

Project	<b>IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group</b> < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >	
Title	<b>Changes to clarify ARQ Support</b>	
Date Submitted	<b>2007-01-18</b>	
Source(s)	David A Castelow, Tony Eva, Airspan Communications, Cambridge House, Oxford Road, Uxbridge, UK	Voice: +44 1895 467281 Fax: +44 1895 467202 <a href="mailto:dcastelow@airspan.com">mailto:dcastelow@airspan.com</a>
	Lei Wang, NextWave	
Re:	Supporting document for comment 80216-07/004#2	
Abstract	Changes required to clarify support of ARQ.	
Purpose	The document is intended for consideration within comments resolution process.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</a> >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <a href="mailto:chair@wirelessman.org">mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</a> > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices</a> >.	

## Changes to clarify ARQ Support

*David Castelow, Tony Eva*

*Airspan*

*Lei Wang*

*NextWave*

*January 2007*

### References

1. IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems," IEEE 802.16-2004.
2. IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Systems, Amendment 2: Physical and Medium Access Control Layers for Combined Fixed and Mobile Operation in Licensed Bands" IEEE 802.16e-2005.
3. IEEE 802.16-066 commentary database, November 2006.
4. IEEE P802.16/Cor2/D1, January 2007.

### Introduction

On page 101 of [4] there is the statement:

ARQ shall not be used with the PHY specification defined in 8.1. If ARQ is supported, then support of the 'cumulative ACK entry' entry is mandatory.

Without further changes then in addition to the use of cumulative ACK it is necessary to support Selective ACK. While it is efficient to support cumulative ACK, it is not necessary, nor is it sufficient.

I suggest the following replacement:

ARQ shall not be used with the PHY specification defined in 8.1. If ARQ is supported, then support of the 'cumulative ACK entry' entry [and at least one of "Selective ACK" or "Cumulative+Selective ACK"](#) is mandatory.

In IEEE 802.16e-2005 [2], page 175, the statement that ARQ support is optional has been removed, though I believe the standard does not mandate use of ARQ.

If the first block of a sequence is received with an error, there is no way to signal this without support for either selective ACK or cumulative+selective ACK. Cumulative ACK on its own is not sufficient, as it indicates the successful receipt of all blocks up to and including the specified one.

According to [1] page 134, second paragraph after figure 33:

When an acknowledgment is received, the transmitter shall check the validity of the BSN. A valid BSN is one in the interval ARQ\_TX\_WINDOW\_START to ARQ\_TX\_NEXT\_BSN-1 (inclusive). If BSN is not valid, the transmitter shall ignore the acknowledgment.

The context of this message is that the BSN is the one transmitted in the message, not the BSN corresponding to the bit being dealt with in the ACK map.

This requires changes to the definitions of both the Cumulative+Selective ACK and the Cumulative ACK, in order to support the usage suggested by the note on page 176 of 802.16e-2005 [2]:

NOTE—Selective ACK bit-maps are referenced to a specific BSN, which indicates to absolute number of the block referenced by the first bit in the bit-map. It is the responsibility of the ARQ feedback sender to assign the BSN such that all bits in the bit define either ACK or NAK for a specific ARQ block. This can be achieved by assigning the BSN number low enough (modulo  $2^{11}$ ), such that every bit in the bit map provides correct feedback information.

Where I believe the intent was to allow the BSN to point ahead of the block in error.

## **Required Changes**

Additions in **blue**, deletions in **red**.

Page 101 of IEEE P802.16/Cor2/D1, modify lines 24 - 26:

ARQ shall not be used with the PHY specification defined in 8.1. If ARQ is supported, then support of the 'cumulative ACK entry' ~~entry~~ and at least one of other acknowledgement types is mandatory.

In section 6.3.4.6.2 Transmitter State Machine, add the following text (at line 25, page 102 of IEEE P802.16/Cor2/D1):

*Change the 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph as indicated:*

When a **Cumulative ACK** acknowledgement is received, the transmitter shall check the validity of the BSN. A valid BSN is one in the interval ARQ\_TX\_WINDOW\_START to ARQ\_TX\_NEXT\_BSN-1 (inclusive). If BSN is not valid, the transmitter shall ignore the acknowledgment.

*Add after the 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph:*

When a Selective ACK, Cumulative+Selective ACK or Cumulative ACK with Block Sequence acknowledgment is received, the transmitter shall check the validity of each block described in the message. The acknowledgement of a block is valid if its corresponding block number lies in the interval ARQ\_TX\_WINDOW\_START to ARQ\_TX\_NEXT\_BSN-1 (inclusive). If the block number lies outside this interval the transmitter shall ignore the acknowledgment of that block.