

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 1

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.86

The object of the definition sentence should be the term, not an interference signal. Further, the term Acceptable Interference is not a range, as used in the standard.

Suggested Remedy

delete this definition and replace with a new definition that is consistent with Section 15.1, p. 69, lines 23-28:

3.85 Acceptable Interference: An interference caused by an interference signal that does not degrade the current selection of modulation and coding at a receiver.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 2

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 70 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

Change caption on Figure to improve the english

Suggested Remedy

Figure h19 - Signal interference level thresholds and ranges

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

figure 19 has been deleted by resolution of comment 834

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 3

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 70 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

Figure h19 needs some improvement to the graphics text

Suggested Remedy

Change the term Acceptable Interference to Acceptable Interference Range.

Change the term Harmful Interference to Harmful Interference Range.

Center the term Harmful Interference Range between Acceptable Interference Threshold and Destructive Interference Threshold

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 834.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 4

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.87

Improve the english since this is the only place that an Acceptable Interference range is mentioned.

Suggested Remedy

Change the definition to:

A value (in dBm) of a signal level, below which an interference signal causes Acceptable Interference.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 5

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.88

The text beginning the definition is unnecessary. The 2007 Style Manual says:

"The term should not be used in its own definition."

Suggested Remedy

Drop "The alternative channel is" from the beginning of the definition.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

3.88 Alternative Channel (ALTCH): The alternative channel is a physical (frequency) channel determined by the base station as being a suitable alternative physical channel for use if its current physical channel becomes unavailable.

with:

"3.88 A physical (frequency) channel determined by the base station as being a suitable alternative physical channel for use if its current physical channel becomes unavailable."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 6

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.89

The text beginning the definition is unnecessary. The 2007 Style Manual says:

"The term should not be used in its own definition."

Suggested Remedy

Drop "An alternative sub-frame is" from the beginning of the definition.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

3.89 Alternative sub-frame (ALTSF): An alternative sub-frame is a sub-frame that can be used by the base station of a system because it is unoccupied.

with:

3.89 Alternative sub-frame (ALTSF): A sub-frame that can be used by the base station of a system because it is unoccupied.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 7

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.90

Every WirelessMAN-CX system is accessible through a backhaul network, otherwise traffic could not reach it. What is meant here is that other WirelessMAN-CX systems cannot access the system.

Plus, fix the capitalizations and grammar.

Suggested Remedy

Change the definition to the following:

Those WirelessMAN-CX systems which are not accessible to other WirelessMAN-CX systems through a backhaul network.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change the definition to the following:

Those WirelessMAN-CX systems which are not accessible to other WirelessMAN-CX systems through a backhaul network and are only accessible over-the-air.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 8

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.91

Add an additional definition to the end for clarity.

Suggested Remedy

Add:

See also: Non-Specific Spectrum Users

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace:

3.91 Bursty System: A bursty system in this standard refers to non-SSU units only and transmits data in short uneven intervals. Wireless LANs are an example of bursty systems.

with:

3.91 Bursty System: A bursty system in this standard refers to non-SSU (see Non-Specific Spectrum Users) units only and transmits data in short uneven intervals. Wireless LANs are an example of bursty systems.

Use italics for: Non-Specific Spectrum Users

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 9

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.127

Add the contrasting definition to the end for clarity.

Suggested Remedy

Add:

Contrast: Specific Spectrum Users

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

superceeded by 789

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 10

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.93

The first part of the definition doesn't make sense "A coexistence community is relative to a system"

Suggested Remedy

describe more plainly what you mean by this.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

3.93 Coexistence Community: A coexistence community is relative to a system and is composed of those systems which have resolved their interference and coexist within it.

with

"3.93 Coexistence Community: A coexistence community is composed of those systems which have resolved their interference and coexist within it."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 11

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.94

Remember that we will need CXCC to communicate information from non-802.16 systems, not just for WirelessMAN-CX.

Suggested Remedy

Modify last sentence to read:

CXCC is used for WirelessMAN-CX, and may be used for WirelessMAN-UCP.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 12

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 5

Line 59

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.95

The Style Manual says:

"Each definition should be a brief, self-contained description of the term in question and shall not contain any other information, such as requirements and elaborative text."

Suggested Remedy

Remove the second sentence from the definition.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 13

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.96

The term Coexistence Messaging is not used on its own in the standard, without the qualifier of Interval or Mechanism.

Suggested Remedy

Change the term to "Coexistence Messaging Mechanism"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change:

3.96 Coexistence Messaging: The messaging mechanism defined in WirelessMAN-CX to exchange information specifically between wireless systems with the same PHY profiles.

to:

3.96 Coexistence Messaging Mechanism: The messaging mechanism defined in WirelessMAN-CX to exchange information specifically between wireless systems with the same PHY profiles.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 14

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 6 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.102

The text beginning the definition is unnecessary. The 2007 Style Manual says:

"The term should not be used in its own definition."

Also, fix a typo

Suggested Remedy

Drop "A cognitive radio system is" from the beginning of the definition.

Also, change "depending of" to "depending on"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

See resolution to comment 582

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

superceded by 582

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 15

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 6

Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.103

Fix a typo.

Suggested Remedy

Change "limitations of" to "limitations on"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 16

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 6 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.108

The object of the definition sentence should be the term, not an interference signal. Further, the term Acceptable Interference is not a range, as used in the standard.

Suggested Remedy

delete this definition and replace with a new definition:

3.85 Acceptable Interference: A special case of harmful interference that disables a particular PHY receiver from receiving using any combination of its modulation and coding methods.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

3.108 Destructive Interference: An interference signal is within this range if it prevents the victim from communicating by using any capable modulation.

with

3.108 Destructive Interference: A special case of harmful interference that disables a particular PHY receiver from receiving using any combination of its modulation and coding methods.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 17

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

Update the definition of Destructive Interference based on my Sponsor Ballot Comment #16

Suggested Remedy

Destructive Interference: A special case of harmful interference that disables a particular PHY receiver from receiving using any combination of its modulation and coding methods.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed definition is similar with the definition for harmful interference in Art.5 of the Radio Regulations

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 18

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 9 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.143

WirelessMAN-CX should appear in alphabetical order before WirelessMAN-UCP.

Suggested Remedy

Move 3.143 before 3.142.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 19

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.112

The term "exclusive assignment" should be italicized.

Suggested Remedy

Change "exclusive assignment" to "exclusive assignment"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change "exclusive assignment" to "Exclusive Assignment" and use italics

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 20

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.113

The object of the definition sentence should be the term, not an interference signal. Further, the term Harmful Interference is not a range, as used in the standard.

Suggested Remedy

delete this definition and replace with a new definition that is consistent with Section 15.1, p. 69, lines 30-35:

3.113 Harmful Interference: An interference caused by an interference signal that degrades the selection of modulation and coding at a receiver to the most robust mode of operation.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace 3.113 to:

3.113 Harmful Interference: An interference caused by an interference signal that seriously degrades, obstructs, or repeatedly interrupts the radiocommunication.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 21

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 3.114

The first part of the definition doesn't make sense "Interference neighborhood is relative to a system"

Suggested Remedy

describe more plainly what you mean by this.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change:

3.114 Interference Neighborhood: Interference neighborhood is relative to a system. A system will perceive as an interference neighbor of all other systems which create/receive interference to/from it.

to

"3.114 Interference Neighborhood: A system will perceive as an interference neighbor any other systems which create/receive interference to/from it."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 22

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.119

The standard document never uses the unqualified term "light interference".

Suggested Remedy

Remove Section 3.119

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 23

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.120

The definition is not harmonized with Section 15.1, p. 69, lines 52-57

Suggested Remedy

Change the definition to the following:

A value (in dBm) of a signal level, above which a receiver can recognize its interference situation when it does not have any link to other stations yet. This threshold is defined as a noise-interference rise of 1db.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

superceeded by comment 20

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 24

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.122

According to the Style Manual, master system should be italicized.

Suggested Remedy

Change master system to master system

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change master system to Master System; italics

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 25

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.123

According to the Style Manual, master sub-frame should be italicized.

Suggested Remedy

Change master sub-frame to master sub-frame

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

also capitals

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 26

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.124

The term non-harmful interference range does not appear in this standard.

Suggested Remedy

Delete section 3.124.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 27

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.125

Can improve the definition

Suggested Remedy

Add to the end of the definition the following:

Contrast: Exclusive Assignment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 28

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 7

Line 6

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.126

Can improve the definition

Suggested Remedy

Add to the end of the definition the following:

Contrast: Exclusive License

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It is no contrast, being the same thing

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 29

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 7

Line 11

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.127

Can improve the definition

Suggested Remedy

Add to the end of the definition the following:

Contrast: Specific Spectrum User

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 789

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 30

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.128

According to the Style Manual, CSI, and ICSI should be italicized.

Suggested Remedy

Change CSI to CSI

Change ICSI to ICSI

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 31

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.130

The text beginning the definition is unnecessary. The 2007 Style Manual says:

"The term should not be used in its own definition."

Suggested Remedy

Delete "The radio signaling is"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 32

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.131

The text beginning the definition is unnecessary. The 2007 Style Manual says:

"The term should not be used in its own definition."

Suggested Remedy

Delete "The radio signature of a transmitter is"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 33

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 83 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

line 33

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 34

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 36 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 35

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 37 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 36

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 37

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 38

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 39

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 40

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 89 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 41

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 42

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 43

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 92 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 44

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

209

Line

54

Fig/Table#

Subclause

15.7.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group:

Principle

Change "must" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 45

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 210 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "must" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 46

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 212 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 47

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 212 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 48

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 213 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 49

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 213 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 50

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 214 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.9.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 51

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 12 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.33

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

combined by other comment to this sentence:

The following threshold levels shall be used by systems compliant with Wireless-MAN CX for the report

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 52

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 14 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 53

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 54

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 20 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.67

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 55

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 56

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 32 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 57

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 37 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 58

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 59

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 47 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.11

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 60

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 50 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.5.3.32

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 61

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 50 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.5.3.32

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

obsolete by comment 60

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 62

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 63

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 64

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 65

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

page 77, line 55: change "will" to "may"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 66

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 67

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 68

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 69

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 70

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 80 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 71

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 80 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 72

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 80 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 73

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 80 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 74

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.1

Improve the english, and according to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "Every BS, will" to "Every BS shall,"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 75

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 76

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 77

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 78

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 79

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 80

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 81

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 82

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 83

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 84

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 85

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 83 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 86

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 83 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 87

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 88

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 89

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 86 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 90

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 86 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will" to "is"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

change "will be" to "is"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 91

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will be" to "are"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 92

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 93

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 94

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 95

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 96

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 97

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 98

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 99

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 100

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 101

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 102

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 103

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 104

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 105

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 94 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 106

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 95 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 107

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 95 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will" to "may"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 108

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 95 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 109

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 98 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 110

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 98 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 111

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 99 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 112

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 113

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 114

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 115

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 116

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace sentence with:

"After coordination with the neighbors in the community, the IBS may get a periodic interference- free OCSIs, and become OBS, after that, it should cease using the ICSI."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 117

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 116

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 118

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 119

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 120

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 121

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

Fix grammar in the sentence. Also, according to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will be reported to there" to "shall be reported to the"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will be reported to there" to "should be reported to the"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 122

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 123

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 124

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 125

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 126

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 103 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace "This will prevent the BS" with "This prevents the BS"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 127

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 104 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes related to comment 127 in contribution C802.16h-08/046.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 128

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 129

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 130

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change:

"so that most of time the OCSI will not be occupied by the original occupier"

to

"because most of the time the OCSI should not be occupied by the original occupying BS".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 131

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

After that, new neighbor may be discovered and identified to be negotiated with.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 132

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 133

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 134

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 107 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 135

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 107 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 136

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 107 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 137

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 107 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 138

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 108 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 139

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 140

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 141

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 142

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 143

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 144

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 110 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 145

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 146

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 147

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 148

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 149

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 150

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 151

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 152

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 153

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 154

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 155

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 111 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 156

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 112 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 157

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 113 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 158

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 113 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 159

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 113 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "cause causes" to "cause"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 160

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 161

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 162

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 115 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 163

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 164

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 165

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 166

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 167

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 168

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 169

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 170

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 171

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 172

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 173

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 174

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 175

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 176

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 177

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 178

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 179

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 180

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 181

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 182

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 183

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 184

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 185

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 186

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 187

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 188

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 189

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 190

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 191

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 192

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 119 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4

improve the grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "will synchronize" to "synchronize"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 193

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 119

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 194

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 120 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 195

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 120 Line 51

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.1.4.1

improve the grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "it will be applied to a special form of the contention-based protocol" to "a special form of the contention-based protocol shall be applied"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 196

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 197

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 198

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 199

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 200

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 201

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 202

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 203

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 204

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 122 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 205

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 122 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 206

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 207

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 208

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 209

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 210

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 211

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 125 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 212

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 127 Line 9

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.2

improve the grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "Success of either step will enable the BS start" to "The success of either step will enable the BS to start"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 213

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 127 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 214

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 127 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 215

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 127 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 216

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 128 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 217

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 218

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 132 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 219

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 134 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 220

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 140 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 221

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

143

Line

55

Fig/Table#

Subclause

15.5.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group:

Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 222

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 143 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 223

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 224

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 225

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 226

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 227

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

uplicated with 226

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 228

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.18

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 229

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.18

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 230

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.18

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 231

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 160 Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.3.25

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "Channel Center Frequency of the requested BS will switch to" to "The requested BS shall switch its channel to this frequency."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "Channel Center Frequency of the requested BS will switch to" to "The requested BS should switch its channel to this frequency."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 232

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 160 Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.3.25

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "The sub-frame ID of the requested BS will switch its master sub-frame to." to "The requested BS shall switch its master sub-frame to the sub-frame with this sub-frame ID value."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "The sub-frame ID of the requested BS will switch its master sub-frame to." to "The requested BS should switch its master sub-frame to the sub-frame with this sub-frame ID value."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

duplicate with 516

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 233

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 166 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 234

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 166 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 235

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 167 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 236

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 167 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 237

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 169 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 238

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 170 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 239

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 170 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 240

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 170 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 241

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 170 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 242

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 174 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 243

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 186 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "requested will" to "request shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "requested will" to "request should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 244

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 174 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 186

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 245

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 174 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 186

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 246

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 190 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.6

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "Channel Center Frequency of the requested BS will switch to" to "The requested BS shall switch its channel to this frequency."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "Channel Center Frequency of the requested BS will switch to" to "The requested BS should switch its channel to this frequency."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Line 1

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 247

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 194 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.10

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "will" to "should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 248

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.11

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "The sub-frame ID of the requested BS will switch its master sub-frame to." to "The requested BS shall switch its master sub-frame to the sub-frame with this sub-frame ID value."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "The sub-frame ID of the requested BS will switch its master sub-frame to." to "The requested BS should switch its master sub-frame to the sub-frame with this sub-frame ID value."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 249

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 198

Line 62

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.6.4

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "will generate this primitive to the BS" to "shall send this primitive to the BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will generate this primitive to the BS" to "should send this primitive to the BS"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 250

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 207

Line 62

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.6.8

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "will generate this primitive to the BS" to "shall send this primitive to the BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will generate this primitive to the BS" to "should send this primitive to the BS"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 251

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.17

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "requested will" to "request shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "requested will" to "request should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 252

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 12

Line 27

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.33

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "on the channel it is operating in" to "on the channel on which it is operating"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 253

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 14

Line 8

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "Up to 32 classes of devices are possible to identify." to "It is possible to identify up to 32 classes of devices."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 254

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 14 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 255

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "that this message is in reaction to" to "for which the response is this CCID_RES message."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 256

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "is resetting" to "resets"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 257

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "that RSSI" to "that the RSSI"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 258

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 16

Line 49

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.63

improve sentence

Suggested Remedy

Change "in CXCC cycles" to "(in CXCC cycles)"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 259

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 17

Line 46

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.64

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 260

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 18 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.65

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 261

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 18 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.65

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 262

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 18 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.65

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 263

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.66

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 264

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.66

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 265

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.66

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 266

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 267

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 37 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "should claim" to "claim"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 268

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 41 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 269

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 44 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 270

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 44 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 271

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 43 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 272

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 45 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 273

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 56 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This text belongs to Rev 2 and was not changed by 16h

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # **274**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **56** Line **16** Fig/Table# Subclause **11.11**

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This text belongs to Rev 2 and was not changed by 16h

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # **275**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **56** Line **19** Fig/Table# Subclause **11.11**

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This text belongs to Rev 2 and was not changed by 16h

