

### Issues brought up by P802.1ag Draft 6

#### **Norman Finn**

Rev. 1



# Part 1: Rearranging the components of the Forwarding Process

# P802.1ag Draft 6.0 Figure 22-1



IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006

# P802.1ag Draft 6.0 Figure 22-1, revised



# P802.1ag Draft 6.0 Figure 22-1, revised



# P802.1ag Draft 6.0 Figure 22-1, revised





IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006



IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006



 Show this folded diagram with multiple copies of per-port functions, and a single copy of the central function.



# Combining the folded Forwarding Process into Revised P802.1ag diagram



IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006

# At last! The problem statement

- 1. In D6.0, the Down MEPs' reflected Loopback Replies, which can take a significant part of a Port's bandwidth, bypass the output queuing mechanism (while passed-through data is queued).
- 2. When a port is blocked in D6.0, presumably at the edge of a network by a means such as MSTP role restriction, Up MEPs are disconnected from their Maintenance Association. (In fact, when a Port is blocked, an Up MEP is not of much use at all.)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Point to point service (MA in blue).
- Access cloud selects the bridge, a or b, to use for this service. Blue X marks the blocked port.

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Aggregation cloud operator creates a 3-point (green) service to support the 2-point (blue) service.
- Block (blue X) is in Relay, not outside the Port.
- How does Agg. cloud operator know whether Port a, or the Bridge it belongs to, are working?

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006

# **The Proposed Solution: Step 1**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **The Proposed Solution: Step 2**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Problem 1 fixed**

- Down MEPs' Loopback path now goes through the queuing functions.
- Loopbacks' interference with other data is therefore controlled.
- (This Port's Up MEPs' Loopback paths are still not a problem; they still go through other Ports' output queues.)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006

### **Problem 2 fixed**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

IEEE P802.1ag Connectivity Fault Management: Denver CO, March, 2006

#### **Problem 2 fixed**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- CCMs from Up MEP a can be seen by b and c.
- CCMs from Up MEPs b and c (typically) cannot reach a, because of MVRP (or GVRP).

### **Problem 2 fixed**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

- So, MEP a's CCMs advertise "I'm blocked".
- MEP a's CCM receiver is held in "happy" state.
- All MEPs treat "I'm blocked" just like "All OK".
- If Port a goes Forwarding, its CCM receiver is released. Network has (3.5 \* CCMInterval) seconds to deliver b's and c's CCMs to keep a happy.

#### Notes

- The network administrator is no longer in a "fool's paradise" when a monitored port happens to be unused.
- Other ideas investigated, such as CFM passing through VLAN pruning, or through blocked ports, seem to lead to more problems.
- No further changes are required to the structure of Clauses 6 or 8, even in the final rolled-up 802.1Q.
- Whether the modified diagram of the Forwarding Process needs to be in Clause 8 is to be determined, but the text would need to change very little, if we did change the diagram.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Part 2: Miscellaneous technical issues

#### **Other issues**

- 17.2 Security: What is needed, here? 17.3 MIB: MIB needs to be revised. Please examine 12.14 (managed objects) and subclause 22.2 (creating MEPs and MHFs) for accuracy and completeness, so that future revisions of the MIB will be minimal.
- ifOperStatus: What is the relationship between the MAC\_Operational status parameters of the two SAPs of a MEP? (Are inner/outer SAP the best names going forward for future shims?
- What status parameters are passed by EISS Multiplex Entity?
- Where is the DSAP? The ISAP?

### ifOperStatus / MAC\_Operational

- Draft 6.0 speaks of ifOperStatus, which is incorrect. MAC\_Operational is the correct reference.
- Needs new control on Down MEP, passDefectPriority, that determines whether the MEP's defect status controls MAC\_Operational for the higher layers. Down MEP presents:

upperSAP.MAC\_Operational = lowerSAP.MAC\_Operational & upperSAP.MAC\_Enable & (highestDefectPri < passDefectPriority)

• Is this what Up MEPs should do?

