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P802.1CBdb draft 1.4 was prepared for the 3rd WG recirc ballot. Changes to D1.3 include:

- Editorial changes to Cl. 12;
- Correction of inconsistency between attached MIB source file and text in Cl. 11
- Updated revision statements in MIB;
- Clarification in description statements in the YANG modules.
Ballot statistics

- 59 respondents (83.1% of the voters pool)
  - Approve: 44 (95.6%)
  - Disapprove: 2
  - Abstain: 14

- 17 comments from 4 commenters
  - TR: 0
  - T: 0
  - ER: 5
Comments to be discussed

• Editorial (ER)
  – 6: UML diagram (fig. 12-5) not aligned with CBcv’s
    • *Accept in principle*
  – 15: Reference to « Github » (trade mark)
    • *Accept*
  – 2, 16: reference to wrong standard in YANG module’s description statement
    • *Accept*
  – 1: typos in MAC addresses in Table D-2 in annex D (« : » instead of « - »)
    • *Accept*
  – 5, 17: bibliography entry out-of-date
    • *Accept in principle*
Comments to be discussed

• Editorial (E)
  – 7: missing information that CBdb is a 2nd amendment to CB-2017
    • Accept
  – 8: page 1 layout
    • Accept in principle
  – 9, 10: missing/incomplete information in « Participants » section
    • Accept
  – 11: reference to base standard not mentioned in style manual
    • Accept in principle
  – 12: use of « clause » in front of a sub-clause number
    • Accept
  – 13: use of term « scheme » instead of « schema »
    • Reject
Comments to be discussed

• Editorial (E)
  – 14: missing information in table 12-4
    • Accept in principle
  – 2, 3, 16: missing year in standard title in YANG module description statement
    • Accept
  – 4: Reference statement content format
    • Accept in principle
Thank you for your attention