

IEEE P802.1Qdd Resource Allocation Protocol (RAP)  
Editor's Report for D0.8 Ballot Comment Resolution

Feng Chen  
Siemens AG

IEEE 802.1 Interim Meeting, January 2024

# Ballot Statistics

The editor would like to thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments and efforts towards improving the Qdd drafts.

CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	%
Yes	10	83
No	2	17
Voting Yes or No	12	100
Abs. Time	4	
Abs. Expertise	12	
Abs. Other	2	
Respondents	30	
Voting members	N/A	
Non-voting commenters	N/A	
No. of commenters	7	
No. of comments	100	
TR	50	
T	8	
ER	22	
E	20	

<sup>1</sup> As this is a Task Group ballot, voting status does not matter.

# Comments Planned for Discussion

- **Proposed reject:**

- 24, 29, 33, 34, 35, 62, 64, 81

- **Discuss:**

- {1, 97}: Architecture | 2: RA class | {3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 98}: Annex Y
- 9: end station managed objects | {26, 72, 75, 80}: unclarity
- {52, 53} : ASI | {56, 65, 66, 67, 78}: redundancy | {93, 94}: RAP Failure Code

- **Proposed Accept or Accept in Principle:**

- {10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 59, 60}: RAP Endpoint
- {18, 19, 20}: RAP Participant
- {21, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 37, 38, 39, 55, 70, 73, 74, 95}: RAP Propagator
- 48: Ingress blocking | {49, 69, 71, 91}: readability | 57: VID |
- {76, 77}: Failure Code | 82: examples | 92 | 99 | 100

# Comments Not Planned for Discussion

**Note:** only editorial comments with proposed “Accept” or “Accepted in Principle” are included in this category.

- 36, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 54, 58, 61, 63, 68, 79, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 96

Thank You!