

802.4 L/87-004  
004

**DETAIL SUPPLEMENT TO OBJECTIVES FOR 802.4 L**  
**Through-the-Air Token Bus Physical Layer**  
**Proposals by C. A. Rypinski for Radio only**

20. Coverage plan should be based on a square cell with quadrant illumination on a separate frequency from each corner. Default length for one side is 300 feet/100 meters.
21. Frequency plan: Mobile is full duplex transmitting on one frequency and receiving on one of four frequencies with duplicate message information. The default assumption is that the mobile transmits on 925-928 MHz and receives on 2400-2410 MHz (See FCC 18.13). One channel is 1.5 MHz (maximum) wide at 99% of radiated power. Receive channels are separated 2.5 MHz. Frequency accuracy is based on 5 PPM below 1000 MHz and 10 PPM at higher frequencies.
22. Data throughput is 1 Mbs in both directions. Baud rate in medium is approximately 1.5 Mbs, the difference being used for error correction and internal overhead.
23. The limitation balance is set by the dimension of the square which sets minimum C/N for given power and environment and which determines the maximum baud rate usable, directivity of the mobile receive antenna which determines C/I, receive diversity and error correcting codes which determine net BER. The tradeoff shall be made with the following priority order for achievements: 1.) 1.5 megabaud symbol rate, 2.) required BER, 3.) required minimum C/I.
24. A transmitter power output of more than 50 milliwatts shall not be used.
25. Responsibility for resolving cochannel interference lies with the mobile receiver using antenna directivity, diversity and with the choice error correcting codes.

26. Block type error correcting code shall be used with a block size not to exceed 64 bits.
27. The fixed network shall be a head-end, regenerating type with a retransmitting delay not to exceed 100 bits plus propagation time.
28. Dual mobile receivers will be used so that messages transmitted from the fixed stations may be received in duplicate at the mobile. If an uncorrectable error is discovered in any block of the primary channel, a means shall be provided to replace that block with one from the secondary channel.
29. Each receiver at a fixed point in the system shall have a dedicated path to a central head-end logic (presumed to be an optical fiber). The analog level of the binary coding on the dedicated path shall be proportional to received signal level. The head-end will select the best received signal for rebroadcast with a delay of one block plus processing time. In the event of a block error, the head-end will attempt to find a correct block from another receiver.

**NEC** NEC Corporation

**KENTA TAKUMI**

MANAGER  
C & C SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

(TOKUEI BUILDING)  
33-7, SHIBA 5-CHOME, MINATO-KU,  
TOKYO 108 JAPAN  
TEL (03) 453 - 5 5 1 1

**AMERICAN BROADBAND, INC.**

**Clyde Boenke**  
President

P.O. Box 2144  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

(313) 761-8818

**TANDEM COMPUTERS**

*Jim Sanders X2703*

Howard Gage  
Development Engineering

Tandem Computers Incorporated  
2550 Walsh Avenue  
Santa Clara, CA 95051  
(408) 748-2154



**ALLEN-BRADLEY**  
A ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL COMPANY

**MICHAEL A. BUSH**  
Project Engineer  
Communication Division  
Industrial Computer Group

555 Briarwood Circle  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
Telephone 313/668-2500

*W  
1-5*  
**ED LASOTA**  
**EASTMAN KODAK**  
**716 477 1006**

**NEC** NEC Corporation

**FUMIO AKASHI**

RESEARCH MANAGER  
COMMUNICATION RESEARCH LABORATORY  
C & C SYSTEMS RESEARCH LABORATORIES

1-1, MIYAZAKI 4-CHOME,  
MIYAMAE-KU, KAWASAKI,  
KANAGAWA 213 JAPAN  
TEL (044) 855 - 1 1 1 1

**Standard Microsystems Corporation**

35 MARCUS BOULEVARD, HAUPPAUGE, N.Y. 11788  
TEL. (516) 273-3100 • TWX 510 227 8898 • FAX 516-273-5550

**ARIEL HENDEL**  
Project Engineer  
New Product Development



Richard B. Formeister  
Member of Technical Staff

Fairchild Data Corporation  
A Fairchild Industries Company  
350 N. Hayden Rd.  
Scottsdale, AZ 85257

(602) 949-1155

FAX: 602-941-0023  
TWX: 910-950-0085



**ALLEN-BRADLEY**  
A ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL COMPANY

**FRED P. RHINE, JR.**

Manager, LAN Component Technology  
Communication Division  
Industrial Computer Group

555 Briarwood Circle  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
Telephone 313/668-2500

**INDUSTRIAL  
TECHNOLOGY  
INSTITUTE**

**CHARLES N. THURWACHTER, Jr.**

(313) 769-4292  
Radio Frequency Engineer  
Communications Network Laboratory

P.O. Box 1485  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106  
Offices: 1101 Beal Avenue  
Main No.: (313) 769-4000



**A**fter three decades of relatively quiet existence, automated guided vehicles (AGVs) are suddenly winning the endorsement of leading U.S. manufacturers, as flexibility and adaptability become critical on the factory floor. The AGV industry had only about half a dozen vendors 10 years ago, but now their number has more than quintupled, and new major players like the robot giant GMF Robotics are still entering the business. This heightening competition, plus demands from users for ever-increasing sophistication, is fostering advances in AGV technology. For example, a whole new class of vehicles is being developed that finds its way without any guidepaths.

