1-step for 802.1AS Details (v3, 3-May-2015)

Michael Johas Teener mikejt@broadcom.com

Agenda

- Review of current proposal
- Media independent (Clause 10)
- Media dependent for Ethernet (Clause 11)
- Coordination with 1588

Review

- Most changes in Clause 11 (full-duplex point-to-point) media dependent layer
 - port can be "oneStepCapable" (per port global variable array)
 - if so capable, a master port can be in "oneStepOperation" (port global)
 - slave port operation updated to support 1step, but no mode change, just a "oneStepSync" flag set in the MDSyncReceive data.
 - a master port in "oneStepOperation" can operate like a TC
 - meaning not updating some sync fields
 - which can happen only if the current slave port is in "oneStepOperation" and the sync rate is the same
 - not required ... it's OK if a master port does update all fields
- Intention is that media independent layer is almost the same
 - existing path unchanged
 - BMCA is a bit different to communicate "oneStepCapable"
 - need to propagate some of the unprocessed received fields
- Notes and annex to explain implications of mixed 1step/2step networks

Media independent

Clause 10 state machines unchanged except

- master ports (sending sync) get some extra information propagated from the slave port (receiving sync)
- Add TLV to Signalling message to communicate "oneStepCapable"

Clause 10 data structures:

- Separate PortSyncSend and PortSyncReceive
- MDSync<x> and PortSync<x> have new fields
 - rxSequenceId holds sequenceId from the current slave port
 - oneStepSync set if slave port received a one step sync
- <y>SyncSend have new fields:
 - rxRateRatio the rateRatio received on the current slave port
 - rxSourcePortIdentity the sourcePortIdentity received on the current slave port

<x> is "Send" or "Receive", <y> is "MD" or "Port"

Move info TLV to sync

- 802.1AS uses the Follow_Up to carry useful information
 - move it to the Sync

Table 11-10—Follow_Up information TLV

Bits						Octets	Offset		
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
tlvType							2	0	
lengthField							2	2	
organizationId							3	4	
organizationSubType							3	7	
cumulativeScaledRateOffset							4	10	
gmTimeBaseIndicator							2	14	
lastGmPhaseChange								12	16
scaledLastGmFreqChange								4	28

MDSyncReceiveSM

No changes for one step except:

- populating the MDSyncReceive structure from the Sync event message
 - including the TLV
- set the oneStepSync flag if appropriate
- include the sequenceld value

MDSyncSendSM

• If port is operating in one step mode:

- if oneStepOperation[rcvdPSSyncPtr] &&
 (syncInterval[rcvdPSSyncPtr] == syncInterval[txPSSyncPtr]) &&
 TCOperation[[txPSSyncPtr] then "transmit like a TC"
 - we know the slave port is one step and using the same synch rate, so we can operate like a 1588 transparent clock
 - not required, unless the group decides that it should be
- if !!oneStepOperation[rcvdPSSyncPtr] || (syncInterval[rcvdPSSyncPtr] != syncInterval[txPSSyncPtr]) || !!TCOperation[[txPSSyncPtr] then "one step, not TC"
 - we operate just like a 802.1AS port except we send a one step synch (one step master)
- details follow
- If a port is not operating in one step mode:
 - no changes from 802.1AS-2011

MDSendSynchSM

("transmit like a TC")

- Build sync from MDSendSync structure
 - uses the upstreamTxTime and egress timestamp (and other latency info) to add the residence time to the correction field
 - uses rxSequenceId for the sequenceId
 - uses rxSourcePortIdentity for sourcePortIdentity
 - uses rxRateRatio for cumulativeScaledRateOffset
- Requirement that send synch happen "as soon as possible after receive synch"
 - not certain how this can be specified

MDSendSynchSM

("one step, not TC")

- Slave port is two step or different sync rate, so we need to synthesize the one step event message
 - or we just don't want TC-like operation
- Build sync from MDSendSync structure
 - uses the upstreamTxTime and egress timestamp (and other latency info) to add the residence time to the correction field (same as before)
 - uses sequenceld for the sequenceld
 - uses sourcePortIdentity for sourcePortIdentity
 - uses rateRatio for cumulativeScaledRateOffset
- In other words, same values as used in two step

Two-step pDelay

pDelay is infrequent

- 1 per second, NOT duplicated for domains (or at least it shouldn't be)
- low processing load

pDelay is NOT relayed

 processing is local anyway, hardly anything to be gained with one-step

"Legacy" compatibility

- One-step <u>receive</u> capability included in the Signaling message
 - Hmm. I notice that we never define when Signaling messages are sent.
 - I also note that sometimes it's "Signaling" and sometimes it's "Signalling"
- Use new TLV in announce message
 - one field in TLV is "1stepCapable"
 - If 1stepCapable is true in an announce message, then the port sending it can receive one-step sync

announce transmitter announce receiver	1stepFlag false (only accept two step)	1stepCapable true (can receive one step)
two step only (802.1AS-2011 or 802.1AS-REV two step only)	ignored, will send back only two step 1stepOperation = false	ignored, will send back only two step 1stepOperation = false
one step rx OK (802.1AS rev one step capable)	accepted, will send back only two step 1stepOperation = false	accepted, will send back one step ONLY if capable 1stepOperation = true

Notes on hybrid operation

- "Hybrid operation" means the path back to the GM includes both TC-like and two step links.
- There are three fields in sync/follow-up that now have possibly different meanings:
 - sequenceld
 - sourcePortIdentity
 - cumulativeScaledRateOffset

sequenceID

- as far as I can tell, sequenceID is not relevant end-to-end, it's just a link parameter
 - only used to correlate sync with corresponding follow-up
- for a "TC path" through a TAS, sequenceld is repeated ...
 - never tested or validated
 - but always incrementing at nearest upstream TAS (non-TC path) or GM

cumulativeScaledRateOffset

- for a "TC path" the cumulative rate ratio is unchanged ... the residence time is so short, this should not be significant
 - I've asked Geoff to do some simulations to test this hypothesis

sourcePortIdentity

- the sourcePortIdentity is the identifier of the closest upstream GM or BC
- for 802.1AS-2011, all TAS's are BCs
- for 802.1AS-rev, I propose that a "TC path" is NOT a BC
 - meaning that sourcePortIdentity is just like the 1588 meaning
- it's possible we could redefine sourcePortIdentity for 802.1AS-rev
 - it could be "grand master identity"
 - but that would be breaking 1588, perhaps

1588 implications

- 1588 has no concept of different ports in a TC doing different things
 - like one step and two step in the same device
 - but then they don't define ethernet and wifi ports, either
- Port capabilities in announce or signaling messages?
 - help their plug-and-play, they were thinking about things like this for profile interoperation
- We will have to go to them with this idea as part of their new layered structure
 - they might actually like the idea

All done!

v1 2015-04-07 initial version, TSN call 2015-04-08 v2 2015-04-08 separate out "TC" mode, fix names, agenda v3 2015-05-03 updates to for final discussion on AS call 2015-05-04