

Wednesday

- administrivia - 10min
- 802.1AS draft review - 230min - GG
 - Clause 7.1 - 30min
 - Clauses 10, 10.1, 10.2 - 45min
 - Clause 10.3 - 45min
 - Clause 7.3 - 30min
 - Clause 7.4 - 30min
 - Clause 7.6 - 20min
 - Clause 9 (initial subclauses) - 30min
- 802.1as - Simplified 1588 signaling
With slides and document proposal - 45min - DVJ
- OC/application service interface - 30min - CH
- Improvement of Jitter, Wander, and Time Synchronization
Performance in 802.1AS Wired Transport using Propagation
Time Averaging - 30min - GG

Thursday

- 802.1Qat draft review - 120min - FF
- SRP proposal - 30min - SO & Z
- Professional Video Studios - 30min - Mace Gael
- UPnP QoS - 45min - FT
- 802.1Qav PAR status - 10min - TJ
- Queuing and forwarding discussion - 120min - all

Friday AM

- Queuing and forwarding discussion (cont.) - 60min - all
- 61883-over-AVB - 30min - RB
- Mobile infrastructure - 45min - JC

Qav discussion

- Queuing priorities (MJT): to support Max's analysis the traffic-shaped classes (5/4) must have top priority once they pass the traffic shaping gate
 - Class 7/6/3/2/0/1 in priority order after that?
 - Perhaps treat 7/6 as special traffic-shaped classes to enforce their use for “slow protocols”? (Highest priority, but only if infrequently used?)
 - Make sure that 802.1AS synch/pdelay messages get highest priority
 - Perhaps do fine-grained traffic shaping on 4/5 to allow a short 7/6 to slip within 4/5 bursts?
- Ingress filtering to enforce reservations
 - Drop violating streams, or remap to lower priorities?
 - Dropping is only way to guarantee downstream bridges are not affected AV cloud edge class remapping
 - Class 4/5 from legacy port remapped to 3?
- May need to restore priority on packets passing through AVB cloud
 - Needed for MSFT “qWAVE” requirements
 - Q-in-Q would work, but that is a PBT thing ... how much of PBT to include?
 - Need to discuss with rest of 802.1