Automated guided vehicles fit into two broad manufacturing categories. In materials handling, AGVs deliver inventory from holding to production areas, or between workstations; they replace manually operated equipment like forklifts or rigid automation like transfer lines. In assembly systems, AGVs are themselves the production platforms, supporting products like automobiles, engines, and garden tractors while work is performed. Thus they do away with

cased at GM's operation in Oshawa, Ontario. Currently under renovation, it will house the world's largest concentration of AGVs. Two identical factories making GM midsize W-cars will have about a dozen separate systems, totaling about 1100 individual carriers. Volvo Automated Systems (Sterling Heights, Mich.) is the main vendor, supplying all but the 100 or so AGVs that Conco Tellus (Mendota, Ill.) is outfitting for the paint-shop repair lines. At GM's nearby Oshawa pickup truck plant, Conco Tellus is installing a 424-vehicle AGV system.

In Oshawa's labor-intensive areas, AGV systems permit workpieces to stop at each assembly station for an average of 3½ minutes, allowing workers to perform more involved tasks than they can in the one minute permitted on a moving line. "That has quality implications," says Terry R. Kotwa, senior plant engineer at the car facilities. "It gives a worker more ownership; he can see a bigger chunk going together."

What's more, AGV systems allow a single assembly line to split into several parallel lines where identical operations are performed. Kotwa says this was a key determinant for

# GUIDED VEHICLES SET MANUFACTURING IN MOTION

---

**Freewheeling carriers are providing  
new flexibility in materials handling and assembly**

---

conventional industrial conveyor lines.

Industry's adoption of AGVs is analogous to the transportation revolution that occurred when trucks largely replaced freight trains. A train passes each stop in sequence, and facilities served by train must cluster near the rails. But a truck goes wherever there are roads. It doesn't have to pass every stop; it can bypass some and hit others in the order of their priority.

The AGV brings similar flexibility to factories. Although the current generation of driverless transporters still follow mapped routes, they are easily reconfigured to meet changing production needs. And unlike a conveyor line, an AGV can be sent to any station in the system's route, in any sequence, at any time.

The benefits of AGV flexibility will be shown

**by Jeffrey Zygmunt**

AGVs at Oshawa. "Parallel processing drastically increases your uptime; if one station goes down, the others still produce," he explains. The flexibility of AGVs permits paralleling much more readily than conveyor systems, which can't be split into multiple lines and remerged later without prodigious hardware additions.

To meet the specialized needs of different industries, AGV carriers may be outfitted with a wide variety of fixtures for holding or transferring workpieces. Some have motorized roller tables, for instance, that transfer parts from atop the carrier to the receiving area of a workstation. And large automobile-body carriers can raise cars several feet off their deck to give workers easy access to the underside.

With most AGV systems—installed by major suppliers like Volvo Automated Systems, Conco Tellus, Eaton-Kenway (Salt Lake City), and Por-



Project 802 Resolution

Date: 11-24

Time: 0950

Mover: DO

Motion: DOC AS EDITED

TO SUBMIT 87-001 TO ALL

INTERESTED PARTIES FOR

COMMENT THE 802.4 GROUP

FOR PUBLIC COMMENT,

Second: RICH FORHEISTER

For: 6 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

Project 802 Resolution

Date: 11-24

Time: 1017

Mover: DG

Motion: \_\_\_\_\_

TO AFFAIR C REQUEST (KEEP  
ORIGINAL PAPERS DOCUMENT)

