			eafref" nodes	conform-ance	.1Q reference	ata ency
			ູ ບ	10 0	802	IG da siste
#	Reference	Comment/Suggestions	YAN	802.	Bad	YAN con:
		a) The given reference to 12.3 in 802.1Q-2018 is wrong. 12.3 describes the data types, it should be 12.4.1.5, which contains Table 12-1				
	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:284:	b) The list itself and all leafs in this list are configuration data, but most should be state				
1	list component	[802.1Q, 12.4.1.5].			Х	
		component name in 12.3 or 12.4.1.5 of 802.10-2018. If there is in lease add a proper				
		reference node pointing to the location in 802.1Q-2018 (leaf name has no "reference" node				
	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:295:	set). If not, delete the name leaf from node component, and let "id" become the key of the				
2	leaf name	enclosing list (line 285). The number of parts is implicitly given by loof list bridge part in line 252. Suggest to delate		Х	X	
3	leaf ports	leaf ports, or put a constraint via a "must" for data consistency.				x
	ieee802-dot1q-types.yang:61:	The referenced item [12.3, item I)] says range 14095, the YANG definition says 165535.				
4	typedef port-number-type	Correct to 14095.		Х		
	ieee802-dot1a-bridge vang::258:	a) should be of type "port-number-type" b) implicitly given by components norts, though this is a level 2 indirection which may be ok				
5	leaf ports	(to be discussed, a constraint via "must" may be possible here too)				x
		The leaf provides the number of Bridge components in a Bridge. This information is already				
	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:278:	available due to the existence of a list (list component in line 284). Remove leaf				
6	leaf components	components, or make a constraint via "must".				Х
		Refers to associated component the enclosing bridge-port belongs to. Issues:				
		as A reference by name (sump) is memorial, and there is nothing like a component fame				
		b) Writing a description that this leaf is a reference is nothing machines can understand				
	ieee802-dot1g-	YANG (RFC7950) provides "leaf-ref", which allows to create a reference a machine (and				
	bridge.yang:1163: leaf	compilers) can understand and verify. "leaf-ref" should be used, examples are found in				
7	component-name	IETF files (e.g., interface-ref type) and IEEE files (ieee802-dot1q-stream-filters-gates).	Х			

	ieee802-dot1a-tsn-				
	types vang 122: leaf interface-	Proposed change:			
8	name	I lse IETEs interface-ref type, avoid reference by name	x		
		Should point to (ieee802-dot1a-bridge vang:1/87-leaf port-number) via leaf-ref. Note that	^		
	ieee802-dot1g-	this may require refectoring (i.e., moving contents from one file to another) to avoid circular			
0	types yang:620:leaf port-ref	dependencies	v		
9			^		
		ISSUES.			
		a) Leais static-entries, dynamic-entries, static-vian-registration-entries, dynamic-vian-			
		registration-entries, and mac-address-registration-entries provide the number of respective			
		entries currently in the FDB. This information is redundant because the associated list-			
		nodes already provide the number of entries.			
		b) The given container-node is child of node "list component" (line 284), where each entry			
		is the one out of multiple Bridge components of a Bridge. The management interface,			
		though, is specified to provide the FDB as a whole for a Bridge (12.7.1, first and second			
		sentence).			
		Proposed change:			
		On a): We may want to remove the leafs, or use "must" to implement associated			
	leee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:435:	constraints			
10	container filtering-database	On b): Move container filtering-database to become child of node "list bridge" (line 226).		X	X
		Issues:			
		a) Contains the number of static filtering entries via child leaf "filtering-entries", though the			
		number of filtering entries is implicitly provided via child list "filtering-entry" (redundant			
		information).			
		b) Contains the number of static vlan registration entries via child leaf static-vlan-			
		registration-entries, but does not provide an associated child list for static VLAN registration			
		entries.			
		c) The management of the FDB is presented as a whole for a Bridge [12.7.1]. Though this			
		is not a strict requirement for the permanent DB (at least I could not find a sufficient explicit			
		statement), it is recommendable to let the permanent DB also be one entity per Bridge, not			
		per Bridge component. Otherwise the operation on FDB initialization would have to be			
		modeled very explicit.			
		Proposed Change:			
		On a): We may want to remove leaf "filtering-entries", or use "must" to implement a			
		constraint			
		On b): Add a child list for static VLAN registration entries (cmp. [8.8.2]), and delete leaf			
	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:660:	"static-vlan-registration-entries" to eliminate the redundancy.			
11	container permanent-database	On c): Move container permanent-database to become child of node "list bridge" (line 226).		Х	X

