## Response Statistics

### D1.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>All respondents</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Yes or No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. Time</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. Expertise</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting members</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-voting commenters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of commenters</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of comments</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>All respondents</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes§</td>
<td>13§</td>
<td>58.5§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No§</td>
<td>10§</td>
<td>42.5§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Yes or No§</td>
<td>23§</td>
<td>59.0§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. Time§</td>
<td>5§</td>
<td>12.8§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. Expertise§</td>
<td>7§</td>
<td>17.9§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. Other§</td>
<td>4§</td>
<td>10.3§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents§</td>
<td>39§</td>
<td>100§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting members§</td>
<td>§</td>
<td>§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-voting commenters§</td>
<td>§</td>
<td>§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of commenters§</td>
<td>18§</td>
<td>48.7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of comments§</td>
<td>189§</td>
<td>100§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR§</td>
<td>50§</td>
<td>29.6§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T§</td>
<td>11§</td>
<td>12.7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER§</td>
<td>63§</td>
<td>33.3§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+1 rogue comment resolved 44 in 3 calls
54 do not need committee time
ca. 90 to be done - 6 more sessions!
Meeting Schedule

• At the Berlin Plenary:
  • Session 1: Tue. 2023-07-11 10:30am
  • Session 2: Thu. 2023-07-13 08:00am

• Continue regular every other week calls from July 25th.
• Hold weekly calls until comment resolution is done
  • Extra call: August 1st to continue comment resolution
  • Further extra call - if needed - to be announced on Aug. 1st.

• Publish and start Ballot on Draft D2.1 by End of August!
Meeting schedule past July Plenary

• To be presented as a **motion at the Closing Plenary in Berlin**.
  • Hold **regular calls every 2 weeks**.
  • Allow to hold **additional calls** for comment resolution, to be **announced** no less than **10 days prior**.
  • First regular call for the 2 week intervals: **July 25th**
  • Announce extra call: August 1st to continue comment resolution
AUTOSAR

• There are 3 technical options:
  • “copy” AUTOSAR specification - exact (legal) implications are unknown and may be difficult to resolve
  • create a “3rd new” Profile - this is utterly undesirable!

• Postpone a more detailed discussion to F2F Plenary in Berlin!
• Time Sync: 131, 133, 9, 50, 151, 11, 8, 162, 64
•nPDU Feature: 132
Linkage with Other SDOs

• 5.6 Conformance Module Ingress Selection (CM-IS)
  • 91, 92, 148
  • 94, 49, 154, 88, 175
  • 157 (Port-based VLAN classification)
  • 118 (ageing)

• max. SDU size
  • 95, 161, 6, 190 (reopen!)
For Discussion

- #57, 111 - Norm. Ref.s/Bib.
- #108 - Definitions intro
- #146 - PICS/PCS?
- #76 - should/may in requirements clause
- VLAN Support: 7, 30, 51, 59, 58, 156
- Clause 5: 155
- Shaper Combinations: 26, 77, 185, 150, 26, 74 (email), 28, 152, 176
- Clause 7 - Congestion: 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 2
- Clause 8 - ATS: 173, 128, 174, 130, 134
- Clause 9 - CBS: 98, 99
- Clause 10 - TAS: 186, 96, 72, 12, 41, 97, 137, 42, 80, 138, 68, 44, 187, 188, 54
What will NOT go to WG discussion

• Simple editorial (typos, formalities, capitalization, formatting, ...)
• Comments on Clause 0 as it will be removed before publication
  • should previous draft editorial notes be removed for every draft to avoid confusion? - no (comment #102)
• Resolved without WG discussion:
  • Please object if you disagree!
Changes to the PAR?

• Comments 14, 84
  • Where must the PAR be quoted? (1.2?)
  • Reduction in scope should not be a reason to change the PAR

• Comment 85
  • Adapt the Introduction (1.3) to outline reduced scope from the PAR
Past Comments and Contributions

• Comment 147: “The comment resolution of many comments was not applied to the draft.”

• PROPOSED REJECT:
Please list out which comments were not implemented considering the contributions since, as they may have outdated those comments.

Technical discussion

• 7. Congestion Isolation
  • Comments 153, 36
Resolved comments

- 2023-06-20: 14, 84, 85, 147, 95, 161, 6, 190, 153, 38, 7, 102
- 2023-06-27: 30, 51, 59, 58, 156, 57, 111, 108, 146, 70
- 2023-07-04: 155, 86, 87, 177, 3, 56, 62, 178, 4, 29, 117, 75, 65, 83, 81, 82, 32, 158, 181, 52, 33, 159, 182, 100, 53, 183, 34,
Thank You for your attention!