SECRETARY & CO-CHAIRMAN OF  
COG. 4 L x

Second: C THURWALTEN

For: BB Against: 0 Abstain: 0



0830

N.O., LA

1. ORACLE

2. PLAN & DEFINE OBJECTIVES

- 1) WRITE CHARTER
- 2) OBJECTIVE
- 3) GOALS W/K
- 4) ATTRACT MORE COMPANIES

3. DISCUSSION OF TASKS

4. REVIEW ON TUES-THU JUNE 28

5. TO DEVELOP ALTERNATE METHODS TO GO ON  
404.4 OVERPASSING MANNING TO  
CONDUCT BY DEFENSE

6. RICH FARRINGTON SPEECH SPECTROSCOPY

6. DIST DISRUPTED FCC RULES

7. NCC REQUESTED FOR DATA ON OPTICAL  
PROPAGATION DATA

BREAK

3/24 9024L

8. CANCEL

9. 87-084 DISCLOSED EDITED

87-005 REVISED -004

10. INTRODUCED -002

11. DG DESCRIBES EM DEV

12. DISCUSS -002

ANNOUNCEMENT DG 2 THRU



1  
2. 902.4L/87-002  
MEMO 3/22/87 SCIR LWR 2/13

3. 87-003  
MINUTES DES NEW 24

87-004  
SUPPL OBJECTIVES

5 REVISED CHARTER & OBJECTIVES

December 1982

## IEEE Standards Manual

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc  
345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017



The purpose of the review by the IEEE Standards Board is to ensure that IEEE Standards represent a consensus of interests substantially concerned with or potentially affected by these standards and that proper procedures have been carried out in formulation of the standards.

An IEEE Standard gives an authoritative reference to the state-of-the-art which is kept up to date through review at least every five years by the Sponsor\* responsible for its preparation. IEEE Standards are routinely submitted to ANSI for adoption as American National Standards.

#### 1.4 Conformance of Products or Systems to IEEE Standards

Neither the IEEE nor any of the Societies, committees, or other parts of the Institute are engaged in product testing or in certification of products or systems to comply with IEEE standards. No member or group of members of the Institute is authorized: (1) to act for the Institute in any such activity, or (2) to offer any opinion in the name of the Institute on the conformance of products or systems to the requirements of IEEE standards or the suitability of the products for their intended use and safe operation.

IEEE Standards may contain testing procedures useful in determining whether products or systems are in compliance with the standards. Compliance in itself does not necessarily imply quality or guarantee proper use. Where IEEE Standards are used as the basis of examination and/or testing of products or systems by laboratories or other agencies in the process of determining their suitability for their intended use and safe operation, the IEEE assumes no responsibility for the use or interpretation of its standards or the adequacy of the testing or certification.

## 2. Organization and Procedures

### 2.1 Organization

The organization and basic procedures of the IEEE Standards Board are covered by its bylaws. The following material supplements the provisions of the Bylaws which is the governing document in event of conflict.

The Standards Board is established and organized under Bylaw 309 of the Institute. It is represented on the IEEE Board of Directors and other major Boards as specified in ap-

\*See Section 3.1

plicable provisions of the Institute's Bylaws. Its organization beyond that specified in IEEE Bylaw 309 is governed by its own bylaws, as supplemented by these procedures.

To provide coordination and communication between the Standards Board and the IEEE Societies and Technical Committees, as well as other organizations involved in standards activities, representatives of IEEE boards and committees, and of other organizations are carried as non-voting participants of the Standards Board.

The Board has overall responsibility for IEEE Standards development and approval, and sole responsibility for participation in, and cooperation with, other organizations on all standards matters.

### 2.2 Membership

Each year, at the first meeting of the Standards Board, the Chairman appoints a nominating committee to select a slate of nominees for membership on the following year's Standards Board. The nominating committee shall submit a slate of nominees of sufficient size to enable the IEEE N&A Committee to select 16 to 24 nominees for submission to the Board of Directors. Two nominees shall be selected for Chairman. In selecting nominees, due consideration shall be given to the degree of interest and standards activities within the various IEEE Societies, interests of government regulatory bodies, and requirements for balance associated with ANSI accreditation.

#### 2.2.1 Liaison Representatives.

The following persons serve as non-voting (unless already voting members) liaison representatives to the Standards Board and its standing committees to assist in coordinating standardization work with their respective organizations:

(1) The Chairmen of each IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee appointed by the Standards Board.

(2) A representative from each IEEE Society, designated by the Society. Technical Committees may also designate liaison representatives where committee standards activity indicates the need for representation.

(3) Representatives invited from standards organizations outside the IEEE.

(4) Such other liaison representatives as the Standards Board may authorize.

2.2.2 Tenure. Members of the Standards Board may serve up to three consecutive one-year terms except that chairmen of stand-



established its own standards developing or coordinating committees (SCC's) to perform this function when necessary.

The Chairman of an SCC is appointed by the Standards Board Chairman. SCC Chairmen appoint members of their committee with the approval of the Standards Board Chairman.

Interested Societies of IEEE may designate members to an SCC who function as official representatives of the Society. An SCC shall normally have a maximum of 25 members. In special cases, the Standards Board may designate up to five representatives of outside organizations as additional members.

The SCC shall have a balance of interests represented in its membership, and may act as Sponsor for individual standards projects.

With the approval of the Standards Board, an SCC may establish subcommittees as necessary to perform its function.

