Comments on WAPI

Date: 2005-02-15

Authors:

Name	Company	Address	Phone	email
Clint Chaplin	Symbol Technologies	6480 Via Del Oro, San Jose, CA, USA 95119- 1208	+1-408-528-2766	cchaplin@sj.symbol.com
Emily Qi	Intel Corporation	JF3-206, 2111 N.E. 25th Ave., Hillsboro, OR, USA 97124	+1-503-264-7799	emily.h.qi@intel.com
Henry Ptasinski	Broadcom	190 Matilda Place, Sunnyvale, CA, USA 94086	+1-408-543-3316	henryp@broadcom.com
Jesse Walker	Intel Corporation	JF3-206, 2111 N.E. 25 th Ave, Hillsboro, OR, USA 97214	+1-503-712-1849	jesse.walker@intel.com
Sheung Li	Atheros Communications	529 Almanor Ave, Sunnyvale, CA, USA	+1-408-773-5295	sheung@atheros.com

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.11. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.11.

Patent Policy and Procedures: The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802 Patent Policy and Procedures http:// ieee802.org/guides/bylaws/sb-bylaws.pdf>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair stuart.kerry@philips.com as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.11 Working Group. If you have questions, contact the IEEE Patent Committee Administrator at statement Patent Policy (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.11 Working Group. If you have questions, contact the IEEE Patent Committee Administrator at patent patent pat

Abstract

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

This document contains technical comments regarding JTC1/SC6's forwarding of the Chinese NB contribution (National Standard of China, GB15629.11) found in 6N12687 to the IEEE 802 (and specifically IEEE 802.11) for information.

Overview Statement

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

- GB15629.11 contains useful technology
- There are many issues to be resolved for successful integration of GB15629.11 into 802.11 and 8802-11
- We believe that cooperation between China's experts and the 802.11 Membership can successfully address all of these issues

Submission Slide 3 Draft

Backward Compatibility Concerns

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

- GB15629.11 omits provisions for backwards compatibility
 - Its adoption would make all deployed implementations of 8802-11 non-compliant by removing all description of WEP.
 - While WEP may have many failings, continued support to facilitate migration is essential.
 - Removing WEP entirely represents an onerous economic burden on both users and vendors of 8802-11

Submission Slide 4 Draft

Forward Compatibility Concerns

- GB15629.11 does not consider forward compatibility
 - It does not have any signaling mechanism to negotiate which cipher suite and authentication suite is used
 - This makes future enhancements more difficult
 - This blocks further innovation in the standard
- GB15629.11's known incompatibilities include:
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11e
 - IEEE Draft Stds 802.11k, 802.11u, and 802.11w
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11n
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11r
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11s
- No mechanism will assure forward compatibility other than collaborating with IEEE 802.11 Working Group

Interoperation Issues

- Interoperation between equipment built for different jurisdictions prevented by GB15629.11
 - Undesirable for a proposed international standard
- In contrast, IEEE Std 802.11i provides an extensible security mechanism
 - If a jurisdiction wishes to add new authentication algorithms and encryption algorithms (such as WAPI), they can do so within 802.11i framework
 - Without breaking interoperability with devices built for other jurisdictions
 - Without consent of IEEE 802.11 Working Group
 - And even without waiting for IEEE 802.11 Working Group to allocate one – use a vendor specific OUI

"Secret" Encryption Algorithm Concerns

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

- GB15629.11 is incomplete, as it does not specify an encryption algorithm to use
 - Implementation of the standard by all parties is not possible. Each vendor must be able to implement the encryption scheme
 - An international standard must specify all the algorithms needed for its implementation
- In general almost no commercial market will trust or accept unknown ciphers
- It is infeasible to maintain the secrecy of any algorithm in mainstream commercial products
 - Methods that effectively hinder reverse engineering of either hardware or software implementations too expensive for products in the consumer space
 - Private algorithms can only go in controlled products instead of commercial products to remain secret, e.g., military-only

