C/ 03 SC 3.3	P <b>3</b>	L <b>45</b>	# i-25	C/ <b>04</b>	SC 4	P <b>4</b>	L <b>5</b>	# i-26
Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	rks Inc.		Hunter, Da	avid	WireFi N	etworks Inc.	
Dictionary has no "N SuggestedRemedy Include a definition	Comment Status R ress" defined? Since ISO/OSI I /AC address", it needs to be de of "MAC address" or "Individual lationship of the MSAP address	fined somewhere MAC address".	a. Also need to include a	Suggested Make	raft text uses ' dRemedy this definition he draft text us	(as well as the instances in	the Abstracts in the	front pages) consist
Response	Response Status C					IPLE. References to EISS I	nave been removed	from the draft.
	in clause 8, bullet d) on page 12 t also describes the relationship			<i>Cl <b>07</b></i> Hunter, Da	SC 7.1	Р <b>8</b> WireFi N	L 13 etworks Inc.	# i-28
C/ 03 SC 3.3	P <b>3</b>	L <b>45</b>	# i-24	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R		
Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	rks Inc.		Since	"same" may b	e an adjective or noun, this	phrasing is somew	hat confusing.
SuggestedRemedy	no "MSAP address", it needs to of "MSAP address" or "Individua			Response REJE	CT. The langu	Response Status <b>C</b> age is clear.		
Response	Response Status C			CI <b>07</b>	SC 7.1	P 8	L 18	# <u>i-29</u>
	IPLE. The term "MSAP address			Hunter, Da	avid	WireFi N	etworks Inc.	
of the investigation of this comment, it was found that the ISO standards themselves are inconsistent. To align with current 802.1 usage: Delete subclause 3.3 (as the definitions in it are superfluous) . Delete 3.1 €. Remove				Comment Type ER Comment Status R This reference is to 'N', the letter, rather than N the referent of that letter.				
hyphens in 3.1 (d) a	nd in expansion of MSAP in cla	use 4.		Suggested	dRemedy			
C/ 04 SC 4	P <b>4</b>	L 16	# i-27	Repla	ce "N," with "	N',".		
Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	rks Inc.		Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type TR "SAP" is not defined	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> d, yet is used in the draft text.			REJE	CT. Usage in	ISO 7498-3 does not includ	e quotes.	
SuggestedRemedy Insert "SAP Servio	ce access point"							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

CI 07         SC 7.2         P 8         L 40         # [i-30]           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.	C/         07         SC 7.2         P 8         L 52         #         i-32           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.
Comment Type ER Comment Status R This overly long sentence can be improved somewhat by a split. SuggestedRemedy Replace "frame, although this does not imply that they are" with "frame. Identification as	Comment Type ER Comment Status A In contrast to "peer-to-peer" here, page 16 uses the term "peer to peer". Which should it be. SuggestedRemedy
components of a frame does not imply that these parameters are".	Replace "peer-to-peer" with "peer to peer".
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
REJECT. This sentence seems reasonably clear and the proposed replacement text is considerably longer.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace 'peer to peer' with 'peer-to-peer' on page 16, line 38.
C/         07         SC         7.2         P 8         L 51         # [i-31]           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.	C/ 07         SC 7.3         P 9         L 23         # i-34           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.
Comment Type ER Comment Status A "Similarly" is a side remark. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         "LMI" is only defined in this location anus used two lines below. It is better not to waste time introducing an acronym that is not more generally used.         SuggestedRemedy
Add a comma after "Similarly".	Delete "(LMI)" and on line 25 replace "LMI" with "layer management interfaces".
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "(LMI)" and replace "LMIs" with "layer management
C/ 07         SC 7.2         P 8         L 52         # [i-33]           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.         WireFi Networks Inc.	- interfaces" on line 25.
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> "These play no part in defining the peer-to-peer communication". If the primitives are correlated with the transmission/receipt of frames, then this statement is blatantly false. But this claim is unnecessary. In addition "do not conform to the reference model" is misleading: the model is open-ended so that primitives may be added to conforming implementations, but this statement makes it appear as if no additions are allowed.	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "These play no part in defining the peer-to-peer communication and do not conform to" with "These are not part of".	
Response Response Status C	
REJECT. Primitives are a feature of a model. The OSI reference model is explicit in its	

REJECT. Primitives are a feature of a model. The OSI reference model is explicit in its aim of using primitives and their parameters to capture the essentials of peer-to-peer communication and to omit local implementation-dependent aspects.