Members of an SCC who are official representatives of a Society, technical committee, or outside organization may each have a single designated alternate to act on their behalf in their absence. Alternates for other members are not permitted.

### 3. Standards Development

#### 3.1 Sponsors

All IEEE standards development is the responsibility of "Sponsors" that have projects which have been approved by the Standards Board. Sponsors of IEEE standards projects are committees who are responsible for the development and coordination of the standards project and the maintenance of the standard after approval of the standard by the Standards Board. (On occasion, two or more committees may jointly act as Sponsor.) The Sponsor may be:

1. A Technical Committee within an IEEE Society,
2. A Standards Committee or Standards Coordinating Committee of an IEEE Society,
3. A Standards Coordinating Committee established by the Standards Board,
4. Under special circumstances, a Standards Committee or subcommittee organized by or reporting to a Technical Committee.

Note: The term "Sponsor" as used in this document is used to refer to any or all of the organizations listed in this paragraph.

#### 3.2 Membership of Sponsor

The balloting membership of a Sponsor must provide for the development of consensus by all interests significantly affected by the scope of the standard. This is achieved through a balance of such interests in the committee membership. IEEE members normally constitute the membership of an IEEE Technical Committee. For standards matters, the Institute bylaws provide, with the approval of the Standards Board, for inclusion of non-members of IEEE who represent outside organizations as voting members of Sponsors. All meetings involving standards are open to all interested parties.

Sponsors are required to classify their membership relative to the member's relationship to the scope of standards activity (e.g., producer, user, general interest . . .). Representatives of outside organizations are classified in relation to the interests of their organization. IEEE members are classified based on their technical background, which may be related to their employment, job functions, or experience. Except for the general interest category, no group (classification) may constitute 50 percent or more of the committee membership. Care shall be taken to assure that all classes of interest are represented to the extent possible.

#### 3.3 Duties of the Sponsor

The Sponsor is responsible for supervising the standards project from inception to completion. This includes:

1. Submitting a Project Authorization Request (PAR) properly completed as soon as the decision is made to initiate the project. Forms and information may be obtained from the Secretary of the Standards Board. (See 3.4)
2. After approval of the project, notifying Institute components and organizations specified for coordination, and giving notice of the project in appropriate publications, to solicit expressions of interest to the sponsoring committee within 60 days.
3. Notifying persons who have expressed interest of the time and place of meetings.
4. Organizing the technical development of the standard.



include names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons to whom requests for information or participation may be sent. This information will normally be included in the PAR. In addition, the chairman is responsible for submission of periodic reports to the Standards Board on the status of the project, at least annually. Failure to receive such reports for two years may result in cancellation of the PAR.

### 3.6 Standards Ballot by the Sponsor

A letter ballot is conducted by the Sponsor when a proposed standard is ready for balloting. The ballot shall be returned within 30 days. The ballot shall provide three choices:

- (1) Approve (Affirmative). This vote may be accompanied by comments suggesting corrections and improvements. (A ballot accompanied by a condition is a negative ballot.)
- (2) Do Not Approve (Negative). This vote must be accompanied by specific reasons in sufficient detail so that the specific wording of the changes which will cause the negative voter to change his vote to "approve" can readily be determined. If the changes are made then the vote automatically becomes affirmative. In the absence of reasons for a negative vote after a follow-up inquiry, the ballot shall be classified as "no response."
- (3) Abstain. This category is provided to allow for ballot returns from members who do not wish to review the document because of conflict of interest, lack of expertise, or other reasons. A reason shall be given for this vote, otherwise the ballot shall be classified as "no response."

To approve a draft at a meeting held to resolve comments, roll call votes may be taken at such meetings of the Sponsor where all members are familiar with the document, reasons for negative votes are recorded, and at least 75 percent of the members vote. Information recorded must be equivalent to that available from letter ballot.

For a standards ballot to be effective, at least 75 percent of the ballots shall be returned. If the return is less than 75 percent, a follow-up letter shall be sent to those who failed to return ballots. A minimum of 75 percent of those voting (affirmative or negative) must approve the draft in order to submit the ballot result to the Standards Board.

When compelling reasons necessitate early approval of proposed IEEE Standards, the Sponsor may forward copies of the final draft to the Standards Board for distribution and review prior to completing the Sponsor's ballot. No final action shall be taken until the Board receives the results of the ballot including the results of coordination.

### 3.7 Resolution of Comments, Objections, and Negative Votes

Every attempt will be made to resolve comments, objections, and negative votes.

Comments pointing out obvious mistakes, typographical errors, and improvements in punctuation, grammar and composition, which do not change the technical meaning may be accepted, revised, or rejected. It must be borne in mind that documents are professionally edited prior to publication.

Comments accompanying affirmative votes which advocate changes in the technical meaning of the document may be accepted, revised, or rejected.

