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• Copy of VM state to destination VM cannot occur until destination VM has been instantiated;
• Destination hypervisor must notify source hypervisor that destination VM is instantiated before copy can start;
• Copy of VM state information is shown in bold arrows;
• Transfer of final (dynamic) portion of VM state cannot begin until source VM has stopped executing (but is still instantiated);
• Assume that VM state copy includes an indication signifying that the copy is complete;
• Destination VM can start executing after the copy is complete;
• Notification is sent from destination hypervisor to source hypervisor to indicate that destination VM is running;
• When source learns that destination VM is running, there is no longer the possibility of backout so source VM can be de-instantiated.
Associate/Deassociate in diagram

Deassociate request can be made anytime after VM stops. Here we show De-associate after destination VM is running so there is no possibility that backout will be needed.

Associate must complete before the VM starts running on destination.
Or? (minor point)

De-instantiate of source VM should not occur until after de-associate completes??
Are Association and VM Instantiation are necessarily related?

Associate should not be requested until VM has been instantiated??
Again, I don’t think this is necessary, but maybe someone can clarify.
Assumptions for Database Update

- Database PUSH/PULL are asynchronous activities;
  - i.e., PULL request can precede PUSH;
- PUSH can occur *anytime* after notification is received that the source VM has stopped;
- PULL can occur *anytime* after notification is received that the destination VM is migrated (vs. new);
- PUSH Rsp can occur *anytime* after PUSH Req;
- PULL Rsp occurs after PUSH and PULL Requests;
- Destination VM cannot be started until Association and PULL Rsp completes;
If PULL completes *after* memory copy

- Associate carries M-bit indicating that VM associated with VSI will be migrated (vs. new VM);
  - Implies no change to VDP SM;
- Notification of source VM stopped triggers PUSH req;
- PULL Rsp arrives *after* memory copy completes;
- Destination VM runs after copy completed *and* PULL completed;
PULL completes after memory copy (alt 1)

- Destination server determines how to behave when ‘PULL Completed’ arrives after memory copied;
- For example, it can wait ‘n seconds’ and then back out of VM migration;
PULL completes after memory copy (alt 2)

- ...or, after waiting 'n seconds' destination server could allow the migration to proceed;
- Dynamic Information may not be transferred but impact is not worth back-out;
- PULL rsp ignored when it arrives;
PULL completes \textit{before} memory copy

- PULL Rsp arrives \textit{before} memory copy completes;
- Destination VM runs after copy completed \textit{and} PULL completed;
PULL completes *before* memory copy (alt)

- Alternative in which the destination server sends request PULL status to Bridge;
- Logic is simplified as there is no need to process a PULL completed notification while VM copy is in progress;
Mike Krause suggestion to serialize

- PUSH triggered when Source VM stops;
- PULL triggered when VM copy is complete???
- Purpose is to ensure that the PUSH completes before the PULL???
- Results in longer total migration time;
- Benefit isn’t clear since we assume database access is asynchronous;
Conclusions

• Communicate M-bit on Associate indicating whether the VM associated with the VSI is migrating from another location (M = 1);
  – A piece of information requiring no change to VDP state machine;
• One-way notification (new TLV) sent from source server to source Bridge indicating that the source VM (1) is migrating and (2) has stopped;
• Either
  – One-way notification (same new TLV) sent from destination Bridge to source server indicating that the PULL has completed (or failed);
  OR
  – Request from destination server to destination Bridge asking whether the PULL has succeeded, failed, or is still pending, and the corresponding response from Bridge to server;
• Was not able to identify a reason to serialize the VM copy and the PULL as suggested in last meeting;