Nations can maintain private algorithms, but only for non-standard modes of operation

Authentication Concerns (1)

- GB15629.11 fails to consider global market requirements for authentication
 - Different WLAN market segments require different authentication mechanisms
 - Enterprises plan to use EAP-TLS, PEAP, and TTLS, to leverage investment in RADIUS databases

- 3GPP plans to use EAP-SIM, to leverage investment in GSM-SIM
- China Mobile plans to use CAVE, to leverage its pre-existing authentication investment
- Consumer electronics plans to use pre-shared keys, because homes do not have IT departments to manage on-line trusted third party servers

Authentication Concerns (2)

- JTC1 already has an adopted digital certificate format—X.509. Why does it need another for 8802-11?
 - No rationale given for GB15629.11 specific certificate formats
 - Certificate design known to be fraught with difficulty
 - GB15629.11 certificate is missing all the extensions that have been added to X.509 over the last decade to address obvious interoperability and operational problems
 - E.g., design does not consider ASU key expiry
 - E.g., design does not consider cross certification
 - E.g., design does not consider certificate chains longer than two certificates
- Why is certificate design a WLAN specification issue?
- Why is back-end infrastructure a WLAN specification issue?
 - It is true the back-end design must be considered to understand the system security, but it is not part of the WLAN

Other Technical Comments (1)

- A STA can't distinguish a WAPI-enabled AP from a legacy AP
- An AP can't distinguish a WAPI-enabled STA from a legacy STA
- As in 802.11i, authentication and key negotiation take place after association, leading to service disruption during AP-to-AP transition
 - GB15629.11 is incompatible with 802.11r, so cannot utilize the fast roaming features developed by IEEE 802.11r

Security Issues

- In an ad-hoc network, the same key is used by all STAs for all traffic. This is a security defect
 - All STAs initialize the PN to the same value
 - Frames sent by different STAs will be protected with the same key and PN.
 - Since OFB is a stream cipher, this replicates WEP's known IV reuse defect
- Uses plain CBC-MAC for MIC, a security defect
 - CBC-MAC is not secure when used with variable length messages
 - See Bellare, Killian, and Rogaway, "The Security of the Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code," CRYPTO '94 Proceedings
 - Either reverse order of encryption and message integrity (this must be done with care to work), or else need a different message integrity code
- Transmit and Receive addresses unprotected from forgery

Abstract

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

This document contains technical comments regarding JTC1/SC6's forwarding of the Chinese NB contribution (National Standard of China, GB15629.11) found in 6N12687 to the IEEE 802 (and specifically IEEE 802.11) for information.

Overview Statement

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

- GB15629.11 contains useful technology
- There are many issues to be resolved for successful integration of GB15629.11 into 802.11 and 8802-11
- We believe that cooperation between China's experts and the 802.11 Membership can successfully address all of these issues

Submission Slide 3 Draft

Backward Compatibility Concerns

- GB15629.11 omits provisions for backwards compatibility
 - Its adoption would make all deployed implementations of 8802-11 non-compliant by removing all description of WEP.
 - While WEP may have many failings, continued support to facilitate migration is essential.
 - Removing WEP entirely represents an onerous economic burden on both users and vendors of 8802-11

Forward Compatibility Concerns

- GB15629.11 does not consider forward compatibility
 - It does not have any signaling mechanism to negotiate which cipher suite and authentication suite is used
 - This makes future enhancements more difficult
 - This blocks further innovation in the standard
- GB15629.11's known incompatibilities include:
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11e
 - IEEE Draft Stds 802.11k, 802.11u, and 802.11w
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11n
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11r
 - IEEE Draft Std 802.11s
- No mechanism will assure forward compatibility other than collaborating with IEEE 802.11 Working Group

