

Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization

and thoughts on its use for "Improved Grandmaster Changeover Time" in IEEE 802.1ASbt

Wilfried Steiner Senior Research Engineer wilfried.steiner@tttech.com



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Rationale for and use of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 3. A short history on the development of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 4. Fault-tolerant clock synchronization and how it may be of benefit to IEEE 802.1AS



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Rationale for and use of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 3. A short history on the development of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 4. Fault-tolerant clock synchronization and how it may be of benefit to IEEE 802.1AS



Our Understanding of Situation / Challenges



Background of IEEE work to date in clock synchronization

- Synchronization of clocks in a distributed system has several key benefits, e.g.,
 - distributed measurement of real-time durations
 - simultaneous activation of events
 - synchronized timestamps to reconstruct temporal order and to execute events in sequence/parallel
 - efficient utilization of shared resources, like the network itself
- Clock synchronization addresses phase synchronization and frequency synchronization.
- IEEE 802.1AS standardizes a master-slave clock synchronization algorithm with leader-election based on IEEE 1588.
- In the case of a disconnect of the master (named the Grand Master in 802.1AS) a new Grand Master is elected using the gPTP algorithm.

Key challenge in IEEE AS 802.1 regarding clock synchronization

• The changeover from one Grand Master to another Grand Master is not instantaneous and there is a possibility that the changeover causes non-continuous steps in the synchronized time, which may not be acceptable for certain applications.

Our Understanding on Current 802.1 Approach and Thinking on Solution



- One improvement to the "warm standby" strategy of 802.1AS is a "hot standby".
- The system supports a primary and a secondary Grand Master, where both Grand Masters source synchronization messages.
- All slaves use the synchronization messages of the primary Grand Master.
- In the case of a failure of the primary Grand Master the slaves switch to the secondary Grand Master.
- While both primary and secondary masters are operational, the slaves can track the difference in their time and in the case of a changeover from the primary to the secondary, the slaves can apply the time difference gradually to avoid non-continuous steps in the synchronized time.

TTTech has long expertise in designing RT systems with Deterministic Clock Synchronization



One idea of how to "attack" Grand Master changeover: Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization



Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization minimizes the changeover time between Grand Master clocks.

- →The synchronization of all Grand Master clocks is always taken into account in the synchronization process.
- →In case of a failure of a Grand Master clock there is no changeover at all.

Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization is the scope of this presentation.



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Rationale for and use of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 3. A short history on the development of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 4. Fault-tolerant clock synchronization and how it may be of benefit to IEEE 802.1AS

Distributed Cyber-Physical Systems

Ensuring Reliable Networks



In several safety-relevant and safety-critical systems, synchronized time is a fundamental building block.

Fault-Tolerant Cyber Subsystem



Synchronous Model of Computation (MoC)

Extended Application Interface for System Design









- 1. Introduction
- 2. Rationale for and use of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 3. A short history on the development of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 4. Fault-tolerant clock synchronization and how it may be of benefit to IEEE 802.1AS



Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization is not just electing a new Grand Master Ensuring

Ensuring Reliable Networks

Loss of Grand Master clock requires a changeover

- How long does the changeover take?
- Is the changeover fault tolerant?
- Is a malicious failure behavior of the Grand Master clock tolerated?

In fault-tolerant clock synchronization we also need to precisely specify

- How many components may become faulty?
- What is the failure behavior (the failure mode) of a faulty component ?
- How many end stations and/or bridges are necessary to tolerate the specified failure mode of the faulty components?
- What is the proof that the fault-tolerant clock synchronization algorithm actually works?

Fault-Tolerance through Redundancy





Static vs. Dynamic Systems



Situation:

What is the color of the house?



Static Situation – one Truth

Situation:

What is the color of the ball ?



Dynamic Situation – >one Truth

Origins: Byzantine Failures

A distributed system that measures the temperature of a vessel shall raise an alarm when the temperature exceeds a certain threshold. The system shall tolerate the arbitrary failure of one node. How many nodes are required?

How many messages are required?





Ensuring Reliable Networks

TITech

In general, three nodes are

insufficient to tolerate the arbitrary failure of a single node.

The two correct nodes are not always able to agree on a value.

A decent body of scientific literature exists that address this problem of dependable systems, in particular dependable communication.

Byzantine Clocks

A distributed system in which all nodes are equipped with local clocks, all clocks shall become and remain synchronized.

The system shall tolerate the arbitrary failure of one node.

How many nodes are required? How many messages are required?





Ensuring Reliable Networks

Tlech

In general, three nodes are insufficient to tolerate the arbitrary failure of a single node. The two correct nodes are not always able to bring their clocks into close agreement.

A decent body of scientific literature exists that address this problem of fault-tolerant clock synchronization.



Academic Background



Time, Clocks and the Ordering of Events in a Distributed System, L. Lamport, 1978 Using Time Instead of Timeout for Fault-Tolerant Distributed Systems, L. Lamport, 1984 Synchronizing Clocks in the Presence of Faults, L. Lamport and Michael Melliar-Smith, 1985 **Understanding Protocols for Byzantine Clock Synchronization**, Fred B. Schneider, 1987 Event-Triggered versus Time-Triggered Real-Time Systems H. Kopetz, 1991 **Bus Architectures for Safety-Critical Embedded Systems** J. Rushby, 2001 **TTA and PALS: Formally Verified Design Patterns for Distributed**

Cyber-Physical Systems W. Steiner and J. Rushby, 2011 Examples of Industrial Applications of Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization

Aerospace Domain

- Boeing 787, C-Series, F-16 (TTP)
- Airbus A380 (TTP)

Space Domain

• NASA Orion (TTEthernet)

Automotive Domain

- Audi various models (FlexRay)
- BMW various models (FlexRay)
- Volkswagen various models (FlexRay)

Industrial Domain

• Wind turbine manufacturer (TTEthernet)



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Rationale for and use of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 3. A short history on the development of fault-tolerant clock synchronization
- 4. Fault-tolerant clock synchronization and how it may be of benefit to IEEE 802.1AS

Why is Fault tolerant Clock Sync relevant for 802.1AS in Safety-Relevant and Safety-Critical Systems?

For some safety-relevant/safety-critical systems 802.1AS is the solution.

For full coverage in these application domains, additional failoperational capabilities are required.

- Fail-operational systems like autonomous driving in automotive or flight management in aerospace require continuous operation of the network even in presence of failures.
- High availability

It certainly <u>minimizes</u> the grandmaster changeover time.

Fault-tolerant clock synchronization is understood and applied in safety-critical/safety-relevant applications.

Some Fail-Operational Options for 802.1AS (i)



Some Fail-Operational Options for 802.1AS (ii)

Ensuring Reliable Networks

Provide Fault-Tolerant clockSlaveTime **Fail-Operational Extensions New MD Profile**

ISS	ISS
PHY	PHY



www.tttech.com

Wilfried Steiner Senior Research Engineer wilfried.steiner@tttech.com