

802.1CBdb/D1.4 Editor's report for the 3rd WG recirculation ballot comments resolution

C. Mangin June, 2021

IEEE 802.1 electronic meeting



802.1CBdb Draft 1.4 summary

- P802.1CBdb draft 1.4 was prepared for the 3rd WG recirc ballot.
 Changes to D1.3 include:
 - Editorial changes to Cl. 12;
 - Correction of inconsistency between attached MIB source file and text in
 Cl. 11
 - Updated revision statements in MIB;
 - Clarification in description statements in the YANG modules.



Ballot statistics

- 59 respondents (83.1% of the voters pool)
 - Approve: 44 (95.6%)
 - Disapprove: 2
 - Abstain: 14

- 17 comments from 4 commenters
 - TR: 0
 - T: 0
 - ER: 5



Comments to be discussed

- Editorial (ER)
 - 6: UML diagram (fig. 12-5) not aligned with CBcv's
 - Accept in principle
 - 15: Reference to « Github » (trade mark)
 - Accept
 - 2, 16: reference to wrong standard in YANG module's description statement
 - Accept
 - 1: typos in MAC addresses in Table D-2 in annex D (« : » instead of « »)
 - Accept
 - 5, 17: bibliography entry out-of-date
 - Accept in principle



Comments to be discussed

- Editorial (E)
 - 7: missing information that CBdb is a 2nd amendment to CB-2017
 - Accept
 - 8: page 1 layout
 - Accept in principle
 - 9, 10: missing/incomplete information in « Participants » section
 - Accept
 - 11: reference to base standard not mentioned in style manual
 - Accept in principle
 - 12: use of « clause » in front of a sub-clause number
 - Accept
 - 13: use of term « scheme » instead of « schema »
 - Reject



Comments to be discussed

- Editorial (E)
 - 14: missing information in table 12-4
 - Accept in principle
 - 2, 3, 16: missing year in standard title in YANG module description statement
 - Accept
 - 4: Reference statement content format
 - Accept in principle



Thank you for your attention 🌊