C/ 07 SC 7.4	P 10 L 1	<b>4</b> # i-40	C/ 07 SC 7.4	P9 L34	# i-35		
Hunter, David	WireFi Networks Inc.		Hunter, David	WireFi Networks Inc.			
"port" consist of a link a medium (since the port Figure 7-2, but this text internal contradiction he Note that the inteface st the interface stack is co	Comment Status <b>R</b> ims odd. For instance, in Figure 7-2 ggregation entity and two MAC entiti does not include a PHY). Yes, the tu says Figure 7-2 illustrates a single in re. Second: what is a "port" that do ack shown in Figure 7-2 does not inc mposed of just the Link Aggregation GAPs but no PHY. This concept so	es, but no connection to the ext below mentions two ports in terface stack. There is an esn't make it to the medium? dude a PHY, so presumably entity, the two MAC entities,	Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         "An SAP": verbally is "SAP" always spelled out? The wireless throwbacks at least pronounce it as word.         SuggestedRemedy       Replace "An SAP" with "A SAP".         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       C       C				
and "port" refers to this	rd: this single port (Figure 7-2 illustra single stack) that supports two conne ferences are to separate media). Bu ints?	ections to the medium (or	C/ 07 SC 7.4 Hunter, David Comment Type TR	P9 L45 WireFi Networks Inc. Comment Status R	# [i-36		
SuggestedRemedy         Either delete this definition of "port" or replace it with a definition that makes it clear that a port is a stack that reaches all the way to a medium, yet only reaches once to a medium. Presumably a port does include more than an interface stack that supports a single connection to a medium but does not include an interace stack that supports multiple connections to (perhaps multiple) media.         Response       Response Status       C         REJECT. This usage is consistent with that in IEEE Std 802 clause 6.2.1. The text seems clear and the use of "port" is entirely consistent with the way that that term is used in 802.1X-2010 and 802.1Q-2011.			what are the entities la SuggestedRemedy Describe which are the stack entities? Is Link	ore questions than it answers. The text beled in Fig 7-2 that are the component e stack entites in Figure 7-2. Are the SA Aggregation really an entity, and not jus y? Is this "interface stack" a single inter	s of the stack. Ps entities? Are the LANs t a function that is in anothe		
			Response REJECT. The example	Response Status <b>C</b> e in Figure 7-2 was deliberately chosen the figure addresses them.	to illustrate these difficulties		
C/ 07 SC 7.4	P 10 L 3 WireFi Networks Inc.	# i-39	C/ 07 SC 7.4	P9 L50	# i-37		
			Hunter, David	WireFi Networks Inc.			
Hunter, David	Comment Type TR Comment Status R The text below identifies 802.1AX link aggregation as a shim, so "SAP" in each of these locations must be the same.			Comment Type ER Comment Status A It is unlikely that the OSI reference model envisages anything.			
Hunter, David <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> The text below identifies	802.1AX link aggregation as a shim	, so "SAP" in each of these					
Hunter, David Comment Type <b>TR</b> The text below identifies locations must be the sa SuggestedRemedy	802.1AX link aggregation as a shim			SI reference model envisages anything.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Hunter, David       WireFi Networks Inc.         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       R         "that media is" has a number problem "media" is plural.
SuggestedRemedy         Repace "that media is" with "those media are".         Response       Response Status         C         REJECT. Commentors are reminded that the text will be reviewed by professional edito prior to publication. Any change here will be left to the IEEE editors.
CI 07         SC 7.5         P 10         L 37         # i-45           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.
Unless absolutely necessary commas are discouraged between prepositional phrases a the main body of the sentence.  SuggestedRemedy Delete the comma after "Bridge".  Response Response Status C REJECT. Commentors are reminded that the text will be reviewed by professional edito prior to publication. Any change here will be left to the IEEE editors.
Cl 07       SC 7.6       P 10       L 36       # i-44         Hunter, David       WireFi Networks Inc.       .         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         This is the first use of "MSAP" in the draft text, so it should be defined.       .         SuggestedRemedy       Replace "MSAP" with "MAC Service-access-point (MSAP)".         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace "MSAP" with "MAC Service Access Point (MSAP)".

