Report of the November 1993

Joint Experts Meeting on

Privacy and Authentication for

Personal Communications Services

Sponsored by

Telocator, TR 46, and T1P1

Nov. 8-12, 1993, Phoenix, AZ

Leon S. Scaldeferri
Office of Information Security Researcn<sup>1</sup>
NSA, R22
9800 Savage Rd.
Ft. Meade MD 20755-6000

(301) - 688 - 0293 /0289[fax] em: lsscald@alpha.ncsc.mil

<sup>1.</sup> Opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not represent the opinions or position of the FWUF or NSA.

	X is
	2 0 0

# Report of the November, 1993 Joint Experts Meeting on Privacy and Authentication for personal Communications Services

# Telocator, TR 46, and T1P1

November 8-12, 1993

#### Phoenix, AZ

(extraction and summarization of final report)

## 1. Background:

Personal Communication Service (PCS) provides the freedom of mobility to users. However, this mobile nature of PCS implies network and service capabilities that subject users and service providers to certain risks. This joint experts meeting was held to explore the risk faced by users and service providers, to determine specific requirements to address these risks, and where possible, to propose methods to meet these requirements.

#### 2. Purpose:

The report was offered to standards organizations, manufacturers, and other interested parties for use in developing detailed standards guiding equipment design and meeting general communications needs.

# 3. Objectives:

- a. Determine the authentication scheme for wireless access to PCS.
- b. Establish the minimum level of privacy required for wireless access to PCS.
- c. Establish the minimum interoperability requirements for intersystem PCS authentication and privacy.
- d. Establish recommendations for privacy and authentication operations needs, including service activation, fraud detection and recovery, and law enforcement.

# 4. Assumptions:

A list of assumptions were generated which have possible impact on the PCS security architecture. The assumptions were primarily based on the Future Public Land Mobile Telecommunications System (FPLMTS). Briefly the assumptions are as follows;

Near-team availability is a critical factor, based on ambitious FCC timetable.

PCS will be provided in a multi-network-operator and multi-service-provider environment.

PCS will be operated across international and national network boundaries with international and national roaming capabilities.

PCS will have an open architecture, based on Intelligent Network (IN) and Telecommunication Management Network (TMN) concepts.

PCS supports UPT personal mobility.

PCS will provide a variety of services with a range of bit rates.

PCS will provide a range of terminal types.

PCS users and terminals are logically identified with different unique identities.

A PCS user has a service profile, to which he has direct but limited access.

PCS subscribers are allowed to "roam" even within their "home" geographic area.

A PCS handset may be exported anywhere.

The following sections provide a summary of the agreements at the meeting.

## 5. Objective a: Authentication

This objective addresses the need to protect user and service providers against fraudulent use of services while meeting user and service provider needs. The charge for this meeting was to determine a specific recommendation for the authentication procedures for PCS. A summary of the recommendations follow;

Initially provide support for Secret Key authentication, e.g. IS-54/Is-95, GSM.

Signalling and control should be flexible enough to support Public Key.

Further work should be done on Public Key.

Consideration should be given to implementing a Key Management Center/Authentication Center.

A table was prepared which compared alternative authentication and key agreement systems.

# 6. Objective b: Privacy

This objective addresses the need to provide privacy for PCS users' call-related information. Work in this area focused on the determination of an acceptable level of privacy for PCS users and the review of schemes which would provide this level of privacy. Related issues, such as complexity and export restrictions, were discussed. Some of the recommendations on privacy were;

Privacy of the air link should be maintained even during handover between service providers.

Air interface privacy is requires at all times to protect both the signalling and bearer channels.

The air interface privacy algorithm should be better than the IS-54 algorithm.

The encryption method should be capable of being upgraded.

The encryption method should maintain bit and frame count integrity.

A uniform method of synchronous voice and data privacy should be applied to all PCS systems, to ease export issues.

All Federal requirements for support of end-to-end encryption should be instituted in the first generation of PCS systems.

The PCS service provider should provide an indication to the PCS user when over-the-air privacy is not being provide.

All PCS systems should employ a method of disabling encryption, export issue.

# 7. Objective c: Interoperability

This objective was to establish the minimum interoperability requirements for intersystem PCS authentication and privacy. Interim standard IS-41 addresses intersystem hand-off and roaming for cellular systems. The work effort for this objective was to determine what analogous interoperability functions would be required to support PCS authentication and privacy schemes, and the potential network and operations impacts of these functions. Issues addressed included the impact of key exchange requirements, also;

Transfer and management of privacy and authentication information.

