802.1Qbp – ECMP Editor's Report March 2012

Ben Mack-Crane (ben.mackcrane@huawei.com)

Summary of 2nd TG Ballot

Vote	Number of Voters	With Comments
Yes	4	1
No	8	8
Abstain: Time Expertise	6 16	
Comment only	1	1
Total	35	45 comments in all

Comment Resolution for D0.2 TG Ballot

- Classification of new features
- CFM model for ECMP
- Terminology and usage
- Details
- Mostly editorial or otherwise

Classification of new features

- Document structure [9]
- Port and Component Types [8]
- MAC service (6.3) and Filtering services (6.16) [1, 2, 6, 7, 30, 41]

CFM model for ECMP [34]

- MEP location in baggy pant leg
 - Place "Support for Flow Filtering" shim with 6.11 (or 6.9)
 - MEP/MIP locations are not changed, use default I-SID address
 - Use TESI mux (6.19) for path test MEPs
- How to use CCM for path testing
 - Vary Flow Hash with same MEP ID, selected by TESI mux
- Loopback symmetry
 - Option for new LBM PDU TLV carrying LBR Flow Hash
- Applicability of DDCFM
 - Available for detailed flow diagnostics
 - Does not replace any other CFM tools

Terminology and usage

- "Encode" [15]
- "Null" [14]
- Reserved fields [5]
- PCP and DEI in F-TAG [28]

Details

- TTL processing [4]
- Flow Hash Generation [32]
- Frame order preservation (8.6.6) [18]
- Loop mitigation [23]
- Load spreading (27.18) [10]
- Optional Bridge priority for shared tree root selection [27]
- Link metrics and symmetry [42]
- Per I-SID Tie-Break Mask option [43]

Mostly Editorial or Otherwise

Potential future considerations [38]

• Clarity [3, 13, 16, 17, 22, 33, 37, 39]

• Editorial [11, 12,19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 29, 31, 35, 36, 40]

• Work yet to be done [44, 45]

Untagged Operation

 Discussion on previous ballot suggested that what is needed is one untagged VLAN.

Need text describing considerations and constraints for this case.

Open Questions

- Do we need an ECMP example in clause 27.18?
- TBD (depending on issue resolution and further study)
 - CN clauses (30-33)
 - -SRP(35)