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 276

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 56 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "Suitable detection threshold should" to "A suitable detection threshold shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

This text belongs to Rev 2 and was not changed by 16h

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 277

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsolete by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 278

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 279

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 280

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 281

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 282

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 283

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 284

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsolete by comment 709.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 285

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 286

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 287

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 288

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 289

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 290

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 291

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 292

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 78 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 293

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 78 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 294

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 83 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 295

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 296

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 86 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 297

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 298

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 299

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 300

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 89 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 301

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 93 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 302

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 94 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 303

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 97 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 304

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 98 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 305

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 99 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 306

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 307

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 308

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 309

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 310

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 311

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 312

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 313

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 314

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 315

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 316

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 317

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should send the" to "shall send its"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "should send the" to "should send its"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 110, should change to shall by 318

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 318

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 110 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 319

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 110 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 320

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 110 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 321

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 110 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 322

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 323

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 115 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "should" to "may"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 324

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 125 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 325

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 125 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 326

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 125 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 327

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 126 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 328

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 127 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 329

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 127 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 330

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 331

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 332

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 333

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 334

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 335

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 336

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 337

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 338

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 339

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 340

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 341

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 129 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 342

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 132 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 343

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 133 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 344

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 133 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 345

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 133 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 346

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 133

Line 45

Fig/Table#

Subclause

15.4.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 347

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 143

Line 61

Fig/Table#

Subclause

15.5.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 348

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 349

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 146 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 350

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 153 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.9

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 351

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 155 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.16

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 352

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 169 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 353

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 169 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 354

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 199

Line 8

Fig/Table#

Subclause

15.6.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 355

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 204

Line 1

Fig/Table#

Subclause

15.6.6.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 356

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 207 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 357

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 209 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 358

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 210

Line 3

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.7.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 359

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 211

Line 15

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.7.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 360

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 211 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 361

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 211 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 362

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 212 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 363

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 214 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.9.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 364

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 365

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 366

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.117

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places on this line.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 777

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 367

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.67

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "tries to access the neighbor BS as forwarding SS" to "shall attempt to access the neighbor BS as a forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 368

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 19

Line 53

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.67

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "that the forwarding SS is requested by the serving BS to access" to "for which the serving BS requests access through the forwarding SS."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 369

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 19

Line 60

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.67

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "that the forwarding SS is requested by the serving BS to access" to "for which the serving BS requests access through the forwarding SS."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

uplicated 368

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 370

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.67
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "that the forwarding SS is requested by the serving BS to access" to "for which the serving BS requests access through the forwarding SS."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 371

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.68
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "which the SS access to" to "which the SS shall access."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 20

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 372

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.68
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "which the SS access to" to "which the SS shall access."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 373

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 26 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.73
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "define" to "defines"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 374

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 27 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.7.5.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "Allocation" to "The allocation"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 375

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 27 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "that system possess" to "the system possesses"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 376

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "detection the" to "detection of the"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 377

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "alterative" to "alternative"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 378

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 36 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "sharing of frames" to "sharing of frames,"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 379

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3
improve sentence

Suggested Remedy

Change "in which Clear Medium Assessment (CMA), the 'listen' in the LBT protocol," to "where Clear Medium Assessment (CMA) (the 'listen' in the LBT protocol)"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 380

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "For instance" to "For instance,"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 381

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Remove the period. Change "Figure h 12." to "Figure h 12"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 382

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "4 us" with "4 ms"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 383

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 43 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "avoided. It" to "avoided, it"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 384

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 43 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "be co-channel" to "utilize the same channel"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 385

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 44 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "Systems" to "systems"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 386

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 46 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Remove "7. Privacy sublayer"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 387

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 49 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.5.3.28

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "in symbols Denotes the duration of the zone" to "Denotes the duration (in symbols) of the zone."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 388

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 51 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 9

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Remove "9. Configuration"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 389

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 53 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 10.5.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "100us" with "100 ms"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

100 μ s, using greek letter.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 390

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "requirement" with "requirements"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 391

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "to IEEE" with "to the IEEE"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 71 line 6

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 392

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 71

Line 12

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 393

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 87

Line 59

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 394

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 89 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 395

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 6 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.97

italicize definition term

Suggested Remedy

Replace "coexistence community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Use italics

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 396

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.122
italicize definition term

Suggested Remedy

Replace "coexistence community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace "coexistence community" with "Coexistence Community"; italics

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 397

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.123
italicize definition term

Suggested Remedy

Replace "coexistence community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

also capitals

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 398

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 8

Line 46

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.135

italicize definition term

Suggested Remedy

Replace "coexistence community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

also capitals

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 399

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 8

Line 51

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.136

italicize definition term

Suggested Remedy

Replace "coexistence community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

also capitals

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 400

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 90

Line 16

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.2

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 401

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 90

Line 51

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.3

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 402

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 91

Line 16

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.3

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 403

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 91

Line 18

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.3

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 404

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3
remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 405

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 92 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3
remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Community" with "coexistence community"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 406

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 71

Line 22

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace sub-frames allocation is choosen" with "sub-frame allocation is chosen"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 407

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 71

Line 62

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.1.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "of neighbor" to "of a neighbor"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 408

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 71 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "of neighbor" to "of a neighbor"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 409

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 73 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "neighbor of other" to "neighbor, other"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

A system will perceive as an interference neighbor of other systems, to/from which it creates/receives harmful interference.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 410

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "in the case of an new" to "when a new"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 411

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "an neighbor" with "a neighbor"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 412

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 78 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "as being" to "to be"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 413

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 78 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Below are detailed the functionality for different levels of coexistence." with "The functionality for different levels of coexistence is detailed below."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 414

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 80 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.4
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "determine" with "determine if the availability of"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

A BS will be able to determine the availability of a less-interfered sub-frame and its corresponding frequency channel.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 415

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 81 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "GPSor by" with "GPS or by a IEEE 1588 or "

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 416

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.1
add IEEE 1588

Suggested Remedy

Replace "a NTP Server" with "IEEE 1588 or a NTP Server"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 417

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 83 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.3
capitalize abbreviation

Suggested Remedy

Replace "lsbs" with "LSBs"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by rsolution to comment 721

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 418

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "message." to "messages."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

uplicated

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 419

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "the power detection" to "power detection"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 420

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "sub-frames,four" with "sub-frames, four"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 421

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "WirelessMAN_CX" with "WirelessMAN-CX"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 422

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 87

Line 15

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.1.2.4

no need for abbreviation

Suggested Remedy

Replace "max." with "maximum"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 423

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 87

Line 24

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.1.2.4

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "for letting" to "to allow"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 424

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.5
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "100us" with "100 ms"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

changed to greek letter.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 425

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.5
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CSI sub-channel" with "The CSI sub-channel"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 426

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.5
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "within interference" with "within an interference"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 427

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1.2.5
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "initialization" with "initializing"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 428

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CXCC" with "The CXCC" and Replace "offers the method" with "offers a method"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 429

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "interference-to-noise ratio (INR)" with "channel interference-to-noise ratio (CINR)" and
Replace "INR ratio" with "CINR,"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 430

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "and storing" with "and store"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 431

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 88 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Cognitive Radio" with "cognitive radio"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 432

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.2
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "to the foreign SS the source of interference to it." with "the source of interference to the foreign SS."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 433

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "system" with "systems"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 434

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 91

Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.3

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Coexistence Communities" with "coexistence communities"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 435

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 93

Line 17

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.4

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "are co-channel" to "utilize the same channel"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 436

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 93

Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.3.4

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Cognitive Radio" with "cognitive radio"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 437

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 96

Line 49

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.4.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "intererence" to "interference"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 438

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 96 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "send by" to "sent by the"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 439

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 96 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "And the SS in victim system is monitoring" to "The SS in the victim system monitors"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 440

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 96 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "to use CSI" to "to transmit during a CSI"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 441

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 96 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "demodulate" to "demodulating"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 442

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 97

Line 1

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.4.1.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Remove "signal"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 443

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 99

Line 16

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.4.1.3

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "of bit" with "of a bit"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 444

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 99 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "BS" to "the BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 445

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 99 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CSI" with "The CSI"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 446

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 100 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.1.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "interval. start-of-sequence" with "interval. The start-of-sequence"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 447

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "communicates" with "communicate"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 448

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.2
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "that the new BS could not find" with "if the new BS cannot find"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 449

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.3
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "part of CSI" with "part of the CSI"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 450

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "contain" with "contains"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 451

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 102 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Remove "and via the network"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 452

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 103 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.3

fix grammar. Also, the attacking station does not have to be far away.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "someone far away without any WirelessMAN-CX airlink capability which have known"
to "a station that has static contact information for the system, but does not have any WirelessMAN-CX airlink capability."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 453

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 21 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.70

capitalize text

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CSI monitoring configure" with "CSI Monitoring Configure"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 454

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
capitalize text

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CSI monitoring configure" with "CSI Monitoring Configure"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 455

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "The BS" with "The BS shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace "The BS" with "The BS should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 456

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "supposed" to "that is supposed"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 457

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the signaling" with "signaling"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 458

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 105 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "original" with "the original"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 459

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "new neighbor will be discovered and identified to be negotiated with" with "the system will negotiate with the newly discovered and identified neighbor."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 460

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "The BS upon back off mode" with "While in back off mode, the BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 461

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "requests" with "request"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 462

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "In case the BS occupying the according OCSI" with "If the BS occupying the OCSI"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 463

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.4.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "network to request it to enter into backoff mode in broadcasting activity" with "the network to request that it enter into a backoff mode before transmitting"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 464

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 108 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.2
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "detect to which CXCC allocation correspond" with "determine the CXCC allocation corresponding to"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 465

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 108 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "BS_NURBC" with "The BS_NURBC"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 466

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 110 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "data base" with "database"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 467

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 112 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "serving BS" with "the serving BS" and Replace "power one" with "power from one"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 468

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 112 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "serving BS can determine SS is the unlink" with "the serving BS can determine whether the SS is the uplink"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 469

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 112 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "mechanism" with "mechanisms"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 470

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 113 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.6.3.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "BS may think" with "the BS may think the"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 471

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 113 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 15,4
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the information of the interference" with "the interference information"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 472

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "not-interfering" with "non-interfering"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 473

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "sub-frame" with "sub-frames"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 474

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CX_BRSTY" with "CX-BRSTY" and replace "only if it has the ability of the carrier sense" with "only if it has carrier sense capability."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 475

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 120 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.1

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the Coordinated Coexistence" with "coordinated coexistence"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 476

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 120 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "With this scope, it will be applied" with "Within this scope,"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

change with to within.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 477

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 120 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CX-CBP." with "CX-CBP will be applied."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

keep shall changed by comment to this sentence.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 478

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 121

Line 28

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "time, named CXBurstyDetectStart no" with "time named CXBurstyDetectStart, no"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 479

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 121

Line 58

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.1.4.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "50us" with "50 ms"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

it's not a editorial change, and not true according to 5ms frame duration and the structure described in this section

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 480

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 122 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "allow the support" with "allow support"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 481

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 122 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Remove "scheduled"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 482

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "are escaped" with "are not used"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 483

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 125 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.5
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace the line with "There are two possible methods for support of a legacy SS which requires a preamble at the start of every MAC Frame."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 484

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 127

Line 9

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.2

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "BS start" with "BS to start"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 485

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 129

Line 24

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.2.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "receives a" with "receives"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 486

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 132 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "neighbors, resource" with "neighbors. Resource"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 487

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 133 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.4
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "send message" with "send a message"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 488

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 133

Line 39

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.4

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "send message" with "send a message"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 489

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 136

Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.4.5.1.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "with the list" with "a list"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

with a list

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 490

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 138 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5.1.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "with the list" with "a list"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

with a list

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 491

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 139 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5.1.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "informs about" with "requests"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 492

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 141 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5.1.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "in term of" with "in terms of the"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 493

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 141 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5.1.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "offorer" with "offeror"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 494

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 143 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SSs" with "forwarding SSs" and Replace "which in the overlapped area of" with "which are in an overlap area between" and Remove "that is, the interference victim SSs by neighbor BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 495

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 143 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SSs" with "forwarding SSs"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Replace all "forward SSs" with "forwarding SSs"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 496

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 143 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 497

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 143 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 498

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 499

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 500

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 144

Line 8

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 501

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 144

Line 11

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 502

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 503

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 504

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 505

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 144 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forwarding SS to forward" with "that the forwarding SS forward"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 506

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 144

Line 27

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 507

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 144

Line 33

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "forward SS" with "the forwarding SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 508

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 144 Line 37

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Figure" with "Figure h 60"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 509

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 146 Line 5

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "offer or" with "offeror"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 510

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 146

Line 39

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "CT-CX-ADPD" with "The CT-CX-ADPD"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 511

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 146

Line 61

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "each of the" with "each"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 512

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 150 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.4

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "within" with "within the"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 513

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 153 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.10

remove capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Modulation" with "modulation"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 514

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 155 Line 27

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.3.16

add capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "signature" with "Signature"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 515

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 160 Line 40

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.3.25

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "swithing" with "switching"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 516

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 161 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.27
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "The sub-frame ID of the requested BS will switch its master sub-frame to." to "The requested BS shall switch its master sub-frame to the sub-frame with this sub-frame ID value."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "The sub-frame ID of the requested BS will switch its master sub-frame to." to "The requested BS should switch its master sub-frame to the sub-frame with this sub-frame ID value."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 517

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 168 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Remove "or by a BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 518

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 169 Line 18

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.6.1.2

add capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Replace "signature" with "Signature"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 519

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 174 Line 25

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.6.1.6

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 520

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 175 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 521

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 176 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "temporally resource" with "a temporary resource"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 522

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 176 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the surrounding" with "to the surrounding"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 523

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 176 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the surrounding" with "to the surrounding"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 524

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 175 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the surrounding" with "to the surrounding"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 525

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 176 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "802.about temporally resource" with "802.16 entity offers a temporary resource"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 526

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 176 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "temporally resource" with "a temporary resource"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 527

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 181 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.10

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "when is needed" with "when needed"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 528

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 181 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.11
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 529

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 182 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.1.12
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 530

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 183 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "response" with "respond"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

This primitive is used by an 802.16 entity or NCMS to respond a request in coexistence procedure

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 531

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 189 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.5
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "The victim station may be have its interference detection thresholds and response parameters" with "The interference detection thresholds and response parameters of the victim station may be"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 532

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 190 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 533

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 190 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "totally" with "all"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 534

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 190 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 535

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 191 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.7

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 536

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 192 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.8
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 537

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 193 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.9
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "winning the bidding accepts the granted of the resource it bidded for" with "that won the bid for the resource will accept the granting of the resource."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 538

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 194 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.11
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 539

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 194 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.11
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 540

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.11

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 541

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.12

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 542

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.12
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 543

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 196 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.2.12
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 544

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 197 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.3.1
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 545

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 199 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.5.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the geographical information of" with "geographical information about"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 546

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 200 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.5.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "10kHz" with "10 KHz"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

10 kHz

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 547

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 200 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.5.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "10kHz" with "10 KHz"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

10 kHz

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 548

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 201 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.5.2

This sentence does not make sense.

Suggested Remedy

Please rewrite the sentence.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. On the previous page replace:

"This primitive is used by an 802.16 entity (BS) or NCMS to request adding the requesting BS into the neighborhood contact list of the requested BS."

with

This primitive is transmitted by an 802.16 entity (requesting BS) or NCMS (requesting NCMS) to a neighbour 802.16 entity or to NCMS. The purpose is to up-date the neighborhood contact list of the destination network element, by including the BSID and the network address of those network elements initiating this message."

2. Replace:

"This primitive is used by the 802.16 entity (BS) to request the NCMS to inform the requested BS to add the requesting BS into the neighborhood contact list, while the WirelessMAN-CX system of the requesting BS have discover the requested BS's system's neighboring."

with

"This primitive is used to up-date the neighborhood contact list of the destination network element, by including the BSID and the network address of those network elements initiating this message."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 549

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 201 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.5.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 550

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 202 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.6

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "response" with "respond"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 551

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 204 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.6.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 552

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 204 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.6.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 553

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 204 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.6.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 554

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 205 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.6.3
fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "follow" with "follows"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 555

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 205 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.6.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Replace "respond the request of" with "respond to the request for"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 556

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 206 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.7.1

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "when BS" with "when the BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 557

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 206 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.7.1
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "receives this primitive shall update their" with "receiving this primitive shall update its"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

"receiving this primitive should update its"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 558

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 207 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.6.8
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "when BS" with "when the BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

a) done

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 559

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 209 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7.4

Remove reference to the 802.11y project developing an amendment.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "as well. In particularly, the IEEE P802.11y project is developing an amendment to specifically address the changes necessary for IEEE 802.11 system to operate in this band." with "as well, such as IEEE 802.11y."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 560

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 210 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7.5

fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Shall" with "Equipment shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace "shall" with "Equipment should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

The U.K. regulatory requirements specify that the equipment operating in this band should implement a random channel access mechanism capable of operating across all of the frequency range and shall avoid co-channel operation in the presence of Radar signals.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 561

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 214 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.9.1.2
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "rudimentary of" with "rudimentary"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 562

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 214 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.9.2
fix grammar

Suggested Remedy

Replace "the communication" with "communication"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 563

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

Actually, no transmission takes place until the succeeding frame, which includes both DL and UL sub-frames. This is consistent with line 16 as well.