C/         07         SC         7.7         P 10         L 4           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.	8 # i-46	C/ <b>07</b> SC <b>7.8</b> Hunter, David	P 11 WireFi Netwo	L <b>8</b> rks Inc.	# i-48
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> What does "single" mean here? Single access to a single m "LAN station"? As is shown in the text above, a "single entity access to more than one medium and bridging functionality b access locations.	" can provide more than one	in terms. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R composes a connectivity as		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "a single media access method specific entity, oper provides a single method-specific access to a single medium vague, then why not define a LAN station as "the pair of a sin	and operates". If that still is		composes a connectivity as nectivity association that wa <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> correct.		established" with
Response Response Status C REJECT. A bridge is not a LAN station. The proposed text is	s not an improvement.	CI 08 SC 8 Hunter, David	P 12 WireFi Netwo	L <b>10</b> rks Inc.	# i-51
C/         07         SC 7.8         P 11         L 1           Hunter, David         WireFi Networks Inc.	7 # <mark>i-49</mark>	Comment Type ER This is the first use of "C	Comment Status A loS" in the draft text, so it sh	nould be defined	I.
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Run-on sentence.		SuggestedRemedy Replace "QoS" with "Qu	ality of Service (QoS)".		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "equipment, instead" with "equipment. Instead,".		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		C/ 08 SC 8 Hunter, David	P12 WireFi Netwo	L <b>13</b> rks Inc.	# i-52
Cl 07       SC 7.8       P 11       L 7         Hunter, David       WireFi Networks Inc.         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         "a priori" is a technical term (referencing logical truths) that d       "prior" is intended, but it is better not to limit the scope of "ag         SuggestedRemedy       Delete "a priori".         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "a priori" to "prior". It is in:	reement" in this case.	meant here, that needs to something that is more of SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A In the rest of the text (and st to be spelled out and also distinctive. "user data" throughout the Response Status C	the term "user-	

CI 08 SC 8 Page 5 of 9 Tue 17/07/2012 15:10:0 C/ 08 SC 8 P 12 L 5 # i-50 C/ 10 SC 10.1 P14 L 24 # i-55 Hunter, David WireFi Networks Inc. Hunter. David WireFi Networks Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A "utilised": need American English in an IEEE standard. "Transmit" is used consistently in the rest of the text to indicate the transmitter (as opposed to the receiver), except for the phrase "sending MAC service user". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "utilised" with "utilized". In general, run an American English spellchecker over Unless there is some difference in meaning between "transmitting" and "sending" (in which the text. case that difference would need to be specified), then replace "sending" with "transmitting" Response Response Status C both here and on page 26 line 15. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace with "used". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace "sending" with "transmitting" in two places. C/ 09 SC 9.1 P13 L 13 # i-53 WireFi Networks Inc. Hunter, David C/ 10 SC 10.1 P14 L 25 # i-56 Comment Status R Comment Type ER Hunter. David WireFi Networks Inc. "amongst" is deprecated in contemporary American English, and IEEE standards are Comment Type ER Comment Status A written in contemporary American English. "a priori": it is much clearer (with much less baggage) to say "prior". SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedv Replace "amongst" with "among". Replace "a priori" with "prior". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. Commentors are reminded that the text will be reviewed by professional editors prior to publication. Any change here will be left to the IEEE editors. ACCEPT. C/ 09 SC 9.2 P13 L 54 # i-54 C/ 11 SC 11 P15 L 5 # i-57 Hunter, David WireFi Networks Inc. Hunter, David WireFi Networks Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Status R Comment Type ER Need to complete the "e.g." aside. Unless absolutely necessary commas are discouraged between prepositional phrases and the main body of the sentence. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "misordered" with "misordered,". Delete the comma after "end-station" on line 5 and after "Bridge" on line 6. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. REJECT. Commentors are reminded that the text will be reviewed by professional editors