To resolve negative votes or for other reasons, changes may be made in the document. If the negative vote is not satisfied, either entirely or in part, the negative voter shall be informed of the reasons for the rejection and be given an opportunity either to change his vote to "approve," or to retain his negative vote.

All substantive technical changes made in the final draft to resolve comments, objections, and negative votes, and all unresolved negative votes together with the reasons of the negative voter and the rebuttal by the members conducting the resolution of the ballots, shall be submitted to the members of the Sponsor, providing each member an opportunity to change his ballot. Further resolution efforts may be required if additional negative votes result.

Copies of all unresolved negative votes, together with the reasons given by the negative voters and the rebuttals by the Sponsor, shall be included with the ballot results submitted to the Standards Board.

Proposed standards receiving a significant number of unresolved negative votes should be considered by the sponsor for Trial-Use. (See 12.2)

### 3.8 Delegation of Authority

Sponsors may, by at least 75 percent approval by written ballot received from at



The notification shall clearly detail the issues being appealed. It shall provide sufficient information for the Board to determine whether the appeal shall be heard.

If an appeal is based on a technical objection to the requirements of the standard or on a claim of discrimination against a product, the decision of the Board shall be based on the merits of the appeal and not on any procedural consideration.

On receipt of notification of intent to appeal, the Chairman of the Standards Board shall appoint four members of the Board to serve with the Chairman of ApCom. None of these appointees to the Appeals Committee shall have been a direct participant in the development or coordination of the document under question. The Appeals Committee shall review the complaint and, if in its judgment there appears to be sufficient basis for a hearing, copies of the objector's written statement shall be forwarded to the Sponsor of the standard for review. All concerned parties shall be notified of a scheduled hearing to take place no later than 60 days following receipt of notice of appeal.

If the Appeals Committee considers the statement of the objector an insufficient basis for appeal, it may request additional information, providing a reasonable period for reply, or it may dismiss the appeal as lacking in merit.

The conduct of the appeals hearing shall be governed by rules established by the Chairman of the Appeals Committee. He shall be free to establish time limits for the presentation of each position and to determine the order in which positions are presented.

The appellant shall be notified in writing within 60 days as to the disposition of the appeal. Any complaint concerning the fairness or the impartiality of the committee must be made to the Secretary of the Standards Board within 5 days following the hearings or the dismissal of the appeal. In the absence of such complaint the findings of the Appeals Committee shall be final. If a complaint is filed, the matter shall be reviewed by the full Standards Board at its next regular meeting, and the Board shall take such action as it deems appropriate.

The Chairman of the Standards Board shall determine whether or not a standard shall be published and distributed pending the conclusion of an appeal. In the event that a document is distributed and is subsequently withdrawn or modified as the result of an appeal, notification

shall be made to all purchasers of the document.

## 6. Interpretations

While it is always the intent of standards-developing committees to use language that is so clear that it is unnecessary to explain or amplify the original intent of the committee, occasionally questions arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications.

Questions relating to such interpretations require review and evaluation by a balance of committee interests, and no single officer or member of an IEEE Technical Committee or subgroup thereof shall provide a written or verbal opinion concerning any portion of the text of an IEEE Standards document, or American National Standard developed under IEEE secretariat, unless that opinion has first been subjected to consideration by an interpretations subgroup which represents all interested parties on the committee. Requests for interpretations shall be submitted or confirmed in writing, preferably to the Secretary, IEEE Standards Board, who shall forward the request to the appropriate committee designee.

Upon receipt of the interpretation request, the committee designee shall prepare an interpretation or assign its preparation to a qualified member. That draft interpretation shall be circulated to the designated interpretations subgroup and transmitted to the party initiating the request only after it has met the concurrence of the interpretations subgroup. A copy of the written interpretation shall be forwarded to the Secretary of the Technical Committee for consideration as a supplement to the standard or for inclusion in the next revision, and a copy shall also be forwarded to the Secretary of the IEEE Standards Board, together with a list of members of the interpretations subgroup, for its project files.

Similar wording will be included in each IEEE standard published.

At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses on IEEE Standards conducted by officers or members of standards-developing committees, the following statement shall be read at the start of the program, and again, preceding any question and answer period:

The individuals presenting information on IEEE Standards were participants in the development of the standards under discussion, and they are presumed to have expert knowledge on the subject. How-



objections involves substantive changes, the revised standard must be submitted to the IEEE Standards Board for approval.

### 8.3 American National Standards Committees

In 1982, ANSI approved a set of procedures calling for dissolution of American National Standards Committees within two years. IEEE's involvement in the activities of these committees is therefore in a state of transition. The following material, though current at the time of writing, is subject to change and is included for general guidance and reference only.

IEEE has assumed the secretariat of many American National Standards Committees within the scope of IEEE. The secretarial duty has been assigned to staff or to volunteer members. IEEE also holds cosecretariats of American National Standards Committees.