Suggested Remedy

Change "sub-frame" to "frame"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 564

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

This paragraph belongs at the beginning of Section 6.4.1.3.4.1.

Suggested Remedy

Move the paragraph to page 40, line 3.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 565

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

This may be true, but it doesn't hurt to transmit FRS even when there has been no coexistence sharing problem. Further, by sending FRS, it helps to pre-empt a problem before it happens, such as when a new non-802.16 system enters the area.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "In general, this FRS only need be sent when the BS has had difficulty reacquiring the channel after it was acquired by an asynchronous system." with "In general, the FRS should be transmitted by the BS in advance of every DL sub-frame in which it intends to transmit, so that all interferers, including potential new ones, can be informed that the BS is about to acquire the channel."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 566

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

The earliest that LBT can execute in a frame interval is MAXFRST, not MINFRST.

Suggested Remedy

Change "MINFRST" to "MAXFRST"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 567

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

The standard does not explain how MAXFRST could be band dependent. See Table h1.

Suggested Remedy

Explain how MAXFRST could be band dependent.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:Date: 22-Aug-2008Comment # 568Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

How does LBT "recognize appropriate use of the Lost DL-MAP Interval, and Lost UL-MAP Interval parameters"? Especially when the LBT parameters do not change in order to recognize them.

According to P802.16rev2, the SS responds to a timeout based on these intervals by either attempting to re-synchronize or by scanning for another channel. Need to better explain how LBT prevents this from happening unnecessarily.

Suggested Remedy

Please explain how the BS guarantees a DL-MAP shall be sent before the expiry of the Lost DL-MAP Interval using the LBT protocol. Same analog explanation is needed for the UL-MAP and the Lost UL-MAP Interval.

-or-

It seems the 600ms interval on initial network entry and 655s afterwards would be long enough that LBT would not have to recognize the interval. Please explain this.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

As commentor pointed out, there is no need to tie the LBT protocol to these parameters.

Group's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 569

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

This paragraph, Figure h12 and Figure h13 are inconsistent with Figure h16. Here, one energy detect by CMA above the threshold defers frame transmission. In Figure h16, it implies that a series of CMA energy detections up to the MINFRST are required for deferral.

Suggested Remedy

Please reconcile which is correct, and more clearly state the behavior of the "BS Dynamic Medium Access Protocol" of Figure h16 during the "Channel Free" and "MIN_FRST" blocks of line 25.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 570

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 43 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

The SIFS duration is 10us only for 802.11b and 802.11g. It is 16us only for 802.11a. Need to specify which 802.11 systems correspond to the SIFS duration values specified.

Suggested Remedy

Please add the 802.11 system types corresponding to the durations mentioned.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 571

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 42 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

The reference to [B28] does not exist.

Suggested Remedy

Please identify the reference.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the existing B28 in Rev 2, with "IEEE Std 802.11™-1997, Information technology—Telecommunications and information exchange between systems—Local and metropolitan area networks—Specific requirements—Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

already there in Rev2/D7.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Bims, Harry

Membership Status:

Date: 22-Aug-2008

Comment # 572

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 45 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

This calculation seems incorrect. The gap between UL and DL needs to be at least this big, but MINFRST should be allowed to be the same as p. 40 line 42.

Also, this equation is inconsistent with line 3 on this page, because FRSTn can never be exactly MINFRST.

Suggested Remedy

Please resolve this question.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696, which deletes the referred text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Karocki, Piotr

Membership Status:

Date: 1-Sep-2008

Comment # 573

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 23 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 3.112

"the same as exclusive assignment": "exclusive assignment" should be italic (as it is term defined in clause 3).

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

obsoleted by resolution to comment 777.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Karocki, Piotr

Membership Status:

Date: 1-Sep-2008

Comment # 574

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 31 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause table 108aa

HGHT field (meters above sea level) - is this signed or unsigned value? If unsigned, how to encode height of BS located near Dead Sea (~400 meters below sea level)?

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The text is deleted (see resolution to comment 653).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Karocki, Piotr

Membership Status:

Date: 1-Sep-2008

Comment # 575

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.3

Figure h7 - there is 'B - borrowed subframe' in legend, but not in diagram. Either is something wrong, or remove 'B' from legend.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This is actually page 36, which is "52 of 237".

Borrowed subframe is in Figure H7 to create consistency with the Figures h8-h10 to more clearly get across the point than if Figure H7 used only a subset of the terminology.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Karocki, Piotr

Membership Status:

Date: 1-Sep-2008

Comment # **576**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Please, do not use abbreviation "u" for prefix micro, use greek letter mi (in balloted standard 'us' means microseconds, but it is incorrect - see http://www.bipm.org/en/si/si_brochure/chapter3/prefixes.html, also page 3 in ANSI/IEEE 260.3).

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Instruction to Editor: replace the occurrences of "u" with the greek symbol "micro"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

Comment by:

Myles, Andrew

Membership Status:Date: 2-Sep-2008Comment # 577Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16hCommentType TechnicalPart of DisSatisfiedPageLineFig/Table#Subclause

The draft appears to use a lot of text to describe coexistence with 802.11 systems. A search for 802.11 will find 50+ references to 802.11

However, much of this effort appears to outside the scope of the approved 802.16h PAR, which states, "This amendment specifies improved mechanisms, as policies and medium access control enhancements, to enable coexistence among license-exempt systems based on IEEE Standard 802.16 and to facilitate the coexistence of such systems with primary users".

The first problem is that 802.11 systems are not "primary users" (using the common regulatory definition of primary user) in many of the bands described in 15.7.

The second problem is that, in the absence of a formal definition, the new clause 6.4.2.2 clearly equates "primary user" with radars. 802.11 systems are not radars, and so all descriptions of coexistence operation with 802.11 are outside the scope of the PAR.

Suggested Remedy

The draft must be sent back to the 802.16 WG for redrafting within the scope of the approved PAR. The Sponsor Ballot process must be abandoned until the draft is within scope, to avoid wasting the time of the Sponsor Pool.

At the very least, this would require removing reference to all bands where 802.11 is not a primary user. It would also require the definition of primary user to be expanded beyond radars, with consequent changes to existing text related to radars.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in contribution C802.16h-08/042r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The commenter is right in the fact that 802.16h should not explicitly address the coexistence with 802.11. We have deleted the text which is related to this comment.

In the same time, the operation and the coexistence between 802.16-based systems in license-exempt bands has to take into account the existence of bursty systems in these bands. The PAR does not specify that is required to ignore the existence of the bursty systems in the LE bands, which would be an absurdity.

Group's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Myles, Andrew

Membership Status:

Date: 2-Sep-2008

Comment # 578

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 38

Line 62

Fig/Table#

Subclause

The text claims that listening for a duration of AIFS is sufficient to allow an 802.11 system to claim the channel from an 802.16 system. Unfortunately, this is false for all values of AIFS (which is actually a function of AIFSN) because it does not take into account the polite back-off that 802.11 systems also use. This means that an 802.11 system can potentially NEVER get access to the medium because it is never able to complete its backoff in a busy 802.16 environment.

Suggested Remedy

It is hard to know how to fix this problem because it seems to represent a fundamental misunderstanding about how 802.11 and other LBT (Listen Before Talk) systems actually operate. Maybe the problem is that the WG have not yet recognised that 802.16h does not describe an effective LBT protocol. This draft cannot progress until this issue is resolved.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696, which deletes the referred text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

Comment by:

Myles, Andrew

Membership Status:Date: 2-Sep-2008Comment # 579Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16hCommentType TechnicalPart of DisSatisfiedPage 40Line 12Fig/Table#Subclause

The text seems to assume that an 802.16 system can determine the number of systems with which it is sharing, where these systems can be 802.11 or 802.16 systems.

However the examples of determining utilisation goals of 33% or 50% seem somewhat simplistic and raise a number of questions:

* How does an 802.16 system know how many 802.11 systems are in the same channel so that it can determine "UtilisationGoal"?

Does it decode the BSSID in the 802.11 packets?

* If an 802.16 or 802.11 system is only partially overlapping (whatever that means in highly non linear RF environment), how is the fair value of "UtilisationGoal" determined?

Suggested Remedy

Please clarify the issue raised. Depending on the answers, I reserve the right to object or suggest an explicit change in the next Sponsor Ballot

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696, which deletes the referred text.

Reason for Group's Decision/ResolutionGroup's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Myles, Andrew

Membership Status:

Date: 2-Sep-2008

Comment # 580

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 214

Line 40

Fig/Table#

Subclause

The text includes a sentence about 802.11 PCF, "The scheduled use of the MAC frame is possible by using the IEEE 802.11 PCF mode"

This may be true but has no connection to reality because PCF is a defunct part of the 802.11 standard that is still there for historical interest only

Suggested Remedy

Remove any text that mentions 802.11 PCF

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 825.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Myles, Andrew

Membership Status:

Date: 2-Sep-2008

Comment # 581

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 210

Line 60

Fig/Table#

Subclause

The text refers to 802.11a systems
However, the 802.11a amendment is now part of the base 802.11 standard.
In addition, amendments should not be referred to in this way

Suggested Remedy

Provide a proper reference
Note: the second problem also applies to the numerous 802.11y references

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceded by resolution to comment 606.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

Superceded by resolution to comment 606.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Au, Kwok Shum

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 582

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 6 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 3

The first sentence of the definition of "Cognitive Radio", "A cognitive radio system is a system that has the capability to sense and be aware of its operational environment" does not truly reflect the complete behavior of the CR.

Suggested Remedy

Try to harmonize the definition with P1900.1 and revise the first sentence as "A cognitive radio system is a system that are aware of its operational environment and internal state, and has the capability to make decisions about their radio operating behavior based on the information and predefined objectives".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

A cognitive radio system is a system that has the capability to sense and be aware of its operational environment.

with:

A system that is aware of its operational environment and internal state, and has the capability to make decisions about its radio operating behavior based on the information and predefined objectives

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Au, Kwok Shum

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # **583**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page **46** Line **69** Fig/Table# Subclause **15.1**

The unit of the interference is dBm, rather than dB.

Suggested Remedy

Please change the unit from dB to dBm.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

Make the change on page 69 line 45.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Make the change on page 69 line 45.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Au, Kwok Shum

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 584

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 3

The term "harmful interference" is defined in the ITU-R and by the FCC. It is not clear that the new proposed term is as well defined.

Suggested Remedy

Harmonize the definition of "harmful interference" as defined in the ITU-R and by the FCC.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 75

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 20

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Au, Kwok Shum

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 585

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 3

Please define "candidate (frequency) channel" in Clause 3.

Suggested Remedy

Please define "candidate (frequency) channel" in Clause 3.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

3.xxx Candidate (frequency) channel: A (frequency) channel within the frequency band which may be used by a system.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 586

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 1

Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause

This statement should include the baseline of 16h - 802.16-2004, as changed by Cor1-2005, and amended by 16e-2005, 16f-2005 and 16g-2007.

Suggested Remedy

Properly name the baseline for this amendment.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

See resolution to comment 814.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 587

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

My copy of 802.16-2004, dated 1 October 2004, in Table 1 has a column "Additional MAC requirements", and WirelessHUMAN has "DFS (6.3.15)" in the field in that column. If DFS is not required in all wirelessHUMAN bands, then change accordingly.

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to show all changes to Table 1, and scour D7a for other errors in the edit/change instructions.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In Table 1 of 802.16 Rev 2 there is no such column. See resolution to comment 814.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 588

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

My copy of 802.16-2004, dated 1 October 2004, in Table 1 WirelessHUMAN allows the 8.2 PHY, unlike 16h/D7a. If 16h/D7 is making the 8.2 PHY disallowed in license-exempt bands, then that change has to be shown through the draft, including the last paragraph of 1.3.4.

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to show all changes to Table 1, and scour D7a for other errors in the edit instructions.

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

8.2 in 802.16-2004 was Single Carrier PHY, which is not supported in 802.16 Rev 2. See resolution to comment 814.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # **589**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 47 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.11

My copy of 802.16e-2005, does not have 8.3.6.2.10, rather it ends on 8.3.6.2.9.

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to show all changes to 802.16-2004, and scour D7a for other errors in the edit instructions.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

See resolution to comment 586

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The correct baseline is REV2 which deletes WirelessMAN-SCa which is what is referenced as section 8.2 in his reference "802.16-2004, dated 1 October 2004"

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 590

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 47 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.2

If 16h/D7 is imposing channelization requirements where they are not in law, then the appropriate PHY clauses shall be expanded to show such channelizations.

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to show all changes to 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5 and clause 12 profiles resulting from normative channelizations.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The channel widths are not included in 8.x, even not for licenced bands.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 591

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page Line Fig/Table# Subclause General

"License-exempt" does not equal "Licensed". 802.16h PAR scope: "This amendment specifies improved mechanisms, as policies and medium access control enhancements, to enable coexistence among license-exempt systems based on IEEE Standard 802.16 and to facilitate the coexistence of such systems with primary users." 16h PAR scope does not include licensed and license-exempt bands, yet draft text is devoted to bands that do not permit license-exempt (as legally defined, not 3.117) operation. Change 16h/D7a text to make ALL discussion of such bands informative, not normative.

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Instructions to Editor:

- 1.Transform clause 15.7 into an Annex
2. State that the Annex is informative

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The mode of operation in "non-exclusive assignment" and in "unlicensed" is essentially the same due to the fact that in both cases one does not have the benefit of knowing they have an exclusive right to access the spectrum. So, to keep within the scope of the PAR the document should stress unlicensed use. However, informative statements highlighting that certain feature may be useful in non-exclusively assigned bands should be OK.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 606, 824, 826, 828, 610, 609, 581, 506. indicate the deletion of 15.7.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 592

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Editorial</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>General</u>
----------------	-------------	------------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------

The IEEE Standards Style Manual 13.1 Word usage "Shall, should, may, and can" is not being followed in informative text. E.g., 15.7.5 "Shall prevent co- channel operation in the presence of Radar signals."

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to conform.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

The requirements say:

Equipment operating in this band must implement a random channel access mechanism capable of operating across all of the frequency range. Shall prevent co- channel operation in the presence of Radar signals.

with

The U.K. regulatory requirements specify that the equipment operating in this band must implement a random channel access mechanism capable of operating across all of the frequency range and shall avoid co-channel operation in the presence of Radar signals.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 593

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>General</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------



The IEEE Standards Style Manual 18. "Notes, footnotes, examples, warnings, and cautions" is not being followed in informative text. E.g., 15.7.4, .8 "(note: normative statement)."

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to conform.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete at page 209 L43:

"The regulations do not specify channelization requirements. However, for effective DCS, 802.16 systems operating in this band should use either 5 or 10 MHz non-overlapping channels (note: normative statement)."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 594

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Editorial</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>15.9.1.2</u>
----------------	-------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	------------------	--------------------------	-------------	------------	-------------	-----------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

"Sections 15.6.1.21 [tbc.] and 15.6.1.22 [tbc.] describe" - what is "[tbc.]"?

Suggested Remedy

Correct as needed, including the numbers.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 825.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 595

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 145 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.1

Figure h60 should be redrawn in Frame or otherwise made readable at 150% or higher magnification.

Suggested Remedy

Redraw figure h60 to be more readable when the .pdf is printed on a laser printer.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Action for the Editor

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 596

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page Line Fig/Table# Subclause General

In the 5 GHz bands, RLANs typically are co-primary with other licensed users, yet 16h/D7a makes no provision for co-primary coexistence among license-exempt systems, only secondary coexistence. Satisfy the 16h PAR scope when operating in license-exempt bands with 802.11 RLANs designated co-primary (not SSU).

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no such term as "secondary" coexistence in 16h/D7.