IEEE P802.1AC/D2.0 MAC Service Specification Initial Sponsor ballot comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 11 SC 11

prior to publication. Any change here will be left to the IEEE editors.

Page 6 of 9 Tue 17/07/2012 15:10:0

IEEE P802.1AC/D2.0 MAC Service Sp	becification Initial Sponsor ballot comments	
-----------------------------------	--	--

C/ 11 SC 11.1 P16 L3	# i-58	C/ 11 SC 11.1	P 16	L <b>7</b>	# i-60
lunter, David WireFi Networks Inc.		Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	rks Inc.	
Comment Type ER Comment Status A		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
This appears to be the first use of "EISS" in the text, so it sh	ould be defined.		as "a priori" data size. The te ledge and at its loosest is lim		
uggestedRemedy	10.01	known by a language's			interrelationships
Replace "EISS" with "Extended Internal Sublayer Service (E	SuggestedRemedy				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. All references to EISS are deleted		Replace "a priori knowl technology."	edge." with "in the standard s	specifying the pa	rticular IEEE Std 802
2/11 SC 11.1 P16 L3	8 # i-61	Response	Response Status C		
lunter, David WireFi Networks Inc.		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E. Replace "a priori" with "pri	ior".	
Comment Type TR Comment Status R		C/ 12 SC 12.1.1	P <b>20</b>	L <b>53</b>	# i-62
Where is "peer to peer service" defined in this document?		Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	rks Inc.	
uggestedRemedy		Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		
Define "peer to peer service".		Run-on sentence.			
Response Response Status C		SuggestedRemedy			
REJECT. See 7.1 for an explanation.		On line 52 replace "The after "management".	e default" with "Though the de	efault" and on line	e 53 insert a comma
₩ 11 SC 11.1 P16 L5	# i-59	Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
			E. Replace "0, it" with "0. Thi	is parameter".	
unter, David WireFi Networks Inc.					
		CL 42 SC 4244	D 20	1 50	# : 00
	a payloads are useful in some	C/ 12 SC 12.1.1 Hunter, David	P <b>20</b> WireFi Netwo	L <b>53</b> rks Inc.	# i-63
<i>comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>R</b> Why the requirement of "greater than zero"? Zero-length da MACs.	ta payloads are useful in some	Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo Comment Status R	rks Inc.	
omment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Why the requirement of "greater than zero"? Zero-length da MACs. uggestedRemedy Delete "greater than zero,".	ta payloads are useful in some	Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo Comment Status R here? Is the intent to refer t	rks Inc.	
omment Type TR Comment Status R Why the requirement of "greater than zero"? Zero-length da MACs. uggestedRemedy Delete "greater than zero,". esponse Response Status C		Hunter, David <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> What is "management"	WireFi Netwo Comment Status R here? Is the intent to refer t	rks Inc.	
omment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Why the requirement of "greater than zero"? Zero-length da MACs. uggestedRemedy Delete "greater than zero,".	or which the transmission of	Hunter, David Comment Type <b>TR</b> What is "management" "management" is ambi SuggestedRemedy	WireFi Netwo Comment Status R here? Is the intent to refer t	rks Inc.	r? Otherwise
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Why the requirement of "greater than zero"? Zero-length da MACs. SuggestedRemedy Delete "greater than zero,". Response Response Status <b>C</b> REJECT. IEEE 802.1 has not defined any uses for the ISS for	or which the transmission of	Hunter, David Comment Type <b>TR</b> What is "management" "management" is ambi SuggestedRemedy	WireFi Netwo Comment Status R here? Is the intent to refer t guous.	rks Inc.	r? Otherwise

