



#### >THIS IS THE WAY

# IEEE 802.1ah Update

Paul Bottorff, Editor 802.1ah May 9, 2005

#### >THIS IS NORTEL

# Agenda

#### > Introduction

#### > Review

- Terminology
- Basic Operation
- > Frame Format Alternative
  - Formats from draft 1
  - Format identifier field proposal
  - Format mapping to I-Comp/B-Comp reference model
- > Reference Model Alternative
  - I-Comp/B-Comp reference model (Draft 1)
  - M-Comp reference model alternative
  - Revised I-Comp/B-Comp reference model



#### P802.1ah - Provider Backbone Bridges – Targeted Timeline



- Paul Bottorff, Chief Editor, pbottorf@nortel.com
- Ali Sajassi, Assistant Editor, sajassi@cisco.com
- Muneyoshi Suzuki, Assistant Editor, suzuki.muneyoshi@lab.ntt.co.jp

#### IEEE 802.1ah (Provider Backbone Bridge) Context



#### **Draft 0.2 Content**

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > Draft 0.2 available at: <a href="http://www.ieee802.org/1/pages/802.1ah.html">http://www.ieee802.org/1/pages/802.1ah.html</a>
- > Much of P802.1ad is included within editor's notes
- > Added clauses 23, 24, and 25
  - Clause 23: Support of the MAC Service by Provider Backbone Bridged Networks
  - Clause 24: Principles of Provider Backbone Bridged network operation
  - Clause 25: Principles of Provider Backbone Bridge operation
- > Clause 1 contains some suggestions for scope
- > Clause 3/4 contains new Provider Backbone Bridge definitions and acronyms
- > Clause 9 contains I-TAG VCI format

# **Open items in Draft 0.2**

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > Clause 5: Conformance statement
- > Clause 12: Management for PBB bridges
- > Clause 23:
  - Port based interface description
  - I-Frame based interface description
- > Clause 24:
  - Interaction of Provider Backbone Edge Bridges with Provider Bridge spanning trees
  - Operation of Provider Backbone Bridge spanning trees
- > Clause 25:
  - Details of I Component and B Component operation
  - Operation of address correlation data base
- > Informative annex on an integrated C-VLAN aware component

# The Big Ticket Items for Draft 1.0

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > No format identifier specified for I & B formats
  - Could use multiple .1ah Ethertypes or format field in I-TAG
  - Additional formats are necessary to indicate a "naked" OAM frame
- > Current Dual Relay Model Issues
  - Externalized "naked" I-TAG interface (I-Format)
  - B-Comp is upside down
  - I-Comp to B-Comp relationship not 1-1
  - I Format not consistent with standard tag operations
  - Virtual MAC addressed by B-MAC is not clearified by draft 0.2
- > Draft 1 has only an S-TAG user interface
  - Could also support an untagged transparent interface
  - Extensions also allow embedding a C-VLAN aware component
  - Also the "naked" I-TAG interface could be externalized
- > Decoupling PBN and PBBN spanning tree is not described
  - Redundant PBB interface is not specified
  - Spanning tree handling for redundant PBB
- > Extended multicast pruning techniques are not described for PBBNs

# Agenda

#### > Introduction

#### > Review

- Terminology
- Basic Operation
- > Frame Format Alternative
  - Formats from draft 1
  - Format identifier field proposal
  - Format mapping to I-Comp/B-Comp reference model
- > Reference Model Alternative
  - I-Comp/B-Comp reference model (Draft 1)
  - M-Comp reference model alternative
  - Revised I-Comp/B-Comp reference model

![](_page_8_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Agreed Terminology**

- > IEEE 802.1ad Terminology
  - C-TAG Customer VLAN TAG
  - C-VLAN Customer VLAN
  - C-VID Customer VLAN ID
  - S-TAG Service VLAN TAG
  - S-VLAN Service VLAN
  - S-VID Service VLAN ID
- > Additional Provider Backbone Bridge Terminology
  - I-TAG Extended Service TAG
    - I-SID Extended Service ID
  - C-MAC Customer MAC Address
    - B-MAC Backbone MAC Address
    - B-VLAN Backbone VLAN (tunnel)
      - Backbone TAG Field
      - Backbone VLAN ID (tunnel)

![](_page_9_Figure_16.jpeg)

•

**B-TAG** 

**B-VID** 

•

•

۲

# More Terminology

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > CBN Customer Bridge Network
- >CB Customer Bridge
- > PBN Provider Bridge Network
- > PB Provider Bridge
- >PBBN Provider Backbone Bridge Network
- > PBB Provider Backbone Bridge

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

<sup>•</sup> **PBB**: Provider Backbone Bridge Edge

- Each B-VLAN carries many S-VLANs
- S-VLANs may be carried on a subset of a B-VLAN (i.e. all P-P S-VLANs could be carried on a single MP B-VLAN providing connection to all end points.