The primary users intended by the PAR are those users which are entitled to special protection, as radars in 5GHz. These are included by the 16h/D7 in the category of Specific Spectrum Users. Neither ITU-R regulations nor any other regulations do not consider RLANs as entitled to protection in 5GHz. In the same time, 16h/D7 defines the coexistence between 802.16h-based systems taking into consideration the existence of Bursty systems in LE bands, such that essentially the commenter should be satisfied.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

nothing specified to be implement.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 597

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Technical</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
Clause 8.5 has no provision for channel numbering outside the 5 GHz band, yet 16h clause 15.7 describes many non-5 GHz bands. Satisfy the 16h PAR scope when operating outside the 5 GHz band with the 8.5 PHY.									8.5

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Add a sentence in. 8.4:

This clause does not define a new PHY, but rather a channel usage and numbering scheme using the PHYs defined in 8.2 and 8.3"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

1. 8.5 is not in 802.16 Rev 2
2. 8.4 in Rev 2 is not a PHY definition, being rather based on 8.3 and 8.2; it includes only channel numbering and a 5MHz channel raster; in 802.16h it is instead used the channel center frequency which is applicable to all the operating bands. The channel numbering in 5GHz is kept for WirelessHuman only.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

The following resolution is not implemented, because of no specific place assigned.

"Add a sentence in. 8.4:

This clause does not define a new PHY, but rather a channel usage and numbering scheme using the PHYs defined in 8.2 and 8.3"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 598

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 64 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5.2.1

In clause 12 column "Required", spell out "Yes" and "No" to keep the style of the base standard.

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 697

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 599

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 214 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.9.2

There is no normative text in this clause, and it adds no value to the draft. Remove it.

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 825.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 600

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 27 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

In some license-exempt bands, selection of the quietest channel is prohibited by regulation (e.g., 5.15-5.25 GHz), yet this clause offers no provision that conforms to such TPC regulations.

Suggested Remedy

Provide text in 6.4.1 about operation where regulation about channel selection/occupancy exists.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

We are not aware of such regulations. At the contrary, EN301583 v1.5.1 specifies:

"Uniform Spreading is required across the frequency ranges 5 150 MHz to 5 350 MHz and 5 470 MHz to 5 725 MHz. Uniform Spreading is not applicable for equipment that only operates in the band 5 150 MHz to 5 250 MHz."

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 601

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.102

As defined, Cognitive Radios are active, but 6.4.1.1 describes passive cognitive radio techniques. Where is the definition of passive cognitive radio techniques?

Suggested Remedy

Replace "An active" with "A", and simplify after looking at the SCC41/1900.1 definition of Cognitive Radios: "Cognitive Radio is a type of Radio in which communication systems are aware of their environment and internal state and can make decisions about their radio operating behavior based on that information and predefined objectives."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Superceded by comment 582

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Superceded by comment 582

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # **602**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>General</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------

In some European 5 GHz bands, RLANS are required to use 20 MHz nominal RF channel bandwidths, yet 16h/D7a makes no provision for required channel bandwidths in license-exempt bands. 802.11n has long had controversy about 20/40 MHz operation in DFS bands, yet 16h/D7a ignores the issue caused by allowing 10 MHz nominal RF channel bandwidth in 5 GHz license-exempt bands. Satisfy the 16h PAR scope when operating in license-exempt bands and multiple nominal RF channel bandwidths are allowed, including profiles for 20-MHz operation by WirelessHUMAN PHYs.

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The European requirements were up-dated, so the concern is addressed.
EN 301983 v1.5.1 says:

"4.3.2 Limits

The nominal bandwidth shall be in the range from 5 MHz to 40 MHz. "

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 603

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 215 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause Annex h_A

There are no editing instructions for this annex, and the final annex in my copy of 802.16-2004, dated 1 October, 2004 is annex C (upper case), 16e has annex H (upper case), and 16g has annex J (upper case). Where is this h_A annex to be inserted?

Suggested Remedy

Change 16h/D7a text to show all changes to 802.16-2004, and scour D7a for other errors in the edit instructions. If the baseline is not 802.16-2004, then clearly state the baseline in page 1 line 35, and number per the IEEE Standards Style Manual.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 814 and to comment 10001.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by comment 814 and to comment 10001.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 604

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

All IEEE 802.11 stations do LBT at the beginning of each data transmission, but the 16h system does not do uplink LBT. This scheme should be titled Downlink Listen-Before-Talk (DLLBT).

Suggested Remedy

Change clause title to Downlink Listen-Before-Talk (DLLBT), and replace "LBT" with "DLLBT" throughout the draft (including 6.4 on page 27 and 12.5 on page 64).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change clause title to Downlink Listen-Before-Talk (DLLBT), and replace "LBT" with "DLLBT" in all the cases where LBT is related to UCP (including 6.4 on page 27 and 12.5.1 Coexistence profiles for WirelessMAN-UCP system

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In 15.4 LBT is done during CXCBP both in DL and UL.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

12.8.1 now

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 605

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 207 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7

Clauses 15.7.x fail to clearly state normative requirements and delineate informative statements per IEEE Standards Style Manual. "This section intentionally contains informative text regarding regulatory doamins in addition to normative text regarding requirements for 802.16 systems operating in those regulatory domains."

Suggested Remedy

For each regulatory domain, state whether the requirements are normative or informative.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in contribution C802.16h-08/047r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # **606**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **207** Line **36** Fig/Table# Subclause **15.7**

Explain why regulatory domains that do not allow license-exempt operation are "Relevant" to 16h, or remove them from the draft.

Suggested Remedy

Per comment

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Remove 15.7 from the draft (see also resolution to comment 605)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # **607**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Editorial** Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page **27** Line **13** Fig/Table# Subclause **6.3.15**

As neither the baseline nor 16h has a subclause 6.4.2, the editing instruction is incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

Correct the numbering when subclause 6.3.15 is moved.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The base-line standard is 802.16 Rev 2 (see resolution to comment 814).

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 608

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 15

The Editor's note is incorrect, and the numbers were not corrected.

Suggested Remedy

Fix the numbering to match the amendment's baseline.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Obsoleted by the resolution to comment 10006.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # 609

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 208 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7

DFS is required in 5250-5350 MHz in the US, but is not shown in the table.

Suggested Remedy

Correct the table.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceded by resolution to comment 606.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Superceded by resolution to comment 606.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:

Date: 3-Sep-2008

Comment # **610**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **208** Line **5** Fig/Table# Subclause **15.7**

5150-5850 MHz includes both the U-NII and the ISM 5 GHz bands, so the bracketed information is incomplete, so is title of 15.7.6.

Suggested Remedy

Correct bracketed information in table h-20 and title of 15.7.6 to include ISM allocation.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

Superceeded by resolution to comment 606.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Superceeded by resolution to comment 606.

Comment by:

Ecclesine, Peter

Membership Status:Date: 3-Sep-2008Comment # 611Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 12.4.3.1.4
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	-------------	-------------	-------------------	-----------------------------

There is no regulatory domain where license-exempt operation is allowed to interfere with any licensed users (whether primary or secondary). The baseline WirelessHUMAN receiver sensitivity(-61 dBm) is not good enough for coexistence with government users, DFS SSUs, co-primary RLANS and licensed users. Any 16h PHYs should have a required sensitivity that scales with nominal RF channel bandwidth.

Suggested Remedy

Change all Clause 12 PHYs in 16h operation to require ability to detect government users, non-government licensed, or primary or secondary users with received signal strength in excess of -62 dBm in 20 MHz nominal RF channel bandwidth, and then scale with channel bandwidth.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: DisagreeReason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In 802.16 the regional regulatory requirements are not mentioned, because they can change very often. The support of these requirements is a product compliance issue. Specifically, clause 12.4.3.1.4 in Rev 2 does not indicate any specific level, but indicates the compliance with regulations.

Quote:

12.4.3.1.4 WirelessHUMAN PHY profiles features

Mandatory features:

- TDD Operation
- Where mandated by regulation, ability to detect specific spectrum users outside limits defined by regulatory requirements
- Center Frequency for UL is not reported in the UCD channel encoding.
- Channel Nr is reported in DCD channel encoding
- Ability to switch channel within limits defined by regulatory requirements

Group's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Freedman, Avraham

Membership Status:

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 612

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 71 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.1

typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "choosen" to "chosen"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Freedman, Avraham

Membership Status:

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 613

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 119 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4.1

802.16h is not a name of a system, but rather a name of a task group

Suggested Remedy

Change "802.16h" to "WirelessMAN-CX"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

replace all "802.16h" with "WirelessMAN-CX"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Turner, Michelle

Membership Status:

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 614

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 0 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 0

The use of the word "must" is deprecated, except in cases where a statement of absolute fact is being made. Please review each usage of the word must

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Superceded by 619

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Superceded by 619

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Turner, Michelle

Membership Status:

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # **615**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **0** Line Fig/Table# Subclause **0**

All citations in Clause 2 shall be normatively referenced within the body of the document. Are these two references that are being added cited in the Base? If not, they will need to be cited in text in this amendment.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Superceded by 813

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 620

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Turner, Michelle

Membership Status:

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # **616**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **General** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **0** Line Fig/Table# Subclause **0**

Separate electronic files of figures shall be supplied in TIFF format (unless created in FrameMaker).

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Instruction to Editor: Separate electronic files of figures shall be supplied in TIFF format (unless created in FrameMaker).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 621

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 617

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Make sure that there are no trademarks in the standard

Suggested Remedy

If found, delete or replace

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

We found only the WirelessMAN TM, but this is an IEEE one

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 618

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Search on the following words: object identifier, unique identifier, unique number

Suggested Remedy

If found, submit the draft to the IEEE Registration authority for mandatory coordination

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No occurrence was found

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

No specific modification.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **619**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment

Type **General**

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Avoid using "must", except is made a statement of an absolute fact

Suggested Remedy

Check each "must" and replace

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

Instruction to Editor: make text changes according to resolutions to specific comments, which superceed this comment

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **620**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment

Type **General**

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause **2**

All citations in Clause 2 shall be normatively referenced within the body of the document

Suggested Remedy

Delete the references in clause 2 -are not used anymore

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **621**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment

Type **General**

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Separate electronic files of figures shall be submitted in TIFF format

Suggested Remedy

Task for the 16h Editor

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Instruction to Editor: see resolution to comment 616

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

It's not in the draft file, I will submit it to Roger seperately.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 622

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Make sure that 16h is amending Rev 2 -

Suggested Remedy

check every changed text that have not been already changed in Rev 2

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in C80216h-04/044r1.

The Editor is empowered to change the references, if needed for consistence with Rev. 2 and 802.16j

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 623

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Make sure that 16h is amending Rev 2 -

Suggested Remedy

check every clause referenced that have not been already changed in Rev 2

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in C80216h-04/044r1.

The Editor is empowered to change the references, if needed for consistence with Rev. 2 and 802.16j

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **624**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **3** Line **14** Fig/Table# Subclause **1.3.4**

WirelessMAN UCP does not work without operator coordination. Its usage shall be limited to bands where the operator coordination is imposed by regulation

Suggested Remedy

Change in Table 1 "below 11GHz" to "US 3.65-3.7GHz"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

the reason for removal does not stand anymore, as the LBT was removed (see resolution to comment 696).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 625

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

UCP-DMA protocol involves BS transmission during the 802.16 up-link sub-frame and creates cumulative interference on a huge area - 30km radius beyond the location of any BS implementing DMA; it does not respect the TDD basic DL/UL synchronization and UCP profile requirements

Suggested Remedy

Delete the text referring the FRST transmission during the up-link sub-frame or delete the LBT/DMA protocol from the draft (clause 6.4.1.3.4)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 626

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 43 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

UCP-DMA mentions that a 802.16 compliant unit may also implement 802.11 CTS; this is beyond the scope of the 802.16h PAR

Suggested Remedy

Delete "For instance, in bands where 802.11 would be a typical co-channel asynchronous system, the 802.11 CTS transmitted using the appropriate 802.11 burst structure would suffice" and the recognition of 802.11 preambles;
alternatively delete the LBT/DMA protocol from the draft (clause 6.4.1.3.4)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Delete "For instance, in bands where 802.11 would be a typical co-channel asynchronous system, the 802.11 CTS transmitted using the appropriate 802.11 burst structure would suffice"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 627

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

UCP-DMA - CMA-CS (Clear Medium Assessment Carrier Sense) mentions detection levels 10dB lower than the energy detection level, for the case that valid BS preambles can be recognized; as 802.16 systems are synchronized, such preambles cannot be recognized by another 802.16 BS

Suggested Remedy

Delete the text referring CMA-CS (Clear Medium Assessment Carrier Sense) or alternatively delete the LBT/DMA protocol from the draft (clause 6.4.1.3.4)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 628

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 38 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

UCP-DMA does not provide provisions for improved coexistence between 802.16 systems; UCP-DMA is not acceptable under the 802.16h PAR

Suggested Remedy

Fix this issue or alternatively delete the LBT/DMA protocol from the draft (clause 6.4.1.3.4)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 629

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 22 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.3

Fix CX-DL-MAP

Suggested Remedy

Possible TLV usage may improve

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes from contribution C802.16h-08/041r2.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

The text changes relative to CSI from 041r1 were considered as not needed, because CSI is not mentioned in the CX-DL-MAP and CX-UL-MAP.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 630

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 25 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.3

Fix CX-UL-MAP

Suggested Remedy

Possible TLV usage may improve

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 629

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 631

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

WirelessHUMAN covers only the 5GHz band; the system options were changed by Rev 2

Suggested Remedy

Add to applicability:(primarily for 5-6GHz band)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change the table as follows:

Applicability: 5-6GHz

PHY specification: 8.2, 8.3

System Features: insert clause number for 802.16h profiles

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

1. WirelessHUMAN specifies only 5-6GHz
2. The 802.16 systems shall use a coexistence protocol in this band

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 632

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 3 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

Update references to PHY modes; Set options according to Rev 2 style

Suggested Remedy

Replace references with 8.2, 8.3 and at options delete existing text and replace with 12.8.2; fix also the text below the table

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace references with 8.2, 8.3 and at options delete existing text and replace with 12.8.2; fix also the text below the table

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 633

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 4 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 2

References to IETF are not used

Suggested Remedy

Delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 620

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 634

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.124

In licensed bands the blocking is defined for 6dB degradation of the sensitivity level. Makes no sense to define in 16h the harmful interference as 1 dB degradation

Suggested Remedy

Replace: an interferer causing a degradation of the receive sensitivity level is considered as producing harmful interference

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

superceded by comment 26

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 635

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.14

definition not using the standard conventions

Suggested Remedy

replace "subscribers" with "SS or MS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

replace "subscribers" with "SSs or MSs"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 636

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 11 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3

the new rev 2 numbering is different

Suggested Remedy

align all 6.2 with Rev 2 numbering

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Action to Editor

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 637

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 11 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3

Not all the messages are included; the "type" is not correlated with Rev 2 and 16h numbers in the following pages

Suggested Remedy

Fix this issue or alternatively delete the LBT/DMA protocol from the draft (clause 6.4.1.3.4)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Action to the Editor: fix Table 14

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Mariana regrets the proposed resolution; probably simply a mistake

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 638

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 11 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.24

No TLVs are included

Suggested Remedy

Include TLVs or delete this clause

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 639

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 12 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.33
not clear

Suggested Remedy

change "CXCC from" to "CXCC transmissions from"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 640

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 12 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause
Numbering of 16h MAC messages shall be aligned with Rev 2

Suggested Remedy

Editor action

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

For the Editor: permanent task using the last available Rev 2 draft

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

start with 6.3.2.3.60

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 641

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 13 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

The message scope is not well described

Suggested Remedy

Insert as first line after the title: " `The CCID_IND message is sent by SS to the serving BS for requesting the BS to undertake a coexistence action"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the first sentence with: "The CCID_IND message is sent by SS to the serving BS for requesting the BS to undertake a coexistence action. The SS innitiate the request after the detection of unresolved co-channel interference."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 642

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 15 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

Crosspol is omitted

Suggested Remedy

Add to bits 24-25 the field "10-crosspolarization"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 643

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63

not clear

Suggested Remedy

Change "this message is sent" by "this message is sent by BS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superseeded by 644

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceeded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **644**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 16 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.63
repetition

Suggested Remedy

Delete "It is sent by the BS and"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the first 2 sentences by:

"This message is sent from the BS to the SS initiating the CCID_IND message. It is used to indicate whether the interference events identified in the CCID_IND (specifically identified by the CCID) have been resolved."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 645

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 18 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.65
fuzzy text

Suggested Remedy

Replace the first sentence with: "This message is sent by the destination BS of the CX-FWD-REQ message to the source BS.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 646