upperSAP.MAC\_Operational = lowerSAP.MAC\_Operational & upperSAP.MAC\_Enable

#### **EISS Multiplex Entity status parameters**

 Seems pretty clear that EISS Multiplex Entity going from one to many (as you pass up the stack) should present:

upperSAP.MAC\_Operational = upperSAP.MAC\_Enable & (OR of all lowerSAP.MAC\_Operationals)

 EISS Multiplex Entity going from many to one (as you pass up the stack) should present:

Each upperSAP.MAC\_Operational = that upperSAP.MAC\_Enable & the only lowerSAP.MAC\_Operational

- Draft 6.0 attaches the DSAP to the MEP, and the ISAP to the MIP.
- Clause 18(.0) indicates that:

The DSAP belongs to a Maintenance Domain.

That DSAP can be assigned to a Service Instance.

That Service Instance can be protected by a Maintenance Association (MA).

A MEP belongs to the MA.

• If the DSAP exists before the MEP exists, it cannot be defined by the MEP.

First question: What is a Service Instance?

A Service Instance is an instance of the MAC Service. That implies two or more peer Service Access Points (SAPs).

• If those SAPs are at the edge of a Maintenance Domain, they are Domain SAPs, or DSAPs.

 Here is the whole shebang. Where are the Service Instances?

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

This service instance is offered to a customer on the LAN, and extends through the bridged network. This is the typical use of Service Instances.

 This is where single VLAN Service Instances can be said to start.

> This service instance is offered to the Bridge, and extends into the network outside the Bridge. This might be one of a few Service Instances bought from a Provider.

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

 This is a typical VLANbased Service Instance. This service instance is offered to a customer on the LAN, and extends through the bridged network. This is the typical use of Service Instances.

FISS EISS MS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) ISS This service instance is MEP passing through the Bridge, ISS ISS Up MEPs and Up MHFs extending both into and out MHF MHF 155 155 EISS of this Port. EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) EISS Active topology management (8.6.1), Ingress (8.6.2), Egress (8.6.4) - EISS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) EISS . ISS ISS ISS MHF MHF MHF Down MEPs and Down MHEs ISS This is a Service ISS ISS MEP/ MEP/ evel **Instance (at MD Level 5)** ISS ISS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) using the same VLAN as - EISS -Flow Metering (8.6.5), Queuing Frames (8.6.6), Queue Managment (8.6.7), the yellow Service Transmission Selection (8.6.8) FISS -Support of the EISS (6.7) Instance in the previous - ISS -Support of the ISS for attachment to a slide. Provider Bridged Network (6.9) - ISS Bridge Port connectivity (8.5.1) ISS 802.n LAN

Frame filtering 8.6.3

II C

• There are different places to identify Service Instances extending outside the Bridge.

 Frame filtering 8.6.3
 LLC

 EISS
 ISS

 Active topology management (8.6.1)

 ISS

 Bridge Port Connectivity (8.5.1)

 ISS

 Lower layers

This Service Instance is offered is on a single VLAN.

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

This Service Instance is a physical link.

- This is where the per-VLAN pass/don't pass decisions are made, so it seems DSAPs should be here, or adjacent to here.
- FISS FISS MS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) ISS ISS MEP MEP ISS ISS ISS Up MEPs and Up MHFs MHF MHF MHF S EISS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) EISS Active topology management (8.6.1), Ingress (8.6.2), Egress (8.6.4) - EISS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) EISS · ISS MHF MHF MHF / Down MEPs and Down MHEs ISS ISS ISS MEP/ MEP/ ISS ISS EISS Multiplex Entity (6.10) - EISS · Flow Metering (8.6.5), Queuing Frames (8.6.6), Queue Managment (8.6.7), Transmission Selection (8.6.8) - EISS -When the Service Support of the EISS (6.7) - ISS -Support of the ISS for attachment to a Instance is the whole Provider Bridged Network (6.9) - ISS LAN, this is the Bridge Port connectivity (8.5.1) S 802.n appropriate place. LAN

Frame filtering 8.6.3

ПC

 Apparently, there are two ISAPs on a Port, if both MHFs are on that Port; you just can't tell them apart, because they have the same MAC address.

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)