#### Combined 802.1ad and 802.1ah Network

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Agenda

> Introduction

#### >Review

- Terminology
- Basic Operation

#### > Frame Format Alternative

- Formats from draft 0.2
- Format identifier field proposal
- Format mapping to I-Comp/B-Comp reference model
- > Reference Model Alternative
  - I-Comp/B-Comp reference model (Draft 1)
  - M-Comp reference model alternative
  - Revised I-Comp/B-Comp reference model

![](_page_13_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **802.1ah Encapsulation Format**

- 802.1ah Bridges encapsulate frames with a BBN header
- 802.1ah header contains
  - a) Extended Service identifier (I-SID)
    - Identifies the Provider Bridge S-VLAN within the BBN
    - Is carried within an I-TAG which is 32 bits long and identified by an 802.1ah Ethertype
    - Requires at least 2^20 bits to identify 1M services
    - Proposals for 2^20, 2^24, and 2^28 bits
  - b) Site Connectivity identifier (B-VID)
    - Identifies a B-VLAN (or tunnel) that is used to transport the BBN S-VLANs
    - Site connectivity (i.e., tunnel) can be point-to-point or multi-point in nature
    - B-VLAN is carried in a B-TAG with the 802.1ad Ethertype and S-TAG format
  - c) Backbone POP Address (B-MAC)

MAC Address for POPs within Site Connectivity

- 802.1ad Service VLAN IDs (S-VIDs) map to 802.1ah Extended Service IDs (I-SIDs)
  - PBN S-VIDs are local to the PBN
  - PBBN I-SIDs are local to the PBBN

![](_page_14_Picture_17.jpeg)

## **Formats On PBBN Wires**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

B-TAG is identical to S-TAG and optional in the frame

I-TAG is optional in frame

CFM format is for management of a B-VLAN

#### "Naked" I-TAG Formats on I-B Link

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

No B-TAG present in these frames

I-TAG may also be absent in these formats

# **Proposal for I-TAG Format Field**

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

> High order bit of FMT determines "I" Format or "B" Format

- > Low order bit of FMT determines FCS retention
- > RSV bits are for future use
- > Alternatively two Ethertypes would be required

### **Dual Relay PBB Model**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Alternative For Naked I-TAG Format?**

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

- > Pro for I-Format is minimum information between I&B Comp
- > Con for I-Format is B-Shim transformation is upside down
- > Pro for B-Format is B-Shim has a regular transformation
- > Con for B-Format is I-B link either exposes backbone address or uses dummy addresses

# Agenda

> Introduction

#### >Review

- Terminology
- Basic Operation
- > Frame Format Alternative
  - Formats from draft 1
  - Format identifier field proposal
  - Format mapping to I-Comp/B-Comp reference model
- > Reference Model Alternative
  - I-Comp/B-Comp reference model (Draft 1)
  - M-Comp reference model alternative
  - Revised I-Comp/B-Comp reference model

![](_page_20_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Combined 802.1ad and 802.1ah Network

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Customer Spanning Tree** 

#### **Dual Relay PBB Model**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Shims May Split Functions Anywhere**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

- > Entire yellow region may be considered a single shim with functions divided to either side of the interconnect
- > Splits moving functions toward the I-Comp move knowledge of the backbone topology into the PBN region
- > Current split moves most functions toward B-Comp maximizing information hiding

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > I to B Shim format "naked I-TAG" in I-Format
  - Minimum frame information between I-Shim and B-Shim
  - B-Shim transform is irrigular since I-TAG is moved in frame
  - B-Shim upside down since frame grows moving upward
- > I to B Shims are 1-1

> I-Shim function is very thin while B-Shim does most of work

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### > I to B Shim format "naked I-TAG" in B-Format

- B-DA is dummy field
- B-Shim transform is regular
- B-Shim right side up
- > I to B Shims are 1-1