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 19 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.66
The reception of theCX-FWD-RSP is not acknowledged

Suggested Remedy

Use CX-FWD-IND; add after the first paragraph: "This message shall be also used for indicating the correct reception of the CX-FWD-RSP message. In this case the BSID if the destination BS is included as TLV encoded attribute"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 647

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 24

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.72

Missing some CX allocations

Suggested Remedy

1Add allocations for transmitting the radio signalling (15.3.5)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceeded by 648

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

superceeded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 648

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 24

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.72

Missing some CX allocations

Suggested Remedy

1Add allocations for special signalling procedures (15.3.5)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 629

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 649

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 25 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.73

SSURF transmission opportunity is not well explained

Suggested Remedy

Explain the parameter with text in the next page

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceeded by resolution to comments 630.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

superceeded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 650

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 25

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.73

Missing some CX allocations

Suggested Remedy

1Add allocations for transmitting the radio signalling (15.3.5)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceeded by 651

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

superceeded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 651

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 25

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.2.3.73

Missing some CX allocations

Suggested Remedy

1Add allocations for special signalling procedures (15.3.5)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 629

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 652

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 27

Line 6

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.7.5.4

The CX-Frame allocations will change the "allocation end time"

Suggested Remedy

add after 2*Tf: "for WirelessMAN-CX: Allocation End time <8Tf"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

add after 2*Tf: "for WirelessMAN-CX: Allocation End time <8*Tf"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 653

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.2

This section does not add new requirements for BS-SS interoperability; the added examples do not imply mandatory operation, because such operation shall be specified in text; European regulations in 5GHz are changing, and 16h shall not repeat the regulation or appear as a substitute for the regulations

Suggested Remedy

No need for a new section; the text shall be carefully revised or deleted

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete the following:
pages 29,30,31
delete page 32 lines 1-28.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

modify the broken cross reference in 6.4.1.3.2 by change it into:

Also the mechanism given in 6.4.1.2 may be used to maintain a list of available Alternative channels for use in the event interference is detected on a channel that needs vacating due to high levels of interference.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **654**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **36** Line **45** Fig/Table# Subclause **6.4.1.3.3**

CX systems actually do not communicate for the Master selection

Suggested Remedy

Delete from "with the simplification" until the end of the phrase

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Delete from "with the simplification" until the end of the paragraph

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **655**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **36** Line **45** Fig/Table# Subclause **6.4.1.3.3**

There is no mechanism to return a "borrowed" subframe for the usage of a new Master

Suggested Remedy

Replace "borrowed" with "shared"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In the context of this section, "shared" means a subframe where two systems can both transmit, possibly through power adjustment, etc. A "borrowed" subframe is one where the borrowing system is using it because the system that would use it does not exist or is off the air. The method of returning it is the detection that the rightful user is now present, triggering the borrower to cease using it. As the methods for detection are specified in section 15 (or could be via operator coordination), there is no need to reference them here.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **656**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **39** Line **3** Fig/Table# Subclause **6.4.1.3.4**

CMA-CS cannot operate: how two BSs transmitting in the same time can detect the preamble transmitted by each-other?

Suggested Remedy

Delete the phrase starting with CMA has two thresholds

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

This comment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 657

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

The definition of channel occupancy in time domain only ignores the power and spatial dimentions, which can reduce the channel occupancy; reduce the capacity of of system; the "number of systems on a channel" is high in cellular deployment; the ball is in the operator's garden to determine it; improve the definition or remove section 6.4.1.3.4.1

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 658

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

It is not explained what procedure shall be used to determine the number of systems on a channel

Suggested Remedy

Define the procedure or delete this clause

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 659

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

"Fair spectrum occupancy" is not explained

Suggested Remedy

Define this metrics or delete this clause

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 660

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 42 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1

CMA-CS cannot be used due to BS Tx synchronization

Suggested Remedy

Delete from Table h1 the row dedicated to CMA-CS thresholds

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 661

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 44 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

English ? Prolem: how the acquisition and discovery are done in the same time?

Suggested Remedy

Delete "discovery" in the clause title

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change the heading to "6.4.1.3.4.1.1 DMA discovery protocol"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 662

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 44 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

First paragraph: not true and not possible, due to the sync Tx operation of the BS

Suggested Remedy

Explain or delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **663**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **47** Line **25** Fig/Table# Subclause **8.3.6.2.11**
wrong IE number

Suggested Remedy

replace 08 with 09; coordinate with Maintenance and 16j regarding DIUC and UIUC usage

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Coordination done; the 16j is the base of the numbering

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 843

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **664**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **48** Line **8** Fig/Table# Subclause **8.4.4.2**
The CXZ concept has been replaced by the Master concept

Suggested Remedy

Delete: changes to the basic standard on page 48 and clause 8.4.5.3.28

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

only delete CXZ related changes, table 277a updated to 326

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 665

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 49 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.5.3.30
There is no channel number

Suggested Remedy

Replace in all the document "extended Channel number" with "Channel center frequency" and "ExChNr" with "ChFr"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. Replace in all the document "extended Channel number" with "Channel center frequency" and "ExChNr" with "ChCtrFr"
2. Add "ChCtrFr" to mnemonics

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 666

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 51 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.13.3.1
wrong words

Suggested Remedy

Replace "on-channel" with "co-channel"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 667

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 11 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.23
align reference with Rev 2

Suggested Remedy

replace 11.8.3.9.1 with 11.8.3.7.1

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Action to Editor

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 668

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 14 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.33
SS receiver saturation is caused by interference and shall be announced to BS

Suggested Remedy

Add a new TLV: Receiver saturation: used for indicating the ab-normal receiver saturation state, expressed as duty factor of the clipping state;different values shall be provided: during the Master sub-frames, Slave and Shared subframes and CXCBi intervals

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes indicated in C802.16h-08/039r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 669

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 55 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

Missing TLV for the SS saturation state

Suggested Remedy

Add TLVs

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by the resolution to comment 673.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 670

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 10.5.1

backhaul-less is not used anymore

Suggested Remedy

replace in all the document "backhaul-less unit" with "unit using special registration procedures (see 15.3.5.4)"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

only change "backhaul-less unit", no change on "backhaul-less systems"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 671

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 10.5.2

Wrong references used in Table 345c

Suggested Remedy

Replace all the references with 15.3.1

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 672

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 10.5

Some parameters are missing

Suggested Remedy

Check if all the parameters are included

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 673

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 53 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11
Some parameters are missing

Suggested Remedy

Check if all the parameters are included

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in contribution C802.16h-08/040.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 674

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 54 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.5
Wrong English

Suggested Remedy

replace "forward SS" with "SS with forwarding capabilities"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 675

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 55 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.9.1

Some more capability info is needed

Suggested Remedy

Add: "Bit#9: Energy detection capabilities (15.4.1.4); Bit#10: MAP start relevance after Tproc(6.3.7.5.4); Bit#11: MAP end relevance after 8*Tframe (6.3.7.5.4)"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

last 2 reference is to 6.3.7.5.3 instead of 6.3.7.5.4

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 676

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 56 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

If CX-Zone is deleted

Suggested Remedy

Remove bit 10

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 677

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
Specific CINR request related to Master and Share Frames is missing	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	56			11.11

Suggested Remedy

add

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by the resolution to comment 673.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 678

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 56 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.12

the added fields may be in conflict with Rev 2 or 16j

Suggested Remedy

Harmonize

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by the resolution to comment 673.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 679

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 55 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

the added fields may be in conflict with Rev 2 or 16j

Suggested Remedy

Harmonize

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 663

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 668

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 680

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 55 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

Saturation indication is missing

Suggested Remedy

Add saturation indication (as % of clipping in Master, Slave, Shared and CXCBI receive operation time, separately for each one)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Editor: superceeded by resolution to comment 668.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

superceeded

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **681**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **56** Line Fig/Table# Subclause **11.12**

Saturation indication is missing

Suggested Remedy

Add saturation indication (as % of clipping in Master, Slave and Shared receive operation time, separately for each one)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

Editor: superceeded by resolution to comment 668.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceeded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **682**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page **57** Line Fig/Table# Subclause **11.12**

The BS needs to send a message informing the SS what each type is associated with each actually possible SSU in a band

Suggested Remedy

Define the corresponding MAC message

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Disagree**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no text

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 683

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 59 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

In table h3 there are two identical GPS fields, both too short

Suggested Remedy

Keep type 40 and replace in all the document the parameter called "GPS coordinates" with "GPS_LOC"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 684

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 60 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

Cross-pol is missing

Suggested Remedy

Add 3 - cross-polarization; 4 - circular polarization; 5 - horizontal polarization

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 685

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 60 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22
we work only per channel

Suggested Remedy

Replace "block" with "channel"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

replace 4 "block"s

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 686

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 61 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22
type 31: there is no list of channel

Suggested Remedy

Re-define

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no text

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 687

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22
type 42: cross pol is missing

Suggested Remedy

Add 10: cross-polarization

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 688

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22
type 43: not clear

Suggested Remedy

Clarify what these types are; are they used somewhere?

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no proposed text

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 689

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

type 44: interfered-with says nothing

Suggested Remedy

Replace with something clear

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace : "Number of CXCC cycles that the interfered-with device has been inhibited prior to sending an interference report."
with

"Number of CXCC cycles that the device experiencing interference has been inhibited prior to sending an interference report."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 690

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

type 46: what means "interference source sustained by the device?"

Suggested Remedy

Replace with something clear

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

"Number of interference events per specified CXCC cycles, from indicated interference sources sustained by the device"

with

" Number of interference events per specified CXCC cycles, experienced by the device"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 691

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

type 48: CMI intervals are not numbered

Suggested Remedy

Define or delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

CMI intervals in which interference detections occur for the device.

with "Number of CMI intervals in which the device experienced interference"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 692

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22
type 53: missing clarity

Suggested Remedy

Define thresholds as negative numbers

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no text provided

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 693

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22
type 55: missing clarity

Suggested Remedy

Define numbers for each state

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no text provided

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 694

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 62 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.22

There are both radio signature type and radio signature identifier

Suggested Remedy

Check if both are needed

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no proposed text

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 695

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 63 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5

Miss-match with Rev 2

Suggested Remedy

Change 12.5 to 12.8

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Editor to check and make the change accordingly

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 696

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 64 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5.1

UCP has many problems; better try to harmonize with 15.4 and create a profile having similar operation with UCP

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in contribution C802.16h-08/043r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 697

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 64 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5.2

Y and N are not used in Rev 2

Suggested Remedy

Replace Y with Yes and N with No

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 698

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 64 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5.2.1

UCP is referenced here too large

Suggested Remedy

Replace 6.4.1 with 6.4.1.3.3. and 6.4.1.3.4

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 699

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 66 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5.2.

BS sync definition is in contradiction with 15.2

Suggested Remedy

Remove first 2 rows in Table h8, being already defined

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

page 66 line 62 is table h9:

~~Precision of Neighboring BS Synchronization TDD:[$\pm 10\mu s$]~~

~~FDD: no requirement~~

~~TDD-DL/UL ratio [3:2 ($\pm 2\%$)]~~

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 700

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 67 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5.2.
No brackets shall remain

Suggested Remedy

Remove brackets

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 701

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1
Text problem

Suggested Remedy

Remove the text in paranthesys

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

~~(and we follow here the common practice to assume that interference affects the receiver similar to noise of the same power and hence it is additive to noise)~~

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 702

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1
English

Suggested Remedy

instead of "signal received by the receiver" write "received signal"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 703

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1
Harmful interference is actually not defined; in licensed bands is 6dB degradation of sensitivity levels

Suggested Remedy

Replace the paragraph with: Harmful interference is considered as an interference level which increases the sensitivity level by 6dB

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no agreement on 6dB

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 704

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

Light interference is not used to trigger anything

Suggested Remedy

Consider to delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no exact text proposed

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 705

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 70 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

There is the definition of harmful interference in radio regulations; we should not invent new language; the meaning is destructive

Suggested Remedy

Replace "destructive" with "harmful" and adapt the text

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

No specific text proposed.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 706

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 71 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.1
backhaul-less used

Suggested Remedy

replave "backhaul-less systems" with "systems using special signaling procedures"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

replace "backhaul-less systems" with "systems using special signaling procedures"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 707

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 71 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.2
no FDD

Suggested Remedy

Delete this paragraph

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 708

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 71 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.2
bi-directional is not the right word

Suggested Remedy

Replace in this page and the next one "bi-directional" with "reciprocal"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change:

"Figure h 20 shows some examples of neighbor relationship formed by bidirectional interference."
to "Figure h 20 shows some examples of neighbor relationship formed by reciprocal interference."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 709

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1
Initialization text is not needed, being already covered in 15.1.4.4

Suggested Remedy

Delete 15.1.3.1 (1) - lines 16-65 ; add after (1) a sentence "This stage is described in 15.1.4.4."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 710

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 75 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

fig. h23 shall cover only the coordinated approach; additionaly is not clear the romb with victim and source

Suggested Remedy

Fix fig. h23 or delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete text on pages 75 and 76, including fig. h23.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 711

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 77 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.3.1

Missing clarity

Suggested Remedy

Insert at row 11 "The interference within sub-frames can be optimally assessed using CXCC"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 712

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 78 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1
Needs usage

Suggested Remedy

Replace "needs to" with "shall" from L37 to L60

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 713

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1
Usage of slave sub-frames can be further improved based on operator agreements

Suggested Remedy

Add a sentence at the beginning of the list: "The operation in Slave mode may be provisioned based on operator agreements; these agreements may use power control, antenna orientation, MIMO operation, etc.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 714

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1
Replace "can"

Suggested Remedy

Replace "can" as indicated: 1. on L1 and L4: replace with "should be" 2. On L7: replace "will" with "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

"The systems owning a Master sub-frame can identify the transmitter of a Slave system causing interference;
o A Master System can communicate with a Slave system to require the reduction of the transmitted power of those units which create interference;"

with

"The systems owning a Master sub-frame may identify the transmitter of a Slave system causing interference;
o A Master System should communicate with a Slave system to require the reduction of the transmitted power of those units which create interference;"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 715

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.1

Where in inter-system communication is defined the relation between BSID and occupied Master sub-frames?

Suggested Remedy

If missing, specify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No specified text change

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 716

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 79 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.4.3

No backhaul-less systems anymore

Suggested Remedy

Replace "backhaul-less systems" with "systems using special signalling procedures"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 717

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 80 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1.5
recursive text

Suggested Remedy

Delete "from the BSIS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 718

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.3
fix sentence

Suggested Remedy

Replace the first sentence with: "The NTI is composed of 1000 NTI_S intervals.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

replace on line 29:

"The NTI will be comprised of 1000 1 Millisecond slots called NTI_S units"

with

"The NTI is composed of 1000 NTI_S intervals".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 719

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.5
wrong place for the table h12

Suggested Remedy

Move the information to clause 10

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

clause 10 is not the right place

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 720

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 83 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.3
Master shall be spelled with M

Suggested Remedy

Replace "master" with "Master" in all the document

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

hundreds change

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 721

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 83 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.3

The 3 lines starting here are not needed

Suggested Remedy

Delete from L51 to L55

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 722

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.3

grammar

Suggested Remedy

Add "S" at the end of "message"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add "s" at the end of "message".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 723

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.3
be precise

Suggested Remedy

Replace "identification" with "assessment"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 724

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 84 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1
avoid recurring references

Suggested Remedy

Delete "As described in 15.1.4.3"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 725

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 86 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1
english

Suggested Remedy

1. delete "the" on line 5; 2: Fix sentence at L17: replace "systems" by "BSs" and delete "in their Base Stations"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 726

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 86 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1
Not clear for a standard

Suggested Remedy

clarify or delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

it is clear, no need to change.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 727

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 86 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1
replacements needed

Suggested Remedy

replace "will be " with "is" and backhaul-less systems" with " systems using special signaling procedures"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1.On line 27 replace "shall be used" with "is used"

2. Replace from p86L60 to p87L3 with:

Sub-channel 3 is used for Secondary sync / Freq keying (BS_NURBC transmission with freq keying see 15.3.4.3) and special signaling procedures(15.3.5.4).

The CXCC sub-channel 3 uses the defined MAC Frames within the CX MAC Frame numbers 512-767. The sub-channel 3 expands over the CXCC Multi-Frame, as follows:

- Cycle 1: Secondary sync;
- Cycle 2: Special signaling procedure: Registration request;
- Cycle 3: Special signaling procedure: Registration reply;
- Cycle 4: BS_NURBC transmission.

