working Group gives editorial privileges to 802.22 WG chair to put the document in the correct format or to make modifications and corrections based on any comments received from the EC or other members of the community.

Move: Peter Flynn
Second: Shigenobu Sasaki
For: 9
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Motion Passes
EC Motion for Liaison Request to Allocate the Revision Responsibility of the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-22 Standards to the IEEE 802.22 WG

EC Approves Document ec-14-0053-INTL Rev1 as a Liaison Request to Allocate the Revision Responsibility of the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-22 Standards to the IEEE 802.22 WG

Move: Apurva N. Mody
Second: Steve Shellhammer
For:
Against:
Abstain:
Motion Passes / Fails

Reference
Agenda Item # 7.022
7.03 ME IEEE 802.24
No activity.

7.04 ME IEEE 802.1
7.041 ME IEEE Std 802.1Xbx to SC6 for ratification under PSDO, once published
Parsons 3 04:16 PM

Parsons showed the following slide:

**MOTION**

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward IEEE Std 802.1Xbx (following publication) to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement.

- Proposed: Seaman Second: Randall
- For_34_Against_0_Abstain_1_

- EC proposed: Parsons Second: Thaler
- For___Against___Abstain____

* Motion #43
EC grants approval to forward IEEE Std 802.1Xbx (following publication) to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement.

Moved Parsons
Second Thaler
Results 12-0-0
Motion passes
Reference Agenda Item #7.041
Parsons showed the following slide

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AX-Rev to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for information under the PSDO agreement.

- Proposed: Messenger Second: Gray
- For_28_Against_0_Abstain_0_

- EC proposed: Parsons Second:
- For___Against___Abstain____

* Motion #44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moved</th>
<th>Parsons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: Agenda Item #7.042
Parsons showed the following slide

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward Std 802.1Q-Rev, once published, to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement after publication by IEEE.

- Proposed:  Messenger Second:  Gray
- For_27___Against_0___Abstain_0___

- EC proposed:  Parsons  Second:
- For____Against___Abstain____

* Motion #45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moved</th>
<th>Parsons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Lynch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: Agenda Item #7.043
Parsons showed the following slide

**MOTION**

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward Std 802 to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement.

- Proposed: Messenger Second: Gray
- For_28___Against___0_Abstain___0_

- EC proposed: Parsons Second:
- For___Against___Abstain____

* Motion #46

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moved</th>
<th>Parsons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference**

Agenda Item #7.044
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.045</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to ITU-T SG15 regarding LS114 on SDN</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.046</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to ITU-T JCA-SDN regarding SDN</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.047</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to ITU-T SG15 regarding LS116 on MDSP</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.048</td>
<td>II*</td>
<td>802.1 to IETF regarding deterministic networking</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved with approval of agenda.
7.051 ME: Press release: IEEE 802.3 25Gb/s Ethernet Study Group formation

Law showed up the marked up press release
IEEE FORMS STUDY GROUP TO ADDRESS 25 Gb/s ETHERNET FOR NEXT-GENERATION DATA CENTERS

New IEEE 802.3™ group to study standardizing single-lane 25 Gb/s Ethernet interconnect technologies to provide greater server bandwidth to cloud-scale data centers

PISCATAWAY, N.J., USA, XX July 2014 — IEEE, the world’s largest professional organization dedicated to advancing technology for humanity, today announced the formation of the IEEE 802.3™ 25 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group to explore the market opportunities and needs for a single-lane 25 Gb/s speed for server interconnects for Ethernet.

Companies building data centers desire IEEE 802.3 standards-based Interoperable solutions that will enable and extend a multi-vendor eco-system providing a cost optimized solution. The re-use leveraging of serial lane 25 Gb/s signaling technology—developed to support 100 Gb/s Ethernet—enables cost optimized deployments in newly constructed data centers. This is especially true for the companies that will need server interconnects that support 10 Gb/s Ethernet and beyond.

“The application of single-lane 25 Gb/s signaling technologies provides Ethernet with a solution set that can be re-used leveraged by those companies building the data centers of tomorrow. The new study group expects to lay the groundwork for a new Media Access Control (MAC) rate that will enable cost-optimized single-lane solutions that will increase network deployment efficiency,” said Mark Nowell, chair of the IEEE 802.3 25 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group and senior director, Cisco Systems. “The heavy lifting in developing and standardizing 25 Gb/s signaling technologies has been done as part of the development of 100 Gb/s Ethernet. These technologies can be re-used leveraged to enable a single-lane 25 Gb/s Ethernet solution set for server interconnects for these future data centers.”

The ratification of IEEE 802.3ba™-2010 “Standard for Information Technology—Local and Metropolitan Networks for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Operation” introduced 4 x 25 Gb/s signaling as
a fundamental building block for 100 Gb/s Ethernet. Since then, the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group has expanded the use of this basic rate of signaling technology. IEEE 802.3bj™-2014 “Standard for Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 100 Gb/s Operation Over Backplanes and Copper Cables”, based on 4 x 25 Gb/s electrical signaling, defines 100 Gb/s Ethernet Operation over backplanes and copper twin-axial cables. While currently in progress, the IEEE P802.3bm™-40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force is drafting a standard that will define 4 x 25 Gb/s operation for signal traces for chip-to-chip and chip-to-module applications, as well as for 25Gb/s operation over four parallel multi-mode fibers.

"Manufacturers and suppliers require standards-based networking to enable and extend the industry’s multi-vendor eco-system," said David Law, chair of the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group and distinguished engineer with HP Networking. "This study group will provide the opportunity to explore the possible development of a single-lane 25 Gb/s Ethernet standard supporting those application spaces needing cost-optimized performance beyond 10 Gb/s Ethernet for large scale deployments."

The IEEE 802.3 25 Gb/s Ethernet Study Group is seeking interested participants for the development of standards. For more information about the study group, please visit XXX.

For more information on the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group, please visit http://standards.ieee.org/develop/wg/WG802.3.html.


About the IEEE Standards Association
The IEEE Standards Association, a globally recognized standards-setting body within IEEE, develops consensus standards through an open process that engages industry and brings together a broad stakeholder community. IEEE standards set specifications and best practices based on current scientific and technological knowledge. The IEEE-SA has a portfolio of over 900 active standards and more than 500 standards under development. For more information visit http://standards.ieee.org/.