Global Service Mobility

Interoperability between public and private PCS services

Fraud management

Emergency services

Users Identity Modules/Terminal identity

# 8. Objective d: Operations Needs

This objective was to establish recommendations for privacy and authentication operations needs, including service activation, fraud detection and recovery, and law enforcement.

## 9. Summary:

PCS authentication and privacy considerations have been addressed; many decisions and recommendations have been reached. Some of the highlights of these decisions are;

- a. A secret key authentication and privacy mechanism should be standardized for PCS applications in the near term.
- b. A public key authentication and privacy mechanism should be standardized for PCS applications for use later in the PCS deployment cycle.
- c. PCS key distribution mechanisms should be implemented with expectations of a minimum level of service activation training and experience.
- d. The bearer channel privacy mechanism should be sufficiently robust to resist intrusive loss of privacy for a minimum acceptable time period. Further study is recommended on a comparable objective measure of privacy for PCS calls.
  - e. Authentication mechanisms must be air interface independent.
- f. Access to emergency provider notification systems must be provided in a manner compatible with operational expectations of the emergency provider systems.
- g. Interoperability of Public and Private PCS systems should allow handover of (approved) active calls.
- h. Authentication of users should be by a User Identity Module (UIM) which is physically separable from a Wireless Access Terminal. The UIM should adopt an existing standard for personal identification devices. Users are required to authenticate themselves to the UIM by means of a PIN or equivalent.

#### **Attachments:**

- 1. List of Contributions and Reference Documents.
- 2. List of Attendees.

#### CONTRIBUTIONS AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS LIST

#### **Contributions**

JEM/93-001

Title: Cryptographic or Privacy & Authentication Requirements for PCS

Author: Joe Wilkes, AT&T

JEM/93-002

Title: Users Perspective of PCS Security. User Services and Security Architecture

Source: Federal Wireless Users Forum: Contact: Leon Scaldeferri (NSA)

JEM/93-003

Title: Parameter Recommendations for PCS Real-time Encryption

Author: Dan Brown, Motorola

JEM/93-004

Title: Recommendation for Synchronous-Mode Encryption

Author: Dan Brown, Motorola

JEM/93-005

Title: A Method of Authentication & Key Agreement for PCS

Author: Dan Brown, Motorola

JEM/93-006

Title: Protocol for PCS Security-Related Wireless Access

Author: Dan Brown, Motorola

JEM/93-007

Title: Law Enforcement Requirements for the Surveillance of Electronic Communications Source: Electronic Communications Services Providers Committee (ECSP), ATSI; Contact: Jeff Kushan; (Presented by Neil Knight, U S West Communications and David Worthley, FBD)

JEM/93-008

Title: Selected Responses to the Canadian Government Call for comments of Proposed

Telecommunications Privacy Principles.

Source: Stentor Telecom Policy, Inc.; Contact: Claude Parent

JEM/93-009

Title: Statement of Policy on Privacy & Telecommunications

Source: State of New York Public Service Commission; Contact: Claude Parent

JEM/93-010

Title: Guidelines on Protection of Privacy & Transborder Flows of Personal Data

Source: OECD; Claude Parent

JEM/93-011

Title: Comparison of Authentication & Key Agreement Protocols for PCS

Author: Mike Beller, Bellcore

JEM/93-012

Title: Proposed Authentication & Key Agreement Protocol for PCS

Author: Mike Beller, Bellcore

JEM/93-013

Title: Comments on Threat Analysis & Smart Cards

Author: Phil Porter, Bellcore

JEM/93-014

Title: Handset Features Relating to Fraud Control & Privacy Author: Robert S. Powers, MCI Telecommunications

JEM/93-015

Title: Subscriber Authentication & Voice Privacy, JEM Report 4-6 March 1991, Denver, CO

Source: JEM (TIA and ECSA)

Title: Proposed Draft Technical Report:

Privacy & Authentication Objectives for Wireless Access To Personal Communications

Title: Presentation: Task Group 8/1 Working Group Status Report, ITU, Oct. 1993:

Security Principles for FPLMTS (FPLMTS.SCRT)

Source: ITU; Contact: Mark Hosford, ATT

JEM/93-018

Title: Presentation: Introduction to PCS Author: Carl Bedingfield, BellSouth

JEM/93-019

Title: Presentation: PCS Authentication and Privacy: Brief Overview of History and

Author: Dr. Richard Levine, Beta Scientific Laboratory

JEM/93-020

Title: Presentation: Privacy in Telecommunications - the Canadian Experience

Author: Claude Parent, Stentor Resource Centre

JEM/93-021

Title: Presentation: Government Escrow Author(s): Cliff Brooks & McNulty, NSA

Title: Presentation: Authentication and Privacy Requirements

Author: Herb Calhoun, Motorola, FWRDC

Title: User Needs for Privacy & Authentication in 1800 MHz Personal Communications