> I-Shim function is thin while B-Shim does most of work

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > I to B Shim format "naked I-TAG" in B-Format
  - B-DA functions handled by I-Shim
  - B-Shim transform is regular
  - B-Shim right side up
- > I to B Shims are 1-1
- > I-Shim function is thick while B-Shim only handles B-TAG

### **PBBI&B Shim Alternatives 1-3**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > I and B Shim combined into an M-Shim
  - All functions contained in single shim
  - Model becomes a single relay model
  - Functions are right side up
  - Spanning tree splits in the middle of the relay
- > No middle level interface exposed by architecture

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Alternative 5

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- > I and B Shim combined into an M-Shim
  - All functions contained in single shim
  - Model becomes a single relay model
  - Functions are right side up
  - Spanning tree splits on link

> No middle level interface exposed by architecture

## **PBBI&B Shim Alternatives 5**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

- > I-Shim and B-Shim 1-1 connected
- > Single I-B Shim pair forms interconnect of PBN and PBBN
- > Spanning trees split between B-Comp to I-Comp
- > Implementation may be a single box or two boxes

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

> Interconnect of PBN and PBBN is between a PBB and a PB

> Spanning trees split between in middle of M relay

#### **Redundant Interconnects: Alternatives 1-3**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Demark

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

Demark

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

- > Class 1:
- Redundant Links and Non-redundant Switch
- > Class 2:
- > Redundant Links and Redundant Switches
- > Class 3:
- > Redundant Links and Mesh Connected Redundant Switches

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Redundant Interconnects: Alternative 4**

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

- > Class 1:
- Switch
- > Class 2:
- > Redundant Links and Non-redundant
  > Redundant Links and Redundant Switches
- > Class 3:
- > Probably outside model

#### Recommendations

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > Any of the reference models can work
- > The dual relays create internal frame format
- > Alternative 2 dual relay will provide regular frame transformations and right-side up operation
- > Alternative 4 is probably the simplest

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### >THIS IS THE WAY

# **Backup Slides**

#### >THIS IS NORTEL

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > Ongoing work at IEEE 802.1ag, ITU SG13 Y.17ethoam, MEF
- > IEEE P802.1ag Service OAM flows at multiple levels.
- > Ethernet Service OAM allows multiple autonomous networks.

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **PBB Shim Functions**

#### **PBB Peer Model**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Encapsulation Frame Header**

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

• The B-TAG is identical to S-TAG and optional in the frame

#### **Provider Network Example**

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Extended Service VLAN IDs In Backbone**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

- An I-SID uniquely identifies a S-VLAN within the Backbone
- The MAP Shim translates between S-VID and I-SID
- The I-SID to(from) S-VID mapping is provisioned when a new service instance is created

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > Regardless of the I-SID address size the map tables only have 4096 entries since only one I-SID exists per S-VLAN and only 4096 S-VLANs exist per Provider Bridge.
- > A different S-VID in each PBN maps to the I-SID

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > B-VLANs are addressed like regular VLANs with a 12 bit B-VID
- B-VID and I-SID need to be separate ID spaces to allow
   many S-VLANs to be carried in a single B-VLAN

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > B-MAC Addresses identify the Edge Provider Backbone Bridges (BB PB)
- > B-MAC Addresses are learned by other Edge Backbone Edge Bridges
- > The backbone edge MAC address determines which edge on the B-VLAN will receive the frame.
- > Frames may be flooded by sending with broadcast or multicasts DA B-MACs to the B-VLAN.
- > Map shims filter based on the I-SID removing any misaddressed frames

# **Customer/Provider Addresses**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

- > PB Relay Learns Customer Address Per S-VLAN
- > BB Relay Learns Provider Addresses Per B-VLAN
- > MAP Shims Learns Correlated Customer and Provider MAC Addresses per S-VLAN

Customer/Provider MAC Address Correlation

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **MAP Shim Correlation Table**

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

- In the beginning the MAP Shim is provisioned with the correlation between the S-VID, I-SID, and B-VID
- > During operation the MAP Shim learns both B-MAC addresses and C-MAC addresses
- > The MAP Shim keeps track of which C-MAC addresses are behind which B-MAC
- > The correlation data is used to encapsulate frames from the PBNs

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

- > Customer spanning trees may extend over Provider Network
- > PB Network and BB Network spanning trees must be decoupled to scale the provider network
- > Provider Backbone Bridge may conform to the requirements for an Interconnect Medium

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)