About IEEE
IEEE, a large, global technical professional organization, is dedicated to advancing technology for the benefit of humanity. Through its highly cited publications, conferences, technology
standards, and professional and educational activities, IEEE is the trusted voice on a wide variety of areas ranging from aerospace systems, computers and telecommunications to biomedical engineering, electric power and consumer electronics. Learn more at http://www.ieee.org.

###
Motion #47

The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee supports the IEEE 802.3 25Gb/s Ethernet Study Group formation press release IEEE_802-3_25Gbs_Study_Group_Press_Release_17JULY14_D4.pdf, with editorial license granted to the Chair (or his appointed agent).

M: Law, S: D’Ambrosia
Y: ??, N: ??, A: ??

Reference: Agenda Item #7.051
Approved with approval of agenda.

Law presented the following slides

7.054 ME: Submission of IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013 for adoption by ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6
Submission of IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013 for adoption by ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6

The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee approves the submission of IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013 for adoption by ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 under the PSDO agreement.

M: Law, S: D’Ambrosia
Y: 84, N: 0, A: 2

Working Group vote:

Reference

| * Motion #48 | The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee approves the submission of IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013 for adoption by ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 under the PSDO agreement. |
| Moved | Law |
| Second | D’Ambrosia |
| Results | 13-0-0 |
| Motion | passes |
| Reference | Agenda Item #7.054 |
Stephens presented the following slide:

July 2014  

7.061 - Liaison to ITU/IEC JTC1/SC6

- Approve the liaising of the document cited below to SC6 as the IEEE 802 response to SC6 NB comments on the recent FDIS ballots on 802.11aa, 802.11ad, and 802.11ae.
  - Document: [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0552-01-0jtc-responses-on-802-11aa-ad-ae.pptx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0552-01-0jtc-responses-on-802-11aa-ad-ae.pptx)
  - In the WG: 50,0,0

- Moved: Adrian Stephens
- Seconded: Jon Rosdahl

* Motion #49

Moved  
Second  
Results  
Motion  
Reference

Approve the liaising of the document cited below to SC6 as the IEEE 802 response to SC6 NB comments on the recent FDIS ballots on 802.11aa, 802.11ad, and 802.11ae.
  - Document: [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0552-01-0jtc-responses-on-802-11aa-ad-ae.pptx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0552-01-0jtc-responses-on-802-11aa-ad-ae.pptx)
  
Stephens  
Rrosdahl  
13-0-0  
passes  
Agenda Item #7.061
Stephens presented the following slide

July 2014  
doc.: IEEE 802.11-14/0849r3

### 7.062 - Motion- Send 802.11ac/af through PSDO

- **Motion**
  - Submit IEEE Std 802.11ac-2013 and IEEE Std 802.11af-2013 to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for ratification under the PSDO process
  - In the WG: 60,0,0

- **Moved**: Adrian Stephens
- **Seconded**: Jon Rosdahl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Slide 12</th>
<th>Andrew Slyles, Cisco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Motion #50 | Submit IEEE Std 802.11ac-2013 and IEEE Std 802.11af-2013 to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for ratification under the PSDO process |
Moved | Stephens |
Second | Rosdahl |
Results | 13-0-0 |
Motion | passes |
Reference | Agenda Item #7.062 |
7.07  ME  IEEE 802.15  Heile  04:44 PM
7.08  ME  IEEE 802.16  Marks  04:44 PM
7.09  ME  IEEE 802.18  04:44 PM

7.091  ME*  Liaison to ITU-R WP5D Regarding Views on WirelessMAN-Advanced out of band emissions.
https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/14/18-14-0048-02  Lynch  0  04:44 PM

7.092  ME*  Liaison on OOB information for WirelessMAN Advanced to ITU-R Working Party 5D
https://mentor.ieee.org/802.18/dcn/14/18-14-0046-02  Lynch  0  04:44 PM

Approved with approval of agenda.

7.10  IEEE 802.19  04:44 PM

Shellhammer showed the proposed press release. (See next page.)

* Motion #51  Move to approve the Press Release on the publication of the IEEE 802.19.1 standard, provided in document P802.19-14/52R0
Moved  Shellhammer
Second  mody
Results  13-0-0
Motion  passes
Reference  Agenda Item #7.101
IEEE P802.19
Wireless Coexistence

Project: IEEE P802.19 Coexistence WG
Title: Press Release on Publication of IEEE 802.19.1 Standard
Date Submitted: [July 17, 2014]
Source: [Stephen J. Shellhammer]
[Qualcomm, Inc.]
[5775 Morehouse Drive]
[San Diego, CA 92121]

Purpose: []
Notice: This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.19. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.19.
IEEE Publishes Standard for Coexistence in the TV White Space

Contact:
Karen McCabe, IEEE-SA Marketing Director
+1 732-562-3824, kmccabe@ieee.org

PISCATAWAY, N.J., USA, XXX July 2014 -- The IEEE recently published the IEEE 802.19.1 standard for Coexistence in the TV White Space (TVWS). The standard specifies radio technology independent methods for coexistence among dissimilar or independently operated wireless networks, operating in TV white space. The standard leverages the cognitive radio capabilities of the TV white space devices, including geolocation awareness and access to information databases. The standard specifies a coexistence discovery and information server which gathers and provides coexistence information regarding TV white space networks. The standard also specifies a coexistence manager which utilizes the information from the coexistence server in order to enhance the coexistence of the TV white space networks. The standard defines common coexistence architecture and protocols, as well as several profiles to enable cost-efficient and flexible deployment of the coexistence system in various scenarios.

For more information on the IEEE 802.19 Wireless Coexistence Working Group, please visit http://www.ieee802.org/19/


About the IEEE Standards Association
The IEEE Standards Association, a globally recognized standards-setting body within IEEE, develops consensus standards through an open process that engages industry and brings together a broad stakeholder community. IEEE standards set specifications and best practices based on current scientific and technological knowledge. The IEEE-SA has a portfolio of over 900 active standards and more than 500 standards under development. For more information visit http://standards.ieee.org/.