### 8.4 IEEE Representatives on American National Standards Committee

#### 8.4.1 General

The IEEE is represented on American National Standards Committees in which it has a substantial interest in order to provide IEEE influence on American National Standards. Positions have been allotted to the IEEE as a substantially interested standards generating organization.

#### 8.4.2 Assignment of Positions

The positions allotted to the IEEE have been assigned by the IEEE Standards Board to Societies having a substantial interest in the work of the ANSI Committee. The Societies have reassigned the positions to their substantially interested technical committees.

#### 8.4.3 Appointment of IEEE Representatives

IEEE representatives on American National Standards Committees are appointed by the IEEE Standards Board from nominations submitted by the sponsoring organization that provides technical instruction to the representative.

### 8.5 Instructions to IEEE Representatives on American National Standards Committees

#### 8.5.1 Source of Instructions

IEEE representatives and their alternates

shall utilize the expertise of the members of their sponsoring group or technical committee to develop an IEEE position on proposed standards being considered by their ANSI committee. They must report at meetings of their sponsor on the activities of their ANSI committee, either in person or by a written report to be included in the minutes of the meeting, to inform the members on the work of the standards committee. They shall solicit comments and suggestions from interested members of their sponsor in order to establish their position on projects under consideration, and to identify substantially interested members to whom they can turn for advice and recommendations on short notice. They shall work with the chairman and the standards liaison representative to the Standards Board of their sponsor to insure that they act in accordance with the consensus within the sponsor. In the absence of instructions, they shall use their best judgment based on their experience as a member of their sponsor to support the position with which they believe the membership would agree. All ballot actions taken by IEEE representatives shall be reported to the sponsoring committee. On all policy matters coming before this committee the representative shall solicit instructions from the Standards Board.

### 8.6 Coordination within the IEEE

Where there are two or more representatives, the Standards Board has appointed a head of delegation, generally from the technical committee having the primary interest in the American National Standards Committee. The head of delegation is responsible for supervising the work of the IEEE delegation, particularly the coordination of the positions of the representatives and alternates based on the instructions from their respective sponsors. A unified position must be developed to be supported unanimously by the IEEE delegation. In the case of letter ballots, various methods may be used to secure the unanimous vote:

- a. Each representative and alternate may send his completed ballot to the head of delegation who mails it in when a unanimous vote has been obtained.
- b. Each representative and alternate notifies the head of delegation how he intends to vote or sends a copy of his executed ballot. The head of delegation notifies them to mail their ballots when the vote is unanimous.
- c. The head of delegation sends his recommended vote with reasons to the other



## 10.2 Supplements

A supplement may be used to make minor corrections and revisions in a standard which are not extensive enough to justify a complete revision. Since a supplement is a revision, it must be processed as a revision in accordance with the requirements of the IEEE Standards Manual, including submission to the IEEE Standards Board.

The approved supplement may be printed as an insert for stock copies of the standard and will be incorporated into the standard at the next printing.

Only two supplements are permitted before a standard must be revised.

## 11. Publication of Drafts

Drafts of standards under development are normally distributed to members of the group (working group, subcommittee, etc.) involved in their generation for comment and letter ballot. The normal method for generating valid comments is to conduct a letter ballot of the working group or subcommittee.

In projects of broad interest, it is sometimes useful to collect a broader spectrum of comments than that available within the working entity involved in the development of the draft. Although the practice is deprecated by the Standards Board, a small number of IEEE committees publish such drafts for distribution either as separate documents or in Society Transactions. Publication in this manner must be carefully controlled to avoid misunderstandings regarding the status of such documents (N.B. They must not be mistakenly regarded as IEEE Standards). The following conditions must be met for such publication.

1. The document must be clearly labelled on each page in type at least one size larger than the text as an "unapproved draft published for comment only."

2. The draft should be available for no more than twelve months after which circulation and availability will cease. A longer period must be approved by the Standards Board. The expiration date of the draft and last date for comment shall be clearly marked.

3. The draft shall be authorized for publication by the Sponsor under regular committee procedures with notification to the Standards Board.

A preferred alternative to this procedure

is to process the document as a Trial-Use Standard. (See 12.2)

## 12. Updating of IEEE Standards

### 12.1 General

#### 12.1.1 Periodic Review

All IEEE Standards shall be updated within five years of the date of publication. When an IEEE Standard has been in effect for four years, the Secretary of the Standards Board shall notify the standards liaison representative of the Sponsor that the standard must be updated within the next year. The sponsor has the option of:

1. Reaffirmation
2. Revision
3. Withdrawal

If the standard is not reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn within five years of the date of publication, the Secretary of the Standards Board shall notify the sponsor that the standard will be submitted to the Standards Board for withdrawal. The sponsor may request, within 30 days of the date of notification, an extension of time of not more than one year. He shall provide evidence that action to update has been initiated. The standard remains in effect until withdrawal action is taken by the Standards Board.