The signals to be transmitted are defined in 15.3.5. These signals are transmitted at the beginning of the designated CXCC slots, i.e. no preambles, FCH, MAPs are sent during CXCC sub-channel 3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 728

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1

will usage

Suggested Remedy

replace "will be" with "is"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolated by resolution to comment 92.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Obsoleted

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 729

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1

fix text

Suggested Remedy

Replace from L17 to L29 with: The data or Radio Signatures (see 15.3.5.4.2 and 15.3.6.2) are transmitted using the max. power during the corresponding Master sub-frames. If regular data transmission is used, should be scheduled in such a way to represent the power density characteristics of cumulated radio signatures.

Every system using a specific Master sub-frame shall use the CXCC allocation mapped into that Master subframe for letting a new system to assess the maximum interference within that Master sub-frame and frequency channel.

CXCC sub-channel 4 uses the defined MAC Frames within the CX MAC Frame numbers 768-1023."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 730

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1
fix English

Suggested Remedy

Replace the paragraph starting at L38 with: CSI sub-channel is used for interference identification and basic connectivity creation within interference neighborhood. ICSI shall be allocated within the shared frame, which is used for the initialization of WirelessMAN-CX systems. OCSI shall be allocated for each WirelessMAN-CX system within the Master allocation used for the operation of that system.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 731

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.1
Not clear how n=4 is used for the identification of sporadic users

Suggested Remedy

Define or delete the sentence

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The explanation is clear.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 732

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 90 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

not clear - there are many profiles

Suggested Remedy

Insert in title "PHY" before "profile"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 733

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

repetition

Suggested Remedy

delete the text starting at "(" and ending at "."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 734

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 91 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.3

CX-FWD-Req is omitted

Suggested Remedy

add after "primitives"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

the existing text is ok.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 735

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 93 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.4

repeated info

Suggested Remedy

delete from L8 to L19

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 736

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 94 Line 94 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.3.5
OFDM CMI is not defined

Suggested Remedy

Define or delete OFDM

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no text provided

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 737

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 103 Line Fig/Table# Subclause
Table h13 shall be in clause 11

Suggested Remedy

Define text and move it

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

no text provided

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 738

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 106 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.1

Up-date references to Rev 2

Suggested Remedy

Replace with: The frequency-keyed energy pulses use for every single sub-channel the preambles defined for sub-channelization in the chapter 8.2.2.4. Every energy bin is mapped to a OFDM sub-channel (see Table 247-OFDM symbols parameters), as shown in the Table h 14.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 739

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 108 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.3

wrong sub-channel

Suggested Remedy

Replace "1" with "3"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

BS_NURBC message is sent within CXCC sub-channel 3 allocation,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 740

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4
legacy master?

Suggested Remedy

replace "legacy" with "operating"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 741

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 109 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.3.5.4
not clear

Suggested Remedy

replace "next" with "third"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 742

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 110

Line 15

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.6

"the " ?

Suggested Remedy

delete "the" on lines 15 and 19

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 743

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 112

Line 39

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.6.3

the relations are not correct because is not possible to add powers in dbm

Suggested Remedy

fix or delete 15.3.6.3

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 744

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

some text is obsolete after definning CX-CBP

Suggested Remedy

Delete text 1. L1 to L4;L13-L16; L22 to L31; L34 to L44; L50 to L65

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 745

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

some text is obsolete after definning CX-CBP

Suggested Remedy

Change: "If interference is detected during the CXCC sub-channel 1, the MAC frame 4N+2 shall be made available for the first Bursty system (3.91)."

to

"If interference is detected during the CXCC sub-channel 1, the CX frame structure shall be changed according to 15.4.1.4.1.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:Date: 5-Sep-2008Comment # 746Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 116 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3
improve text

Suggested Remedy

Replace "The Slave systems to WirelessMAN-CX Masters may transmit only if are implementing the CXP and are able to reduce their operating powers, including the request to stop the operation." with "The Slave systems to WirelessMAN-CX Masters may transmit only if comply to one of the following conditions: 1. are provisionned by operators for usage of the Slave sub-frames; in this case the operators assume the responsibility of not interfereng with the Master sub-frames; 2. implement CXP messages for inter-system communication and are able to reduce their operating powers, including the request to stop the operation."

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Replace "The Slave systems to WirelessMAN-CX Masters may transmit only if are implementing the CXP and are able to reduce their operating powers, including the request to stop the operation."

with

"The Slave systems to WirelessMAN-CX Masters may transmit only if comply to one of the following conditions:

1. are provisionned by operators for usage of the Slave sub-frames; in this case the operators assume the responsibility of not interfereng with the Master sub-frames;
2. implement CXP messages for inter-system communication including the CXP messages that reduce their operating powers, including the request to stop the operation."

Reason for Group's Decision/ResolutionGroup's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 747

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3
some text is obsolete after definning CX-CBP

Suggested Remedy

Delete text 1. L1 to L12; L31-L65

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 748

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3

improve text

Suggested Remedy

Replace" The detection of systems which are not WirelessMAN-CX compliant will be based on interference-measuring during CXCC slots for No+Io."

with

"The detection of systems which are not WirelessMAN-CX compliant will be based on interference-measuring during CXCC sub-channel 1"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 749

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3
improve text

Suggested Remedy

Move text between lines 22 and 30 to page 120, clause A.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 750

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 118 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.3
some text is obsolete after definning CX-CBP

Suggested Remedy

Delete fig. h43

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 751

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 119 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4
some text is obsolete after definning CX-CBP

Suggested Remedy

Delete text between L37-L44

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 752

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 120 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4
some text is obsolete after definning CX-CBP

Suggested Remedy

Delete text between L9 and L12

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 753

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 120 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4

improve text

Suggested Remedy

Change "Systems working during the CXCBI intervals will not create interference to 802.11 based systems. With this scope, it will be applied a special form of the contention-based protocol, named "Coordinated Coexistence Contention-Based Protocol" or CX-CBP." to "In this interval is applied a special form of the contention-based protocol, named "Coordinated Coexistence Contention-Based Protocol" or CX-CBP.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Note to Editor: this resolution takes precedence on the change of 802.11 to Bursty according to the resolution to comment 577.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 754

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4
remove brackets

Suggested Remedy

remove brackets around 50us

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. Introduce under 10.5 a new sub-clause: CX-CBI parameters;
2. Introduce in the new clause CX_LBT_Time with a value of 50us.
3. Delete [50us] from page 121.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

10.5.4

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 755

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 122 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4
improve text

Suggested Remedy

Replace text between Lines 8-16 with " -- The CX-DL-MAP and CX-UL-MAP messages are used for scheduling the traffic in MAC Frames either for extended relevance or for scheduling conditional transmissions. These messages have an enlarged scope and allow the support of MAC Frames using SLBT.
-- The BS shall not transmit any preambles and control messages in CX-CBI, such as FCH/DL-MAP/UL-MAP/DCD/UCD etc.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace text between Lines 8-16 with
" -- The CX-DL-MAP and CX-UL-MAP messages are used for scheduling the traffic in MAC Frames either for extended relevance or for scheduling conditional transmissions. These messages have an enlarged scope and allow the support of MAC Frames using SLBT.
-- All the BS transmissions shall use SLBT. The first DL data burst transmitted in a frame should start with a preamble transmission, pre-scheduled in the CX-DL-MAP message. MAPs may be transmitted, if they are pre-scheduled within CX-DL-MAP message.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 756

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 122 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4

improve text

Suggested Remedy

add after "missiom" : "with lines at an angle"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace:

"In Figure h 46' and Figure h 47 is shown the random number of slots before the scheduled conditional transmission."
with

"In Figure h 46' and Figure h 47 is shown, with lines at an angle, the random number of slots before the scheduled conditional transmission."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 757

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 124 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.4
improve text

Suggested Remedy

replace in all 15.4 "CXZ" with "LBT TO"; add to accronymes: LBT-TO: transmission opportunity depending on LBT result"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace in all 15.4 "CXZ" with "LBT-TXOP"; add to accronymes: LBT-TXOP: transmission opportunity depending on LBT result"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

CXZ_IE in aconymes is deleted also

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 758

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 126 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.5

Borrowed sub-frame is not used in clause 15

Suggested Remedy

Delete "borrowed sub-frame"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. Replace on the previous page:

The MAPs in master sub-frames shall be used to indicate the resource allocation of this system in a CX-Frame, possibly including the resource schedule in the master sub-frame, shared sub-

with

"The MAPs in Master sub-frames should be used to indicate the resource allocation of this system in a CX-Frame, possibly including the resource schedule in the master sub-frame, shared sub-

Replace on this page:

"frame, slave sub-frame, borrowed sub-frame, CX-CBP sub-frame (see 15.4.1.4.2) and optional common sub-frame."

with

"frame, slave sub-frame, CX-CBP sub-frames (see 15.4.1.4.2) and optional common sub-frame."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 759

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 133 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.5

Check that all the parameters in CX-CTP are listed in clause 11

Suggested Remedy

check

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

No text provided

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 760

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 147 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3

Check that all the parameters in messages are listed in clause 11

Suggested Remedy

check

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete bt the resolution to comment 673.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 761

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 147 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3

No ACK is given to CX-FWD-RSP

Suggested Remedy

1. Insert on line 26, after "action." the following: "CX-FWD-IND shall be also used as acknowledge for the correct reception of CX-FWD-RSP." 2. Define the generic acknowledge for all the CX-FWD-RSP.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Insert on line 26, after "action." the following: "CX-FWD-IND shall be also used as acknowledge for the correct reception of CX-FWD-RSP."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 762

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 148 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.1

"foreign SS" is much better than "interfered-with"

Suggested Remedy

Replace in all the document, exepting this line, "interfered-with" with "foreign SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

change "interfered-with" with "foreign"

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 763

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.1

Cross polarization missing

Suggested Remedy

Add "cross polarization" in all the places where appears "antenna polarization"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 764

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.1
words

Suggested Remedy

Replace "interference" with "coexistence"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace:
"The SSURF is sent on its working channel using the same power as a regular data transmission and with a sub-carrier allocation known to the interference neighborhood." with
"The SSURF is sent on its working channel using the same power as a regular data transmission and with a sub-carrier allocation known to the coexistence neighborhood."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 765

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.1
words

Suggested Remedy

Replace "shall" with "should"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 766

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.1
words

Suggested Remedy

Delete the sentence starting with "this SS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 767

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 149 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.1
words

Suggested Remedy

Replace associated with serving

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 768

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 152 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.5
there is no ID of SS, but SSID

Suggested Remedy

Make the suitable replacements in all the document

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

SSID of the forwarding SS: SSID of the forwarding SS

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 769

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 155 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.15
missing explannation

Suggested Remedy

Insert: Number of the Master sub-frame within CX-frame

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 770

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 155 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.16
words

Suggested Remedy

replave "time slot" by "allocation"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 771

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 155 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.16
words

Suggested Remedy

delete "/"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 772

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause
words

Suggested Remedy

replace "shall" by "should"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 773

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 163

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

consolidate table h19 with table h3 in 11.22

Suggested Remedy

1. consolidate the table 2. check if all the similar fields have the same names 3. check if all the similar fields have the same length

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by the resolution to comment 673.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 774

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

check the consistence between messages, their parameters and the expected functionality

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Implement text changes in C80216h-04/044r1.

The Editor is empowered to change the references, if needed for consistence with Rev. 2 and 802.16j

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Goldhamer, Mariana

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 775

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

check the consistence between CXCC allocations and MAPs

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsoleted by resolution to comment 629

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 776

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 1

Line 36

Fig/Table#

Subclause

NOTE says that "The editing instructions contained in this amendment/corrigendum define how to merge the material contained herein into the existing base standard IEEE Std 802.16-2004." There are many cases in this document where the baseline material is not out of IEEE Std 802.16-2004 but rather it appears to be new text, not identified as such, or it modifies text that is in some revision of a currently incomplete (and unapproved) draft.

Suggested Remedy

All text changes must refer back to an existing standard. Remove all text that refers to a draft in progress and update text to modify/remove/amend text in IEEE Std 802.16-2004.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

See resolution to comment 814.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 777

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.3

It's not clear why "Non-exclusively assigned" is used instead of "License exempt". "License exempt" is defined on page 2 in the paragraph starting on line 22, and in the definitions section, subclause 3.117

Suggested Remedy

Revert text to "License exempt"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1. Revert back to "license-exempt" instead of "non-exclusively assigned" in all the document.
2. Replace 3.117 text with

" 3.117 License-exempt (LE): A generic term to imply the designation of a band in which devices with similar and/or different wireless technologies are permitted to operate with no guarantee of either exclusivity or interference free operation. Under a license-exempt regime,neighbouring users operating in the same band have a need to coexist, whether or not mandatory coexistence rules are imposed by regulation. Light-licensing in the UK or operation in 3.65-3.7GHz in US, where operating sites require registration but assignments are not coordinated, should be treated in the same way as license-exemption in this context."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 778

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.3

This sentence and the following two paragraphs are modifications of something that is not 802.16-2004. The note on page 1 states that the amendment defines how to merge the material onto 802.16-2004, but the text markup contains material that modifies text not contained in 802.16-2004. It is not clear what we're amending here.

Suggested Remedy

Correct changes to refer to 802.16-2004

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

See resolution to comment 814

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 779

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

This table is missing the "Additional MAC requirements" column. Is the "DFS (6.3.15)" text to be left unchanged?

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

See coment 587

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 780

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 3 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

Table is missing "Additional MAC requirements" column. Assume there are no changes for this column? Editorial mark-up should be more clear.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

See comment 779

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 781

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 3 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

shall further comply with the DFS protocols (6.4.1.3.2) but 6.4.1.3.2 is for dynamic channel selection (DCS). Are we saying that DFS is the same as DCS (section 3.110 says it's different), or is the reference incorrect?

Suggested Remedy

Correct the reference

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete the newly added sentence on page 3, line 29 starting "WirelessHUMAN shall further comply..."
Do not delete the original sentence on page 3, line 32 starting "~~They shall further comply with the DFS...~~"
Correct the section reference to be "(6.2.15)".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Correct the section reference to be "(6.4.1.2)".

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 782

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 4 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.4

There is no Figure 3 or subclause 1.4.4 in the Standard.

Suggested Remedy

Remove this text; it refers to non-approved text in a working draft

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

See resolution to comment 814

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 783

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.86

what is "its operating modulation/coding method."? Is there some minimum, or are we talking about the device's preferred modulation/coding scheme?

Suggested Remedy

Change to "its desired operating modulation/coding method."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

On line 25

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by comment 1

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 784

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.9

"not accessible through an backhaul network" is unclear. Does this mean that the device cannot be reached from outside the MAN?

Suggested Remedy

Change to "only accessible over-the-air" or something similar

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution of comment 7.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

superceded by comment 7

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 785

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 6 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.108

Re-definition of a well-used (or at the very least, altering the definition), "destructive interference" is unnecessary here. A new term should be defined.

Suggested Remedy

Use a new term for interference that prevents the "victim" from communicating by using any capable modulation".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 16

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 16

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 786

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.125

Here "Non-exclusive Assignment" is defined. It seems the amendment is for co-existence in unlicensed bands, but there is a great deal of material in the amendment related to non-exclusive licensing, which is NOT license exempt. It appears that the group has gone beyond its scope and produced a great deal of text regarding these non-excluded licensed bands, which should be removed.

Note also that under the non-exclusive licensing definition (3.126), the amendment states that non-exclusive licensed operators may or may not be required to coexist. So it seems we are often talking about licensed bands that do not require any coexistence measures, and that kind of material seems completely unrelated to the goal of the amendment.

Suggested Remedy

Remove material not related to license-exempt operation. The PAR only covers coexistence in license exempt (LE) operation for IEEE 802.16 fixed wireless systems

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Remove 3.125 and 3.126.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 787

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.142

"describe the realization" is strange wording. I think we're looking for "system", but "system" was specifically defined earlier, so perhaps it no longer fits. Overall, I think we probably don't need special acronyms or terms for this and 3.143 WirelessMAN-CX.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove](#)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The acronyms are used in Table 1

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 788

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.143

"describe the realization" is strange wording. I think we're looking for "system", but "system" was specifically defined earlier, so perhaps it no longer fits. Overall, I think we probably don't need special acronyms or terms for this and 3.142 WirelessMAN-UCP.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove](#)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The acronyms are used in Table 1

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 789

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.127

The explicit definition of "non-specific spectrum users" is unnecessary and confusing. The name seems to imply that the spectrum in use is non-sepecific, rather than that this is not a specific spectrum user.

