Discussion of Time Sync Redundancy

Rodney Greenstreet, Rodney Cummings National Instruments



Motivation for Redundant Time Sync

- GM and path redundancy provides:
 - Fault tolerance
 - Integrity
 - Security
- Industries
 - Industrial control
 - Automotive
 - Financial
 - •



Proposed Road Map

- 1. Define and agree on assumptions
- 2. Create a new PAR
 - Assumption: Not addressed in 802.1AS rev
- 3. Specify technical details for the PAR
- This presentation is the beginning of step 1



Review Modes of Failure



ni.com

Failure Concepts

- Single-failure: At most one device
 - Device = End station, bridge/router, or link between
 - Including GM clock failure
- Dual-failure: At most two devices
- Failure modes: How
 - Fail-silent: Device stops transferring time
 - Fail-consistent: Send faulty time, but same to all receivers
 - Fail-inconsistent: Different time to each receiver (byzantine)
 - NASA Real System Failures
- Failure modes: When
 - Permanent: Device unable to recover (i.e. offline until replaced)
 - Transient: Fault comes and goes (e.g. omit some frames)
- Multipath synchronization: Provides failover of time synchronization through redundant physical paths
- Multi-clocks: Provides failover of time synchronization through redundant GM's



ni.com

Redundancy Examples using Ring Topology



Redundancy using BMCA

- Advantages:
 - Already in standard
 - Addresses path failures
 - Addresses 'fail-silent'





Redundancy using BMCA

- Advantages:
 - Already in standard
 - Addresses path failures
 - Addresses 'fail-silent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address engineered networks
 - Path failures and 'fail-silent' are not seamless
 - Some applications may require holdover or increased traffic
 - Does not address 'fail-consistent'
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - $_{\circ}$ $\,$ Prevents phase shift during faults





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-consistent'





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-consistent'





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-consistent'





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-consistent'





- Observations:
 - Requires 4 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-consistent'
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





ni.com

- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - \circ Prevents phase shift during faults





- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!/_{2})$
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore





- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!\!/_{2})$
 - $_{\circ}~$ Which GM(s) to ignore
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'





- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!/_{2})$
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





ni.com

- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!/_{2})$
 - Which GM(s) to ignore
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!/_{2})$
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!/_{2})$
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





ni.com

- Observations:
 - Requires 6 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - $_{\odot}~$ When majority of GMs are converged (> $^{1}\!/_{2})$
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'
- Disadvantages:
 - Does not address 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





- Observations:
 - Requires 8 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - $_{\circ}$ $\,$ Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - \circ majority of GMs are converged (> 2/3)
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore





- Observations:
 - Requires 8 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - $_{\circ}$ $\,$ Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - \circ majority of GMs are converged (> 2/3)
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore
 - Requires 3 disjoint paths to GM's





- Observations:
 - Requires 8 domains
 - Seamless path failure requires at least 2 domains per GM (multipath)
 - Requires convergence of time between GM's
 - Prevents phase shift during faults
 - Slaves need to know
 - \circ majority of GMs are converged (> 2/3)
 - \circ Which GM(s) to ignore
 - Requires 3 disjoint paths to GM's
- Advantages:
 - Addresses engineered networks
 - Addresses seamless path failures
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-silent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-consistent'
 - Addresses seamless 'fail-inconsistent (byzantine)'





Proposed Assumptions

- One solution that is scalable
 - Fail-silent to fail-inconsistent
 - One device failure to N device failures
- .1AS redundancy can specify a single algorithm as the default.
 - Cover a wide variety of applications