About IEEE
IEEE, a large, global technical professional organization, is dedicated to advancing technology for the benefit of humanity. Through its highly cited publications, conferences, technology standards, and professional and educational activities, IEEE is the trusted voice on a wide variety of areas ranging from aerospace systems, computers and telecommunications to biomedical engineering, electric power and consumer electronics. Learn more at http://www.ieee.org.
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Steve Shellhammer, Qualcomm, Inc.
Nikolich presented the following slide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.11</th>
<th>IEEE 802</th>
<th>04:49 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.111</td>
<td>Liaison Response from 1905.1</td>
<td>Nikolich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.111 P1905.1 response to 802

From: "Rajkotia, Purva" <prajkotia@qca.qualcomm.com>
To: "Paul Nikolich" <paul.nikolich@att.net>
Cc: "dot00 VC1 Pat Thaler" <pthaler@broadcom.com>; "S.H.Kim@ieee.org" <S.H.Kim@ieee.org>
Sent: 7/18/2014 12:31:44 PM
Subject: RE: feedback on 802 request

Dear Paul,

WG did revisit IEEE 802’s request to share WG draft.

WG was supportive of IEEE-SA’s position to have the draft available for single sale purchase for any and all interested subject matter experts, to exercise openness and transparency of the WG.

Thanks

Purva

The 802 Executive Committee discussed the above response. The 802 EC Chairman and the 802 EC consider the P1905.1 WG’s response to be counter to the principle of operating in an open and collaborative manner because the WG continues to be non-responsive to the request to establish a formal bi-directional liaison between 802 and P1905.1.

Since the P1905.1 has ignored 802’s request to establish a liaison since November 2013, the 802 EC assumes that request has been formally rejected by the P1905.1 Working Group. If the 1905.1 WG indicates it is ready to engage 802 in a formal bi-directional liaison relationship, 802 will re-start the discussion.
Shellhammer presented the following

**Background Information**
IEEE 802.19 hosted a Liaison Drafting Meeting on Tuesday PM1. The participants drafted the liaison provided below. A straw poll of the participants was taken.

**Straw Poll**
Do you support the liaison to 3GPP?
Yes: 19
No: 1

**Motion**
Move to approve the following Liaison to 3GPP, and to grant the 802 chair editorial license.

Move: Steve Shellhammer
Second: Apurva Mody
Yes: 12
No: 0
Abstain: 1

**Liaison**

Dear Dino,

IEEE 802 LMSC would like to thank 3GPP TSG RAN for LS RP-140999. We would like to request that 3GPP notify IEEE 802 when the LTE in unlicensed band Study Item is approved. We would also appreciate it if 3GPP could provide the content of the approved Study Item to IEEE 802.

IEEE 802 would like to offer our experience and expertise regarding wireless coexistence in the unlicensed frequency bands, once the Study Item is approved.

Paul

---

**Information on Next Steps**

**Straw Poll**
Do you support developing a Draft Presentation on Coexistence Lesson Learned for 3GPP?
Yes: 18
No: 0

---

**Action Item**  Shellhammer  Send updated version of liaison to EC.
* Motion #52  Move to approve the following Liaison to 3GPP and grant the 802 chair editorial license.
  Moved Shellhammer
  Second Mody
  Results 12-0-1
  Motion Passes
  Reference Agenda Item #7.112

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.00</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>Information Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8.01 | II | IEEE 802 / SA Task Force Report | Nikolich  

Nikolich showed the following slide and gave verbal update.

8.01 802 Task Force

- **802 Task Force, Thurs 10:30AM-12:30 (room: Bankers Hill)**

  Tentative agenda

  1. IEEE Internet Initiative, 15 min, McCabe
  2. SA tools re-architecture status update, 15 min, Boyce
    1. Mentor
    2. Everything else
  3. Web conferencing pilot program status—follow up on March Action items, 5 min, Bennett/Rosdahl/Boyce
  4. Follow up on March Action Items from 802/SA relevant EC workshop items (e.g., 07DEC 802/SA mtg, 22JAN EC discussion, etc.) 15 min, Nikolich
  5. **802/SA Roles and Responsibilities, 15 min, Gilb**
  6. Status of IEEE feedback on indemnification clarification request, 15 min, Thompson
  7. GetIEEE 802 program feedback from 802 constituents, 15 min, Nikolich
  8. Action item review, 15 min, Nikolich/Stephens
  9. Executive Session commences
    1. Get IEEE 802 program review, 30 minutes, Nikolich
  10. Adjourn

Didn’t complete 802/ SA Rules and Responsibilities

Both parties agreed in exec session to review the Get IEEE 802 program agreement
8.011 GetIEEE 802 straw poll
Do you support the get802 program?

802.1  Y: 45 N: 0 A: 0
This spawned a discussion that took it to the next level, and resulted in another straw poll:
Would you support free open access to all 802 standards and work in progress? Y: 48 N: 0 A: 1

802.3  Y:156 N: 0 A: 14

802.11 Y: 57 N: 18 A: 5
The commentary was that the cost was too high, given the volume of downloads and the value that represents.

802.15 Y:39 N: 0 A: 0

802.16  no response

802.18 Y: 6 N: 0 A: 0

802.19 Y: 4 N: 1 A:4

802.21  no response

802.22 Y: 9 N: 0 A: 1

802.24  no response

Aggregate:  Y 316 N: 19 A: 24
802.011
requested terms of 2015 agreement

2015 desired terms and conditions in order of preference:

1. Make all 802 standards and work in progress available at no charge
2. Make all drafts (i.e., all work in progress) available at no charge, keep standard availability at no charge after 6 months
3. Charge for drafts, make standards available at no charge with zero delay
4. No changes to the program
5. Terminate program
Parsons presented the following slide

8.012

Must we maintain private Drafts?

- 802 WG P&P
  - 6.5.1 Responsibility of chair:
    - To be responsible for the management and distribution of WG documentation in compliance with IEEE-SA guidelines, including but not limited to guidelines with regard to posting and distribution of drafts and approved IEEE standards.

