GrandMaster Frequency-Drift and its influence on the RR and MasterTime estimation at Slave Elements
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Propagation Delays of Sync Messages: Frequency Drift at GM only

Assumptions:

• ClockMaster is equivalent with the LocalClock at GM.

• At S(1) we are looking at the calculation of RR and the MasterTime_Estimate of the Residence Time which will be forwarded to the next Slave element (S(1) is a relay element)

• LocalClock at GM drifts linearly with the rate “a”

• LocalClocks of S(1) and all other Slave elements have constant frequencies

• All nominal frequencies of LocalClocks in all elements are the same

→ Since the frequency of GM is changing (linearly drifting), the $RR(GM,S(1))$ is a function of time (this obviously holds for NRR too)!
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Some facts about linearly drifting frequencies and the calculation of RR and its usage:

1) \( RR(\@S(1), t) = \frac{freqGM(t)}{freqS(1)(t)} = \frac{freqGM(t_0) + aGM*(t-t_0)}{freqS(1)(t_0) + aS(1)*(t-t_0)} \)

   ➔ The true RR is a function of time “t”! We always have to specify the time “t” RR refers to when we estimate it.

2) Let us estimate RR at S(1) using the Sync messages (k-1) and k.

   \( RR_{est}(\@S(1), k-1, k) = \)

   \[ \left[ \frac{freqGM(T_{send}(\@GM, k-1)) + aGM * \frac{(T_{send}(\@GM, k) - T_{send}(\@GM, k-1))}{2}}{freqS(1)(T_{arrival}(\@S(1), k-1)) + aS(1) * \frac{(T_{arrival}(\@S(1), k) - T_{arrival}(\@S(1), k-1))}{2}} \right] \times (T_{send}(\@GM, k) - T_{send}(\@GM, k-1)) \]

   \[ \approx \frac{freqGM(T_{middle}(\@GM, k-1, k))}{freqS(1)(T_{middle}(\@S(1), k-1, k))} \]

   ➔ The estimate RRest really corresponds to the true RR in the middle of the (absolute) time interval between sending at GM and receiving Sync messages at S(1). But since we have only the local timeframes, we use them to find the middle points.
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Question:

Given a time interval $\text{ResTime}(\text{@S}(1), \text{Sync}(k))$ in the LocalClock at $\text{S}(1)$ timeframe, define the “best” $\text{RRest}(\text{@S1}, \text{Tbest})$ to convert this ResTime to the Master-Time timeframe.

$\Rightarrow$ The solution is the RRest corresponding to the middle of that Residence-Time interval at S(1)

$$\text{RRest}(\text{@S}(1), \text{Tbest}) \approx \text{RRest}(\text{@S}(1), \frac{\text{ResTime}(\text{@S}(1), \text{Sync}(k))}{2})$$

$\Rightarrow$ If we could calculate $\text{RR}(\text{@S}(1))$ at any time, we should calculate in the middle of the Residence-Time in the LocalClock timeframe, in order to optimally convert the ResTime interval into the Master-Time timeframe.

$\Rightarrow$ But we can calculate RR only at the arrival-instance of a Sync message, and at that point it has already “aged” for the half of the last $\text{Tsync}$ interval. We need a prediction mechanism to map it to the middle of the Residence-Time interval!
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Estimated RR (and NRR) at S(1) using Sync messages (k-1) and (k) corresponds in the case of linear frequency drift pretty much to the ratio of the frequencies at the middle time point between sending and arriving of these two Sync messages.

→ At the time instant $T_{arrival}(S(1),k)$ the estimate of RR (and NRR) at S(1) is already old by time interval $\approx \left( \frac{T_{sync}}{2} + pDelay(GMtoS(1)) \right)$.

$$RR_{est}(S(1),k-1,k) = \frac{T_{send}(GM,k) - T_{send}(GM,k-1)}{T_{arrival}(S(1),k) - T_{arrival}(S(1),k-1)} \approx \frac{freq_{GM}(T_{middle}(GM,k-1,k))}{freq_{S(1)}(T_{middle}(S(1),k-1,k))} = RR(T_{middle})$$

**Question:** when will $RR_{est}(S(1),k-1,k)$ be used?
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At the time instant $T_{arrival}(\text{@S(1),k})$ the estimate of RR (and NRR) at S(1) is already old by time interval $\approx \frac{T_{sync}}{2} + p_{Delay(GMtoS(1),Sync(k))}$

But when will it be needed?
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**Question:** when will \( R_{rest}(\delta S(1), k - 1, k) \) be used?