Services

Author: Telocator Technical & Engineering Committee

JEM/93-024

Title: TSB-51 (IS-41 Rev. C) TSB Published Authentication, Signaling, Message Encryption

and Voice Privacy; PN 2254/November 1992. Source: Mark Hosford, AT&T Communications

JEM/93-025

Presentation: Draft Recommendation - Security Principles for FPLMTS

Source: Mark Hosford, AT&T Communications

Presentation: GSM Security

Source: Charles Brookson, British Telecom

Presentation: A Current Perspective of Cellular Fraud

Source: Robert Jueneman, GTE Laboratories

JEM/93-028

Title: TIA P/N 3098, Annex A, Emergency Services Models (Balloted Version Nov. 1993)

Source: Mark Hosford, AT&T Communications

JEM/93-029

Title: Minimizing Unauthorized Penetrations of Personal Communications System Network

Components

Source: Dick Brackney, National Communications System

JEM/93-030

Title: Viewgraphs from presentation on contributions 011 and 012

Source: Milt Anderson, Bellcore

JEM/93-031

Title: GSM Security Information (Supplement to contribution 026)

Source: Charles Brookson

#### Additional References

Draft ITU-T Recommendation F.115 "Operational and Service Provisions for FPLMTS", January, 1993.

ISO 7810:1985, "Identification cards - Physical characteristics"

ISO 7811-1:1985, "Identification cards - Recording technique - Part 1: Encoding"

ISO 7811-3: 1985, " Identification cards - Recording technique-Part 3: Location of encoded characters"

ISO 7816-1:1987, "Identification Cards - Integrated circuit(s) cards with contacts, Part1: Physical characteristics"

ISO 7816-2: 1988, "Identification cards - Contacts, Part 2: Dimensions and locations of the contacts"

ISO 7816-3: 1990, "Identification cards - Contacts, Part 3: Electronic signals and transmission protocols"

PT EN 726-3: "Terminal Equipment (TE); Requirements for IC Cards and terminals for telecommunications use Part 3: Application for independent card requirements"

PT EN 726-4: "Terminal Equipment (TE); Requirements for IC Cards and terminals for telecommunications use Part 4: Application independent card related terminal requirements"

#### **ATTENDANCE**

Milton M. Anderson Belloo

Carl Bedingfield BellSouth Telecommunications

Marie-Clair Behar EDS -Personal Communications Division

Mike Beller Bellcore
Chris Bennett c/o PCSI

Dick Blake Siemens Stromberg-Carlson
Richard C. Brackney National Communications System

Charles Brookson British Telecom
Dan Brown Motorola Inc.
William Burr NIST
Herb Calhoun Motorola
Lynn A. Carlson GTE Mobilnet

Gilbert Chien Ericsson Network System

Christopher Clanton Motorola

Jeff Crollick GTE Telecommunications Services
Brian Daly AG Communication Systems

Walter Fairclough Bell SYGMA

Michael Gundlach Siemens OEV EA A32
Dr. A. Roger Hammons Jr. Hughes Network Systems Inc.

William Heger Siemens AG
Mark Hosford AT&T
Eric Johnson DOD/NSA
Clayton Joyce Omnipoint
Robert Jueneman GTE Laboratories
John Kimmins BELLCORE

Neal J. King
ROLM, Siemens Company
Neil Knight
US West Communications
Mark Koro
Dept. of Defense-NSA
Frank LaPorta
AT&T Communications

Richard Levine Beta Scientific La

Wayne McCoy NIST
S. Brent Morris N.S.A.
Doug O'Neil BellSouth
Jim Papadopoulos NYNEX

Claude Parent Stentor Resource Centre Inc.

P. T. Porter Bellcore

Robert S. Powers MCI Telecommunications

Larry Puhl Motorola

Christopher Redding US Dept. of Commerce NTIA/ITS

Dr. Arthur Ross Qualcomm Incorporated

Mary Ruhl NIST

Ronald D. Ryan Northern Telecom, Inc.

Leon S. Scaldeferri National Security Agency R22

Amy Stephan Telocator

William R. Tisdale American Mobile Satellite Corp.

Els Van Herreweghen IBM Research Zurich

Micheal Walker Skynet

Michael Wiener Northern Telecom
Mark Wells Nokia Mobile Phones
Michelle Wignall Dept. of Defense
Dr. Joseph Wilkes AT&T Bell Laboratories

Paul K. Wilkinson Audiovox Cellular Communications

Larry Wilson US DOD
Desmond Wood Northern Telecom

David Worthley FBI