- SASB OpsMan
  - 5.4.1 Balloting Group
    - Persons may also purchase the ballot draft for information only.
  - 6.1.3.1 Draft Copyright Statements
    - IEEE draft standard may be distributed without charge to the participants for that IEEE standards development project.
  - 8.2 Publication of Drafts
    - Drafts of standards under development are normally distributed to members of the group involved in their generation (working group, subcommittee, etc.) for comment and letter ballot.

No?

It was noted that copyright statement placed on drafts limits their distribution.
Law presented the following response document sent to him by the IEEE-SA. Soo Kim confirmed that the material presented by David Law was public.
IEEE-SA Responses to IEEE 802® EC Queries on White Papers

On April 21, 2014, the IEEE 802 EC requested clarification on specific issues with regard to activities surrounding their development of white papers, including when these activities would be considered "duly authorized."

Question Presented:
IEEE 802 EC wanted to know what constituted a "duly authorized activity," and what mechanisms would be needed to determine whether an activity was "duly authorized" as an IEEE-SA activity. Apart from the general question, three specific questions were subsequently submitted with a request for clarification.

IEEE-SA Response:
Any duly authorized activity under the purview of the IEEE-SA has to be conducted within an IEEE-SA Program and approved by an IEEE-SA Board, or under IEEE-SA policies and procedures for that document's development managed by the IEEE-SA Managing Director. Any activities that do not fall under the purview of the IEEE-SA would then have to be developed in accordance with the IEEE Computer Society policies and procedures (as the IEEE 802 sponsor society). The IEEE-SA BoG provides oversight for standards-related activities in the IEEE, and if the intent is that there should be oversight by the IEEE-SA, then the development would have to be under IEEE-SA policies and procedures rather than under a particular Sponsor’s policies and procedures. With regard to development of white papers within the IEEE-SA, the two processes that are currently available are the Industry Connections (IC) Program and programs overseen by the IEEE-SA Managing Director such as the IEEE Smart Grid Initiative. Indemnification by IEEE is available to claimants who were or are duly authorized volunteers engaged in duly authorized IEEE activities. Section 1-300.3 of the IEEE Bylaws, available at www.ieee.org, sets forth the details of indemnification by IEEE.
Responses to Specific Questions

1. **IEEE 802 EC**: It is stated that any activity under the purview of the IEEE-SA has to be conducted within an IEEE-SA Program and approved by an IEEE-SA Board. Please could you provide a definition of an 'IEEE-SA Program', or provide a list of the available IEEE-SA Programs an activity can be conducted within.

Currently, IEEE-SA Programs with oversight of the IEEE-SA BoG are those conducted by the IEEE-SA BoG and its committees and subcommittees. Current programs are a) SASB - all standards related activities (subject to the IEEE-SA Ops Man, IEEE-SASB Bylaws, and IEEE-SASB Ops Man), including the Industry Connections Program; b) Registration Authority Committee (RAI registries); CAG; SEC (jointly with Educational Activities); Standards Information Network (jointly with Publications).

2. **IEEE 802 EC**: It is stated that an activity can be conducted as part of a program overseen by the IEEE-SA Managing Director. Please could you explain the process under which an activity, such as the development of a white paper, is chartered under a program overseen by the IEEE-SA Managing Director, and the fact that this has happened is recorded.

Programs overseen by the IEEE-SA Managing Director are presented by the Managing Director to the IEEE-SA BoG so that they are aware of the activities. These programs are usually initiatives approved by the BoD or presented to the IEEE Management Council and reviewed during budget development or initiative development. Programs overseen by the IEEE-SA Managing Director are a) ICAP Fostering; b) Smart Grid; c) Internet Initiative; d) OpenStand.

3. **IEEE 802 EC**: Subclause 8.3 'Public statements for standards' and 8.4 'Informal communications' of the IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Policies and Procedures, which have been approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board, allows the IEEE 802 as the sponsor, and it subgroups, to issue public statements. A white paper seems to be one form of public statement by the Sponsor, or by a subgroup. Please could you supply the definition of a "Public statements for standards" (subclause 8.3) or "Sponsor public statements" (subclause 8.4) if this is not the case.

It is possible to make public statements within a white paper or other documents/media. It doesn't matter the medium of the public statement, it has to comply with the appropriate IEEE policies and procedures. Public statements are actually governed at the higher level by IEEE Policies (see Section 15). Position statements are a type of public statement with stricter rules governing them. The IEEE-SA policies on position statements (see 6.5 of the IEEE-SA Ops Man) comply with the IEEE Policies 15.3 for organizational units, and indicate how these statements become approved by the IEEE-SA. If position statements do not go through the IEEE-SA process, then they could be accomplished under another organizational unit's policies (e.g., TAB and the society rules). However, in the IEEE-SASB Ops Man it states, "Approval from the IEEE-SA BOG shall be obtained prior to a Sponsor requesting another IEEE entity (as defined in Section 15 of the IEEE Policies) to offer a position statement on a standards matter." Note that all activities have to comply with the IEEE Code of Ethics.

---

**Action Item**

D’Ambrosia to post IEEE-SA document regarding Indemnification
Lynch gave verbal update.

- There were 13 liaison or informational documents received from three ITU-R Working Parties (WP) – WP1A, WP5A and WP5D. There were 2 reply liaisons sent in response (18-14-0046-02 for WP5D and 18-14-0048-00 for WP5A.)

- 802.18 reviewed the latest version of the ITU-R WP1A PDNR response to ITU-R Recommendation 236/1. WP1A, at their June meeting, took the IEEE 802 contribution (18-13-0117-06) as their basic text. WP1A has requested a review and response from 802 by 31 December.

- 802.18 took the decision not to participate in the September wireless interim to be held in Athens. This decision had nothing to do with the location but the inability of the core 802.18 participants to attend.