### 12.2 Trial-Use Standards

#### 12.2.1 At the Standards Development Level

Where a draft has been generated that generally satisfies the standards developing group (i.e. subcommittee or working group) but needs input from a very broad constituency, such a draft may be processed as an IEEE Trial-Use Standard. This is a preferred alternative to the widespread distribution of unapproved drafts. For approval, such a draft requires a letter ballot of the Sponsor and approval by the Standards Board as a trial-use standard.

#### 12.2.2 At the Sponsor Level

When a Sponsor is unable to resolve negative ballots to a satisfactory level, or uncertain aspects of the document justify preliminary distribution, it may consider submission of the draft to the Standards Board as a trial-use standard.

#### 12.2.3 At the Standards Board Level

## Index

|   |            |                                       |        |
|---|------------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Agenda  |            | Nominating Committee, Board . . . . . | 2.2    |
| Standards Board . . . . .                       | 2.4.2      | Patents . . . . .                     | 7.0    |
| Consent . . . . .                               | 2.4.2      | Disclaimer . . . . .                  | 7.3    |
| ANSI  |            | Foreword Notice . . . . .             | 7.2    |
| Accreditation . . . . .                         | 2.2        | Use In Standard . . . . .             | 7.1    |
| Approval of IEEE Standards. . . . .             | 8.2        | Product Testing . . . . .             | 1.4    |
| Change of Status - IEEE Standards               | 12.4       | Project, Standards                    |        |
| Committees . . . . .                            | 8.3        | Assignment . . . . .                  | 2.3(4) |
| Coordination - IEEE . . . . .                   | 8.6        | Authorization . . . . .               | 3.4    |
| Participation. . . . .                          | 8.0        | Sponsor . . . . .                     | 3.3.2  |
| Proprietary Standards. . . . .                  | 8.2.2      | Project Authorization Request (PAR)   |        |
| Representatives to . . . . .                    | 8.1; 8.4   | Approval . . . . .                    | 3.4    |
| Appeals . . . . .                               | 5.0        | Information Review . . . . .          | 2.3    |
| Ballot  |            | Submittal . . . . .                   | 3.3.1  |
| Abstain . . . . .                               | 3.6.3      | Publication. . . . .                  | 10.0   |
| Affirmative . . . . .                           | 3.6.1      | Corrections . . . . .                 | 10.1   |
| Delegation of Authority . . . . .               | 3.8        | Drafts . . . . .                      | 11.0   |
| IEEE Standards - ANSI . . . . .                 | 8.7        | Guides . . . . .                      | 1.2    |
| Negative . . . . .                              | 3.6.2; 3.7 | Supplements . . . . .                 | 10.2   |
| Percentages . . . . .                           | 3.6; 3.7   | Reaffirmation                         |        |
| Percentages Required. . . . .                   | 3.6; 3.7   | Procedure . . . . .                   | 12.3.1 |
| Resolution of Comments . . . . .                | 3.7        | Recommended Practices . . . . .       | 1.2    |
| Roll Call Ballots . . . . .                     | 3.6        | Representatives                       |        |
| by Sponsor. . . . .                             | 3.6        | ANSI Boards . . . . .                 | 8.1    |
| Certification . . . . .                         | 1.4        | ANSI Committees . . . . .             | 8.4    |
| Coordination - Information Review . . . . .     | 2.3        | Instructions . . . . .                | 8.5    |
| ANSI Committees . . . . .                       | 8.4        | Liaison . . . . .                     | 2.2.1  |
| Authority . . . . .                             | 3.5.2      | RevCom . . . . .                      | 2.4.2  |
| Interested Parties . . . . .                    | 3.5.3      | Agenda . . . . .                      | 4.2    |
| Methods . . . . .                               | 3.5.1      | Approval Procedure . . . . .          | 4.0    |
| Request for . . . . .                           | 3.3.1      | Revision                              |        |
| Requirements . . . . .                          | 3.5        | 5-Year Period . . . . .               | 2.3(5) |
| Delegation of Authority . . . . .               | 3.8        | Revision Text . . . . .               | 4.3    |
| Directors, Board of                             |            | Updating Procedure . . . . .          | 12.3.2 |
| Submission of Nominees . . . . .                | 2.2        | Scope, IEEE Standards . . . . .       | 1.1    |
| International Standards (IEC and ISO) . . . . . | 9.0        | Societies . . . . .                   | 2.1    |
| Interpretations . . . . .                       | 6.0        | Sponsor . . . . .                     | 2.3(4) |
| Liaison Representatives . . . . .               | 2.2.1      |                                       |        |
| Minutes, Standards Board . . . . .              | 2.4.3      |                                       |        |
| NesCom . . . . .                                | 2.4.2      |                                       |        |
| (PAR) Approval . . . . .                        | 3.4        |                                       |        |



