

Motions from the March 94 meeting

Tabled motion that can only be taken from the table at this session. Afterwards it can never be taken up.
Advice: move to take from the table and move to postpone till an unspecified time.

Motion #28: ~~The PHY group~~ All subgroups and its officers immediately commence following the spirit of the standing procedure of the 802.11. In particular, that when the PHY group has a decision which has passed the PHY group with a simple majority, that they bring that recommendation to the next 802.11 plenary session and ask 802.11 to make a decision on the recommendation. Further ~~the PHY group~~ all subgroups are to report their progress to every plenary.

Motion #29: To lay this motion on the table.

Moved by: John Mckown
Seconded by: Bob Egan

Motion 29 Discussion: not debatable

Approved: 27 Opposed: 7 Abstain: 8 **Motion #29**
passes

Motion #28 tabled

Seconded by: Schuessler.

Unanimous voice vote to call question.

Approved: 34 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 0 **Motion #1 passes**
as Recommendation

Recommendations from the May 94 meeting.

Need to be voted on in this meeting.

Motion #3: that the MAC/PHY interface ad hoc adopt as their direction to add definition to the data parameters that exist in doc: 60 (i.e. draft standard) so that these primitives are used.-

Moved by: Schuessler
Seconded by: O'Hara

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 7 Opposed: 4 Abstain: 8 **Motion #3 passes**
as recommendation

Motion #4: that the IR PHY subcommittee produce two PHY definitions:
 one for baseband DC to 5 Mhz, and
 one for carrier modulated operation from 15-30 MHz,
 subject to provability of the mutual non-interference of
 the two PHY definitions and reserving a coexistence
 band from 5-15 MHz.

Moved by: R Samdahl
 Seconded by: Barry Dobyys

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 23 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 6 *Motion #4 passes*
as Recommendation

Motion #5: that IR PHY adopts FQPSK as modulation format for
 carrier modulated IR communications

Moved by: R Samdahl
 Seconded by: Barry Dobyys

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 12 Opposed: 5 Abstain: 12 *Motion #5 fails*
 (75 % required)

Motion #6: that the IR PHY subcommittee adopt as its baseband
 encoding technique, 16 ppm for the 1 Mbit/s bitrate,
 and 4 ppm for the 2 Mbit/s bitrate, with all conforming
 receivers required to operate at both bit rates, and all
 conforming transmitters required to operate at the 1
 Mbit/s rate.

Moved by: R. Samdahl
 Seconded by: Barry Dobyys

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 26 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 6 *Motion #6 passes*
as Recommendation

rate change motivated by difference in battery drain not difference in performance

Motion #7: Motion: that the IR PHY subcommittee has specifically
 rejected all other PHY proposals

vote not recorded

Motion #8: that the carrier modulated system will be capable to
 operate at 4 Mbit/s and 10 Mbit/s

Moved by: R. Samdahl
 Seconded by: Barry Dobyys

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 22 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 9 *Motion #8 passes
as Recommendation*

Motion #8 has been approved by letter ballot.

Motion #8 **that the 802.11 chair takes appropriate action to file the comments to the NOI of the NTIA as proposed in Doc. 133**

Moved by: V Hayes
Seconded by: P Chadwick

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 29 Opposed: 0 Abstain: x *Motion #8 passes
as recommendation*

Text of Motion 9 has been sent to Loughry, no reaction received; he may wait for approval from this plenary.

Motion #9: ***that the 802.11 chair take the appropriate action to send the liaison letter as proposed in doc 134.***

Moved by: V. Hayes
Seconded by: Chadwick

Motion Discussion:

modified letter to delete last para with "looking forward to your response.." amendment accepted by mover and affirmed by 2nd.

Approved: 30 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 0 *Motion #9 passes
as recommendation*

Motion #10 **that the chair of 802.11 be authorized and directed to submit a request for an "object identifier arc" to the 802 Executive Committee in accordance with para C3 of IEEE 802.1f--1993**

Moved by: B. O'Hara
Seconded by: L. van der Jagt

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 20 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 6 *Motion #10
passes as recommendation*

Motion #11 **that the document 94-98 be adopted as a ss a work in progress for the framework of the MIB description.**

Moved by: B. O'Hara
Seconded by: E. Geiger

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 20 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 6 *Motion #11
passes as recommendation*

Motion #12 as amended that this body charges the chair to find a solution to the quorum problem at intermediate meetings by the next plenary session

Seconded by: E. Geiger

Motion Discussion: none

Approved: 27 Opposed: 0 Abstain: 0 *Motion #12*
passes as recommendation