- The meeting approved the 802.18 chair convening conference calls to deal with regulatory matters that come up between Plenary sessions. The first call will be 30 days after the 802.18 meeting closed on 18 July and will be bi-weekly after that. Web-x will be available.
Parsons gave verbal update of the following presentation and showed the following slides from it. URL: https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/14/ec-14-0051-01-IN TL-itu-standing-committee-agenda-july-2014.pdf

---

IEEE 802 EC
ITU standing committee

Glenn Parsons - Ericsson
 glepn.parsons@ericsson.com
    +1 613 963 8141

July 2014
Agenda for July meeting

- Role of this standing committee
- IEEE membership in ITU
- IEEE – ITU agreements
- IEEE-SA BOG International SDO advisory group
- Global Standards Collaboration
- IEEE Technology Governance – path to Plenipot
- ITU past conferences - policy & governance
  - TSB Director’s IPR adhoc
  - ITU-T TSAG & RevCom
  - ITU-D WTDC
  - ITU WSIS+10
- ITU future conferences - policy & governance
  - TSB Director’s IPR adhoc
  - ITU Plenipotentiary
- IEEE Internet Initiative
- IEEE 802 position
Next steps?

- **IEEE 802** can provide input to IEEE-SA on future ITU meetings
  ▫ Input would be to the BOG International SDO advisory group
- **ITU SC Conference calls**
  ▫ Sept 15 2pm ET - [https://join.me/407-064-868](https://join.me/407-064-868)
  ▫ Oct 13 2pm ET - [https://join.me/439-817-921](https://join.me/439-817-921)
  ▫ Review of ITU SC lead topics and staff proposed contributions
  ▫ Discuss IEEE 802 position, if any
Stephens presented the following slide.

**8.034 - Formation of Wireless Chairs’ SC**

**Motion 1**

- Create an IEEE 802 executive committee (EC) standing committee (SC) called the “Wireless Chairs Standing Committee” (WC SC)
  - with Scope and Duties as defined in document ec-14-0036r2
  - that is responsible for “Assisting the sponsor”, as described in the LMSC P&P 5.6

- **Moved:** Adrian Stephens
- **Seconded:** Bob Heile

---

**Motion #53**

Create an IEEE 802 executive committee (EC) standing committee (SC) called the “Wireless Chairs Standing Committee” (WC SC) with Scope and Duties as defined in document ec-14-0036r2 that is responsible for “Assisting the sponsor”, as described in the LMSC P&P 5.6

- **Moved:** Stephens
- **Second:** Heile
- **Results:** 12-0-1
- **Motion:** Passes

**Reference:** Agenda Item #8.034
Stephens presented the following slide.

**8.035 - Formation of Wireless Chairs’ SC Motion 2**

• Confirm <enter name here> as the chair of the Wireless Chairs Standing Committee.

• Moved: Adrian Stephens
  • Seconded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion #54</th>
<th>Confirm Bob Heile as the chair of the Wireless Chairs Standing Committee.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Stephens,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #8.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THaler gave verbal report.

The revision of RFC4441 on IEEE / IETF Relationship has been published. The number is RFC7241.
Thaler recommended that a reflector be set up that is specific to the IEEE / IETF Standing Committee.

**Action Item – Parsons to address setting up standing committee reflectors (Parsons / Thaler)**

Thaler recommendation – set up a teleconference prior to Plenary / IETF meeting to discuss issues that were coming up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.036</td>
<td>05:45 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.04</th>
<th>05:50 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.041</td>
<td>05:55 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thaler gave verbal update of “Emerging Applications” BoF. General discussion from the EC Members regarding their likes / dislikes of the event and feedback that they had received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.042</th>
<th>05:56 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.043</td>
<td>05:57 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gilb gave verbal update noting that approved changes to rules will be implemented and updates loaded onto the IEEE 802 web site.

Rosedahl presented treasurer’s report on behalf of Chaplin.
Treasurer’s Report

2014-07-18
2014 T2 (May-Aug)
## 2014 T2 (May-Aug) Overview Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Surplus/Loss</td>
<td>$19,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNA Venue Setaside</td>
<td>$66,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenses</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other NNA Expenses</td>
<td>($2,339.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Currency Gain/Loss</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Change</strong></td>
<td><strong>$104,660.85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Singapore Funds**

- **SGD 215,337.17**
- **USD 171,538.86**

As of 2014-04-30
2014 T2 Meeting Results Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Result</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Income</td>
<td>$534,275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNA Venue Setaside</td>
<td>-$86,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Expense</td>
<td>-$427,675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Surplus/Loss</td>
<td>$19,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorships</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Meeting Surplus/Loss</td>
<td>$19,900.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 2014 T2 Other Income Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Income</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Other Income</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2014 T2 Other Expenses Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>$0.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Other Expense** $0.00
## 2014 T2 Other NNA Expenses Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other NNA Expenses</th>
<th>-$2,339.15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bob Heile Site Survey Expenses</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Other NNA Expense</td>
<td>-$2,339.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 2014 T2 Reserve Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserves</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USD General Reserve</td>
<td>$684,866.87</td>
<td>$20,300.00</td>
<td>$904,966.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNA Reserve</td>
<td>-$164,309.94</td>
<td>$84,360.85</td>
<td>-$79,949.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General + NNA Reserve</td>
<td>$720,356.93</td>
<td>$104,660.85</td>
<td>$825,017.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General + NNA + Petty Cash</td>
<td>$722,356.93</td>
<td>$104,660.85</td>
<td>$827,017.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore Funds USD</td>
<td>$171,538.86</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$171,538.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reserves</td>
<td>$893,895.79</td>
<td>$104,660.85</td>
<td>$998,556.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2014 T2 Committed Reserves Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committed Reserves</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Committed Reserves $0.00
“Deadbeat”

One attendee had his registration fee charged back. He did attend the meeting, and has been requested for payment. The charge that was charged back was on a card not owned by the attendee; it is possible the card owner did not remember the charge being made. The card owner is also being contacted.