**CHANDOS A. RYPINSKI**

TECHNICAL CONSULTING SERVICES

130 Stewart Drive

Tiburon, CA 94920

Telephone: 415 435 0642

COPY

February 19, 1987

Mr. Jim Sanders  
Tandem Computer, Inc.  
2550 Walsh Avenue  
Santa Clara, CA 95051

408 748 2903

Dear Mr. Sanders:

Your interest in through-the-air LAN is important to me, because I believe that services of this type can be very valuable.

For the last meeting of IEEE 802.4L, I acted as substitute Chairman for David Greenstein of GM, but beyond that I have no official position. This meeting showed more interest than any previous meeting. NEC described two existing systems which I think to be interesting but far from the objectives of this Committee.

I do not know of any existing product which provides data rates of 1 Mbs broadcast, or of FCC rules under which it could be licensed. It is my opinion that development of radio modems and promotion of appropriate frequencies for licensing are both needed. My personal studies are directed to the specifics of these propositions.

For a wide-area system, there is the possibility of using the present cellular mobile telephone facility with direct modulation rather than via an analog modem. Since I have a friendly relation with L. M. Ericsson, the supplier of much of the fixed radio and switching equipment in the US, I may explore this possibility in greater detail.

Especially defined modems, designed to match any flawed medium, can greatly improve results compared with the attempt to reproduce rectangular logic levels. This is an art with which I have had a long experience, and on which I have strong opinions.

My interest is in participation in the definition and design of Radio LAN equipment with many different uses. This includes a solution to integration of PCM/ISDN telephony with packet data.

If there is interest in discussing these or related topics, I would be very pleased to visit at Tandem for this purpose.

Cordially,

Chandos A. Rypinski

COPY

## CHANDOS A. RYPINSKI

### MEMORANDUM

December 5, 1986

**TO:** Members, IEEE 802.4L subcommittee on "Through-the-air Token Bus"  
**COPY:** D. Greenstein, Chairman and R. Douglas, Chairman 802.4  
**RE:** Transmittal, Minutes of Meeting of November 20, 1986, San Diego, CA

At the request of the Chairman, D. Greenstein, I am directly distributing, attached, minutes of the last meeting and the attachments listed below:

1. Attendees at last meeting.
2. "Guided Vehicles Set Manufacturing in Motion" -- High Technology, Dec 86
3. "Time Delay Spread and Signal Level Measurements of 850 MHz Radio Waves in Building Environments," D. M. J. Devasirvatham, Bellcore, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Nov 86
4. "Second Nordic Seminar on Digital Land Mobile Radio Communication (Oct 14, 1986, Stockholm), Report to 802.4L," C. Rypinski, Oct 86
5. "Radio Terminal System," Miyamoto and Kuki, NEC Research & Development, July 78
6. "C&C-Net STAR Subsystem," Murai et al, NEC Research and Development, Special Issue on "C&C Office System" 85
7. "Objectives for 802.4L--Through-the-air Token Bus Physical Layer--Draft proposal," C. A. Rypinski

All but the last item were presented at the meeting and are referred to in the minutes or in the discussions. (1.) is background for new attendees. (2.) is a valuable technical reference and bibliography. (7.) is my proposal in response to questions raised in the meeting, and isn't approved or accepted by anybody.

C. A. Rypinski




## FREQUENCY DEPENDENCE

The frequency dependence of propagation is summarized in the following sections.

### SPECIFIC EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- (a) For mobile radio environments with 20 meter base station antenna heights, the median large scale attenuation in urban areas has been found to increase weakly with frequency between 100 MHz and 20 GHz. Some data indicates that the increase is about 4 dB between 100 MHz and 10 GHz.
- (b) Other experiments showed a change of 6 dB between 900 MHz and 1.5 GHz for an antenna height of 30 m. This is illustrated in the Figure below.



Basic Propagation Loss Curve in Urban Areas

- (c) Measurements at 940 MHz and 60 GHz at locations within buildings have been reported. They were not concurrent measurements and only qualitative comparisons can be made. However, the signal levels were markedly lower at 60 GHz and coverage estimates for a 1 milliwatt transmitter were made. In a building with metal partition walls, estimated coverage at 940 MHz extended about two rooms from a base station location in a room (i.e. a distance of about 10 m). For a similar environment, estimated coverage at 60 GHz was only within the same room and the immediately adjacent hallway. In frame buildings with plasterboard walls, estimated 940 MHz coverage was about 30 meters. For similar buildings, 60 GHz coverage extended only to adjacent rooms.