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 12 SC 12.1.1 Page 7 of 9 Tue 17/07/2012 15:10:0

C/ 12 SC 12.1.2 Hunter, David	P <b>22</b> WireFi Netwo	L <b>12</b> rks Inc.	# i-65	C/ <b>12</b> SC <b>12.1.2</b> Hunter, David	P 22 L WireFi Networks Inc	. <b>27</b> # [i-68
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Ancient reference.	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR Comme Ancient reference.	ent Status R	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "Clause 5" w	vith "Clause 4".			SuggestedRemedy Replace "7.2.2" with "8.2.4.3.5".		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Res	se Status <b>C</b> now in 8.3.2.1. See com	ment i-10.
C/ 12 SC 12.1.2 Hunter, David	Р <b>22</b> WireFi Netwo	L <b>17</b> rks Inc.	# <u>i-66</u>	C/ 12 SC 12.1.2 Hunter, David	P 22 L WireFi Networks Inc	- <b>3</b> # <u>i-64</u>
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Ancient reference. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR Comme Wow 802.11-1999. Was that w References to that edition are so		
Annex J". However, process of being depr reference. Response	20 replace "Clauses 6, 7, 9 an Annex J is only informative, is recated, so for future proofing <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> PLE. On both lines 17 and 20 r	no longer mainta the CRC might v	ained and is in the vant to remove that	SuggestedRemedy Replace "1999" with "2012" and " "10" (the "9" is OK). But if layer m (2012 clause 6) specifies layer m specifies the MLME. So might wa Clauses 10 and 11 specify".	nanagement is the topic, anagement, while the 19 ant to replace "and Claus	then the old 1999 clause 10 99 clause 11 (2012 clause 10)
with "Clauses 5, 8 and C/ 12 SC 12.1.2		L 24	# [ <u>i-67</u>	Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. DISCUS 10".	se Status <b>C</b> SS. On page 22 line 4 re	place "Clause 11" with "Clause
Hunter, David Comment Type <b>TR</b> Ancient reference.	WireFi Netwo	rks Inc.		C/ 12 SC 12.1.2 Hunter, David	P 22 L WireFi Networks Inc	. <b>31</b> # [i-69
SuggestedRemedy Replace "7.1.3.1" with	ר "8.2.4.1.3".			Ancient reference.	ent Status R	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Replace "7.2.2" with "8.2.4.3.6". Response Response REJECT. The table referred to is	se Status <b>C</b> now in 8.3.2.1. See com	iment i-10.

C/ 12 SC 12.1.2

C/ 12 SC 12.1.2	P 22	L <b>34</b>	# i-70
Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	orks Inc.	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Ancient reference.	Comment Status R		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "7.1.3.5" wit	h "8.2.4.7".		
Response REJECT. See i-10.	Response Status C		
C/ 12 SC 12.1.2	P <b>22</b>	L 44	# i-72
Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	orks Inc.	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Ancient reference.	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "7.1.3.6" wit	h "8.2.4.8".		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 13 SC 13.1	P <b>26</b>	L <b>7</b>	# i-73
Hunter, David	WireFi Netwo	orks Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		
"can" here is not obv	iously a statement of capability	rather than perr	nission.
SuggestedRemedy			
I expect that "may" is "might".	s intended, so replace "can" wit	h "may". Otherv	vise replace "can" with
Response	Response Status C		
REJECT. This is a st	atement of capability.		

C/ 13 SC 13.1