Chargebacks cost us, and if we incur too many chargebacks we can lose the card service.
Other
Backup
2011
2011 Net Change

2011-03 Meeting  $15,016.52
2011-07 Meeting  ($49,166.24)
2011-11 Meeting  ($8,000.00)
2011 Income Other  $2,755.07
2011 Expenses Other  ($31,563.06)

2011 Net Change  ($49,147.28)
2012
2012 Net Worth Change

2012-03 Meeting  ($80,777.00)
2012-07 Meeting  $14,520.49
2012-11 Meeting  ($1,125.50)
2012 Income Other $3,692.02
2012 Expense Other ($18,731.03)
Change in Foreign Currency $8,771.71
Depreciation       ($752.00)
2012 Net Worth Change ($74,401.31)
## 2012 Reserve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserves</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USD General Reserve</td>
<td>$1,012,314.53</td>
<td>(82,421.02)</td>
<td>$929,893.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNA Reserve</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General + NNA Reserve</td>
<td>$1,012,314.53</td>
<td>(82,421.02)</td>
<td>$929,893.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General + NNA + Petty Cash</td>
<td>$1,014,314.53</td>
<td>(82,421.02)</td>
<td>$931,893.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore Funds USD</td>
<td>$102,481.00</td>
<td>$73,790.20</td>
<td>$176,271.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reserves</td>
<td>$1,116,795.53</td>
<td>(8,630.82)</td>
<td>$1,108,164.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2013
## 2013 Net Worth Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-03 Meeting</td>
<td>($22,180.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-07 Meeting</td>
<td>$118,865.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-11 Meeting</td>
<td>($8,444.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Income Other</td>
<td>$2,132.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Expense Other</td>
<td>($10,609.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 NNA Expense Other</td>
<td>($7,137.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 NNA Venue Setaside</td>
<td>$156,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Foreign Currency</td>
<td>($5,689.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>($752.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013 Net Worth Change</strong></td>
<td><strong>$223,083.43</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2013 Reserve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserves</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USD General Reserve</td>
<td>$929,893.51</td>
<td>($39,102.45)</td>
<td>$890,791.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNA Reserve</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$268,627.83</td>
<td>$268,627.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General + NNA Reserve</td>
<td>$929,893.51</td>
<td>$229,525.38</td>
<td>$1,159,418.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General + NNA + Petty Cash</td>
<td>$931,893.51</td>
<td>$229,525.38</td>
<td>$1,161,418.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore Funds USD</td>
<td>$176,271.20</td>
<td>($5,689.95)</td>
<td>$170,581.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reserves</td>
<td>$1,108,164.71</td>
<td>$223,835.43</td>
<td>$1,332,000.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rosdahl presented the following slide and gave a verbal update.

**II 8.034 Executive secretary report**

**LMSC 802 – P&P list of major duties:**
1. Oversee Venue selection —
2. Present summaries of venue options.
3. Oversee activities related to facilities and services
4. Carry out Duties of Treasurer if Treasurer unavailable

**Chairs Guideline list of major duties:**
1) 802 Meetings: Efficiency Improvement
2) 802 Plenary Sessions: Facilities and Services
3) IEEE 802 Registration Database
4) Assist IEEE 802 Treasurer
D'Ambrosia gave verbal update on efforts related to the development of a CSD repository.

No issues to report.

Meeting adjourned 5:57pm.
Action Item Summary

Item #3.041  Myles  Provide recommendation regarding IEEE 802 HoD in case Kraemer cannot fulfill this role.

Item #4.04  Rosdahl  Lead further discussion on reflector about use of time in relation to tutorials.

Item #4.04  Nikolich  Schedule EC meeting for next plenary venue space allocation planning 7 to 8 am.

Item #6.11  Gilb / Thaler  Review rules regarding teleconferences for EC Study Groups

Item #7.112  Shellhammer  Send updated version of liaison to EC.


Item #8.036  Parsons / Thaler  Set up standing committee reflectors.
## Motions Summary

### * Motion #1
- **Move to approve modified agenda**
  - **Moved**: DAmbrosia
  - **Second**: Law
  - **Results**: 13-0-0
  - **Motion**: Passes
  - **Reference**: Agenda Item #2.00

### * Motion #2
- **Motion to confirm Marks as 802.16 chair**
  - **Moved**: Gilb
  - **Second**: Das
  - **Results**: 12-0-1
  - **Motion**: Passes
  - **Reference**: Agenda Item #3.01

### * Motion #3
- **Motion to confirm Bim as 802.16 vicechair**
  - **Moved**: Gilb
  - **Second**: Marks
  - **Results**: 13-0-0
  - **Motion**: Passes
  - **Reference**: Agenda Item #3.02

### * Motion #4
- **Motion to confirm Lee as 802.21 vice-chair**
  - **Moved**: Gilb
  - **Second**: Rosdahl
  - **Results**: 13-0-0
  - **Motion**: Passes
  - **Reference**: Agenda Item #3.03

### * Motion #5
- **The IEEE 802 EC empowers the IEEE 802 Chair be to appoint the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014**
  - **Moved**: Marks
  - **Second**: Gilb
  - **Results**: 13-0-0
  - **Motion**: Passes
  - **Reference**: Agenda Item #3.041
The IEEE 802 EC authorises the IEEE 802 HoD to the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 meeting in London in October 2014 to:

- Appoint the IEEE 802 delegation
- Approve any necessary submissions
- Call any necessary preparation teleconferences

Moved Stephens
Second Rosdahl
Results 13-0-0
Motion passes

Reference Agenda Item #3.042

The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slides 5-10, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures

Moved Gilb
Second D’Ambrosia
Results 13-0-0
Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #3.05

The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slide 12, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures

Moved Gilb
Second D’Ambrosia
Results 13-0-0
Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #3.05

The EC approves the changes described in document ec-14-0035-02, slides 14-15, to the IEEE 802 LMSC Chair’s Guidelines

Moved Gilb
Second D’Ambrosia
Results 13-0-0
Motion Passes

Reference Agenda Item #3.05
**Motion #10**
Approve Grand Hyatt San Antonio, San Antonio, TX as site for the IEEE 802 Plenary Session Nov 6-11, 2016.

- **Moved**: Rosdahl
- **Second**: Heile
- **Results**: 13-0-0
- **Motion**: Passes

**Reference**: Agenda Item #4.021

---

**Motion #11**
Approve Hilton Waikoloa Village as site for the IEEE 802 Plenary Session Nov 10-15, 2019, and authorize the IEEE 802 Exec Secretary to complete the contract preparation and IEEE contract execution.

- **Moved**: Rosdahl
- **Second**: Heile
- **Results**: 13-0-0
- **Motion**: Passes

**Reference**: Agenda Item #4.022

---

**Motion #12**
Motion to approve the formation of the Network RFP AdHoc with the following EC Members:
1. Jon Rosdahl (AdHoc Chair)
2. Heile
3. Gilb
4. Nikolich
5. Chaplin

- **Moved**: Rosdahl
- **Second**: Shellhammer
- **Results**: 13-0-0
- **Motion**: Passes

**Reference**: Agenda Item #4.024

---

**Motion #13**
Move to approve the 2014 Workshop on Nov 8th, 2014 to be held in San Antonio, TX. Apurva and Sabir to be the Workshop leaders.