Suggested Remedy

Remove this definition. The use of "non-" in the text is sufficient to convey to the reader that we are discussing the group that is not SSU.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 790

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.130

Radio signaling used is either a "coded energy pulse" or coded OFDM 256 FFT sub-carrier. I don't see a definition of a "coded energy pulse" anywhere in the document. The OFDM 256 FFT implies the 8.3 OFDM PHY, so it is not clear how an 8.4 OFDMA system will generate these "coded energy pulses".

Suggested Remedy

Define "coded energy pulse" and clarify that one method is for 8.3 OFDM systems and the other, presumably, is for the other PHYs in IEEE Std 802.16-2004.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the text for 3.130 with:

3.130 Radio Signaling: The radio signaling is used in the context of this standard to transmit information between systems using either energy pulses or OFDM 256 FFT preambles.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 791

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.131

Radio Signature is RS? Although the other amendment is also still in progress, RS has been used for some time as an abbreviation for Relay Station. RS does not appear to be used in this document (please check).

Suggested Remedy

Remove (RS). It's not used in the amendment.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

In the definition replace where needed RS with Radio Signature

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 792

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.134

Shared band is described with regard to exclusively and non-exclusively assigned bands, but it seems this amendment is about license exempt bands, which are not mentioned.

Suggested Remedy

Include "license exempt" in this definition

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

3.134 Shared Band: A generic term used to describe bands in which more than one wireless service or application operates in the same geographical location. This term is generally applied to both exclusively and non-exclusively assigned bands, including license-exempt bands.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:Date: 5-Sep-2008Comment # 793Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16hComment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 8 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.140

"System" is defined here. I think this is a dangerous precedent to try to define a "system" in an amendment that only applies to a small segment of the overall standard.

Suggested Remedy

Remove this definition. Anywhere "system" is used in the amendment, clarify if necessary.

Group ResolutionDecision of Group: DisagreeReason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The difference between the base standard and this amendment is that:

- base standard defines interactions between BSs and SSs/MSs belonging to one operator
- this amendment defined coexistence relations between systems (BSs and the associated SS), using different PHYs and generally belonging to different operators. These systems may be co-located in most of the cases.

The scope of 16h is to define the improved coexistence between these systems, and this notion is critical for the operation of the amendment.

Group's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 794

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 12 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.33

What is a "neighbor detection report"?

Suggested Remedy

Define what a "neighbor detection report" is.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Replace the text in section 6.3.2.3.33 as indicated:]

If the BS, operating in bands below 11 GHz, requires RSSI and CINR channel measurement reports, or requires neighborhood update reports,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 795

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 12 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.33

The sentence says that the SS may send an unsolicited REP-RSP message when interference is detected above a threshold value. This is changed to "CX interference criterion", which now limits the criterion to devices compliant with WirelessMAN-CX devices rather than any device that may detect and report based on interference.

Suggested Remedy

Revert text back to "a threshold value".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Instruction to Editor:

1. remove the text changes on page 12, line 30
2. on line 37 replace: "he following threshold levels will be used for the report" with:
"The following threshold levels should be used by systems compliant with Wireless-MAN CX for the report:"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 796

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 12 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.33

Not clear what "acceptable" interference threshold is, as it's not defined here.

Suggested Remedy

Change to "user defined interference threshold" or something similar.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the text with:

The following threshold levels will be used for the report:

- For specific signals mandated by regulation: the regulatory threshold (15.1)
- For non-SSU's: acceptable interference threshold (15.1).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

combined with 795:

The following threshold levels shall be used by systems compliant with Wireless-MAN CX for the report:

For specific signals mandated by regulation: the regulatory threshold (15.1)

For non-SSU's: acceptable interference threshold (15.1)

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 797

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 13 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

It is unclear which SS is detecting the interference

Suggested Remedy

Change to "This is a MAC management message sent by an SS to its home BS when unresolved co-channel interference is detected at the SS."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See comment 641

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded by 641

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 798

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 13 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3.62

Sentence is unclear. sent either every time, or ?

Suggested Remedy

Perhaps the text in parenthesis needs to be integrated into the sentence, or there is some missing text. Clean up.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the paragraph between lines 11-18 of Clause 6.3.2.3.62 with the following new paragraph:

"This MAC management message is sent by a SS to its home base station when unresolved co-channel interference is detected at the SS. Unresolved co-channel interference typically is interference new to the SS and is not recorded in the SS Interference Table as having been resolved using the Coexistence Protocol. Resolved interference implies that messages destined to the SS will not be corrupted by interference created by a foreign WirelessMAN-CX base station. The generation of this message is controlled by setting an inhibition period and interference detection threshold using the CCID_RES message. CCID_IND will be sent only after a specific number of CXCC cycles providing interference exceeds the threshold and is detected at least once. The intensity of the interference is reported using the INT_BSD_Frq field."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 799

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

The introduction mentions several mechanisms, some of which appear to be mandatory, and some of which may be optional ("where no mandatory coexistence behavior is required"), but this paragraph contains "shall" wording for the BS which implies that everything here is mandatory. If all of these mechanisms are mandatory, there is no need for a "Wirelessman-CX mode" because all of this will have to run in every system.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify the issue of which parts of this are mandatory and which are optional. If everything is mandatory, get rid of the "coexistence protocol" wording and integrate the text better with the baseline document (802.16-2004).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

On page 27, line 48, at the end of the sentences, add the sentence: "[Whether these features are mandatory or optional is governed by adherence to profiles described in section 12.5.1](#)"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 800

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 47 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.11

"OFDMA offset of the beginning of measurement interval". This is the OFDM section.

Suggested Remedy

Change to OFDM

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

There are two replacements to do.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

OFDM offset of the beginning of measurement interval

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 801

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 50 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.11

OFDMA offset of the end of measurement interval. This is the OFDM section.

Suggested Remedy

Change to OFDM

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The mentionned clause is not on page 50; there is no OFDMA on line 47

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

It's in 8.4 this time.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 802

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.2

Figure is almost unreadable. There are so many changes it is not clear what's been done in the name of unlicensed co-existence. The editorial instruction is to change the figure "as following", but there are no change marks to indicate what needs to be changed.

Suggested Remedy

Re-draw the figure, starting with the figure in 802.16-2004, and indicate changes using IEEE standard mark-up.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No action for Editor

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Superceeded by comment 664

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

deleted by 664

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 803

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.2

It is not clear where this table is or what is to be done with it. 802.16-2004 does not appear to have this table.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify which table is being modified and what is done. If rows are inserted, there is no need for strikethrough because nothing exists in the baseline. If rows are being modified, indicated the table being modified.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Instruction to Editor: make sure that the Table is correctly inserted in Rev 2; up-date the references

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

change to Extended-3 DIUC (table 326)

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 804

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 49 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.5.3.28

The IE "spans continuous OFDMA symbols". What does this mean?

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 664

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

superceded by 664

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 805

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 51 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.13.3.2

What is a "wide area application" and what is a "local area application"?

Suggested Remedy

Define

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change: "The BS receiver shall be capable of decoding a maximum on-channel signal of -45 dBm in Wide Area applications and a maximum signal of -35dBm in Local Area applications." to
"The BS receiver shall be capable of decoding a maximum on-channel signal of -45 dBm in macro cell applications and a maximum signal of -35dBm in micro cell applications.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It is not in 802.16 scope to define this

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 806

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 51 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.13.5

Why is this necessary? If the maximum tolerable signal is already defined to be -10 dBm, and a system can detect the power, why define the receiver maximum signal for energy detection?

Suggested Remedy

Remove the subclause

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change the:

"8.4.13.5 Receiver maximum signal for energy detection

The maximum signal at which a BS/SS can indicate the existence of a received power above a defined threshold is -10dBm for BS/SS. A saturated RF front-end is a positive indication of energy above the defined threshold.

to:

"8.4.13.5 Receiver saturation indication

A BS/SS should be able to detect a saturation state if the input level is higher than the maximum tolerable signal.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 807

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 10.5.1

"For cases when one or more seconds are added/subtracted at midnight, the absolute time is supposed to follow those changes." This should likely read "shall" rather than "supposed to".

Suggested Remedy

Clarify. I assume this is mandatory functionality, so insert "shall" in place of "supposed to". The sentence could be cleaner as well.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace "supposed to" with "shall"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 808

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 54 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.13

Value description is messy.

Suggested Remedy

Change to "IP Address. For IPv4, length is 4. For IPv6, length is 16."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 809

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 54

Line 29

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.4.1

Typo (case) for OFDMA

Suggested Remedy

Change PHY scope as "WirelessMAN-CX (OFDM/OFDMA)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 810

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 54

Line 39

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.4.1

Typo (case) for OFDMA

Suggested Remedy

Change PHY scope as "WirelessMAN-CX (OFDM/OFDMA)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Murias, Ronald G

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 811

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 81 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.1

Section reference is text and no link present.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "section Network Time Interval and CX_MAC_NO Frame start"
with "15.2.1.2" using a proper cross-reference tag.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Marks, Roger

Membership Status:Date: 5-Sep-2008Comment # 812Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 0	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 0
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	-------------	-------------------	--------------------

The following comments submitted in the Pre-ballot Mandatory Editorial Coordination need to be double-checked:

SECTION I: Items/issues that shall be resolved before the ballot begins:

Copyright

* If applicable, all copyright permission for excerpted text, tables, and figures shall be submitted to the IEEE prior to the start of ballot. If there are missing permission response letters, please submit them immediately.

Prior to sending them to me, please ensure that the following are included in each response letter you obtain from the copyright owner:

* The permission response is on company letterhead (where applicable) or the original email from the copyright owner should be forwarded to me if the individual is the copyright owner (rather than a company)

* Permission has to be granted

* For world rights use of the material in the standard (either modified or unmodified, as requested by you)

* To modify and reprint in all future revisions and editions of the standard

* For use in all media known or hereinafter known

Sample permission request and response letters are available at the following Internet location:

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/style/index.html>>.

The following items indicate the need for copyright permission letters:

Excerpted text in x.x.

Table X

Figure X

Reproduced document in Annex X

* In Clause 1, the information about the Scope and the Applicability should be deleted. This information should be listed in the Introduction. The scope of the base is not being modified.

* Is information being added to Clause 5? If so, please make sure it is included before the document goes to ballot.

Suggested Remedy

Modify draft as necessary. Prepare responses as necessary.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No copyrighted text is used. Clause 5 is already marked.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) to do

Comment by:

Marks, Roger

Membership Status:Date: 5-Sep-2008Comment # 813Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 0	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 0
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	-------------	-------------------	--------------------

The following comments submitted in the Pre-ballot Mandatory Editorial Coordination need to be reviews:

Section II: Items/issues that shall be resolved before the final recirculation

* Please review the use of trademarks in the draft, if applicable. References to commercial equipment or products in a standard shall be generic and shall not include trademarks or other proprietary designations. Where a sole source exists for essential equipment or materials, it is permissible to supply the name of the trademark owner in a footnote. The proper use guidelines for trademarks shall be determined by the trademark owner. Trademark owners must grant written permission before their trademarks may be referenced in a standard.

* Trademarks or other proprietary designations that are not commercial equipment or products should be avoided in standards. If used however, all trademarks shall be credited to the trademark owner in the front matter of the standard. The following text shall introduce any mention of specific trademark information:

The following information is given for the convenience of users of this standard and does not constitute an endorsement by the IEEE of these products. Equivalent products may be used if they can be shown to lead to the same results.

* If the draft contains a registration of objects (for additional information, visit the IEEE Standards Web site

<<http://standards.ieee.org/regauth/index.html>>), the working group shall submit the document to the IEEE Registration Authority (IEEE-RA) for mandatory coordination (submit to a.n.weaver@ieee.org for review). The text containing the registration information should be highlighted in the draft and the clause should be noted in the email. If the working group believes that the draft may potentially contain a registration of objects or if the working group would like information about setting up a registration, contact the IEEE-RA as early as possible to prevent a delay in approval by the IEEE-SA Standards Board. Search on the following words: object identifier, unique identifier, and assignment of unique numbers.

* The use of the word "must" is deprecated, except in cases where a statement of absolute fact is being made. Please review each usage of the word must.

* All citations in Clause 2 shall be normatively referenced within the body of the document. Are these two references that are being added cited in the Base? If not, they will need to be cited in text in this amendment.

* Separate electronic files of figures shall be supplied in TIFF format (unless created in FrameMaker).

Suggested Remedy

Modify draft as necessary. Prepare responses as necessary.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Instruction to Editor: All citations in Clause 2 shall be normatively referenced within the body of the document. Are these two references that are being added cited in the Base? If not, they will need to be cited in text in this amendment.

* Separate electronic files of figures shall be supplied in TIFF format (unless created in FrameMaker).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No objects were found; the Editor was instructed to address the "must" issue in resolution to comment 619

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by: Marks, Roger

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # **814**

Document under Review: **P802.16h/D7a**

Ballot ID: **802.16h**

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 0	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 0
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	-------------	-------------------	--------------------

This document is not ready for submittal to RevCom because, according to the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual, Subclause 8.1.2:

Up to three amendments can be approved before the standard shall be revised, unless the base standard has been approved or reaffirmed within the past three years. In the latter case, multiple amendments may be added until the base standard is three years old or three years have elapsed since the most recent reaffirmation of the standard. After the three-year period, RevCom shall defer consideration of additional amendments or corrigenda until a revision or a two-year extension request is approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board.

The base standard (IEEE Std 802.16-2004) is more than three years old, and it has been subject to three amendments (802.16e, 802.16f, and 802.16g). Therefore, this amendment cannot be approved until the current 802.16 revision project is complete.

Suggested Remedy

Defer submission to RevCom until the current 802.16 revision project is complete and the resulting draft is approved.

Revise draft to ensure that it is fully aligned, editorially, with the P802.16Rev2 revision draft. Ensure that draft is consistent with the other ongoing project (namely, P80216j) that would amend the same document.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Agree**

Implement text changes in C80216h-04/044r1.

The Editor is empowered to change the references, if needed for consistence with Rev. 2 and 802.16j last drafts

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 815

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page Line Fig/Table# Subclause General

The PAR for 802.16h states "This amendment specifies improved mechanisms, as policies and medium access control enhancements, to enable coexistence among license-exempt systems based on IEEE Standard 802.16 and to facilitate the coexistence of such systems with primary users." This implies systems developed on the basis of 802.16 and does not refer to 802.11 systems. The 802.16h draft does not follow the PAR

Suggested Remedy

Change the PAR to read: "This amendment specifies improved mechanisms, as policies and medium access control enhancements, to enable coexistence among license-exempt systems based on IEEE Standard 802.11 and 802.16 and to facilitate the coexistence of such systems with primary users."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The License Exempt operation is not possible if the existence of 802.11 is totally ignored; the 802.16h solution for LE operation shall take into consideration the existence of 802.11 systems in addition to 802.16 systems and this is what 802.16h does.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 816

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				General

The Reason for the project states: This standard will improve the coexistence in license-exempt (LE) operation for IEEE 802.16 fixed wireless systems. The actual text of 802.16h refers also to mobile 802.16 systems based on OFDMA and therefore is not in compliance with the reason given in the PAR.

Suggested Remedy

Change the Reason in the PAR to read: "This standard will improve the coexistence in license-exempt (LE) operation for IEEE 802.16 fixed and mobile wireless system"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The ammended standard is 802.16 Rev 2, which addresses BWA. BWA includes both Fixed and Mobile. The Reason which was based on Fixed 802.16-2004 is made obsolete by the scope of Rev 2.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

scope part have been deleted in D7a.

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 817

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 215 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause Bibliography

The reference is incomplete: [B53] Standard for Information Technology- Telecommunications and information exchange between systems-

Local and metropolitan area networks- Specific requirements Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications.

Suggested Remedy

Most likely this is an 802.11 standard, make the appropriate change

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace reference [53] with:

"IEEE Std 802.11, IEEE Standard for Information technology—Telecommunications and information exchange between systems—Local and metropolitan area networks—Specific requirements—Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 818

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 51 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 10.1

Incorrect spelling of micro-second as us. There are other occurrences in the document. Apply the change globally.

Suggested Remedy

Replace us with Greek letter mu+s as done in other parts of the document

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 819

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 54 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.4.1

Incorrect spelling of OFDMA

Suggested Remedy

Replace OFDMA with OFDMA

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 820

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 145 Line 56

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.1

Figure h60 is smudged

Suggested Remedy

Provide a clean copy of the figure

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Editor to fix

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 821

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 153 Line 53

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.5.3.10

Unit of KHz is misspelled. Check globally.