		The leaf is child of "container filtering-database:435/list filtering-entry:519". There is no such thing like an (uint32) database-id in 12.7.7 of IEEE 802.1Q-2018. The only thing I could find would be an enumeration with literals {"Permanent Database", "FDB"} used as database identifier for Canaral Database (amp. 12.7.7 (1.2.2.4) 1) which would make the			
		input identifier 12.7.7.{1,2,3,4}.2 a choice out of the two aforementioned literals.			
		However, this choice is superfluous because the permanent database and the FDB are			
	ieee802-dot1g-bridge vang 524	Proposed change:			
12	leaf database-id	Remove leaf database-id in lines 524, 620, and 697.	x		
	isse002 dotte bridge vergu721.	This node is inside of container permanent-database:660. The permanent database only contains static filtering entries [8.8.1 \rightarrow MAC Addr. Spec.+VID Spec+Port Map] and static VLAN registration entries [8.8.2 \rightarrow VID + Port Map], as stated in [8.8.11]. It is a) where the leaf comes from at all (no reference into 802.1Q-2018 given), and b) unclear why literal "learned" and potentially others are needed in the inline type definition of this leaf node.			
13	leaf status	enumeration literals for which no normative reference can be provided.	x	x	
14	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:704: leaf address	This node is part of a filtering entry (line 692) in the permanent database. The permanent database only contains static filtering entries [8.8.1 \rightarrow MAC Addr. Spec.+VID Spec. + Port Map].Type mac-address (pattern "[0-9a-fA-F]{2}(-[0-9a-fA-F]{2}){5}") of the given node cannot capture the wildcard cases of static filtering entires [8.8.1, items a3) through a5)]. Proposed change: Make it a choice out of type mac-address and the wildcard cases.	x		
	ieee802-dot1a-bridge.vang:540:	The leaf is child a filtering entry (line 519) in the FDB (line 435). Due to leaf entry-type (line 548), the filtering entry node (line 519) models both: static and dynamic filtering entries. Though dynamic filtering entries [8.8.3] can be associated with multiple VIDs via the FID [8.8.3, item b)], the management interface is specified to be one out of these VIDs [12.7.7, 1 st and 2 nd sentence]. Contrary, the leaf is modeled as a set of VIDs (implies multiple) due to its type (vid-range-type) and its description. This type defines a string format to specify multiple ranges, which makes semantic interpretation nearly impossible for machines. Proposed changes: a) Change the type of leaf vids to the leaf name to "vid", and make it an integer within 14095 (i.e., allow the wildcard value 4095 for static filtering entries [Table 9-2, 8.8.1]). b) Do similar for the "vids" leafs in lines 627 (VLAN registration entries, i.e. rename to "vid" and make it range 14094 without wildcard) and 713 (filtering entries in the permanent DB.			
15	leaf vids	i.e. rename to "vid" and make it range 14095 with wildcard).	x		

16	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:982: leaf vids	Part of VID-FID allocations [12.10.3]. Upfront: The VID-FID YANG model reflects the information according 12.10.3. However, due to the type of leaf vids, the encoding is more compressed than the table described in 12.10.3 (one row per VID). Thus, the YANG encoding is considerable rougher for individual VID access operations [12.10.3, items c), e), f)].		x	
		There are multiple incarnations of FID-VID mappings in the file: - ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:982: list vid-to-fid-allocation - ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:1019: list fid-to-vid-allocation (backwards?) - ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:1061: list vid-to-fid I do not think all of these are needed, especially given that there are no data consistency constraints in place (unsure whether these are possible at all). IEEE Std 802.1Q-2018 models FID to VID mappings as a table according to [12.10.3, item a)]. It appears that the multiple (redundant) representations attempt to model the different access operations, as specified in [12.10.3, items b) through f), plus subclauses]. The way to model such operations in YANG would be to implement RPC operations in YANG. However, I believe we don't want to go there because YANGs RPC capabilities are not used at several other places in existing YANG files where RPC would be the proper way. I think we are rather modeling the underlying data model in a bridge, which would be a plain table.			
17	ieee802-dot1q-bridge.yang:982:	This said, we should discuss whether we want to simplify the YANG model to a single table, which would at least resolve the data integrity issues (i.e., there would be less redundant representations of the underlying table). In either case, the redundant lrepresentations should be addressed in some reasonable way.			x