- **Moved**: D’Ambrosia
- **Second**: Gilb
- **Results**: 11-1-0
- **Motion**: carries

**Reference**: Agenda Item #4.05
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Motion #14</strong></th>
<th>Approve the CSD (<a href="https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/12/21-12-0017-01-0000-group-management-5c.pdf">https://mentor.ieee.org/802.21/dcn/12/21-12-0017-01-0000-group-management-5c.pdf</a>) and forward the IEEE P802.21d for Sponsor Ballot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Das</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Motion #15</strong></th>
<th>EC Approves submission of the P802.22.3 PAR as contained in Document 22-14-0075 Rev4 with editorial change in the name from 'Spectrum Occupancy Sensing' to 'Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing' as shown in Document 22-14-0075 Rev5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Mody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Shellhammer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Motion #16</strong></th>
<th>802.1 requests EC approval to forward the proposed PAR for P802.1ARce – Secure Device Identity – Amendment 1: SHA-384 and P-384 Elliptic Curve to NesCom.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Thaler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Motion #17</strong></th>
<th>802.1 requests EC approval to forward the proposed PAR for P802.1AEcg – MAC Security – Amendment: Ethernet Data Encryption devices to NesCom.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Thaler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #5.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Motion #19 | The EC confirms the CSD for P802.1ARce □
| Moved     | Parsons |
| Second    | Thaler  |
| Results   | 12-0-1  |
| Motion    | Passes  |
| Reference | Agenda Item #5.031 |

| Motion #20 | 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AS-Cor2 PAR to NesCom. |
| Moved     | Parsons |
| Second    | Thaler  |
| Results   | 12-0-1  |
| Motion    | Passes  |
| Reference | Agenda Item #5.033 |

| Motion #21 | 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AB-Rev PAR to NesCom. |
| Moved     | Parsons |
| Second    | Thaler  |
| Results   | 13-0-0  |
| Motion    | Passes  |
| Reference | Agenda Item #5.034 |

| Motion #22 | 802.1 requests EC approval to forward 802.1AB-Cor2 D0.0 to Sponsor Ballot. |
| Moved     | Parsons |
| Second    | Thaler  |
| Results   | 13-0-0  |
| Motion    | Passes  |
| Reference | Agenda Item #5.035 |

| Motion #23 | 802.1 requests EC approval to submit P802.1Xbx D1.6 to RevCom for approval as an IEEE Standard. |
| Moved     | Parsons |
| Second    | Thaler  |
| Results   | 13-0-0  |
| Motion    | Passes  |
| Reference | Agenda Item #5.036 |
### *Motion #24*


- **Moved**: Parsons
- **Second**: Thaler
- **Results**: 13-0-0
- **Motion**: Passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.037

### *Motion #25*

EC grants conditional approval to submit P802.1Q-REV to RevCom.

- **Moved**: Parsons
- **Second**: Thaler
- **Results**: 13-0-0
- **Motion**: Passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.038

### *Motion #26*

The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee approves the IEEE P802.3bw CSD responses and forwards the IEEE P802.3bw PAR to NesCom

- **Moved**: Law
- **Second**: D'Ambrosia
- **Results**: 12-0-1
- **Motion**: passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.041

### *Motion #27*

The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee forwards the IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) Standard for Ethernet revision PAR to NesCom

- **Moved**: Law
- **Second**: D'Ambrosia
- **Results**: 13-0-0
- **Motion**: Passes

Reference: Agenda Item #5.042
* Motion #28
Approve sending the PAR extension request in the PAR document cited below for P802.11ah to NesCom
And confirm the PAR and CSD cited below:

PAR document: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0590-01-00ah-tgah-par-extension.docx
CSD document: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0591-00-00ah-tgah-revised-csd.docx

Moved Stephens
Second Rosdahl
Results 13-0-0
Motion passes
Reference Agenda Item #5.051

* Motion #29
Approve sending the PAR extension request in the PAR document cited below for P802.11ai to NesCom
And confirm the PAR and 5C cited below:

PAR document: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0653-02-00ai-tgai-par-extension.docx
5C document: https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/10/11-10-1153-00-0fia-fast-initial-link-set-up-5c.doc

Moved Stephens
Second Rosdahl
Results 13-0-0
Motion passes
Reference Agenda Item #5.052

* Motion #30
Move that 802EC approve the PAR (15-13-0615-08-0srusru-working-draft-par) as modified by the Comment Resolutions (15-14-0462-00-0srurepsondefss-to-802-15-4s-par-csd-comments) and CSD (15-14-0175-05-0srusru-working-draft-of-sg-sru-csd) and authorize the 802.15 Working Group to forward the PAR to NesCom for approval.

Moved Heile
Second Gilb
Results 12-0-0
Motion passes
Reference Agenda Item #5.061

* Motion #31
To grant conditional approval, per Clause 12 of the IEEE 802 Operations Manual, to forward P802.16q (under 5C IEEE 802.16-12-0394-06) for Sponsor Ballot

Moved Marks
Second Das
Results 13-0-0
Motion Passes
Reference Agenda Item #5.071
### *Motion #32*

That the IEEE 802 EC approves changing the scope of IEEE 802.24 TAG to the scope in document 24-14-0016-04 and the process for forming new task groups within IEEE 802.24 TAG as described in document 24-14-0016-04.

- **Moved:** Gilb
- **Second:** D’Ambrosia
- **Results:** 10-0-0
- **Motion:** Passes

**Reference:** Agenda Item #6.031

### *Motion #33*

The IEEE 802 EC approves the formation of the Smart Grid Task Group in IEEE 802.24 with the scope given in document 24-14-0015-04.