Suggested Remedy

Replace KHz with kHz.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Make the replacements in all the document.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 822

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 157 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.5.3.18

Time unit is not recognizable "Duration of the Radio signature (shall be shorter than the claimed subframe duration), in -mangled text that I cannot submit through the ballot system"

Suggested Remedy

Replace "mangled text" with the appropriate unit for the time used to measure the duration of the radio signature.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. Replace:

"Start of the claimed Master sub-frame, relative to start of the mentioned MAC frame, in μ s" μ
with

"Start of the time interval used for the radio signature transmission, relative to start of the Master sub-frame, in μ s"

2. Replace:

"Duration of the Radio signature (shall be shorter than the claimed subframe duration), in is"
with

"Duration of the Radio signature transmission, in μ s".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 823

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 1 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause Title

In the title and elsewhere in the document the notion of "Improved" is used without explaining with regard to what this mechanism is better. "Improved Coexistence Mechanisms for License-Exempt Operation"

Suggested Remedy

Drop the "improved": Coexistence Mechanisms for License-Exempt Operation

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Instruction for the Editor: Remove "improved" from the title of clause 15.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The Title of the document is specified by the PAR and cannot be changed; additionally in 802.16-2004 already there are mechanisms for DCS, Reports, etc.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 824

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 207 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7

There is no explanation given why this mechanism is improved and in relation to what is should be better. The mechanisms for improved coexistence may be used to improve coexistence interoperability

Suggested Remedy

Change to: The mechanisms for coexistence may be used to improve coexistence interoperability

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceeded by resolution to comment 606

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceeded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Ruck, Herbert

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 825

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 214 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.9.2

The draft makes use of the PCF (Point Coordination Function) in 802.11. However, the PCF is an optional feature in 802.11 and is not widely implemented, and is therefore unlikely to be of use. "The scheduled use of the MAC frame is possible by using the IEEE 802.11 PCF mode"

Suggested Remedy

Change the language from a certainty to a probability of using this feature: "The scheduled use of the MAC frame could be possible by using the IEEE 802.11 PCF mode when implemented"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete clause 15.9

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 826

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 209 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7.4

802.11y is now a complete and published standard.

Change text to properly reference the published standard rather than the project.

Suggested Remedy

Change sentence to: "In particular, the IEEE P802.11y amendment specifically addresses the changes necessary for IEEE 802.11 systems to operate in this band."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceded by resolution to comment 606.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

superceded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 827

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 1 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause General

Referecnes to other IEEE standards should use the formal IEEE editorial citation syntax.

Suggested Remedy

Change references to "802.11" to "IEEE Std. 802.11" throughout the document.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Instruction to Editor: Change references to "802.11" to "IEEE Std. 802.11" throughout the document.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 828

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 210 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.7.6

Re reference to 802.11a - that document has been superceded by 802.11-2007, which includes that material as a subset.

Suggested Remedy

Change "IEEE 802.11a systems" to "IEEE Std. 802.11-2007 clause 17 systems".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Superceded by resolution to comment 606.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Superceded

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 829

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 6

Line 29

Fig/Table#

Subclause 3.102

grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "of the results" to "on the results".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 830

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 28 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

Re "The definition of best for this purpose shall be left for vendor differentiation, but can be used to mean least interfered."
This sentence/statement includes a "shall", however it is unclear how compliance with such a mandatory requirement could be confirmed. The sentence should be rewritten in a form that states the intent without creating a requirement.

Suggested Remedy

Change sentence to:

The definition of "best" for this purpose is left for vendor differentiation; it could equate to least interfered."

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change sentence to:

The definition of "best" for this purpose is left for vendor differentiation; it could equate to least interfered."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 831

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 33 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.2
typo

Suggested Remedy

change "not" to "non"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 832

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 40 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1
grammar - object of sentence is unclear

Suggested Remedy

Change "method" to "method of determination".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 833

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 69

Line 31

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.1

grammar

Suggested Remedy

delete the word "when"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 834

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 70 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.1

There is no reference in the text to Figure h19.

Further, there is no textual explanation of Figure h19.

Further, the nature of diagram in Figure h19 is unclear.

Is it an x-y graph of some sort? What is the meaning of the values "0" and "1" shown in the figure?

Is it a state machine diagram of some sort, intended to show state transitions wrt the various types of interference and the thresholds between same?

Suggested Remedy

Revise the figure so that its intent is clear.

Add prose to reference and describe the content of the figure.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete fig. h19 and the text referencing to it.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

fig. h19 is not useful for the inter-operability orcoexistence improvement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 835

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 70

Line 51

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.1.1

query re the use of the word "operator" in the phrase "by more than one operator".

Is it really use of the frequency channel by more than one operator or rather is it use by more than one "system"? or "operator or system"?

Suggested Remedy

Change "operator" to "system".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 836

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.1

Unclear and in precise wording of the timing requirement

"at least every 10 minutes" - that phrase is a colloquial phrase that I think that translates to checking periodically, with "at most" 10 minutes between successive checks.

Suggested Remedy

Change "at least every 10 minutes" to "periodically, with a maximum of 10 minutes elapsed time between successive checks".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 837

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 82 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.2.1.5

UTC seconds are shown with a valid range of 1 through 60, however in rare cases (e.g. when leap seconds are inserted) a value of 61 would be valid.

Suggested Remedy

Change 60 to 61.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 838

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial
typo

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 112 Line 58

Fig/Table#

Subclause 15.3.6.3.1

Suggested Remedy

change "unlink" to "uplink"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 839

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1

There are several grammatical errors in this paragraph:

- missing indefinite article "the" (multiple instances)
- inappropriate use of third person pronoun "they" to refer to technical entities

Suggested Remedy

change paragraph text to:

Multiple systems coexisting within one channel shall share the radio resource of the channel in the time domain, preventing intersystem interference by adjustment of the intrasystem data transmissions. The systems cooperate by exchanging relevant information and negotiating fairly.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change:

"When multiple systems have to coexist with each other within one channel, they shall share radio resource of the channel in time domain, so that they will prevent interference from/to each other in their inner system data transmission. Therefore, they need to cooperate with other systems by exchanging their relevant information and negotiate with each other fairly"

to
"Multiple systems coexisting within one channel shall share the radio resource of the channel in the time domain, preventing intersystem interference. The systems may cooperate by exchanging relevant information and negotiating fairly."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 840

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1
poor grammar

Suggested Remedy

change text to:

Bursty systems which can operate in compliance with this protocol are referred to in this clause as CX_BURSTY Systems.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Engwer, Darwin

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 841

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 15.4.1.1
poor grammar

Suggested Remedy

change the text to:

The sharing mechanism is facilitated by scheduling in a fair fashion, and reallocating the resource within the channel in the time domain to obtain better overall network capacity.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Labs, Jonathan

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 842

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 1 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.2.3

Changes need to be against P802.16/Rev2 not 802.16-2004 or 802.16e-2005.

Suggested Remedy

Update all change language to amend P802.16/Rev2.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

See resolution to comment 814.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

C80216h-04/044r1

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Labs, Jonathan

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 843

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 47 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.11

New extended DIUC introduced (0x08). This is still covered under OFDM DL-MAP dummy IE format. In 802.16-2004 dummy range starts at 0x05 in 802.16e-2005 and 802.16/Rev2 at 0x06. This extended DIUC code should be the lowest unused in the reference document, i.e. 0x07

Suggested Remedy

Change the extended DIUC code to 0x07.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Labs, Jonathan

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 844

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.2

Bad editorial instruction [change the last paragraph of section 8.4.4.2 into the following text in 802.16 primary standards]. Need to specify correct revision. In this case it seems 802.16-2004, but figure is based on version from 802.16e-2005

Suggested Remedy

Provide accurate editorial instruction.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No action for Editor

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Superceeded by comment 664

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

deleted

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Labs, Jonathan

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 845

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.2

Table 277a exists in 802.16e-2005 but newly assigned codes are used in Rev2. And there are not enough reserved codes left to support both Rev2 and 802.16h changes.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 663

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

change to Extended-3 DIUC (table 326)

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Labs, Jonathan

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 846

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line Fig/Table# Subclause

Bad grammar.

Suggested Remedy

Replace globally [... as indicate:] by [... as indicated:]

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Labs, Jonathan

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 847

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Editorial</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>15.1.3.1</u>
----------------	-------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	------------------	--------------------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

bad grammar in flow chart h23, e.g. "choose channel which have least interference" should be either "choose channels.." or replace have -> has

Suggested Remedy

Change text to:

"Choose the channel which has the least interference."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

See resolution to comment 710.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

deleted by comment 710

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 848

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.86

This definition should reference the ITU-R Radio Reg's Definition for Acceptable Interference as it is more correct

Suggested Remedy

Point to the ITU-R normative reference or you guys might even think of using the P1900.1 definitions that have just been submitted to the ITU-R by P1900.1

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

1. The term "acceptable interference" is not defined in the ITU-R Radio Regulations, Article 1; what is defined is:
1.168 accepted interference: Interference at a higher level than that defined as
permissible interference and which has been agreed upon between two or more administrations
without prejudice to other administrations. with the Note: 1.167.1 and 1.168.1 The terms "permissible interference" and "accepted
interference" are used in the coordination of frequency assignments between administrations.

This definiton is not applicable in a standard

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 849

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.87

The concept of an acceptable interference threshold, in my opinion, does not capture the time varying nature of encountering interference in "real time"

Suggested Remedy

Change this definition to specify that it is a definition for systems that measure interference in "synchronized" context.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Change:

"3.87 Acceptable Interference Threshold: A value (in dBm) of a signal level, below which a signal is defined as being within the Acceptable Interference range."

to

"3.87 Acceptable Interference Threshold: A SINR ratio (in dB), above which a signal is defined as being within the Acceptable Interference range."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It is not clear how measuring interference in a synchronized context will solve this time-varying nature of encountering interference; in addition we speak only about a threshold.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 850

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 6 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.98

This definition appears to not reflect the inter-system communications requirement specified in the definition

Suggested Remedy

Please change the name from Coexistence Protocol (CXP) to Inter-system Coexistence Protocol (ICXP)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change:

3.98 Coexistence Protocol (CXP): A protocol for improving coexistence through the exchange of information, using communication over the air or back-haul. Messages for inter-system communication over the air and primitives for communication over the backhaul are provided.

to

"3.98 Coexistence Protocol (CXP): An inter-system protocol for improving coexistence through the exchange of information, using communication over the air or back-haul. Messages for inter-system communication over the air and primitives for communication over the backhaul are provided."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 851

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 27 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.1

"Mandatory Protection" is a misleading language as it makes it sound like this band is exclusively licensed when in fact the service rules in the US 3650 Band are clearly non-exclusive (save for the older co-primary users grandfathered by regulation)

Suggested Remedy

"Mandatory Protection" should be replaced by "reasonable access to the transmission medium"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The commentor has missed the context. The changes in section 6.4 are not limited to 3.65 GHz in the US as was the case for 802.11y. Some bands do have SSUs. As stated in the text "mandatory protection for the SSUs" is the requirement, by law, in some bands and the standard cannot weaken that requirement. Example of SSU are Radars in 5GHz.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 852

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 39 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4

When comparing Clear Medium Assessment (CMA) to Clear Channel Assessment (CCA), it is important to specify that "similar" does not mean they are the same. Be clear that the use of CMA "thresholds" are not as dynamic or time varying as like the exponential back off mechanism found behind the CCA/ED used in dot 11

Suggested Remedy

Specify that, under the right conditions, this fundamental difference between the use of a threshold mechanism and an exponential back off will create problems for 802.11 as it tries to access the RF medium. Because the threshold approach could "hold off" access to the RF medium given the right circumstances, the duration of the default 4 uS frame needs to be more dynamic. A varying duration (for the CMA framing) would be a better analogy to CCA/ED and would allow CMA to be an "analogy" vs "similar"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Obsolete by resolution to comment 696, which deletes the referred text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:

Date: 5-Sep-2008

Comment # 853

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 33 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.2

Dynamic Channel Selection (DCS) is not an uncoordinated coexistence mechanism as it does not take into account the differing channel widths that are possible under 802.11y. (ie how will DCS "detect" a 5MHz channel of an 802.11y radio if the channel width & channel centers on a 802.16h radio could be different?)

Suggested Remedy

Make is possible for DCS to do a "sweep" in 5MHz channels to "build a picture" of any possible detected channel usage, before a new "clear" channel is selected. Then you can call DCS an uncoordinated coexistence mechanism.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

802.11y operates in a band where the operator coordination is imposed . Based on this, it is possible to select a channel center and channel width, function of the operator coordination results.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Lubar, Daniel

Membership Status:Date: 5-Sep-2008Comment # 854Document under Review: P802.16h/D7aBallot ID: 802.16hCommentType GeneralPart of Dis☐Satisfied☐PageLineFig/Table#Subclause

The concept of "who goes first" if two cars (or radio Standards) come to an intersection is a fundamental requirement for co-existence. Barring the use of some sort of "system level coordination protocol", the two cars need to randomly (..and efficiently and fairly!) determine who goes first. 802.11 uses exponential back off to do this autonomously (ie in an "uncoordinated" fashion) What does 802.16h REALLY do?

Suggested Remedy

Until some system level coordination protocol comes along, please seek to provide an autonomous, fair & efficient way to both sense the use of the medium--and if it is being used, randomly pursue re-acquisition of the medium in a way that provides a level playing field for all RF medium licensees & Standards to operate. This was the intent of the MO&O response to the 05-56 proceeding.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: DisagreeReason for Group's Decision/Resolution

UCP - Section 6.4.1.3.4.1 and more specifically the equation on Page 41, line 5 give the algorithm, including backoff. It has been shown in simulations to give very good fair sharing results when 802.16h and 802.11y systems are co-channel. See C80216h-08_017r2.pdf among other contributions. Additionally, page 44, line 39 states "the BS shall use the 802.11 Medium Acquisition (MA) algorithm [B28] as a means of accessing the medium, and as a means of providing fair sharing of 802.16 frames between 802.16 and 802.11 Systems. In a similar way to the method described in subclause 6.4.1.3.4.1 the MA procedure is triggered once FRSTn has been exceeded in a given frame."

CX-CBP: it is using expontial back-off in CXCBI

Group's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Peter Ecclesine

Membership Status: Member

Date: 2008-11-07

Comment # 10001

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 1

Line 36

Fig/Table#

Subclause

This amendment shall state what approved standards are amended. The NOTE on page 1 is incorrect if 802.16f and 802.16g are part of the baseline for this amendment.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

change: NOTE-The editing instructions contained in this amendment/corrigendum define how to merge the material contained herein into the existing base standard IEEE Std 802.16-2004.

to

"NOTE-The editing instructions contained in this amendment/corrigendum define how to merge the material contained herein into the existing base standard IEEE Std 802.16-2009."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Peter Ecclesine

Membership Status: Member

Date: 2008-11-07

Comment # 10002

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 3

Line 23

Fig/Table#

Subclause

first inserted definition should be numbered 3.93 as 16g has a definition 3.92

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The numbering shall reflect the 802.16 Rev 2 and 802.16j (see resolution to comment 814).

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

start with 3.95

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Peter Ecclesine

Membership Status: Member

Date: 2008-11-07

Comment # 10003

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 7 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.124

The term Non-harmful Interference Range is defined but not used. The definition is nonsense, as 6dB is arbitrary.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Delete:

3.124 Non-harmful Interference Range: An interference signal is within this range when it is lower than 6dB below noise level.h

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Peter Ecclesine

Membership Status: Member

Date: 2008-11-07

Comment # 10004

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 10 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 4

Add TLV to acronyms, as it is used in Clause 6 without explanation.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

In the amended standard the acronyms do not include TLVs.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Peter Ecclesine

Membership Status: Member

Date: 2008-11-07

Comment # 10005

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 44 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.4.1.3.4.2

"In addition the BS shall use the 802.11 Medium Acquisition (MA) algorithm" - there is no such term in IEEE Std. 802.11-2007, the correct reference.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

This coment is obsoleted by the resolution to comment 696, which replaces the existing text.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

obsoleted by group resolution

2008/08/02

IEEE 802.16-08/047r4

Comment by:

Peter Ecclesine

Membership Status: Member

Date: 2008-11-07

Comment # 10006

Document under Review: P802.16h/D7a

Ballot ID: 802.16h

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 69 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause General

As this amendment is in the hands of the Sponsor, (not the WG), table and figure numbering should be made correct now, and be approved by the Sponsor Pool Now.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Instructions to Editor: make all the corrections (we ammend Rev 2) and remove the note

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done