

	Summary	
• Earlier paper pre 802.11 DS STA	sented analysis of influer	nce of BT on co-located
<ul> <li>"Impact of Blu</li> </ul>	etooth on 802.11 Direct S	equence"
• Doc.: P802	.11-98/319	
Most of original n	nodel is retained	
- Modifications	to model are proposed for:	:
Change pro	bability of co-channel inte	erference
• Use of shore	t preamble and header	
<ul> <li>Modified B</li> </ul>	T interference profile	
• Use of long	er IFS on dropped packet	
<ul> <li>Results using r</li> </ul>	nodified assumptions are p	presented
_		
Submission	Slide 2	Jim Zyren, Harris Semiconducto





Proposed Modifications			
Model Feature	P802.11-98/319	Proposed Mod	
Probability of Co- channel interference	33%	25%	
Packet Headers	long header (192 usec)	short header (96 usec)	
BT interference profiile	same as dwell period (625 usec)	BT burst 366 usec of 625 usec dwell	
Interframe Spacing on Dropped Packet	ACKTimeout + DIFS + 7 slot times	ACKTimeout + DIFS + 15 slot times	











November 1998		doc.: IEEE 802.11-98/378A
Inte	rframe Spa	cing
Original Model:		
IFS on dropped	packet = ACKTimeou	ut + DIFS + 7 slot times
Modified Assumption:		
IFS on dropped	packet = ACKTimeou	ut + DIFS + 15 slot times
DS PHY MIB specifies	s default value of CW	/min = 31 slot times
Submission	Slide 11	Jim Zyren, Harris Semiconductor









BT Utilization Factor	Throughput @ 5.5 Mbps	Throughput @ 11 Mbps
90%	3.6 Mbps	6.0 Mbps
50%	3.9 Mbps	6.6 Mbps
10%	4.2 Mbps	7.1 Mbps
0%	4.3 Mbps	7.2 Mbps
10% 0% pstream Traffic (S T Interference is Lo ery short packets	4.2 Mbps 4.3 Mbps TA to AP) is affected ocalized, and Downs	7.1 Mbps 7.2 Mbps I much less because stream ACK's are

	Conclusions
DS net	work thruput w/BT interference is better than initially predicted
Shorte: interfe	r packets at 5.5 and 11 Mbps enable DS to avoid most BT rence
BT inte	erference effects are localized
Fragm	ent size of 750 bytes works well for 5.5 and 11 Mbps
High p	ower BT devices present a completely different problem
– BT	piconet will be distributed over greater area
– hig	her power increases "bubble of interference", but
– dis	ributed topology mitigates "near/far" problem for DS-STA
DS/BT	interference is still an issue
– effe	ect on BT is TBD
– coe	existance/interoperability is a desirable goal