- **Moved:** Gilb
- **Second:** Heile
- **Results:** 12-0-1
- **Motion:** passes

**Reference:** Agenda Item #6.032

### *Motion #34*

EC confirms Document 22-14-0061 Rev6 as the CSD for the P802.22.3 PAR on Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing with editorial change in the name from ‘Spectrum Occupancy Sensing’ to ‘Spectrum Characterization and Occupancy Sensing’ as shown in Document 22-14-0061 Rev7.

- **Moved:** Moody
- **Second:** Shellhammer
- **Results:** 13-0-0
- **Motion:** passes

**Reference:** Agenda Item #6.021

### *Motion #35*

IEEE 802 EC approves Second Extension for the Spectrum Occupancy Sensing (SOS) Standardization Study Group under the IEEE 802.22 working group.

- **Moved:** Moody
- **Second:** Shellhammer
- **Results:** 13-0-0
- **Motion:** passes

**Reference:** Agenda Item #6.021
| * Motion #36 | The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee grants approval for the formation of the IEEE 802.3 25 Gigabit/s Ethernet over a single lane for server interconnects Study Group within IEEE 802.3 |
| Moved       | Law |
| Second      | D'Ambrosia |
| Results     | 12-0-1 |
| Motion      | passes |
| Reference   | Agenda Item #6.053 |

| * Motion #37 | Approve the formation of the 802.11 Study Group to investigate the feasibility of substantially increasing the data rates of 802.11 in the 60 GHz frequency band [as described in the document cited below] with the intent of creating a PAR and CSD (including five criteria). |
| Moved       | Stephens |
| Second      | Rosdahl |
| Results     | 12-0-1 |
| Motion      | passes |
| Reference   | Agenda Item #6.061 |

| * Motion #38 | Request the 802EC approve the renewal of the Optical Camera Communications Study Group in 802.15 for another cycle. |
| Moved       | Heile |
| Second      | Gilb |
| Results     | 12-0-1 |
| Motion      | passes |
| Reference   | Agenda Item #6.071 |

| * Motion #39 | Request the 802EC approve the renewal of the Spectrum Resource Utilization Study Group in 802.15 for another cycle. |
| Moved       | Heile |
| Second      | Gilb |
| Results     | 13-0-0 |
| Motion      | passes |
| Reference   | Agenda Item #6.072 |

| * Motion #40 | To initiate an IEEE 802 EC Privacy Recommendation Study Group, with Juan Carlos Zuniga as Chair |
| Moved       | D'Ambrosia |
| Second      | Rosdahl |
| Results     | 7-5-1 |
| Motion      | Passes |
| Reference   | Agenda Item #6.11 |
**Motion #41**
EC Approves Document ec-14-0052-INTL Rev1 as a Liaison Response to ISO JTC1 SC6 for the FDIS 60 Days Ballot Comments on the IEEE Std. 802.22-2011.

**Moved**
Mody

**Second**
Shellhammer

**Results**
12-0-0

**Motion**
passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item #7.021

**Motion #42**
EC Approves Document ec-14-0053-INTL Rev1 as a Liaison Request to Allocate the Revision Responsibility of the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-22 Standards to the IEEE 802.22 WG

**Moved**
Mody

**Second**
Shellhammer

**Results**
13-0-0

**Motion**
passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item # 7.022

**Motion #43**
EC grants approval to forward IEEE Std 802.1Xbx (following publication) to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement.

**Moved**
Parsons

**Second**
Thaler

**Results**
12-0-0

**Motion**
passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item #7.041

**Motion #44**
EC grants approval to forward 802.1AX-Rev to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for information under the PSDO agreement.

**Moved**
Parsons

**Second**
Lynch

**Results**
13-0-0

**Motion**

**Reference**
Agenda Item #7.042

**Motion #45**
EC grants approval to forward Std 802.1Q-Rev, once published, to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement after publication by IEEE.

**Moved**
Parsons

**Second**
Lynch

**Results**
13-0-0

**Motion**
passes

**Reference**
Agenda Item #7.043
**IEEE 802 July 2014 Plenary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*Motion #46</th>
<th>EC grants approval to forward Std 802 to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6, for ratification under the PSDO agreement.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Parsons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gilb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #7.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*Motion #47</th>
<th>The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee supports the IEEE 802.3 25Gb/s Ethernet Study Group formation press release IEEE_802-3_25Gbs_Study_Group_Press_Release_17JULY14_D4.pdf, with editorial license granted to the Chair (or his appointed agent).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>DĂmbrosia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>12-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #7.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*Motion #48</th>
<th>The IEEE 802 LMSC Executive Committee approves the submission of IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013 for adoption by ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 under the PSDO agreement.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>DĂmbrosia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #7.054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*Motion #49</th>
<th>Approve the liaising of the document cited below to SC6 as the IEEE 802 response to SC6 NB comments on the recent FDIS ballots on 802.11aa, 802.11ad, and 802.11ae.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #7.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Motion #50</td>
<td>Submit IEEE Std 802.11ac-2013 and IEEE Std 802.11af-2013 to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for ratification under the PSDO process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Rosdahl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>13-0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Agenda Item #7.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| * Motion #51 | Move to approve the Press Release on the publication of the IEEE 802.19.1 standard, provided in document P802.19-14/52R0 |
| Moved | Shellhammer |
| Second | mody |
| Results | 13-0-0 |
| Motion | passes |
| Reference | Agenda Item #7.101 |

| * Motion #52 | Move to approve the following Liaison to 3GPP and grant the 802 chair editorial license. |
| Moved | Shellhammer |
| Second | Mody |
| Results | 12-0-1 |
| Motion | Passes |
| Reference | Agenda Item #7.112 |

| * Motion #53 | Create an IEEE 802 executive committee (EC) standing committee (SC) called the “Wireless Chairs Standing Committee” (WC SC) with Scope and Duties as defined in document ec-14-0036r2 that is responsible for “Assisting the sponsor”, as described in the LMSC P&P 5.6 |
| Moved | Stephens |
| Second | Heile |
| Results | 12-0-1 |
| Motion | Passes |
| Reference | Agenda Item #8.034 |

| * Motion #54 | Confirm Bob Heile as the chair of the Wireless Chairs Standing Committee. |
| Moved | Stephens, |
| Second | Rosdahl |
| Results | 12-0-1 |
| Motion | Passes |
| Reference | Agenda Item #8.035 |