IEEE 802.1Qbf Editor's Report November 2010 Plenary Meeting Dallas, TX, USA (preliminary – before ballot close)

Bob Sultan (bsultan@huawei.com)

802.1Qbf Draft 1.0 Working Group Ballot

Ballot Results

Approve	4
Disapprove	4
Abstain	22
Total	30
Commenters	5

Comments Submitted

	Required	Not Required	Total
Technical	11	0	11
Editorial	18	2	20
Total	29	2	31

Going Forward

- Expect to issue D1.1 in time for completion of working group recirculation ballot before January meeting;
- D1.1 will reflect comments made against D1.0 but should otherwise be unchanged;
- Seems possible that draft could go to sponsor ballot after re-circ; propose motion to authorize WG re-circ (for January) and sponsor ballot (for March);

Comment Review Plan

- The following comments have been accepted and probably require no discussion. Anyone who thinks an item should be discussed should raise the issue: #1, 4, 7-12, 15, 18-28, 31, 32;
- The group should review the proposed resolutions for #2 and #3 regarding conformance and PICS for M:1 protection;
- There were a few comments on wording carried over from 802.1Qay. These could be fixed in Q-REV, but I have no objection to fixing them here; the group should have a quick look at the proposed resolutions: #5, 6

Comment Review Plan

- The following comments have been accepted or accepted in principle but group might want to review the proposed resolutions: #13, 14, 17, 30
 - 14 (and 30) fix an error made by the editor; he thought a list of SEG-IDs per PNP was needed but did not realize that this is already provided by the CFM stack managed object. So, I think accepting #14 is appropriate.
- The only rejected comment is #29; this comment is superceded by comment #14; might be good to just have a quick look at this;