**Answer:**

1. To scale the ResidenceTime at \( S(1) \) of \( \text{Sync}(k) \), which is in the LocalClock time frame, into the GM-Time frame. Optimally, we need \( R_{rest} \) in the middle of the \( \text{ResTime}(\delta S(1), \text{Sync}(k)) \).

2. To forward it with a Sync message going from \( S(1) \) to \( S(2) \); Optimally, we need \( R_{rest} \) at the time instant \( T_{send}(@S1,k) \).

**BUT:** our \( R_{rest} \) corresponds to \( T_{middle}(\delta S(1), k-1, k) \)

➔ If drift compensation is applied, it should predict the RR at these two time instances!
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**Question:** What happens between two Slave elements?

**Answer:** At \( T_{arrival}(S(N),k) \):

1. \( R_{rest}(S(N-1), k-1, k) \) is delivered by the Sync\((k)\). If the drift compensation was done at \( S(N-1) \), its “age” is only the \( p_{Delay} \), which can be ignored in most cases.

2. \( \text{NR}_{rest}(S(N), k-1, k) \) is calculated, but its age correspond to \( T_{middle}(S(N),k-1,k) \). It should be drift-compensated for the time interval \( (T_{arrival}(S(N),k)-T_{middle}(S(N),k-1,k)) \), so that it corresponds to the same time as the \( R_{rest}(S(N-1), k-1, k) \).
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**Question:** What happens between two Slave elements?

**Drift-Compensation steps:**

1) Drift-compensate $N_{RRest}(@S(N), k - 1, k)$ so that it corresponds to $T_{arrival}(@S(N),k)$. This requires an estimate of the drift rate of $N_{RRest}$ after receiving $Sync(k)$.

2) Calculate $R_{Rest}(@S(N), k - 1, k)$ by using the above compensated $N_{RRest}$ and the $R_{Rest}$ received by $Sync(k)$.

3) Use the above calculated $R_{Rest}(@S(N), k - 1, k)$ to estimate its drift rate. Use the latter to predict $R_{Rest}$ values at time points 1 and 2.
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Compensation steps at S(N):

1) At arbitrary Slave element „N”, after receiving a new Sync message, we need to:
   • Estimate the “raw” NRRest
   • Estimate the drift rate of NRRest (by using their raw values)
   • Compensate the age of the current NRRest so that it corresponds to the time instant Tarrival (Sync(k))

2) Calculate the RRest(@N) by multiplying the RRest(@N-1) with the above compensated NRRest

3) Estimate the drift of the RRest calculated in 2)

4) Use the above estimated drift of RRest to calculate its compensated (predicted) values needed for:
   • Converting the ResidenceTime interval in LocalClock to the Master-Time with the RRest corresponding to the „middle“ of this interval
   • Providing RRest(@N) which will be sent by the Sync message Sync(k) to the next element S(N+1). This RRest(@N) should correspond to the time of forwarding Sync(k) to „N+1“ element.
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What is gained and at which price:

1) If drifts remain linear with the constant rate over the time that is needed for Sync(k) to reach the last element, and if the estimates of all drifts (of NRRest and RRest at all intermediate elements) are accurate enough (t.b.d.), then the estimates of the RR and ResidenceTime in the Master-Time timeframe at the element N is (“significantly”) more accurate than without the compensation.

2) BUT, the influence of delays (Residence Times, pDelays and also Tsync) up to the element “N” is actually moved from RRest to the estimates of the drifts of RRest! The delay (age) of a drift-estimate at the element “N” is equal to the total delay a Sync message needs to get to “N” (plus an extra delay depending on the estimation algorithm).

3) Every error in the drift estimate is amplified by the prediction horizon length “dt”: RRest_compensated(t+dt) = RRest(t)+RRdrift_est*dt. The noise in the system plays an important role too.

4) The drift estimate of RR at “N” depends on the drift estimates at all previous elements. Hence, we have a situation that could lead to “gain peaking” if the filters used for the drift estimation are not appropriately chosen. This should be investigated.
NRR as a mean for calculating RR

- Rate Ratio RR at the element “N” is a product of NRRs between pairs of elements between the GM and N.

- The estimate of RR at the element “N” by receiving of the Sync-Message “j” (the same can be defined at times of sending this Sync message) is equal to:

\[ R_{\text{Rest}}(\text{GM}, N, @\text{Time} = \text{Receiving of Sync}(k)) = \prod_{j=2}^{N} mNRR(k, k-1, @\text{Time} = \text{Receiving of Sync}(k) \text{ at Element } j) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \text{The drift rate of GM LocalClock should not change in this period!} \]