Interoperation Issues

- Interoperation between equipment built for different jurisdictions prevented by GB15629.11
 - Undesirable for a proposed international standard
- In contrast, IEEE Std 802.11i provides an extensible security mechanism
 - If a jurisdiction wishes to add new authentication algorithms and encryption algorithms (such as WAPI), they can do so within 802.11i framework
 - Without breaking interoperability with devices built for other jurisdictions
 - Without consent of IEEE 802.11 Working Group
 - And even without waiting for IEEE 802.11 Working Group to allocate one – use a vendor specific OUI

"Secret" Encryption Algorithm Concerns

doc.: IEEE 802.11-05/0122r2

- GB15629.11 is incomplete, as it does not specify an encryption algorithm to use
 - Implementation of the standard by all parties is not possible. Each vendor must be able to implement the encryption scheme
 - An international standard must specify all the algorithms needed for its implementation
- In general almost no commercial market will trust or accept unknown ciphers
- It is infeasible to maintain the secrecy of any algorithm in mainstream commercial products
 - Methods that effectively hinder reverse engineering of either hardware or software implementations too expensive for products in the consumer space
 - Private algorithms can only go in controlled products instead of commercial products to remain secret, e.g., military-only

Nations can maintain private algorithms, but only for non-standard modes of operation

Authentication Concerns (1)

- GB15629.11 fails to consider global market requirements for authentication
 - Different WLAN market segments require different authentication mechanisms
 - Enterprises plan to use EAP-TLS, PEAP, and TTLS, to leverage investment in RADIUS databases

- 3GPP plans to use EAP-SIM, to leverage investment in GSM-SIM
- China Mobile plans to use CAVE, to leverage its pre-existing authentication investment
- Consumer electronics plans to use pre-shared keys, because homes do not have IT departments to manage on-line trusted third party servers

Authentication Concerns (2)

- JTC1 already has an adopted digital certificate format—X.509. Why does it need another for 8802-11?
 - No rationale given for GB15629.11 specific certificate formats
 - Certificate design known to be fraught with difficulty
 - GB15629.11 certificate is missing all the extensions that have been added to X.509 over the last decade to address obvious interoperability and operational problems
 - E.g., design does not consider ASU key expiry
 - E.g., design does not consider cross certification
 - E.g., design does not consider certificate chains longer than two certificates
- Why is certificate design a WLAN specification issue?
- Why is back-end infrastructure a WLAN specification issue?
 - It is true the back-end design must be considered to understand the system security, but it is not part of the WLAN

Other Technical Comments (1)

- A STA can't distinguish a WAPI-enabled AP from a legacy AP
- An AP can't distinguish a WAPI-enabled STA from a legacy STA
- As in 802.11i, authentication and key negotiation take place after association, leading to service disruption during AP-to-AP transition
 - GB15629.11 is incompatible with 802.11r, so cannot utilize the fast roaming features developed by IEEE 802.11r

Security Issues

- In an ad-hoc network, the same key is used by all STAs for all traffic. This is a security defect
 - All STAs initialize the PN to the same value
 - Frames sent by different STAs will be protected with the same key and PN.
 - Since OFB is a stream cipher, this replicates WEP's known IV reuse defect
- Uses plain CBC-MAC for MIC, a security defect
 - CBC-MAC is not secure when used with variable length messages
 - See Bellare, Killian, and Rogaway, "The Security of the Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code," CRYPTO '94 Proceedings
 - Either reverse order of encryption and message integrity (this must be done with care to work), or else need a different message integrity code
- Transmit and Receive addresses unprotected from forgery

Summary

- Forward and backward compatibility have to be provided
- Interoperation issues needed to be resolved
- The following concerns should be addressed:
 - "Secret" Encryption Algorithm Concerns
 - Performance and Cost Concerns
 - Authentication Concerns
- A number of security issues in GB15629.11 must be addressed
- None of these issues are insurmountable if China's security experts work with the IEEE 802.11 Working Group to integrate GB15629.11 into ISO/IEC 8802-11 via IEEE 802.11 Working Group